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Abstract. We show that $p$-hyponormal operators obey Weyl's and $\alpha$-Weyl's theorem. Also, we show that the spectrum, Weyl spectrum, Browder spectrum and approximate point spectrum are continuous functions in the class of all $p$-hyponormal operators.

1. Introduction. Let $H$ be a complex infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space and let $B(H)$ (resp. $K(H)$) denote the Banach algebra of all bounded operators (resp. the ideal of all compact operators) on $H$. If $A \in B(H)$, then $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of $A$, $\varrho(A)$ denotes the resolvent set of $A$ and $r(A)$ denotes the spectral radius of $A$. The following sets are well-known semigroups of operators on $H$:

$$\Phi_+(H) = \{ A \in B(H) : R(A) \text{ is closed and } \dim N(A) < \infty \} ,$$

$$\Phi_-(H) = \{ A \in B(H) : R(A) \text{ is closed and } \dim H/R(A) < \infty \} .$$

The semigroup of semi-Fredholm operators is $\Phi(H) = \Phi_+(H) \cup \Phi_-(H)$. If $A$ is semi-Fredholm and $\alpha(A) = \dim N(A)$ and $\beta(A) = \dim H/R(A)$, then we may define an index: $\iota(A) = \alpha(A) - \beta(A)$. We also consider the sets

$$\Phi_0(H) = \{ A \in \Phi(H) : \iota(A) = 0 \} \quad (\text{Weyl operators}),$$

$$\Phi_\pm(H) = \{ A \in \Phi_+(H) : \iota(A) \leq 0 \} .$$

The following spectra of $A \in B(H)$ are familiar:

$$\sigma_p(A) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{there exists } x \in H \setminus \{ 0 \} \text{ such that } Ax = \lambda x \} ,$$

$$\sigma_a(A) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \inf_{x \in H, ||x||=1} ||(A - \lambda)x|| = 0 \}$$

— the approximate point spectrum,

$$\sigma_w(A) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \notin \Phi_0(H) \} \quad \text{— the Weyl spectrum} ,$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47A53, 47B20.

Key words and phrases: $p$-hyponormal operators, continuity of spectra, Weyl's theorem.
$\sigma_b(A) = \bigcap \{\sigma(A + K) : AK = KA, K \in K(H)\}$

--- the Browder spectrum,

$\sigma_{sa}(A) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : A - \lambda \not\in \Phi_A(H)\}$

--- the essential approximate point spectrum,

$\sigma_{sb}(A) = \bigcap \{\sigma(A + K) : AK = KA, K \in K(H)\}$

--- the Browder essential approximate point spectrum.

Let $\pi_0(A)$ be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ and $0 < \dim N(A - \lambda) < \infty$, let $\pi_0(A)$ be the set of all normal eigenvalues of $A$, that is, of all isolated points of $\sigma(A)$ for which the corresponding spectral projection has finite-dimensional range and let $\pi_0(A)$ be the set of all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma_n(A)$ and $0 < \dim N(A - \lambda) < \infty$.

We say that $A$ obeys Weyl's theorem [2, 5] if

$$\sigma_w(A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \pi_0(A),$$

and we say that $A$ obeys a-Weyl's theorem [12] if

$$\sigma_{sa}(A) = \sigma_n(A) \setminus \pi_0(A).$$

If $(\tau_n)$ is a sequence of compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$, then its limit inferior is

$$\lim \inf \tau_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{there are } \lambda_n \in \tau_n \text{ with } \lambda_n \to \lambda\}$$

and its limit superior is

$$\lim \sup \tau_n = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \text{there are } \lambda_n \in \tau_n \text{ with } \lambda_n \to \lambda\}.$$ 

If $\lim \inf \tau_n = \lim \sup \tau_n$, then $\tau_n$ is said to exist and is equal to this common limit. A mapping $p$, defined on $B(H)$, whose values are compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ is said to be upper (resp. lower) semicontinuous at $A$ provided that if $A_n \to A$ in the norm topology then $\lim \inf p(A_n) \subset p(A)$ (resp. $p(A) \subset \lim \inf p(A_n)$). If $p$ is both upper and lower semicontinuous at $A$, then it is said to be continuous at $A$ and in this case $\lim p(A_n) = p(A)$.

