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SOME METHODS OF ESTIMATION
FOR A TRIVARIATE POISSON DISTRIBUTION

Abstract. A four-parameter trivariate Poisson distribution was considered by Loukas and Papageorgiou [6] where various properties of the distribution were examined and the maximum likelihood estimation was obtained by iteration techniques.

In the present paper we study several alternative estimation procedures which lead to explicit expressions of the parameter estimates in terms of simple sample statistics. Asymptotic efficiencies are examined and recommendations made. Applications of the estimation methods to simulated data sets are also given.

1. Introduction. Multivariate generalizations of the Poisson distribution, with $2^k - 1$ non-negative parameters for the $k$-variate Poisson distribution, as limiting forms of multivariate binomial distributions were considered by Krishnamoorthy [4], Teicher [15] and Kawamura [3] and the corresponding seven-parameter trivariate Poisson distribution by Mahamunulu [7], Kawamura [2] and Loukas and Kemp [5]. A simpler version of the $k$-variate Poisson distribution with $k+1$ parameters was considered by Johnson and Kotz [1, §11.4] and Šidák [10]–[12]. In this paper, a parameter estimation for the corresponding four-parameter trivariate Poisson distribution is studied.

The random vector $(X, Y, Z)$ has the trivariate Poisson distribution with parameters $a, b, c, d$ if

$$X = X' + T, \quad Y = Y' + T, \quad Z = Z' + T,$$

where $X', Y', Z'$ and $T$ are independent Poisson variables with parameters $a - d, b - d, c - d$ and $d$, respectively. The probability generating function
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(p.g.f.) of the trivariate Poisson with the structure (1) is
\[ g_{x,y,z}(u,v,w) = \exp\{(a - d)(u - 1) + (b - d)(v - 1) + (c - d)(w - 1) + d(uvw - 1)\}, \]
where \( a, b, c > 0 \) and \( 0 < d < \min(a, b, c) \). The marginal distributions are Poisson with \( E(X) = a, E(Y) = b, E(Z) = c \) and \( \text{Cov}(X, Y) = \text{Cov}(X, Z) = \text{Cov}(Y, Z) = d \).

In [6] various properties of the trivariate Poisson distribution were examined, maximum likelihood estimators of the parameters were obtained by iteration techniques, and the information matrix was derived.

In this paper, we examine some alternative estimation procedures, namely the methods of moments, zero frequency and even points, which lead to explicit expressions of the parameters in terms of simple sample statistics. Computations of asymptotic efficiencies are also included. These simple ad hoc estimation techniques often provide reasonable alternatives in terms of the trade-off between modest computational requirements and an adequate level of asymptotic efficiency over certain regions of the parameter space. Finally, applications of the estimation methods to trivariate Poisson simulated data are also given.

2. The method of moments. Since \( \mu_{111} = E(X - E(X))(Y - E(Y))(Z - E(Z)) = d \) for the trivariate Poisson distribution with p.g.f. given by (2), moment estimators can be obtained by using the equations
\[ \hat{a} = \bar{x}, \quad \hat{b} = \bar{y}, \quad \hat{c} = \bar{z}, \quad \hat{d} = m_111 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x,y,z} (x - \bar{x})(y - \bar{y})(z - \bar{z}), \]
where \( n \) represents the sample size and \( m_111 \) must satisfy \( 0 < m_111 < \min(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}) \).

Asymptotic efficiency is defined as \( E = \sqrt{\text{det}(I^{-1})/|V|} \) where \( |I^{-1}| \), the determinant of the inverse of the information matrix for the maximum likelihood estimators, is given by (cf. [6])
\[ |I^{-1}| = \frac{n^{-4}(a - d)^2(b - d)^2(c - d)^2}{(abc - ad^2 - bd^2 - cd^2 + 2d^3)(Q - 1) - (ab + ac + bc - 2ad - 2bd - 2cd + 3d^2)}, \]
where \( P_{x,y,z} = P(X = x, Y = y, Z = z) \) and \( Q = \sum_{x,y,z} P_{x-1,y-1,z-1}^2 / P_{x,y,z} \).

The determinant of the asymptotic covariance matrix for the moment estimates is given by
\[ |V| = |M|/|G|^2, \]
where $M$ is the asymptotic covariance matrix of the sample moments and $G$ is the Jacobian matrix of the transformation from the moment estimators to the sample moments.

It can be easily shown that $|G| = 1$.

