

W. WAJDA (Poznań)

LIMIT THEOREMS FOR NON-HOMOGENEOUS SEMI-MARKOV PROCESSES

Abstract. Non-homogeneous renewal processes and non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes are considered. In particular, Smith's Theorem is extended to the case of non-homogeneous renewal processes and the Central Limit Theorem for non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes is obtained.

1. Introduction. Homogeneous semi-Markov processes are not satisfactory models for many problems in reliability theory. Hence it is necessary to consider a wider class of processes, i.e. non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes.

In [10] a non-homogeneous renewal process $N(t)$ is generated by a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of independent and non-negative random variables with distributions (F^n) and expectations (m_n) . If for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ we set $S_0 = 0$, $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$; then the *renewal function* $H^n(t - S_{n-1}, t)$ is defined as the conditional mean of the number of renewal moments over the random interval $(S_{n-1}, t]$, i.e.

$$H^n(t - S_{n-1}, t) = \begin{cases} E(N(S_{n-1}, t) | S_{n-1}) & \text{for } t \geq 0, \omega \in \{\omega : S_{n-1}(\omega) \leq t\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where $N(x, y) = N(y) - N(x)$. In [10] the equality

$$H^n(x, t) = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} F^{n,k}(x)$$

1985 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 60K15.

Key words and phrases: Markov process, semi-Markov process, renewal equation, Smith's theorem, Blackwell theorem.

The main result of this paper was presented during the First World Congress of the Bernoulli Society in Tashkent.



209541 III / 1991/92/91
Bibl. UAM
EO 1305

is shown, where

$$F^{n,k}(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } k < n, \\ \int_0^x F^k(du) F^{n,k-1}(x-u) & \text{for } k \geq n, \end{cases}$$

so $H^n(x, t) \equiv H^n(x)$. Moreover, some conditions for H^n to be finite are given.

In 1956 T. Kawata proved the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.1 [4]. *Suppose T_1, T_2, \dots are independent random variables with distributions F^1, F^2, \dots and expectations m_1, m_2, \dots such that:*

- (i) $\int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-sx} F^n(dx) < \infty$, where $0 < s \leq s_0$ for some s_0 , uniformly in n ;
- (ii) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_A^\infty x F^n(dx) = 0$ uniformly in n ;
- (iii) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{-A} e^{-sx} F^n(dx) = 0$, where $0 < s \leq s_0$ for some s_0 , uniformly in n ;
- (iv) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = m$, where $0 < m < \infty$.

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^t (H^1(x+h) - H^1(x)) dx = \frac{h}{m}.$$

H. Morimura [6] showed the equality

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_{-\infty}^t dx \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(n - \frac{x}{M_n} \right) P\{x < S_n \leq x+h\} = \frac{h}{m^2} \left(\frac{v'}{m} - \frac{h}{2} \right)$$

where

$$M_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \rightarrow m \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$V'_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n v'_i \rightarrow v' \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{where } v'_i = E(T_i^2).$$

Similar problems were considered by H. Hatori, who presented the following result in [3]. Let φ denote any Baire function integrable over $(0, \infty)$ and let $m_n \geq C$, $D(T_n) \leq K$ for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n m_i = m > 0$. Then

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T dt \left(\int_0^t \varphi(t-u) dN(u) \right) = \frac{1}{m} \int_0^\infty \varphi(u) du \quad \text{a.s.}$$

In this paper a similar theorem will be presented with $H^1(u)$ replacing $N(u)$ and without the assumptions $m_n \geq C$, $D(T_n) \leq K$.

On the other hand, in [9] a non-homogeneous semi-Markov process is defined as follows. Let $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$, $(X_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}}$ be sequences of random

variables such that for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $T_n : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $X_n : \Omega \rightarrow B \subset \mathbf{N}$. Let $p = \{p_i; i \in B\}$ denote a distribution on B and for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $Q^n(t) = \{Q_{ij}^n(t); i, j \in B\}$ denote a semi-Markov matrix such that

$$\begin{aligned} P\{X_n = j, T_n \leq t | X_0, X_1, \dots, X_{n-1}, T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{n-1}\} \\ = P\{X_n = j, T_n \leq t | X_{n-1}\} \equiv Q_{X_{n-1}j}^n(t). \end{aligned}$$

The sequence (T_n) generates a non-homogeneous renewal process $N(t)$. Hence the random process $X(t) = X_{N(t)}$ is called a *non-homogeneous semi-Markov process*.