We say that $A \in B(H)$ is $p$-hyponormal provided that $(A^*A)^p - (AA^*)^p \geq 0$. If $p = 1$, then $A$ is called hyponormal, and if $p = 1/2$, then $A$ is called semi-hyponormal. It is well known that a $p$-hyponormal operator is $q$-hyponormal for $q \leq p$. Let $H(p)$ be the class of $p$-hyponormal operators.

2. Preliminary results. Necessary and sufficient conditions for an operator $A \in B(H)$ to obey a-Weyl's theorem have been discussed by V. Rakočević in [12]. Here we employ the conditions $C_A^1$ and $C_A^2$ from Baxley [2] to give conditions which are sufficient for obeying a-Weyl's theorem.

We start by defining the sets

$$\pi_0^\lambda(A) = \{\lambda \in \sigma_n(A) : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A\},$$

$$\pi_0^\lambda(A) = \{\lambda \in \sigma_n(A) : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } A \text{ and } \dim N(A - \lambda) < \infty\}.$$

Lemma 2.1. For every operator $A \in B(H)$,

$$\sigma_n(A) \setminus \pi_0^\lambda(A) \subset \sigma_n(A).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda \in \sigma_n(A) \setminus \pi_0^\lambda(A)$. Then $0 < \alpha(A - \lambda)$ and $\delta(A - \lambda) \leq 0$ (see [11]), i.e. $0 < \alpha(A - \lambda) \leq \beta(A - \lambda) \leq \infty$. This, by the definition of the set $\pi_0^\lambda(A)$, implies that $\lambda \in \pi_0^\lambda(A)$. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 2.2. For every operator $A \in B(H)$,

$$\sigma(A) \setminus \pi_0^\lambda(A) \subset \sigma_n(A).$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 2.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\pi_0^\lambda(A) \setminus \pi_0^\lambda(A) \subset \sigma_n(A).$$

Let $\lambda \in \pi_0^\lambda(A) \setminus \pi_0^\lambda(A)$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma_n(A)$ and $\alpha(A - \lambda) = \infty$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in $\mathcal{N}(A - \lambda)$ such that $(x_n, x_m) = 0$ for $n \neq m$. We show that $\lambda \in \sigma_n(A + K)$ for every $K \in K(X)$, i.e. $\lambda \in \bigcap \{\sigma_n(A + K) : K \in K(H)\} = \sigma_n(A)$.

Let $K \in K(H)$ and suppose that $y = lim Kx_n$. Then the sequence $y_n = (A + K - \lambda)x_n$, $n \in N$, satisfies

$$\lim y_n = lim(A + K - \lambda)x_n = lim Kx_n = y.$$

Now suppose that $\lambda \not\in \sigma_n(A + K)$. Then there exists $m > 0$ such that

$$\|(A + K - \lambda)x\| \geq m\|x\|$$

for every $x \in H$, i.e. $A + K - \lambda$ is one-one on $\mathcal{N}(A + K - \lambda)$ and

$$\|(A + K - \lambda)^{-1}y\| \leq \frac{1}{m^2}\|y\|$$

for every $y \in \mathcal{N}(A + K - \lambda)$.

This implies, by [9, p. 190], that the range of $A + K - \lambda$ is closed. Hence, given $y = lim(A + K - \lambda)x_n \in \mathcal{R}(A + K - \lambda)$, there exists $x \in H$ such that $y = (A + K - \lambda)x$. Denote the restriction of $A + K - \lambda$ to $\mathcal{R}(A + K - \lambda)$ by $B$. Then $B$ is a regular operator (i.e., the operator $B^{-1}$ is well defined), and

$$\lim x_n = lim B^{-1}x_n = lim B^{-1}y_n = B^{-1}y = x.$$

This, however, contradicts our assumption that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is orthogonal. Hence, $\lambda \in \sigma_n(A + K)$. $\blacksquare$

Definition 2.3. An operator $A \in B(H)$ obeys condition $C_A^1$ if for every infinite sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \rho_0(A)$ of distinct eigenvalues no sequence $\{x_n\}$ of corresponding normalized eigenvectors converges.
**Definition 2.4.** An operator $A \in B(H)$ obeys condition $C^\triangleleft_2$ if for every $\lambda \in \pi^0_0(A)$ the operator $A - \lambda$ has closed range.

