

**Some approximation problems in  $L^p$ -spaces of matrix-valued functions**

by

LUTZ KLOTZ (Leipzig)

**Abstract.** In [7] some Banach spaces  $L^p(F)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , of equivalence classes of matrix-valued functions which are  $p$ -integrable with respect to a nonnegative Hermitian matrix-valued measure  $F$  were introduced. In the special case  $p = 2$ , we obtain the Hilbert space arising from the theory of vector-valued stationary stochastic processes. Analogously to the theory of stationary processes we introduce the notions of interpolability, minimality,  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regularity, and  $\mathcal{J}_r$ -regularity of the spaces  $L^p(F)$  and characterize them in terms of  $F$ .

**Introduction.** In the theory of vector-valued stationary stochastic processes certain Hilbert spaces  $L^2(F)$  of equivalence classes of matrix-valued functions which are square-integrable with respect to a nonnegative Hermitian matrix-valued measure  $F$  play an important role. In fact, there exists an isometric isomorphism between the Hilbert space spanned by the values of the process and the space  $L^2(F)$ , which can be described explicitly (cf. [14]). This makes it possible to consider the problems of linear extrapolation and interpolation of the stationary process as approximation problems in the space  $L^2(F)$ .

In [7] some Banach spaces  $L^p(F)$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , of equivalence classes of matrix-valued functions which are  $p$ -integrable w.r.t.  $F$  were introduced. As a special case one obtains the above-mentioned space  $L^2(F)$ . Thus it is natural to study the approximation problems arising from stationary processes in  $L^p(F)$  spaces. In our paper we study problems for  $L^p(F)$  spaces,  $1 < p < \infty$ , originating in linear interpolation of vector-valued stationary stochastic processes. We will introduce concepts for  $L^p(F)$  which are well known for stationary processes, e.g. interpolability and minimality.

H. Salehi pointed out the significance of Hellinger integrals in relation to interpolation of vector-valued stationary processes (see [16]–[19]). A. Weron improved Salehi's method and applied it to processes on locally compact abelian (abbreviated to LCA) groups (see [21], compare also [9] and [10]). We generalize Salehi and Weron's method to  $L^p(F)$  spaces and thus can prove some of their results for these spaces. We note that Salehi and Scheidt [20] stated some further results on linear interpolation of vector-valued stationary processes. But their method uses the existence of an orthogonal projection and thus seems to be unsuited for  $L^p(F)$  if  $p \neq 2$ .

The first and second sections of our paper are devoted to some preliminaries on matrix integrals and Banach modules, respectively. In order to generalize Salehi and Weron's method to  $L^p(F)$  we need a description of bounded matrix-linear functionals on  $L^p(F)$ . This description will be obtained in the third section using the description of bounded linear functionals on  $L^p(F)$  given in [7]. The fourth section deals with the definition and properties of certain Banach spaces  $H^p(F)$  whose elements are matrix-valued measures. In particular, we obtain an isometric isomorphism between  $L^p(F)$  and  $H^p(F)$ , which allows us to investigate our problem in  $H^p(F)$  instead of  $L^p(F)$ . The  $H^p(F)$  spaces are generalizations of the space  $H^2(F)$  introduced in [16] with the help of the Hellinger integral. In the fifth section we apply Weron's method to  $L^p(F)$ . Using these results we state criteria for interpolability and minimality in the sixth section of our paper. The seventh section is devoted to  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regularity, where  $\mathcal{J}_0$  is the family of singletons. Finally, in the eighth section we generalize Avetisyan and Dobrushin's result [1] on  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regularity, where  $\mathcal{J}_c$  is the family of nonempty and proper compact subsets.

