

D. D. BAINOV (Sofia)  
M. B. DIMITROVA (Sliven)

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR OSCILLATION AND  
NONOSCILLATION OF THE SOLUTIONS OF  
OPERATOR-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS WITH  
PIECEWISE CONSTANT ARGUMENT

*Abstract.* Effective sufficient conditions for oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of some operator-differential equations with piecewise constant argument are found.

**1. Introduction.** In [1] sufficient conditions are obtained for oscillation of all solutions of the operator-differential equation with piecewise constant argument

$$(1) \quad y'(t) + q(t)y(t) + p(t)y([t]) = 0,$$

where  $p, q \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} q(t) = \infty$ .

Some mathematical models in biology [3] are described by means of equations of the form (1).

In [5] sufficient conditions are obtained for oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of the equations

$$\begin{aligned} y'(t) + p(t)f(y([t])) &= 0, \\ y'(t) + p(t)f(y([t])) &= h(t). \end{aligned}$$

In the present paper the operator-differential equations with piecewise constant argument

$$(2) \quad x'(t) + p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)([t]) = 0,$$

$$(3) \quad x'(t) + p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)([t]) = h(t)$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 34K15; Secondary 34L30.

*Key words and phrases*: oscillation and nonoscillation, operator-differential equations, piecewise constant argument.

are investigated, where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an operator with certain properties. Sufficient conditions for oscillation and nonoscillation of solutions of equations (2) and (3) are obtained. Some particular realizations of the operator  $\mathcal{A}$  are considered.

**2. Preliminaries.** Consider the operator-differential equations

$$\begin{aligned}x'(t) + p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)([t]) &= 0, \\x'(t) + p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)([t]) &= h(t),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{A}$  is an operator and  $p(\cdot)$  is locally integrable function in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Let  $t_0$  be a fixed real number. Denote by  $C([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  the set of all continuous functions  $u : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and by  $L_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  the set of all functions  $u : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are Lebesgue integrable in each compact subinterval of  $[t_0, \infty)$ .

DEFINITION 1. By a *solution* of equation (3) in the interval  $[t_0, \infty)$  we mean any function  $x(t)$  satisfying the following conditions:

1.  $x \in C([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ .
2. The derivative  $x'(t)$  exists at any point  $t \geq t_0$  with the possible exception of the integer values of  $t$ , at which the right-hand derivative exists.
3. The function  $x(t)$  satisfies equation (3) in each finite interval  $[n, n+1) \subset [t_0, \infty)$ , where  $n \geq t_0$  and  $n$  is an integer.

The set of all functions satisfying conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 1 will be denoted by  $\mathcal{D}_{t_0}$ .

DEFINITION 2. A solution  $x(t)$  of the equation (3) is said to be *regular* if  $\sup\{x(t) : t \geq T\} > 0$  for  $T \geq N_x$ , where  $N_x \geq t_0$  is an integer.

DEFINITION 3. A regular solution  $x(t)$  of the equation (3) is said to *oscillate* if there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of points such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$  and  $x(t_n) = 0$ .

Otherwise the regular solution  $x(t)$  is said to be *nonoscillating*.

DEFINITION 4. A function  $u : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to *eventually enjoy a property P* if there exists a point  $t_{P,u} \geq t_0$  such that for  $t \geq t_{P,u}$  it enjoys the property  $P$ .

We introduce the following conditions:

- H1.**  $p \in L_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\text{meas}\{s \geq t : p(s) \neq 0\} > 0$ .
- H2.**  $\mathcal{A} : \mathcal{D}_{t_0} \rightarrow L_{\text{loc}}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ .
- H3.** If  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$  and  $u(t) \equiv 0$  eventually, then  $(\mathcal{A}u)(t) \equiv 0$  eventually.
- H4.** If  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$  is eventually nonzero and of constant sign, then so is  $\mathcal{A}u$ , and they are of the same sign.