**Theorem 2.5.** If an operator $A \in B(H)$ obeys condition $C^\triangleleft_1$, then

$$\sigma(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A) \subset \sigma_{sa}(A).$$

**Proof.** It is clear from Lemma 2.2 that $\sigma(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A) \subset \sigma_{sa}(A)$. Since $\sigma_{sa}(A)$ is a closed and compact, it follows that

$$\overline{\sigma(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A)} \subset \sigma_{sa}(A).$$

Suppose now that $\lambda \in (\pi^0_0(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A)) \setminus \sigma(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A)$. Then $\lambda$ is a nonisolated eigenvalue such that $\alpha(A - \lambda) < \infty$. Hence, there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \pi^0_0(A)$ such that $\lambda_n \to \lambda$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of corresponding eigenvectors for $\lambda_n$ and let $x$ be an eigenvector for $\lambda$. We will show that $\lambda \in \sigma(A + K)$ for every $K \in K(H)$, i.e. $\lambda \in \sigma_{sa}(A)$.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists a $K \in K(H)$ such that $\lambda \not\in \sigma(A + K)$. Since $A + K - \lambda$ has closed range and is one-one on $\mathcal{R}(A + K - \lambda)$, it follows that it is an invertible operator on $\mathcal{R}(A + K - \lambda)$. Let $S$ be its inverse on $\mathcal{R}(A + K - \lambda)$.

Let $x_n = y$ and $y_n = (A + K - \lambda)x_n$. Then

$$\lim y_n = \lim ((A - \lambda)n)x_n + Kx_n + (\lambda - \lambda_n)x_n = y,$$

and it follows that

$$Sy = \lim Sy_n = \lim S(A + K - \lambda)x_n = \lim x_n,$$

i.e. condition $C^\triangleleft_2$ does not hold. This is a contradiction. $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 2.6.** If $A \in B(H)$ obeys condition $C^\triangleleft_2$, then

$$\sigma_{sa}(A) \subset \sigma(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A).$$

**Proof.** Let $\lambda \in \sigma_{sa}(A)$ and suppose that $\lambda \in \pi^0_0(A)$. Since $\lambda \in \pi^0_0(A)$ it follows that $A - \lambda$ has closed range and $\alpha(A - \lambda) = 0$. Hence $\lambda \in \pi^0_0(H)$ and, since $\lambda \in \sigma_{sa}(A)$, it follows that $i(A - \lambda) > 0$. By the continuity of index it thus follows that $\lambda$ is an interior point of $\sigma_{sa}(A)$. This is a contradiction. $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 2.7.** If $A \in B(H)$ obeys conditions $C^\triangleleft_1$ and $C^\triangleleft_2$, then a-Weyl’s theorem holds for $A$, i.e.

$$\sigma_{sa}(A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \pi^0_0(A).$$

**Proof.** By Theorems 2.5 and 2.6. $\blacksquare$

3. $p$-hyponormal operators. We start with some elementary results about $p$-hyponormal operators. The following lemma is known (Aluthge [1], Uchiyama [14]).

**Lemma 3.1 ([1]).** Let $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$. If $A^{-1}$ exists, then it is $p$-hyponormal.

**Lemma 3.2 ([14, Lemma 4]).** Let $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$ and let $H_1$ be a closed subspace of $H$. If $A$ maps $H_1$ into itself, then the restriction of $A$ to $H_1$ is $p$-hyponormal.

Given $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$, $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$, decompose $A$ into its normal and pure parts:

$$A = A_0 \oplus A_p = (A_0 + A_0^*H_0^*H_0)_p.$$

Let $A_p \in \mathcal{H}(p)$ have polar decomposition $A_p = U_p|A_p|^{1/2}$ and $|A_p|$ is a quasi-affinity and $U_p$ is an isometry. Define $A_0 = |A_p|^{1/2}U_p|A_p|^{1/2}$ and, letting $A_0$ have the polar decomposition $A_0 = V_0|A_0|^{1/2}$, set $A_0 = |A_0|^{1/2}V_0|A_0|^{1/2}$. Then $A_p \in \mathcal{H}(p + 1/2), A_0 \in \mathcal{H}(1)$, $\sigma(A_p) = \sigma(A_0) = \sigma(A_0)$, and both $A_0$ and $A_p$ are pure [8]. Let $A = A_0 \oplus A_p$, $B = I_{H_1} \oplus A_0^{1/2}|A_0|^{1/2}$ and $C = I_{H_0} \oplus U_p|A_p|^{1/2}V_0|A_0|^{1/2}$, then $B$ is a quasi-affinity, $C^*$ has dense range and