This process is considered over some interval $(t, t+h]$ and therefore the matrices of transition probabilities after moment t are defined.

For $t, h \geq 0$, $0 \leq y \leq h$, let

$$K_{ij}^0(t, h, t+h) = \delta_{ij} \quad (\text{Kronecker's delta}),$$

$$K_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) = P\{X_{N(t)+1} = j, S_{N(t)+1} \leq t+h | X_{N(t)} = i\},$$

$$K_{ij}^n(t, h-y, t+h) = P\{X_{N(t)+n} = j, S_{N(t)+n} \leq t+h | X_{N(t)+n-1} = i, \\ S_{N(t)+n-1} = t+y\}.$$

By [9] the matrices $K^n(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ can be obtained from the matrices Q^n . Moreover, let

$$K_{ij}^{m,n}(t, h_m, t+h) = \begin{cases} K_{ij}^0(t, h, t+h) & \text{for } n < m, \\ K_{ij}^m(t, h_m, t+h) & \text{for } n = m, \\ \sum_{k \in B} \int_0^{h_m} K_{ij}^n(t, dx, t+h-h_m+x) \\ \quad \times K_{kj}^{m,n-1}(t, h_m-x, t+h) \\ = \sum_{k \in B} K_{ik}^n * K_{kj}^{m,n-1}(t, h_m, t+h) & \text{for } n > m, \end{cases}$$

where

$$h_n = \begin{cases} h & \text{for } n = 0, 1, \\ h-y & \text{for } n > 1. \end{cases}$$

The functions $K_{ij}^{m,n}(t, h_m, t+h)$ are the transition probabilities after $n-m+1$ steps, i.e.

$$P\{X_{N(t)+n} = j, S_{N(t)+n} \leq t+h | X(t) = i\} = K_{ij}^{1,n}(t, h, t+h), \\ n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$P\{X_{N(t)+n} = j, S_{N(t)+n} \leq t+h | X_{N(t)+m-1} = i, S_{N(t)+m-1} = t+y\} \\ = K_{ij}^{m,n}(t, h-y, t+h), \quad m = 2, 3, \dots, n = m, m+1, \dots$$

DEFINITION 1.1. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $t, h \geq 0$, let $K^n(t, \cdot, t+h) = \{K_{ij}^n(t, \cdot, t+h); i, j \in B\}$ be a given semi-Markov matrix; $L^n(t, \cdot, t+h) = \{L_{ij}^n(t, \cdot, t+h); i, j \in B\}$ be a given matrix of measurable and bounded functions on the interval $[0, h]$; and $U^n(t, \cdot, t+h) = \{U_{ij}^n(t, \cdot, t+h); i, j \in B\}$

be an unknown matrix of measurable and bounded functions on $[0, h]$. The system of linear integral equations of the form

$$(1.1) \quad U^n(t, h_n, t+h) = L^n(t, h_n, t+h) + \int_0^{h_n} K^n(t, dx, t+h-h_n+x) U^{n+1}(t, h_n-x, t+h)$$

is called the *renewal equation* for a non-homogeneous semi-Markov process.

In [9] it is proved that a unique solution of this system exists under some conditions and can be presented in the form

$$\begin{aligned} U^n(t, h_n, t+h) &= L^n(t, h_n, t+h) \\ &+ \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \int_0^{h_n} K^{n,k}(t, dx, t+h-h_n+x) L^{k+1}(t, h_n-x, t+h) \\ &= L^n(t, h_n, t+h) + \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} K^{n,k} * L^{k+1}(t, h_n, t+h). \end{aligned}$$

2. Limit theorems for non-homogeneous renewal processes

THEOREM 2.1. *Let a sequence $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ of non-negative, independent random variables with distributions $(F^n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ and expectations $(m_n)_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ satisfy*

- (i) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_A^{\infty} x F^n(dx) = 0$ uniformly in n ;
- (ii) $m_n > 0$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and there exists $0 < \mu < \infty$ for which $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (m_1 + \dots + m_k)/k = \mu$.