### 3. Main results

THEOREM 1. *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. *Conditions H1–H4 are satisfied.*
2.  *$p(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$ .*

*Then all regular solutions of the equation (2) are nonoscillating.*

PROOF. Let  $x(t)$  be a regular solution of (2) in  $[N_x, \infty)$ , where  $N_x \geq t_0$  is an integer. Suppose that there exists an integer  $n \geq N_x$  such that  $x(n) = 0$ . From (2) it follows that  $x'(t) = -p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)(n)$  for  $t \in [n, n+1)$ . Then  $x'(t) = 0$  for  $t \in [n, n+1)$ , i.e.,  $x(t) = \text{const}$  for  $n \leq t < n+1$ . Hence if  $x(n) = 0$  for any integer  $n \geq N_x$ , then by continuity of  $x(t)$ ,  $x(t) \equiv 0$  in  $[n, \infty)$ , which contradicts the requirement that  $x(t)$  be a regular solution of (2). Hence there exists an integer  $m \geq N_x$  such that  $x(m) \neq 0$ . Let  $x(m) > 0$  (the case  $x(m) < 0$  is analogous). Then

$$x'(t) = -p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)(m) \geq 0$$

for  $t \in [m, m+1)$ , and so  $0 < x(m) \leq x(t) \leq x(m+1)$ .

Analogously, we obtain  $x(m+2) > 0$ , etc. Hence  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq m$ . ■

THEOREM 2. *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. *Conditions H1–H4 are satisfied.*
2.  *$p(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_n^{n+1} p(t) dt < 1 \quad \text{for } n \text{ integer, } n \geq t_0.$$

3.  *$(\mathcal{A}u)(t) \leq u(t)$  for any integer  $t \in [t_0, \infty)$  and any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$ .*

*Then all regular solutions of the equation (2) are nonoscillating.*

PROOF. Let  $x(t)$  be a regular solution of (2) in  $[N_x, \infty)$ , where  $N_x \geq t_0$  is an integer. There exists an integer  $n_1 \geq N_x$  and a number  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , such that for  $n \geq n_1$ ,

$$\int_n^{n+1} p(t) dt < 1 - \varepsilon.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 1 we conclude that there exists an integer  $n_2 \geq n_1$  such that  $x(n_2) \neq 0$ . Let  $x(n_2) > 0$  (the case  $x(n_2) < 0$  is analogous).

Integrate (2) from  $n_2$  to  $t$  for  $t \in [n_2, n_2+1)$  to obtain

$$x(t) = x(n_2) - \int_{n_2}^t p(s)(\mathcal{A}x)([s]) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq x(n_2) - (\mathcal{A}x)(n_2) \int_{n_2}^{n_2+1} p(s) ds \\ &\geq (\mathcal{A}x)(n_2) \left[ 1 - \int_{n_2}^{n_2+1} p(s) ds \right] > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process, we conclude that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \in [n_2 + 1, n_2 + 2)$ , etc., i.e.,  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq n_2$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. *Conditions H1–H4 and condition 3 of Theorem 2 are satisfied.*
2.  *$p(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_n^{n+1} p(t) dt = 0 \quad \text{for } n \text{ integer.}$$

*Then each bounded solution of the equation (2) is nonoscillating.*

The proof of Theorem 3 follows the scheme of the proof of Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. *Condition H2 is satisfied.*
2.  *$p \in C([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ .*
3.  *$h \in C([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ .*
4.  *$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t)/p(t) = \infty$ .*
5. *If  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$  is eventually nonzero and bounded, then so is  $\mathcal{A}u$ .*

*Then all bounded regular solutions of the equation (3) are nonoscillating.*

**Proof.** Let  $x(t)$  be a bounded regular solution of (3) in  $[N_x, \infty)$ , where  $N_x \geq t_0$  is an integer, i.e., there exists a constant  $M_1 > 0$  such that  $|x(t)| \leq M_1$  for  $t \geq N_x$ . From condition 5 it follows that there exists a constant  $M_2 > 0$  and a number  $t_1 \geq N_x$  such that  $|(\mathcal{A}x)(t)| \leq M_2$  for  $t \geq t_1$ . By condition 4, there exists  $T \geq t_1$  such that  $h(t) \geq M_2 p(t)$  for  $t \geq T$ .