Throughout the paper, we use the following notations. By  $\mathbf{N}$ ,  $\mathbf{Z}$ ,  $\mathbf{R}$ , and  $\mathbf{C}$  we denote the sets of positive integers, integers, real numbers, and complex numbers, respectively. The symbol  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , stands for the linear space of column vectors of length  $n$ . The entries of all matrices considered in our paper are complex numbers. For a matrix  $X$ , we denote by  $X^*$ ,  $X^\#$ ,  $r(X)$ , and  $\text{tr} X$  the adjoint matrix, the Moore–Penrose inverse, the rank, and the trace of  $X$ , respectively. The unit matrix and the zero matrix are denoted by  $I$  and  $0$ , respectively. We will not specify their order in the notations, since no confusion may occur. By  $|\cdot|$  we denote an arbitrary norm on a linear space of matrices and by  $|\cdot|_E$  the euclidean norm:  $|X|_E := (\text{tr}(X^*X))^{1/2}$  for a matrix  $X$ . The symbols  $\text{Ker} U$  and  $\mathcal{R}(U)$  stand for the kernel and the range of a linear operator  $U$ , respectively. Finally,  $\text{supp } \varphi$  denotes the support of a function  $\varphi$  on a topological space.

**1. Integration of matrix-valued functions.** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a positive measure space. As usual, all relations between measurable functions on  $\Omega$  are to be understood as holding almost everywhere w.r.t. the measure  $\mu$ . Furthermore, for an integrable function  $\varphi$ , we will often write  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi d\mu$  instead of  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi(\omega) \mu(d\omega)$ .

For  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{M}_n$  the linear space of all  $n \times n$ -matrices. A function  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi := (\varphi_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ , is called *measurable (integrable, respectively)* if all entries  $\varphi_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , are measurable (integrable, respectively). If  $\Phi$  is integrable, we set  $\int_{\Omega} \Phi d\mu := (\int_{\Omega} \varphi_{ij} d\mu)_{i,j=1}^n$ . For an integrable function  $\Phi$  and  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n$ , the functions  $X\Phi$  and  $\Phi Y$  are integrable and we have the equalities  $\int_{\Omega} X\Phi d\mu = X \int_{\Omega} \Phi d\mu$  and  $\int_{\Omega} \Phi Y d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \Phi d\mu Y$ .

For a function  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$ , we define

$$\Phi^*: \Phi^*(\omega) := \Phi(\omega)^*, \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

$$\Phi^\#: \Phi^\#(\omega) := \Phi(\omega)^\#, \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

$$|\Phi|: |\Phi|(\omega) := |\Phi(\omega)|, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

LEMMA 1.1 (cf. [13, Lemma 3.1]). *If  $\Phi$  is measurable, then so is  $\Phi^\#$ .*

LEMMA 1.2. *The function  $\Phi$  is integrable if and only if  $|\Phi|$  is integrable.*

Proof. Since all norms on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are equivalent, and since the lemma is obvious for the norm  $|\cdot|_E$ , the result follows immediately.

By  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$  we denote the set of all nonnegative Hermitian  $n \times n$ -matrices. For a function  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n^+$  and a positive real number  $\alpha$ , we set

$$\Phi^\alpha(\omega) := \Phi(\omega)^\alpha, \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

The function  $\Phi$  is measurable if and only if  $\Phi^\alpha$  is measurable (cf. [4, p. 391]).

LEMMA 1.3. *The function  $\Phi^\alpha$  is integrable if and only if  $|\Phi|^\alpha$  is integrable.*

Proof. For the spectral norm  $|\cdot|_s$ , the result is true because of  $|\Phi(\omega)|_s^\alpha = |\Phi(\omega)|_s^\alpha$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , and Lemma 1.2. Since all norms on  $\mathcal{M}_n$  are equivalent, the lemma remains true for an arbitrary norm  $|\cdot|$ .

**2. Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -modules.** In this section we recall some definitions and basic facts from the theory of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -modules, which will be used later on.

DEFINITION 2.1. A linear space  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *unitary left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module* if there is defined a map  $\mathcal{M}_n \times \mathcal{F} \ni (X, \Phi) \rightarrow X\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$  having the following properties:

- 1)  $X(\Phi + \Psi) = X\Phi + X\Psi$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 2)  $(X + Y)\Phi = X\Phi + Y\Phi$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 3)  $X(Y\Phi) = (XY)\Phi$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 4)  $I\Phi = \Phi$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ ,
- 5)  $\alpha\Phi = \alpha I\Phi$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Banach space under the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *unitary left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module* if additionally

- 6)  $\|X\Phi\| \leq c\|X\|\|\Phi\|$ ,  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , for some positive constant  $c$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a unitary left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module. A (not necessarily closed) linear subspace  $\mathcal{F}_1$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  is called a *left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule* of  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_1$  imply  $X\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_1$ . If the left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule is closed, it is called a *left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule* of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