$$AB = BA \quad \text{and} \quad C\bar{A} = AC.$$

The following lemma is an easy consequence of the above.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$. Then $\alpha(A - \lambda) = \alpha(\bar{A} - \lambda)$, $\beta(A - \lambda) = \beta(\bar{A} - \lambda)$ and $\sigma(A) = \sigma(\bar{A})$, $\sigma_{sa}(A) = \sigma_{sa}(\bar{A})$, $\sigma_{sp}(A) = \sigma_{sp}(\bar{A})$.

**Lemma 3.4.** If $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(A), A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$, and either $\alpha(A - \lambda)$ or $\beta(A - \lambda)$ is finite, then $A - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H)$ and $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A)$.

**Proof.** It is clear from Lemma 3.3 that $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(\bar{A})$ such that either $\alpha(\bar{A} - \lambda)$ or $\beta(\bar{A} - \lambda)$ is finite. This, by [13, Lemma XI.5.5], implies that $\lambda \in \sigma(\bar{A}) = \sigma(A)$. The eigenvalues of an $\mathcal{H}(p)$ operator being normal, we have $\alpha(A - \lambda) = \beta(A - \lambda) = \alpha(\bar{A} - \lambda) = \beta(\bar{A} - \lambda) < \infty$, i.e. $A - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H)$. $\blacksquare$

**Theorem 3.5.** If either $A$ or $A^*$ is in $\mathcal{H}(p)$, then

$$A - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H) \Leftrightarrow \bar{A} - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H).$$

**Proof.** Suppose that $A \in \mathcal{H}(p)$ and $A - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H)$; then $\mathcal{R}(A - \lambda)$ is closed, either $A(\bar{A} - \lambda)$ or $\beta(A - \lambda)$ is finite and $\alpha(A - \lambda) = 0$. The eigenvalues of an $\mathcal{H}(p)$ operator being normal, it follows that $N(\bar{A} - \lambda) \subseteq N(A - \lambda)$. Hence $\alpha(A - \lambda) = \beta(A - \lambda) = \alpha(\bar{A} - \lambda) = \beta(\bar{A} - \lambda) < \infty$ for all $k = 1, 2, \ldots$. This, by [13, Theorem VI.4.5], implies that $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$, and hence (by Lemma 3.4), $\bar{A} - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H)$.

Conversely, if $\bar{A} - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H)$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_p(\bar{A}) = \sigma_p(A)$ and $\alpha(\bar{A} - \lambda) = \alpha(A - \lambda) < \infty$. Hence $A - \lambda \in \Phi_0(H)$.

Since a similar argument works if $A^* \in \mathcal{H}(p)$, the proof is complete. $\blacksquare$

4. Weyl’s theorems. It is shown in [5] that Weyl’s theorem holds for the class $\mathcal{H}(p)$. We show that it also holds if $A^*$ is $p$-hyponormal.
Proposition 4.1. If either $A$ or $A^*$ is a $p$-hyponormal operator, then $A$ obeys Weyl's theorem, i.e.

$$\sigma_w(A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \pi_{00}(A).$$

Proof. If $A$ is $p$-hyponormal, then by [5, Theorem 0] $A$ obeys Weyl's theorem.