If $L : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a measurable and integrable function of finite variation on $[0, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (H * L)(x) dx = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} L(x) dx,$$

where H denotes the renewal function H^1 .

Proof. Without loss of generality assume L is decreasing and non-negative. For some $x_0 > 0$ introduce the following notations:

$$\begin{aligned} I(t) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_0^x H(du) L(x-u) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \left(\int_0^{x_0} \int_0^x + \int_{x_0}^t \int_0^{x_0} + \int_{x_0}^t \int_{x_0}^x \right) H(du) L(x-u) dx \\ &\equiv I_1(t, x_0) + I_2(t, x_0) + I_3(t, x_0), \end{aligned}$$

$$c(t) = \int_0^t L(x) dx.$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $h > 0$ satisfy $h \leq \varepsilon/L(0)$ and let $x_0 > 0$ be such that for all $x \geq x_0$

$$\left| \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \frac{H(y+h) - H(y)}{h} dy - \frac{1}{\mu} \right| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad H(x) > 0.$$

(Theorem 1.1 implies the existence of such an x_0 .) Finally, define $v = [(t - x_0)/h]$.

By Theorem 1.1, $H(x) < \infty$ for $x \geq 0$. From the integrability of L we can choose t to satisfy

$$1) \quad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t L(x) dx \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{H(x_0 + h)},$$

$$2) \quad \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^v hiL(hi) \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$3) \quad \frac{1}{v} \sum_{i=1}^v L(hi) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{x_0},$$

$$4) \quad t \geq \frac{1}{\mu L(0)},$$

$$5) \quad t \geq 2x_0 + h.$$

Now bound the integrals $I_k(t, x_0)$, $k = 1, 2, 3$, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq I_1(t, x_0) &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{x_0} H(du) \int_u^{x_0} L(x-u) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{x_0} H(du) \int_0^{x_0-u} L(y) dy \leq \frac{H(x_0)}{t} \int_0^t L(y) dy \leq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

$$0 \leq I_2(t, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{x_0}^t L(x-x_0)H(x_0) dx \leq H(x_0) \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t L(y) dy \leq \varepsilon.$$

For $I_3(t, x_0)$ we have

$$(2.1) \quad I_3(t, x_0) = \frac{1}{t} \int_{x_0}^t \left(\sum_{i=0}^{v-1} \int_{x-h(i+1)}^{x-hi} H(du)L(x-u) + \int_{x_0}^{x-hv} H(du)L(x-u) \right) dx,$$

so that

$$I_3(t, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{x_0}^t \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} hL(hi) \frac{H(x-hi) - H(x-h(i+1))}{h} dx \\ + \frac{1}{t} \int_{x_0}^t H(du) \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} L(x-u) dx \equiv A_3(t, x_0) + B_3(t, x_0).$$

But

$$B_3(t, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{t} \int_{x_0+h}^t L(x-x_0-h)(H(x_0+h) - H(x_0)) dx \\ \leq H(x_0+h) \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t L(y) dy \leq \varepsilon$$

and if we change the order of the sum and the integral and substitute $y_i = x - h(i+1)$ for every i in $A_3(t, x_0)$ then we get

$$A_3(t, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^v hL(hi) \int_{x_0+h(i+1)}^t \frac{H(x-hi) - H(x-h(i+1))}{h} dx \\ = \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} hL(hi) \int_{x_0}^{t-h(i+1)} \frac{H(y_i+h) - H(y_i)}{h} dy_i \\ \leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} hL(hi) \int_0^t \frac{H(y+h) - H(y)}{h} dy \\ \leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \varepsilon\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^v hL(hi) + hL(0)\right) \leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \varepsilon\right) (c(t-x_0) + \varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, using the rectangle method for bounding $c(t)$ we obtain