Suppose that there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of zeros of  $x(t)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \infty$ . Denote by  $t_k, t_{k+1}$  two consecutive zeros of  $x(t)$  such that  $T \leq t_k \leq t_{k+1}$ .

Integrate (3) from  $t_k$  to  $t_{k+1}$  and obtain

$$0 = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} [h(s) - p(s)(\mathcal{A}x)([s])] ds \geq \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} [h(s) - M_2 p(s)] ds > 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

**THEOREM 5.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. *Conditions H1, H2 and H4 are satisfied.*
2.  *$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_n^{n+1} p(t) dt = \infty$ .*

3. If  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{t_0}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u(n)/(\mathcal{A}u)(n) < \infty$ .

Then all regular solutions of the equation (2) oscillate.

Proof. Suppose that  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillating solution of (2). Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x(t) > 0$  in  $[N_x, \infty)$ ,  $N_x \geq t_0$ ,  $N_x$  is an integer. From H4 it follows that there exists an integer  $N_{\mathcal{A}x} \geq N_x$  such that  $(\mathcal{A}x)(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq N_{\mathcal{A}x}$ . Let  $N$  be an integer,  $N \geq N_{\mathcal{A}x}$ . Integrate (2) from  $N$  to  $N + 1$  and obtain

$$x(N + 1) - x(N) = - \int_N^{N+1} p(t)(\mathcal{A}x)([t]) dt = -(\mathcal{A}x)(N) \int_N^{N+1} p(t) dt.$$

But  $-x(N) < x(N + 1) - x(N)$ . Hence  $x(N) > (\mathcal{A}x)(N) \int_N^{N+1} p(t) dt$ , i.e.,

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_N^{N+1} p(t) dt = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x(N)}{(\mathcal{A}x)(N)} < \infty,$$

which contradicts condition 2. ■

**THEOREM 6.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. *Conditions H1, H2 and H4 are satisfied.*
2.  *$p(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \geq t_0$ .*
3.  *$h \in L_{loc}([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  and*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t h(s) ds = -\infty, \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t h(s) ds = \infty.$$

Then all regular solutions of the equation (3) oscillate.

Proof. Suppose that  $x(t)$  is a nonoscillating solution of (3). Assume that  $x(t) > 0$  for  $t \geq N$ , where  $N \geq t_0$  is an integer. Integrate (3) from  $N$  to  $t$  ( $t > N$ ) and obtain

$$x(t) = x(N) + \int_N^t h(s) ds - \int_N^t p(s)(\mathcal{A}x)([s]) ds \leq x(N) + \int_N^t h(s) ds.$$

Hence  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) < 0$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $x(t)$  is eventually positive. ■

#### 4. Some particular realizations of the operator $\mathcal{A}$

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1.  *$(\mathcal{A}x)(t) = \max_{s \in M(t)} x(s)$ , where  $M(t) = [p_1(t), q_1(t)]$  is a compact subset of  $[t_0, \infty)$  for  $t \geq t_0$  and  $p_1(t) < q_1(t)$  for  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $p_1, q_1 \in C([t_0, \infty); \mathbb{R})$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} p_1(t) = \infty$ .*
2. *Condition H1 and condition 2 of Theorem 1 are satisfied.*

Then all regular solutions  $x(t)$  of the equation

$$x'(t) + p(t) \max_{s \in M([t])} x(s) = 0$$

are nonoscillating.

**Proof.** It is immediately verified that condition 1 implies H3 and H4. Condition H2 follows from Lemma 1 of [2]. Thus Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 1. ■

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1.  $(Ax)(t) = \min_{s \in M(t)} x(s)$ , where  $M(t)$  is as in condition 1 of Corollary 1.

2. Condition H1 and condition 2 of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

Then all regular solutions  $x(t)$  of the equation

$$(4) \quad x'(t) + p(t) \min_{s \in M([t])} x(s) = 0$$

are nonoscillating.

**Proof.** It is immediately verified that condition 1 implies H3, H4 and condition 3 of Theorem 2. Condition H2 follows from Lemma 1 of [2]. Thus Corollary 2 follows from Theorem 2. ■

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. Condition 1 of Corollary 2 is satisfied.