Now suppose that $A^*$ is $p$-hyponormal. Then $\tilde{A}^*$ is hyponormal, and since $\sigma(\tilde{A}^*) = \sigma(\tilde{A})^*$, $\sigma_w(\tilde{A}^*) = \sigma_w(\tilde{A})$ and $\pi_{00}(\tilde{A}^*) = \pi_{00}(\tilde{A})^*$, where $S^* = \{ \lambda : \lambda \in S \}$ for $S \subset \mathbb{C}$, we see that $\tilde{A}$ obeys Weyl's theorem. Now, since $\sigma(A) = \sigma(\tilde{A})$ and $\pi_{00}(A) = \pi_{00}(\tilde{A})$, Theorem 3.5 implies that

$$\sigma_w(A) = \sigma_w(\tilde{A}) = \sigma(\tilde{A}) \setminus \pi_{00}(\tilde{A}) = \sigma(A) \setminus \pi_{00}(A).$$

By [12], $a$-Weyl's theorem holds in the class $\mathcal{H}(1)$; the following theorem shows that this is also true for $\mathcal{H}(p)$ operators.

Theorem 4.2. (i) If $A^*$ is a $p$-hyponormal operator, then $A$ obeys $a$-Weyl's theorem, i.e.

$$\sigma_{\text{sa}}(A) = \sigma_a(A) \setminus \pi_{00}^p(A).$$

(ii) If $A$ is a $p$-hyponormal operator such that the points of $\pi_{00}^p(A)$ are also isolated in $\sigma(A)$, then $A$ obeys $a$-Weyl's theorem.

Proof. (i) If $A^*$ is $p$-hyponormal, then since $\sigma(A) = \sigma_a(A)$ [4, Corollary 6], it follows that $\pi_{00}(A) = \pi_{00}^p(A)$. Now, since $A$ obeys Weyl's theorem (Proposition 4.1) we have

$$\sigma_{\text{sa}}(A) \supset \sigma_w(A) = \sigma(A) \setminus \pi_{00}(A) = \sigma_a(A) \setminus \pi_{00}^p(A).$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be an infinite sequence of different points in $\pi_{00}^p(A) = \pi_{00}(A)$ and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence of corresponding normalized eigenvectors. Then $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence of eigenvalues of $T^*$ with some sequence of eigenvectors $\{x_n\}$ (see [4]). By [4, Corollary 5], $\{x_n\}$ has no convergent subsequence, i.e. $A$ obeys condition $C^p_2$. Since

$$\sigma_a(A) \cap \pi_{00}^p(A) \subset \sigma_{\text{sa}}(A)$$

by Theorem 2.5, we conclude that $a$-Weyl's theorem holds for $A$.

(ii) Let $A$ be $p$-hyponormal and let $\lambda \in \pi_{00}^p(A)$. Then $\lambda$ is an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(A - \lambda) < \infty$, and so, by Lemma 3.4, the operator $A - \lambda$ has closed range. Consequently, $A$ obeys condition $C^p_2$, and it follows from Theorem 2.6 that

$$\sigma_{\text{sa}}(A) \subset \sigma_a(A) \setminus \pi_{00}^p(A).$$

Let $\{\lambda_n\}$ be an infinite sequence of distinct points in $\pi_{00}^p(A)$. Then, by [4, Corollary 5], $(x_n, x_m) = 0$, $n \neq m$, for a sequence of normalized eigenvectors $\{x_n\}$ corresponding to $\{\lambda_n\}$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ does not converge, $A$ obeys condition $C^p_2$, and it follows from Theorem 2.5 that

$$\sigma_{\text{sa}}(A) \setminus \pi_{00}^p(A) \subset \sigma_{\text{sa}}(A).$$

Hence, $A$ obeys $a$-Weyl's theorem.

We remark here that the hypothesis in (ii) that the points of $\pi_{00}^p(A)$ are also isolated in $\sigma(A)$ in general not satisfied. (We are grateful to Dr. Young Min Han for pointing this out.) Consider for example the hyponormal operator $A$ which is the direct sum of the 1-dimensional zero operator and the unilateral shift. Then $0 \in \pi_{00}(A)$, but 0 is not an isolated point of $\sigma(A)$.

Theorem 4.3. If $A$ or $A^*$ is in $\mathcal{H}(p)$, then $\sigma_{\text{sa}}(A) = \sigma_a(A)$.

Proof. Since $A$ (resp. $A^*$) is in $\mathcal{H}(p)$ implies that $\tilde{A}$ (resp. $\tilde{A}^*$) is in $\mathcal{H}(1)$, by Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 4.2 we have

$$\sigma_{\text{sa}}(A) = \sigma_a(\tilde{A}) \setminus \pi_{00}^p(A) = \sigma_a(A) \setminus \pi_{00}^p(A) = \sigma_{\text{sa}}(A).$$

Recall that the spectrum is a continuous function in the class of hyponormal operators. Our next result says that the same is true for the class $\mathcal{H}(p)$; the proof depends on the Berberian extension theorem, which we now state.