Formulae for calculating most of the elements of $M$ are given by Stuart and Ord [13] and Subrahmaniam and Subrahmaniam [14]. In addition,

$$
\text{Cov}(m_{111}, \bar{x}) = \text{Cov} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x,y,z} xyz, \bar{x} \right) - \text{Cov} \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{y,z} yz, \bar{x} \right) - \text{Cov} \left( \frac{1}{n} \bar{y} \sum_{x,z} xz, \bar{x} \right) - \text{Cov} \left( \frac{1}{n} \bar{z} \sum_{x,y} xy, \bar{x} \right) + \text{Cov}(2\bar{x} \bar{y} \bar{z}, \bar{x}).
$$

After some tedious algebra we obtain

$$
\text{Cov}(m_{111}, \bar{x}) = \frac{1}{n} (\mu_{211} - \mu_{210} \mu_{001} - \mu_{201} \mu_{010} - \mu_{200} \mu_{011})
- 2\mu_{111} \mu_{100} - 2\mu_{110} \mu_{101} + 2\mu_{011} \mu_{200} + 4\mu_{110} \mu_{100} \mu_{001}
+ 4\mu_{101} \mu_{100} \mu_{010} + 2\mu_{200} \mu_{010} \mu_{001} - 6\mu_{100} ^2 \mu_{010} \mu_{001})
= k_{211}/n = d/n,
$$

where $\mu_{...}$ and $k_{...}$ are the trivariate moments about the origin and cumulants, respectively. Similarly, $\text{Cov}(m_{111}, \bar{y}) = k_{121}/n = d/n$ and $\text{Cov}(m_{111}, \bar{z}) = k_{112}/n = d/n$. Also, following Stuart and Ord [13, Ch. 13] we obtain

$$
\text{Var}(m_{111}) = \frac{1}{n} (k_{222} + k_{200} k_{022} + k_{020} k_{202} + k_{002} k_{220} + 2k_{110} k_{112}
+ 2k_{101} k_{121} + 2k_{011} k_{211} + 3k_{111}^2 + 2k_{210} k_{012} + 2k_{120} k_{102}
+ 2k_{201} k_{021} + k_{200} k_{020} k_{002} + 2k_{110} k_{101} k_{011} + k_{200} k_{011}
+ k_{020} k_{101}^2 + k_{002} k_{110}^2)
= \frac{1}{n} (abc + ad^2 + bd^2 + cd^2 + 2d^3 + ad + bd + cd + 15d^2 + d)
\equiv A.
$$

Finally, the determinant of $M$ is found to be

$$
|M| = \frac{1}{n^4} \{A(a - d)(b - d)(c - d) - d(d - A)[(a - d)(b - d)
+(a - d)(c - d) + (b - d)(c - d)]\}.
$$

The asymptotic efficiency of the method was examined for $c = b = \lambda a$, $d = \nu \min(a, b, c)$, where $\lambda = 1/4, 1/2, 1, 2, 4$, $\nu = 0.01, 0.02, \ldots, 0.99$ and $a = 0.005, 0.01, \ldots, 2$. A selection of the results for the symmetric case
\( a = b = c \) is given in Figure 1. The method is highly efficient for small values of \( a, b, c \) and small values of \( d \) relative to \( \min(a, b, c) \).
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**Fig. 1. Efficiency of the method of moments**

3. **The method of zero frequency.** If we denote by \( f_{000} \) the observed proportion of observations in the \((0, 0, 0)\) cell, zero frequency estimators can be obtained by using the equations

\[
\hat{a} = \bar{x}, \quad \hat{b} = \bar{y}, \quad \hat{c} = \bar{z},
\]

\[
\hat{d} = \frac{1}{2}(\bar{x} + \bar{y} + \bar{z} + \log f_{000}),
\]

where \( f_{000} > 0 \).

The determinant of the asymptotic covariance matrix for the zero frequency estimates can be obtained by using expression (3). From the well-known expressions for variances and covariances of proportions we have

\[
\text{Var}(f_{000}) = \frac{1}{n} e^{-B} (1 - e^{-B}), \quad \text{Cov}(\bar{x}, f_{000}) = -\frac{1}{n} a e^{-B},
\]
and finally,

\[ |M| = \frac{1}{n^4} \left[ e^{-2B} (a^2d^2 + b^2d^2 + c^2d^2 + 6abcd \right.
\[ \qquad - a^2bc - ab^2c - abc^2 - 2abd^2 - 2acd^2 - 2bcd^2) \]
\[ \left. + e^{-B} (1 - e^{-B})(abc - ad^2 - bd^2 - cd^2 + 2d^3) \right] , \]

where \( B = a + b + c - 2d \). Also, it is easy to show that the determinant of the Jacobian of the transformation from the zero frequency estimators to \((\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}, f_{000})\) is given by \( |G| = 2e^{-(a+b+c-2d)} \).