A map  $L: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  is called a *bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functional* on  $\mathcal{F}$  if  $L$  is a continuous map from  $\mathcal{F}$  to the normed linear space  $\mathcal{M}_n$  and if

$L(X\Phi + Y\Psi) = XL(\Phi) + YL(\Psi)$ ,  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{F}$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  denotes the closure of  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\bigvee \mathcal{L}$  denotes the left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{F}$  generated by  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.

$$\bigvee \mathcal{L} := \{X\Phi + Y\Psi : X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n, \Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

Clearly, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{F}$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

In the sequel we need the following two facts on  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -modules, which were proved in [2] even for a more general situation.

**LEMMA 2.1** (cf. [2, Theorem 4]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a unitary left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module. The map  $L \rightarrow \text{tr } L$  is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functionals on  $\mathcal{F}$  and the set of bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functionals on  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

The fact that the correspondence  $L \rightarrow \text{tr } L$  is one-to-one can be formulated in the following form.

**LEMMA 2.2** (cf. [2, Lemma 1]). *Let  $L$  be a bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functional on  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\text{tr } L(\Phi) = 0$  for all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $L(\Phi) = 0$  for all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

### 3. The spaces $L^p(F)$

**3.1.** By an  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  we will mean a  $\sigma$ -additive function  $M$  from  $\mathcal{B}$  into the normed space  $\mathcal{M}_n$ . Obviously,  $M := (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure if and only if each  $m_{ij}$  is a finite complex measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ . If  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a function integrable w.r.t. all  $m_{ij}$ , we set  $\int_{\Omega} \varphi dM := (\int_{\Omega} \varphi dm_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ .

By (DS) we will denote the set of all nonnegative measures on  $\mathcal{B}$  having the direct sum property (for the definition see [3, p. 179]). We recall that every  $\sigma$ -finite measure has the direct sum property (cf. [3, p. 179]), and that for an arbitrary complex measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$  the Radon–Nikodym derivative  $d\nu/d\mu$  exists if  $\mu \in (\text{DS})$  (cf. [3, p. 182]). Moreover, it can be easily proved that  $\mu, \nu \in (\text{DS})$  implies  $\mu + \nu \in (\text{DS})$ . We will say that the  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure  $M$  is *absolutely continuous* w.r.t.  $\mu \in (\text{DS})$  and write  $M \ll \mu$  if all entries  $m_{ij}$  of  $M$  are absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\mu$ . In this case we set  $dM/d\mu := (dm_{ij}/d\mu)_{i,j=1}^n$ .

Let  $F := (f_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$  be a nonnegative Hermitian  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ . In the sequel we will call such measures  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measures on  $\mathcal{B}$ . Consider a measure  $\mu \in (\text{DS})$  such that  $F \ll \mu$ .

For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , let  $\Phi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_n$  be a function with the following properties:

- (i)  $\Phi(dF/d\mu)^{1/p}$  is measurable,
- (ii)  $\|\Phi\|_p := (\int_{\Omega} |\Phi(dF/d\mu)^{1/p}|^p d\mu)^{1/p} < \infty$ .

Two  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued functions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  with the properties (i) and (ii) are called *equivalent* if  $\Phi(dF/d\mu) = \Psi(dF/d\mu)$   $\mu$ -a.e.

**DEFINITION 3.1** (cf. [7, Section 3]). Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . The set of equivalence classes of functions  $\Phi$  with the properties (i) and (ii) is denoted by  $L^p(F)$ .

As usual, we will work with representatives, i.e. with functions instead of equivalence classes. Of course, the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_p$  depends on the norm  $|\cdot|$ . But since all results of our paper are independent of the choice of  $|\cdot|$ , we will omit the dependence on  $|\cdot|$  in the notations. Similarly, the dimension  $n$  will not be shown in the notations, since no confusion may occur. On the other hand, it is not hard to see that  $\|\cdot\|_p$  and  $L^p(F)$  do not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ , i.e.,  $\mu$  can be replaced by any measure  $\nu$  such that  $\nu \in (\text{DS})$  and  $F \ll \nu$  (cf. [21, Lemma 2.1] for the case  $p = 2$ ). In the sequel, we will often use the finite measure

$$\tau := \text{tr } F,$$

which has the property  $F \ll \tau$ .