$$I_3(t, x_0) \geq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{i=0}^{v-1} hL(h(i+1)) \int_{x_0}^t \frac{H(x-hi) - H(x-h(i+1))}{h} dx \\ \geq \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \varepsilon\right) \sum_{i=1}^v hL(hi) - \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \varepsilon\right) \frac{x_0}{t} \sum_{i=1}^v hL(hi) \\ - \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \varepsilon\right) \frac{h}{t} \sum_{i=1}^v hiL(hi) - 2\varepsilon \\ \geq \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \varepsilon\right) (c(t-x_0) - \varepsilon) - \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \varepsilon\right) \varepsilon - \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \varepsilon\right) \varepsilon - 2\varepsilon.$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} - \varepsilon\right)(c(t - x_0) - \varepsilon) - \frac{2\varepsilon}{\mu} - 2\varepsilon &\leq I_3(t, x_0) \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{\mu} + \varepsilon\right)(c(t - x_0) + \varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} I(t) = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} L(x) dx$$

and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let the assumptions (i)–(ii) of Theorem 2.1 hold. Moreover, let $(L^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable and integrable functions of finite variation on $[0, \infty)$ and suppose there exists an integrable and measurable function L of finite variation on $[0, \infty)$ such that the series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (L - L^n)(x)$$

is uniformly convergent. Then for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F^{1,k} * L^{k+1})(x) dx = \frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^{\infty} L(x) dx.$$

Proof. Using Theorem 2.1 it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F^{1,k} * (L - L^{k+1}))(x) dx = 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F^{1,k} * (L - L^{k+1}))(t) \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^t F^{1,k}(dx) (L - L^{k+1})(t - x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (L(x) - L^n(x))$$

is uniformly convergent, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the function $L(x) - L^k(x)$ is integrable and of finite variation on $[0, \infty)$, there is $C > 0$ such that $|L(x) - L^k(x)| < C$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x > 0$. For any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ take t_k such that for all $t > t_k$

- 1) $\sup_{x \in [t/2, t]} |L(x) - L^{k+1}(x)| < \varepsilon/2^{k+1}$,
- 2) $F^{1,k}(t) - F^{1,k}(t/2) < \varepsilon/(C2^{k+1})$.

For any $k \in \mathbf{N}$, $t > t_k$ we can bound

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t F^{1,k}(dx)(L(t-x) - L^{k+1}(t-x)) \right| &\leq \left| \int_0^{t/2} \dots \right| + \left| \int_{t/2}^t \dots \right| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [t/2, t]} |L(x) - L^{k+1}(x)| F^{1,k}(t/2) + C(F^{1,k}(t) - F^{1,k}(t/2)) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+1}} + C \frac{\varepsilon}{C2^{k+1}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |F^{1,k} * (L - L^{k+1})(t)| &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} |F^{1,k} * (L - L^{k+1})(t)| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

leading to the asymptotical equality

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (F^{1,k} * L^{k+1})(t) \sim \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} F^{1,k} \right) * L \right)(t),$$

so the theorem is proved.

3. Limit theorems for non-homogeneous semi-Markov processes. First for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $i, j \in B$ define the "counting process" M_{ij}^n connected with a non-homogeneous semi-Markov process $X(t)$ by

$$M_{ij}^n(t - S_{n-1}, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)-n+1} \delta_{X_{n-1+k}, j}.$$

The process M_{ij}^n "counts" the number of hits of the j th state by the process $X(t)$ over the random interval $(S_{n-1}, t]$ under the condition $X_{N(t)+n-1} = i$.