Theorem 4.4 ([3]). There exists a Hilbert space $H^0 \supset H$ and an isometric order preserving $*$-isomorphism $B(H) \cong A \hookrightarrow B(H^0)$ such that

$$\sigma(A) = \sigma(A^0) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_a(A) = \sigma_a(A^0) = \sigma_a^p(A^0).$$

Theorem 4.5. Let $A_n$ or $A_n^*$ be $p$-hyponormal, for all $n = 1, 2, \ldots$, and let the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ converge in norm to $A$. Then $\lim_{n \to \infty} \sigma_{\text{sa}}(A_n) = \sigma(A)$, i.e. the spectrum is a continuous function in the class of all $p$-hyponormal operators.

Proof. We start by proving that the Berberian extension $A_n^0$ of $A_n \in \mathcal{H}(p)$ is similar to an $\mathcal{H}(1)$ operator. Let $A_n^0 = T_n$. Then $T_n \in \mathcal{H}(p)$, and either $0 \in \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_n)$ or $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_n)$.

If $0 \in \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_n)$, then 0 is in the joint spectrum of $T_n$ and there exists a decomposition $T_n = \sigma + \tau_{1,1}$, on $H^0 = H_0 \oplus H_1$ say, such that $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_n)$. We claim that $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_n)$. Suppose to the contrary that $0 \in \sigma(\tau_{1,1}) = \sigma_{\text{sa}}(\tau_{1,1})$. Then there exists a sequence $\{\tau_{i,j}\}$ of unit vectors such that $||\tau_{i,j}|| \to r$ as $r \to \infty$. But then $T_n \tau_{i,j} \to r \to \infty$, i.e., $0 \in \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_n) = \sigma_{\text{sa}}^p(T_n)$. This contradiction proves our claim.

Let $T_{n,1}$ have the polar decomposition $T_{n,1} = U_{n,1} ||T_{n,1}||$. Define $\hat{T}_{n,1} = |T_{n,1}|^{1/2} U_{n,1} ||T_{n,1}||^{1/2}$. Then $\hat{T}_{n,1} \in \mathcal{H}(p + 1/2)$, with $\sigma(\hat{T}_{n,1}) = \sigma(T_{n,1})$ and $\sigma_{\text{sa}}(\hat{T}_{n,1}) = \sigma_{\text{sa}}(T_{n,1})$ ([8]). In particular, $0 \notin \sigma(\hat{T}_{n,1})$. Let $\tilde{T}_{n,1}$ have the polar decomposition $\tilde{T}_{n,1} = V_{n,1} ||\tilde{T}_{n,1}||$, and define $\tilde{T}_{n,1} = |\tilde{T}_{n,1}|^{1/2} V_{n,1} ||\tilde{T}_{n,1}||^{1/2}$. Then $\tilde{T}_{n,1} \in \mathcal{H}(1)$ with $\sigma(\tilde{T}_{n,1}) = \sigma(T_{n,1})$ ([8]). Now let $X_n$ be the invertible
operator $X_m = 1_{U_m} \otimes \sqrt{[T_m]'/[T_m]'}$ and let $\tilde{T}_m \in \mathcal{H}(1)$ be the operator $\tilde{T}_m = 0 \otimes \tilde{T}_m$. Then $\tilde{T}_m = X_m T_m X_m^{-1}$.

In the case $0 \notin \sigma(T_m)$, an argument similar to the one above shows that $0 \notin \sigma(T_m)$ and $0 \notin \sigma(T_m)$, where (upon letting $T_m = U_m[T_m]$) $\tilde{T}_m$ is defined by $\tilde{T}_m = |T_m|^{1/2}U_m[T_m]|^{1/2}$. Let $\tilde{T}_m = V_m[\tilde{T}_m]$ and $\tilde{A}_m = \tilde{T}_m^{1/2}V_m[\tilde{T}_m]^{1/2}$. Then $\tilde{T}_m \in \mathcal{H}(1)$ and $\tilde{T}_m = X_m T_m X_m^{-1}$, where $X_m = |T_m|^{1/2}V_m[\tilde{T}_m]^{1/2}$.