The results of [7, Section 3] yield the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure. Then  $L^p(F)$  is a unitary left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module under the norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$ .*

**3.2.** Using Lemma 2.1 and the description of all bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functionals on  $L^p(F)$  (see [7, Theorem 9]) we will obtain the form of the bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functionals on  $L^p(F)$ .

From now on, let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $q$  be defined by  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ .

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $\Phi \in L^p(F)$  and  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$ . Let  $\mu \in (\text{DS})$  be such that  $F \ll \mu$ . Then the function  $\Phi(dF/d\mu)\Psi^*$  is integrable and the integral  $\int_{\Omega} \Phi(dF/d\mu)\Psi^* d\mu$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ .*

*Proof.* The inequality

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \left| \Phi \frac{dF}{d\mu} \Psi^* \right|_E d\mu \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \Phi \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^{1/p} \right|_E \left| \Psi \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^{1/q} \right|_E d\mu \\ \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \Phi \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^{1/p} \right|_E^p d\mu \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \Psi \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^{1/q} \right|_E^q d\mu \right)^{1/q} < \infty$$

and Lemma 1.2 imply the integrability of  $\Phi(dF/d\mu)\Psi^*$ . The independence of the choice of  $\mu$  can be proved as in [21, Lemma 2.1].

For  $\Phi \in L^p(F)$ ,  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$ , we define the  $n \times n$ -matrix

$$\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle := \int_{\Omega} \Phi \frac{dF}{d\mu} \Psi^* d\mu.$$

**LEMMA 3.4.** *Let  $\Phi \in L^p(F)$ ,  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$ . Then*

- (a)  $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \langle \Psi, \Phi \rangle^*$ ,
- (b)  $|\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle| \leq c \|\Phi\|_p \|\Psi\|_q$  for some positive constant  $c$  not depending on  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ .

If  $X, Y \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $\Phi, \Theta \in L^p(F)$ ,  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$ , then

$$(c) \langle X\Phi + Y\Theta, \Psi \rangle = X\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle + Y\langle \Theta, \Psi \rangle.$$

Proof. (b) follows from the inequality

$$|\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle| \leq \int_{\Omega} \left| \Phi \frac{dF}{d\mu} \Psi^* \right| d\mu \leq c_1 \int_{\Omega} \left| \Phi \frac{dF}{d\mu} \Psi^* \right|_E d\mu$$

with some positive constant  $c_1$  and from (3.1). The other statements of the lemma are trivial.

Using [7, Theorem 9], Lemma 3.3, and the equivalence of all norms on the finite-dimensional space  $\mathcal{M}_n$  we obtain the following result.

LEMMA 3.5. Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for each  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$

$$(3.2) \quad l(\Phi) := \text{tr} \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle, \quad \Phi \in L^p(F),$$

defines a bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functional on  $L^p(F)$ . Conversely, for each bounded  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear functional  $l$  on  $L^p(F)$ , there exists a unique  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$  such that (3.2) holds.

Combining Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 2.1 we can give a description of the bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functionals on  $L^p(F)$ .

THEOREM 3.6. Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for each  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$

$$(3.3) \quad L(\Phi) := \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle, \quad \Phi \in L^p(F),$$

defines a bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functional on  $L^p(F)$ . Conversely, for each bounded left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functional  $L$  on  $L^p(F)$ , there exists a unique  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$  such that (3.3) holds.

3.3. We will say that  $\Phi \in L^p(F)$  and  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$  are orthogonal if  $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subset of  $L^p(F)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$  will denote the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{L}$ , i.e.,  $\mathcal{L}^\perp := \{\Psi \in L^q(F) : \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0 \text{ for each } \Phi \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .

LEMMA 3.7. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $L^p(F)$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $L^q(F)$  and

$$(3.4) \quad (\mathcal{L}^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{L}.$$

Proof. Using Lemma 3.4 it is not hard to see that  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $L^q(F)$ . Obviously  $(\mathcal{L}^\perp)^\perp \supseteq \mathcal{L}$ . Consider  $\Phi_0 \notin \mathcal{L}$ . By the Hahn-Banach theorem and Lemma 3.5, there exists  $\Psi \in L^q(F)$  such that  $\text{tr} \langle \Phi_0, \Psi \rangle \neq 0$  and  $\text{tr} \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0$  if  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}$ . But Lemma 2.2 implies  $\langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0$  for all  $\Phi \in \mathcal{L}$ , hence,  $\Psi \in \mathcal{L}^\perp$ . From  $\langle \Phi_0, \Psi \rangle \neq 0$  it follows that  $\Phi_0 \notin (\mathcal{L}^\perp)^\perp$ .

LEMMA 3.8. Let  $\{\mathcal{L}_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a family of left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodules of  $L^p(F)$ . Then

$$(3.5) \quad \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\perp = \left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda \right)^\perp.$$

Proof. It is easy to prove the equality  $(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda)^\perp = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\perp$ . Since  $(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda)^\perp = (\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda)^\perp$ , we obtain

$$(3.6) \quad \left( \bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\perp.$$

Applying (3.6) to the set  $\{\mathcal{L}_\lambda^\perp : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  of left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodules of  $L^q(F)$  and using (3.4) we obtain  $(\bigvee_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\perp)^\perp = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \mathcal{L}_\lambda$ . Now take the orthogonal complements of both sides and use (3.4) again.

#### 4. The space $H^p(F)$

4.1. Following [13, Section 5], we say that an  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure  $M$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is strongly absolutely continuous w.r.t. the  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure  $F$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  ( $M \ll\ll F$ ) if and only if there exists a measure  $\mu$  such that  $\mu \in (\text{DS})$ ,  $M \ll \mu$ ,  $F \ll \mu$ , and  $\text{Ker} dM/d\mu \supseteq \text{Ker} dF/d\mu$   $\mu$ -a.e. Note that the definition of absolute continuity does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ , i.e., if  $\nu$  is another measure such that  $\nu \in (\text{DS})$ ,  $M \ll \nu$ , and  $F \ll \nu$ , then  $\text{Ker} dM/d\nu \supseteq \text{Ker} dF/d\nu$   $\nu$ -a.e. if and only if  $\text{Ker} dM/d\mu \supseteq \text{Ker} dF/d\mu$   $\mu$ -a.e. (cf. [13, Section 5]).

Because of Lemma 1.1 we can define

$$(4.1) \quad \|M\|_p := \left( \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\mu} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 < p < \infty.$$

LEMMA 4.1 (cf. [16, Lemma 1]).  $\|M\|_p$  does not depend on the choice of  $\mu$ .

Proof. Let  $\nu$  be another measure such that  $\nu \in (\text{DS})$ ,  $M \ll \nu$ ,  $F \ll \nu$ , and  $\text{Ker} dM/d\nu \supseteq \text{Ker} dF/d\nu$   $\nu$ -a.e. Consider  $\sigma := \mu + \nu$ . We have  $\sigma \in (\text{DS})$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\mu} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\mu &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\sigma} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\sigma} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p \left( \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma} \right)^\# \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma} \right)^{1/q} \right)^p d\mu \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\sigma} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\sigma} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\sigma} \right)^\# d\mu = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\sigma} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\sigma} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\nu} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\nu} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\nu = \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{dM}{d\sigma} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\sigma} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\sigma,$$

hence, the lemma is proved.

DEFINITION 4.2 (cf. [9, Definition (2.10)]). Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ . By  $H^p(F)$  we denote the class of all  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measures  $M$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $M \ll\ll F$  and the integral (4.1) is finite.

Clearly,  $H^p(F)$  is a unitary left  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module. Furthermore, it is not hard to see that  $\|\cdot\|_p$  defines a norm on  $H^p(F)$ .

Let  $\Phi \in L^p(F)$ . Because of Lemma 3.3 we can define an  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure  $M_\Phi$  by

$$(4.2) \quad M_\Phi(B) := \int_B \Phi \frac{dF}{d\tau} d\tau, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We set

$$(4.3) \quad U_p \Phi := M_\Phi, \quad \Phi \in L^p(F).$$

The following result plays a central role in our investigations.