Let us define the random variable

$$\tau_{ij}^{n,m}(t) = \inf \{ y > S_{N(t)+n-1} : M_{ij}^{N(t)+n}(y - S_{N(t)+n-1}, y) \geq m \},$$

$n, m \in \mathbf{N}$,

as the moment of the m th visit in the j th state for the process $X(t)$ after the moment $S_{N(t)+n-1}$ under the condition $X_{N(t)+n-1} = i$. The random

variables

$$\begin{aligned} q_{ij}^{n,1}(t) &= \tau_{ij}^{n,1}(t) - S_{N(t)+n-1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ q_{ij}^{n,m}(t) &= \tau_{ij}^{n,m}(t) - \tau_{ij}^{n,m-1}(t), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, \end{aligned}$$

represent time distances between the $(m-1)$ st hit and the m th hit in the j th state. Denote the expectations of these random variables by $\mu_{ij}^{n,m}(t)$.

Moreover, for $t, h \geq 0, y \in [0, h]$, set

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ij}^{1,k}(t, h, t+h) &= \begin{cases} P\{\tau_{ij}^1(t) = S_{N(t)+k}, \tau_{ij}^1(t) \leq t+h\} & \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}, \\ 0 & \text{for } k = 0; \end{cases} \\ V_{ij}^{n,k}(t, h-y, t+h) &= \begin{cases} P\{\tau_{ij}^n(t) = S_{N(t)+k}, \\ \tau_{ij}^n(t) \leq t+h | S_{N(t)+n-1} = t+y\} & \text{for } n = 2, 3, \dots, k = n, n+1, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \\ V_{ij}^{n,k,m}(t, h_n, t+h) &= \begin{cases} V_{ij}^{n,k}(t, h_n, t+h) & \text{for } m = 1, n = 1, 2, \dots, \\ & k = n, n+1, \dots, \\ \sum_{r=n}^{k-m+1} \int_0^{h_n} V_{ij}^{n,r,1}(t, dx, t+h-h_n+x) & \\ \quad \times V_{jj}^{r+1,k,m-1}(t, h_n-x, t+h) & \\ = \sum_{r=n+m-2}^{k-1} \int_0^{h_n} V_{ij}^{n,r,m-1}(t, dx, t+h-h_n+x) & \\ \quad \times V_{jj}^{r+1,k,1}(t, h_n-x, t+h) & \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots, m = 2, 3, \dots, \\ & k = n+m-1, n+m, \dots, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} P\{\tau_{ij}^{1,m}(t) = S_{N(t)+k}, \tau_{ij}^{1,m}(t) \leq t+h\} &= V_{ij}^{1,k,m}(t, h, t+h), \\ & m \in \mathbb{N}, k = m, m+1, \dots, \\ P\{\tau_{ij}^{n,m}(t) = S_{N(t)+k}, \tau_{ij}^{n,m}(t) \leq t+h | S_{N(t)+n-1} = t+y\} & \\ &= V_{ij}^{n,k,m}(t, h-y, t+h), \\ & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}, m \in \mathbb{N}, k = n+m-1, n+m, \dots \end{aligned}$$

If we denote the distributions of the random variables $\tau_{ij}^{n,m}(\cdot)$ by $W_{ij}^{n,m}(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$, i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} W_{ij}^{1,m}(t, h, t+h) &= P\{\tau_{ij}^{1,m}(t) \leq t+h\}, \\ W_{ij}^{n,m}(t, h-y, t+h) &= P\{\tau_{ij}^{n,m}(t) \leq t+h | S_{N(t)+n-1} = t+y\}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$W_{ij}^{n,m}(t, h_n, t+h) = \sum_{k=n+m-1}^{N(t,t+h)} V_{ij}^{n,k,m}(t, h_n, t+h), \quad n, m \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Using the properties of the integral mean it is easy to prove the following

LEMMA 3.1. *If $f, g : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ are monotone functions such that $f(t) = g(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (f * g)(x) dx = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t g(x) dx.$$

THEOREM 3.1. *Let the following assumptions hold:*

(i) *the non-homogeneous semi-Markov process $X(t)$ is irreducible and regular;*

(ii) $\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \int_A^\infty x W_{jj}^{n,1}(t, dx, t+h-h_n+x) = 0$ *uniformly in n ;*

(iii) *for any $t \geq 0$ $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $j \in B$ the finite limit*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_{jj}^{n,1}(t) + \dots + \mu_{jj}^{n,k}(t))/k = v_j^n(t)$$

exists;