We note that $||A_m - A|| \to 0$ implies $||T_m - T|| = ||A_m^0 - A^0|| \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$; hence, given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a natural number $m_0$ such that

$$
||T_m^0|| \leq ||T_m^2|| + ||T_m - T^0|| + ||T - T^0|| + ||T^2|| \leq ||T^2|| + \varepsilon
$$

and

$$
||\tilde{T}_m^0|| = ||T_m^0 + \tilde{T}_m^2|| \leq ||T^2|| + \varepsilon
$$

for all $m > m_0$. In particular, $|T_m|$, $|\tilde{T}_m|$ and $X_m$ are uniformly bounded.

Since the spectrum of an operator is upper semicontinuous [10], we have to show that $\sigma(A) \subset \liminf \sigma(A_m)$. Suppose that the contrary holds. Then $\sigma(T) \notin \liminf \sigma(T_m)$, and given $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a natural number $m_1$ and a sequence $\{A_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}$ such that $\lambda_m \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma(T_m)$ for all $m_\geq m_1$. Let $\lambda \in \mathcal{C}$ be a point of accumulation of $\{\lambda_m\}$. Then there exists a natural number $m_2$ such that $\lambda \in \sigma(T) \setminus \sigma(T_m)$ for all $m_\geq m_2$. Since $\sigma(T_m) = \sigma(T_m)$, this implies that $\tilde{T}_m - \lambda$ is regular for all $m \geq m_2$. The operator $\tilde{T}_m - \lambda$ being hyponormal,

$$
||\tilde{T}_m - \lambda||^{-1} = r(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)^{-1} = \max\{1/|\mu - \lambda| : \mu \in \sigma(\tilde{T}_m)\},
$$

i.e. $(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)^{-1}$ is uniformly bounded for all $m \geq m_2$. We have

$$
||1_{H_0} - (T_m - \lambda)^{-1}(T - \lambda)||
$$

$$
= ||1_{H_0} - X_m(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)^{-1}X_m^{-1}(T - \lambda)||
$$

$$
= ||X_m(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)^{-1}X_m^{-1}[(X_m^{-1}(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)X_m - (T - \lambda))||
$$

$$
\leq ||X_m(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)^{-1}X_m^{-1}|| \cdot ||T_m - T|| \to 0
$$

as $m \to \infty$, i.e. $T - \lambda$ is invertible. This contradiction implies that we must have

$$
\sigma(A) = \sigma(T) \subset \liminf \sigma(T_m) = \liminf \sigma(A_m).
$$

The proof in the case $\sigma_n \in \mathfrak{H}(p)$ is similar. (We note that $||\tilde{T}_m - \lambda||^{-1} = r(\tilde{T}_m - \lambda)^{-1}$ if $\tilde{T}_m$ is hyponormal.)

Corollary 4.6. Let $A_n$ or $A_n^*$ be p-hyponormal, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converge in norm to $A$. Then

$$
\lim \sigma_w(A_n) = \sigma_w(A) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim \sigma_b(A_n) = \sigma_b(A),
$$

i.e. the Weyl spectrum and Browder spectrum are continuous functions in the class of all p-hyponormal operators.

Proof. Since the spectrum is continuous, the assertion follows from [7, Theorems 2.2 and 2.3].

Corollary 4.7. Let $A_n^*$ be p-hyponormal, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let the sequence $\{A_n\}$ converge in norm to $A$. Then $\lim \sigma_w(A_n) = \sigma_w(A)$.

Proof. Since $A_n^*$ are p-hyponormal operators, [3, Corollary 6] shows that $\sigma(A_n) = \sigma(A_n^*)$. Theorem 4.5 now implies that $\lim \sigma_w(A_n) = \sigma_w(A)$.

Theorem 4.8. If $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{H}(p)$ such that $A_n \to A \in B(H)$, then $\lim \sigma_{sa}(A_n) = \sigma_{sa}(A)$.