2. Condition H1, condition 3 of Theorem 2 and condition 2 of Theorem 3 are satisfied.

Then each bounded solution of the equation (4) is nonoscillating.

**Proof.** Apply Corollary 2 and Theorem 3. ■

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let the following conditions hold:*

1.  $(Ax)(t) = \int_{t-a}^t k(t, s)x(s) ds$ , where  $a$  is a positive constant and  $k \in C([t_0 + a]^2; (0, \infty))$ .

2. Condition 2 of Corollary 1 is satisfied.

Then all regular solutions  $x(t)$  of the equation

$$x'(t) + p(t) \int_{[t]-a}^{[t]} k([t], s)x(s) ds = 0$$

are nonoscillating.

**Proof.** This follows from Theorem 1. ■

EXAMPLE 1. Consider the differential equation

$$(5) \quad x'(t) - \frac{1}{a}e^{t-[t]} \int_{[t]-a}^{[t]} e^{[t]-s} x(s) ds = 0,$$

where  $a = \text{const} > 0$  and  $t \geq t_0 > a + 2$ . Here the functions

$$p(t) = -\frac{1}{a}e^{t-[t]}, \quad (\mathcal{A}x)(t) = \int_{t-a}^t e^{t-s} x(s) ds$$

satisfy the conditions of Corollary 4. Thus all solutions of the equation (5) are nonoscillating.

COROLLARY 5. *Let the following conditions hold:*

1.  $(\mathcal{A}x)(t) = f(x(g(t)))$ , where  $g \in C([t_1, \infty); \mathbb{R})$  and  $t_1 \geq t_0$  is such that  $g(t) \geq t_0$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ,  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} g(t) = \infty$ ,  $f \in C(\mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R})$ ,  $uf(u) > 0$ ,  $f(0) = 0$ .
2. Condition 2 of Corollary 1 is satisfied.

Then all regular solutions  $x(t)$  of the equation

$$x'(t) + p(t)f(x(g([t]))) = 0$$

are nonoscillating.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 1. ■

EXAMPLE 2. Consider the differential equation

$$(6) \quad x'(t) - e^{t-3[t]} x^3([t]) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0 > 0.$$

Here the functions  $f(u) = u^3$ ,  $p(t) = -e^{t-3[t]}$ , and  $(\mathcal{A}x)(t) = x(t)$  satisfy the conditions of Corollary 5. Thus all solutions of the equation (6) are nonoscillating.

COROLLARY 6. *Let the following conditions hold:*

1. Condition 1 of Corollary 4 holds.
2. Conditions 2 and 3 of Theorem 6 hold.

Then all solutions of the equation

$$x'(t) + p(t) \int_{[t]-a}^{[t]} k([t], s)x(s) ds = h(t)$$

are nonoscillating.

Proof. This follows from Theorem 6 since it is immediately verified that the corresponding operator  $\mathcal{A}$  satisfies conditions H2 and H4. ■

**Acknowledgements.** The present investigation is supported by the Bulgarian Ministry of Education, Science and Technologies under Grant MM-422.

#### References

- [1] A. R. Aftabizadeh and J. Wiener, *Oscillatory properties of first order linear functional differential equations*, Appl. Anal. 20 (1985), 165–187.
- [2] V. G. Angelov and D. D. Bainov, *On the functional differential equations with “maximums”*, ibid. 16 (1983), 187–194.
- [3] S. Busenberg and K. L. Cooke, *Models of vertically transmitted diseases with sequential continuous dynamics*, in: *Nonlinear Phenomena in Mathematical Science*, V. Lakshmikantham (ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1982, 179–187.
- [4] K. L. Cooke and J. Wiener, *Retarded differential equations with piecewise constant delays*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 99 (1984), 265–297.
- [5] B. G. Zhang and N. Parhi, *Oscillatory and nonoscillatory properties of first order differential equations with piecewise constant deviating arguments*, ibid. 139 (1989), 23–35.

D. D. Bainov  
Medical University of Sofia  
P.O. Box 45  
Sofia 1504, Bulgaria

M. B. Dimitrova  
Technical University  
Sliven, Bulgaria

*Received on 8.11.1994*