(iv) *for $i, j \in B$, $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $L_{ij}^n(t, h_n, t+h) = \delta_{ij} L_j^n(t, h_n, t+h)$ is a measurable and integrable function of finite variation for $h \in [0, \infty)$;*

(v) *the measurable functions $l_j^n(t, h_n, t+h) = \sup_{k \geq n} L_j^k(t, h_n, t+h)$ are integrable and have finite variation for $h \in [0, \infty)$.*

Then for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $i, j \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x U_{ij}^n(t, h_n, t+h) dh \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty l_j^n(t, h_n, t+h) dh \sum_{s=n}^\infty \frac{1}{v_j^{s+1}(t)} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} V_{ij}^{n,s}(t, h_n, t+h) \end{aligned}$$

where the sequence $(U^n(t, h_n, t+h))$ is the solution of the renewal equation (1.1).

Proof. Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} & U_{ij}^n(t, h_n, t+h) \\ & = \sum_{k=n-1}^\infty \sum_{m \in B} \int_0^{h_n} K_{im}^{n,k}(t, dx, t+h-h_n+x) L_{mj}^{k+1}(t, h_n-x, t+h). \end{aligned}$$

Let $n = 1, k \geq 1$ and $i, m \in B$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} K_{im}^{1,k}(t, h, t+h) &= P\{X_{N(t)+k} = m, S_{N(t)+k} \leq t+h | X_{N(t)} = i\} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k P\{\tau_{im}^{1,r}(t) = S_{N(t)+k}, S_{N(t)+k} \leq t+h | X_{N(t)} = i\} \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^k V_{im}^{1,k,r}(t, h, t+h) \\ &= V_{im}^{1,k,1}(t, h, t+h) + \sum_{r=2}^k \sum_{s=1}^{k-r+1} (V_{im}^{1,s,1} * V_{mm}^{s+1,k,r-1}(t, h, t+h)), \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad U_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) &= L_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (V_{ij}^{1,k} * L_j^{k+1})(t, h, t+h) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \sum_{r=2}^k \sum_{s=1}^{k-r+1} (V_{ij}^{1,s,1} * V_{jj}^{s+1,k,r-1} * L_j^{k+1})(t, h, t+h) \\ &= L_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (V_{ij}^{1,k} * L_j^{k+1})(t, h, t+h) \\ &\quad + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{k=s+r-1}^{\infty} (V_{ij}^{1,s,1} * V_{jj}^{s+1,k,r-1} * L_j^{k+1})(t, h, t+h). \end{aligned}$$

The second term on the right-hand side can be bounded as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (V_{ij}^{1,k} * L_j^{k+1})(t, h, t+h) &\leq \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} V_{ij}^{1,k} \right) * l_j^2 \right)(t, h, t+h) \\ &= (W_{ij}^{1,1} * l_j^2)(t, h, t+h). \end{aligned}$$

Now let $h \rightarrow \infty$; then from the integrability and Lemma 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x L_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) dh &= 0, \\ \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x (W_{ij}^{1,1} * l_j^2)(t, h, t+h) dh \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} W_{ij}^{1,1}(t, h, t+h) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x l_j^2(t, h, t+h) dh = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above equalities we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x U_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) dh \\ & \leq \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \left(V_{ij}^{1,s,1} * \left(\sum_{k=s+r-1}^{\infty} V_{jj}^{s+1,k,r-1} \right) * l_j^2 \right) (t, h, t+h) dh \\ & = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \left(V_{ij}^{1,s} * \left(\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} W_{jj}^{s+1,r-1} \right) * l_j^2 \right) (t, h, t+h) dh. \end{aligned}$$

By the uniform convergence in s and Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x U_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) dh \\ & \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} V_{ij}^{1,s}(t, h, t+h) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x \left(\left(\sum_{r=2}^{\infty} W_{jj}^{s+1,r-1} \right) * l_j^2 \right) (t, h, t+h) dh. \end{aligned}$$