Calculations of the asymptotic efficiency have been carried out for the parameter values given in Section 2. A selection of the results for the symmetric case \( a = b = c \) is given in Figure 2. The method is highly efficient for small values of \( a, b, c \) and moderate values of \( d \) relative to \( \min(a, b, c) \). As can also be seen from Figures 1 and 2, the method is more efficient than the method of moments in a considerable region of the parameter space.
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**Fig. 2. Efficiency of the zero frequency method**
4. The method of even points. This method was introduced by Patel [9] and extended to the bivariate case by Papageorgiou, Kemp and Loukas [8]. In the particular case of the trivariate Poisson distribution, evaluation of the p.g.f. (2) at \((u, v, w) = (1, 1, 1)\) and \((u, v, w) = (-1, -1, -1)\) yields the relation

\[
g(1, 1, 1) + g(-1, -1, -1) = 1 + \exp\{ -2(a + b + c - 2d) \}
= 2\left\{ \sum P_{2x,2y,2z} + \sum P_{2x,2y+1,2z+1}
+ \sum P_{2x+1,2y,2z+1} + \sum P_{2x+1,2y+1,2z} \right\}.
\]

Denote by \(S\) the observed proportion at points \((2x, 2y, 2z), (2x, 2y + 1, 2z + 1), (2x + 1, 2y, 2z + 1)\) and \((2x + 1, 2y + 1, 2z)\). Then, even point estimators can be obtained by using the equations

\[
\hat{a} = \overline{x}, \quad \hat{b} = \overline{y}, \quad \hat{c} = \overline{z},
\]

\[
\hat{d} = \frac{1}{2}(\overline{x} + \overline{y} + \overline{z}) + \frac{1}{4} \log(2S - 1),
\]

where \(S > 1/2\).

The determinant of the asymptotic covariance matrix for the even points estimates can be obtained by using expression (3). The determinant of the Jacobian of the transformation from the even points estimators to \((\overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}, S)\) is given by \(|G| = 2e^{-2(a+b+c-2d)}\).

The elements of \(M\) can be derived by following the approach described in [8]. For \(n\) independent \((x, y, z)\) observations the joint moment generating function of \(nS, \sum x, \sum y, \sum z\) is given by

\[
h(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}[(e^{t_1} + 1)g(e^{t_2}, e^{t_3}, e^{t_4}) + (e^{t_1} - 1)g(-e^{t_2}, -e^{t_3}, -e^{t_4})] \right\}^n,
\]

where \(g(t_2, t_3, t_4)\) is the p.g.f. (2) of the trivariate Poisson distribution. From this, the covariance matrix of \(S, \overline{x}, \overline{y}, \overline{z}\) is easily obtained. For example,

\[
\text{Var}(S) = \frac{1 - e^{-4B}}{n^4}, \quad \text{Cov}(\overline{x}, S) = \frac{1}{n} ae^{-2B},
\]

where \(B = a + b + c - 2d\). The determinant of \(M\) is found to be

\[
|M| = \frac{1}{n^4} \left[ e^{-4B}(a^2d^2 + b^2d^2 + c^2d^2 + 6abcd
- a^2bc - ab^2c - abc^2 - 2abcd - 2acd^2 - 2bcd^2)
+ \frac{1 - e^{-2B}}{4}(abcd - ad^2 - bd^2 - cd^2 + 2d^3) \right].
\]

Calculations of the asymptotic efficiency have been carried out for the parameter values given in Section 2. Regions of the parameter space with high efficiency are for small values of the parameters, but the method ap-
pears to be less efficient than either the method of moments or the zero frequency method.

5. Examples. Loukas and Papageorgiou [6] used simulated data from two trivariate Poisson distributions in order to apply the method of maximum likelihood. For comparison purposes we are using the same sets of data. For the first set of data, not reproduced here, of size 200 from a trivariate Poisson distribution with parameters \( a = b = c = 0.5 \) and \( d = 0.1 \), the maximum likelihood estimates were \( \hat{a} = 0.465 \), \( \hat{b} = 0.470 \), \( \hat{c} = 0.500 \) and \( \hat{d} = 0.0575 \) while the moment, zero frequency and even points estimates of \( d \) were \( \hat{d} = 0.0807 \), \( \hat{d} = 0.1425 \) and \( \hat{d} = 0.1657 \), respectively. Note that the method of zero frequency is less efficient than the method of moments for this set of parameter values. For the second set of data of size 1000 from a trivariate Poisson distribution with parameters \( a = b = c = 0.1 \) and \( d = 0.05 \), the maximum likelihood estimates were \( \hat{a} = 0.087 \), \( \hat{b} = 0.089 \), \( \hat{c} = 0.089 \) and \( \hat{d} = 0.0409 \) while the moment, zero frequency and even points estimates of \( d \) were \( \hat{d} = 0.0352 \), \( \hat{d} = 0.0405 \) and \( \hat{d} = 0.04118 \), respectively. Note that the method of moments is less efficient than the method of zero frequency for this set of parameter values.
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