Proof. Since $\sigma_{sa}$ is upper semicontinuous [6, Theorem 2.1], we have to show that $\sigma_{sa}(A) \subset \liminf \sigma_{sa}(A_n)$. Suppose the contrary; then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\lambda_n \in \sigma_{sa}(A_n)$ such that $|\lambda_n - \lambda| < \varepsilon/2$ for all $n > n_0$. Now, for $n > n_0$ we have

$$
d(\lambda, \sigma_{sa}(A_n)) \geq d(\lambda, \sigma_{sa}(A_n)) - |\lambda_n - \lambda| > \varepsilon/2,
$$

i.e. $\lambda \notin \sigma_{sa}(A_n)$ for every $n > n_0$. The operator $A_n^*$ being p-hyponormal, $\tilde{A}_n^*$ is hyponormal and it follows that

$$
\beta(A_n - \lambda) = \alpha(A_n - \lambda)^* = \alpha(\tilde{A}_n - \lambda)^* = \beta(\tilde{A}_n - \lambda),
$$

$$
\alpha(A_n - \lambda) = \beta(A_n - \lambda)^* \geq \beta(\tilde{A}_n - \lambda)^* = \alpha(\tilde{A}_n - \lambda).
$$

Since $\tilde{A}_n \in \mathfrak{H}(1)$, we also have

$$
\mathcal{N}(\tilde{A}_n - \alpha)^* \subset \mathcal{N}(\tilde{A}_n - \alpha).
$$

Thus

$$
i(A_n - \lambda) = \alpha(A_n - \lambda) - \beta(A_n - \lambda) \geq \alpha(\tilde{A}_n - \lambda) - \beta(\tilde{A}_n - \lambda) \geq 0.
$$

Since $\lambda \notin \sigma_{sa}(A_n)$ implies that $i(A_n - \lambda) \leq 0$ (with $\alpha(A_n - \lambda) < \infty$), we must have $i(A_n - \lambda) = 0$ with $\alpha(A_n - \lambda) = \beta(A_n - \lambda) < \infty$. By the continuity of the index we now conclude that $i(A - \lambda) = 0$ and $\alpha(A - \lambda) < \infty$, i.e. $\lambda \notin \sigma_{sa}(A)$. This contradiction proves the result.

Corollary 4.9. If $\{A_n\}$ is a sequence in $\mathfrak{H}(p)$ such that $A_n \to A \in B(H)$, then $\lim \sigma_{ab}(A_n) = \sigma_{ab}(A)$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.8, Theorem 4.2 and [6, Corollary 2.7].
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Banach principle in the space of $\tau$-measurable operators

MICHAELE GOLDSSTEIN (Toronto, ON) and SEMYON LITVINOV (Fargo, ND)

Abstract. We establish a non-commutative analog of the classical Banach Principle on the almost everywhere convergence of sequences of measurable functions. The result is stated in terms of quasi-uniform (or almost uniform) convergence of sequences of measurable (with respect to a trace) operators affiliated with a semifinite von Neumann algebra. Then we discuss possible applications of this result.

Introduction. The study of measurable operators associated with a von Neumann algebra (vNA) and different types of the almost everywhere convergence for sequences of measurable operators goes back to the celebrated paper of I. Segal [Se]. Since then this branch of the theory of operator algebras has been explored in many different directions. One of them is the so-called non-commutative ergodic theory, which treats the almost everywhere (or norm) convergence of the Cesàro averages along the trajectory (under some kind of contraction in a non-commutative $L^p$-space) of an operator in $L^p$. This study was initiated by a number of authors, among whom we mention Lance [La] and Yeadeon [Ye]. In the classical ergodic theory, one of the most powerful tools in dealing with the almost everywhere convergence of ergodic averages is the well-known Banach Principle on the convergence of sequences of measurable functions generated by a sequence of linear maps in an $L^p$-space. This principle is often applied in proofs concerning the almost everywhere convergence of weighted averages, averages along subsequences, moving averages, etc.

In this paper, using the notion of $\tau$-measurable operator, we establish a non-commutative analog of the Banach Principle. Since we do not assume the finiteness of the trace, the result is stated for the quasi-uniform convergence. The proof of the main result of this paper, Theorem 2, can be easily modified for different types of the "almost everywhere" convergences in vNA, in particular, for the bilateral almost uniform (b.a.) convergence
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