The sum

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} W_{jj}^{s+1,r-1}(t, h-y, t+h), \quad s \in \mathbf{N},$$

is the expectation of the number of visits in the j th state over $(t+y, t+h]$ under the conditions $X_{N(t)+s} = j$, $S_{N(t)+s} = t+y$. If we consider the non-homogeneous renewal process with the renewal moments as the moments of the visits in the j th state then (3.2) is a sequence of renewal functions and hence Theorem 2.1 can be used. So

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x U_{ij}^1(t, h, t+h) dh \\ & \leq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} V_{ij}^{1,s}(t, h, t+h) \frac{1}{v_j^{s+1}(t)} \int_0^{\infty} l_j^2(t, h, t+h) dh. \end{aligned}$$

For $n > 1$ the proof is similar and we omit it.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let the assumptions (i)–(iv) of Theorem 3.1 hold. Moreover, suppose for $j \in B$ there exists a measurable and integrable function $l_j(t, h-y, t+h)$ of finite variation for $h \in [0, \infty)$ and such that the series*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (l_j - L_j^k)(t, h-y, t+h)$$

is uniformly convergent in h . Then for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, $i, j \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x U_{ij}^n(t, h_n, t+h) dh \\ &= \int_0^\infty l_j(t, h_n, t+h) dh \sum_{s=n}^\infty \frac{1}{v_j^{s+1}(t)} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} V_{ij}^{n,s}(t, h_n, t+h). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $n = 1$. Consider the second and third terms on the right-hand side of the equality (3.1). By Theorem 2.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^\infty (V_{ij}^{1,k} * L_j^{k+1})(t, h, t+h) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty V_{ij}^{1,k} \right) * l_j \right)(t, h, t+h) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (W_{ij}^{1,1} * l_j)(t, h, t+h) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=s+r-1}^\infty (V_{jj}^{s+1,k,r-1} * L_j^k)(t, h, t+h) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\sum_{k=s+r-1}^\infty V_{jj}^{s+1,k,r-1} \right) * l_j \right)(t, h, t+h) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} (W_{jj}^{s+1,r-1} * l_j)(t, h, t+h). \end{aligned}$$

The rest of the proof is like in Theorem 3.1 but instead \leq we write $=$.

COROLLARY 3.1. *Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 hold. If the sequence $(u_{jj}^{n,1}(t))_{n \in \mathbf{N}}$ has a finite limit $v_j(t)$ then for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x U_{ij}^n(t, h_n, t+h) dh \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} W_{ij}^{n,1}(t, h_n, t+h) \frac{1}{v_j(t)} \int_0^\infty l_j(t, h_n, t+h) dh. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.1. Theorem 3.2 corresponds to a known theorem for homogeneous semi-Markov processes (see for instance [5]).

References

- [1] D. R. Cox and W. L. Smith, *Renewal Theory*, Izdat. "Sovetskoe Radio", Moscow 1967 (in Russian).
- [2] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, Vol. 1, Wiley, New York 1957.

- [3] H. Hatori, *A note on a renewal theorem*, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 12 (1) (1960), 28–37.
- [4] T. Kawata, *A renewal theorem*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 8 (1956), 118–126.
- [5] V. S. Korolyuk and A. F. Turbin, *Semi-Markov Processes and Their Applications*, *Naukova Dumka*, Kiev 1976 (in Russian).
- [6] H. Morimura, *On a renewal theorem*, *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* 8 (3) (1956), 125–133.
- [7] R. Pyke, *Markov renewal processes: definitions and preliminary properties*, *Ann. Math. Statist.* 32 (4) (1961), 1231–1242.
- [8] —, *Markov renewal processes with finitely many states*, *ibid.*, 1243–1259.
- [9] W. Wajda, *The renewal equation for the non-homogeneous semi-Markov renewal processes*, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* 14 (1984), 93–100.
- [10] —, *The renewal functions for the non-homogeneous renewal process*, *Fasc. Math.* 16 (1986), 95–100.

WOJCIECH WAJDA
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
ADAM MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
UL. MATEJKI 48/49
60-769 POZNAŃ, POLAND

Received on 5.5.1988;
revised version on 19.6.1989