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . The map  $U_p$  is an isometric isomorphism between  $L^p(F)$  and  $H^p(F)$ .*

*Proof.* The definition of  $M_\Phi$  implies  $M_\Phi \ll \tau$  and  $dM_\Phi/d\tau = \Phi dF/d\tau$ , hence,  $\text{Ker} dM_\Phi/d\tau \supseteq \text{Ker} dF/d\tau$   $\tau$ -a.e., i.e.  $M_\Phi \ll\ll F$ . Moreover, since

$$\int_\Omega \left| \frac{dM_\Phi}{d\tau} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\tau = \int_\Omega \left| \Phi \frac{dF}{d\tau} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\tau = \int_\Omega \left| \Phi \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^{1/p} \right|^p d\tau < \infty,$$

we see that  $U_p$  is an isometry from  $L^p(F)$  into  $H^p(F)$ . Obviously,  $U_p$  is  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear. Now consider an arbitrary element  $M$  of  $H^p(F)$ . Set  $\Phi := (dM/d\mu)(dF/d\mu)^\#$ , where  $\mu$  is a measure such that  $\mu \in (\text{DS})$ ,  $M \ll \mu$ , and  $F \ll \mu$ . The equality

$$\int_\Omega \left| \Phi \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^{1/p} \right|^p d\mu = \int_\Omega \left| \frac{dM}{d\mu} \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^\# \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^{1/p} \right|^p d\mu = \int_\Omega \left| \frac{dM}{d\mu} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^\# \right)^{1/q} \right|^p d\mu$$

implies that  $\Phi$  is an element of  $L^p(F)$ . Finally, since  $\text{Ker} dM/d\mu \supseteq \text{Ker} dF/d\mu$   $\mu$ -a.e., we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_\Phi(B) &= \int_B \Phi \frac{dF}{d\tau} d\tau = \int_B \frac{dM}{d\mu} \left( \frac{dF}{d\mu} \right)^\# \frac{dF}{d\mu} d\mu \\ &= \int_B \frac{dM}{d\mu} d\mu = M(B) \quad \text{for } B \in \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $U_p \Phi = M$  and  $\mathcal{B}(U_p) = H^p(F)$ .

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *The space  $H^p(F)$  is a unitary left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -module.*

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *The elements of  $H^p(F)$  are absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\tau$ .*

**4.2.** With the aid of Theorem 4.3 we can transfer the results on  $L^p(F)$  of Section 3 to the space  $H^p(F)$ .

Let  $M \in H^p(F)$ ,  $N \in H^q(F)$ . We set

$$\langle\langle M, N \rangle\rangle := \int_\Omega \frac{dM}{d\tau} \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^\# \left( \frac{dN}{d\tau} \right)^* d\tau.$$

**THEOREM 4.6.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for each  $N \in H^q(F)$  the map*

$$(4.4) \quad L(M) := \langle\langle M, N \rangle\rangle, \quad M \in H^p(F),$$

*defines a bounded  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functional on  $H^p(F)$ . Conversely, for each bounded  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -linear functional  $L$  on  $H^p(F)$ , there exists a unique  $N \in H^q(F)$  such that (4.4) holds.*

*Proof.* Consider  $M \in H^p(F)$  and  $N \in H^q(F)$ . Set  $\Phi := U_p^{-1}M$  and  $\Psi := U_q^{-1}N$ . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle M, N \rangle\rangle &= \int_\Omega \frac{dM}{d\tau} \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^\# \left( \frac{dN}{d\tau} \right)^* d\tau \\ &= \int_\Omega \Phi \frac{dF}{d\tau} \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^\# \frac{dF}{d\tau} \Psi^* d\tau = \int_\Omega \Phi \frac{dF}{d\tau} \Psi^* d\tau = \langle\Phi, \Psi\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now use Theorem 3.6.

We say that  $M \in H^p(F)$  and  $N \in H^q(F)$  are *orthogonal* if  $\langle\langle M, N \rangle\rangle = 0$ . If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a subset of  $H^p(F)$ , then  $\mathcal{L}^\perp$  will denote the set  $\mathcal{L}^\perp := \{N \in H^q(F) : \langle\langle M, N \rangle\rangle = 0 \text{ for all } M \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .

## 5. The space $\mathfrak{R}_{c,q}$

**5.1.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group. In order to avoid trivialities we will assume throughout this paper that  $G$  contains more than one element. Let  $\Gamma$  be the dual group of  $G$ . The value of a character  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  on an element  $g \in G$  will be denoted by  $(g, \gamma)$ . By  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  we denote Haar measures of  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively. We assume that  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$  are normalized in such a way that the inversion formula for the Fourier transform holds (cf. [15, p. 22]). By  $L^1(\lambda)$  and  $L^1(\tilde{\lambda})$  we denote the linear space of  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued functions on  $G$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively, which are integrable w.r.t.  $\lambda$  and  $\tilde{\lambda}$ , respectively. For  $S \in L^1(\lambda)$  and  $T \in L^1(\tilde{\lambda})$ , we set

$$\hat{S}(\gamma) := \int_G (g, \gamma)^* S(g) \lambda(dg), \quad \gamma \in \Gamma,$$

$$\check{T}(g) := \int_\Gamma (g, \gamma) T(\gamma) \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma), \quad g \in G,$$

i.e.,  $\hat{S}$  is the Fourier transform of  $S$  and  $\check{T}$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $T$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of Borel subsets of  $\Gamma$ . A nonnegative measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  is called *regular* if  $\mu(B) = \inf \mu(V) = \sup \mu(K)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , where the infimum is taken over all open sets  $V \supseteq B$  and the supremum is taken over all compact sets  $K \subseteq B$ . A complex measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  is called *regular* if its total variation is regular. An  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  is called *regular* if all its entries are regular measures. Note that the Haar measure  $\tilde{\lambda}$  of  $\Gamma$  is regular if and only if  $\Gamma$  is discrete or  $\sigma$ -compact (cf. [6, (16.14)]).

Let  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  denote the set of inverse Fourier-Stieltjes transforms of all  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measures on  $\mathcal{B}$ , i.e.,  $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$  if and only if  $\Phi(g) = \int_\Gamma (g, \gamma) M(d\gamma)$ ,  $g \in G$ , for some  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure  $M$ .

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  denote the set of all proper and nonempty compact subsets of  $G$ . Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $L^p(F)$  and  $H^p(F)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , the unitary left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -modules introduced in Sections 3 and 4, respectively.

Let  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ . We define

$$\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p} := \bigvee_{g \in G \setminus C} \{(g, \cdot) * I\},$$

where the closure is taken in  $L^p(F)$ . Obviously,  $\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p}$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $L^p(F)$  and

$$\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^k X_j(g_j, \cdot) * I : X_j \in \mathcal{M}_n, g_j \in G \setminus C, j = 1, \dots, k, k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Finally, we set

$$\mathfrak{N}_{C, q} := \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p}^\perp.$$

By Lemma 3.7,  $\mathfrak{N}_{C, q}$  is a left Banach  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -submodule of  $L^q(F)$ .

**5.2.** Now we give a characterization of the set  $U_p \mathfrak{N}_{C, p} := \{U_p \Phi : \Phi \in \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}\}$ , where  $U_p$  is the map defined in (4.3),  $1 < p < \infty$ . We follow the method developed by A. Weron [21] in the case  $p = 2$  (see also [9] and [10]). First we introduce some notations.

**DEFINITION 5.1.** For  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{S}_C$  the set of all  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued functions  $S$  on  $G$  such that  $S \in L^1(\lambda) \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  and  $\text{supp } S \subseteq C$ , and by  $\mathcal{T}_C$  the set of the Fourier transforms of functions from  $\mathcal{S}_C$ .

The functions in  $\mathcal{T}_C$  belong to  $L^1(\tilde{\lambda})$ . Hence, for  $T \in \mathcal{T}_C$ , we can define an  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure  $M^T$  by

$$(5.1) \quad M^T(B) := \int_B T d\tilde{\lambda}, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Since the entries of  $M^T$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{T}_C$ , are finite measures, we conclude that  $M^T$  is a regular  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  (cf. [6, (11.12) and (11.32)]). The set of all measures  $M^T$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{T}_C$ , is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_C$ .

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $C \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$ . Since  $\Phi \in L^1(F)$ , we obtain  $(g, \cdot) \Phi(\cdot) \in L^1(F)$  for all  $g \in G$ . We set

$$S_\Phi(g) := \int_G (g, \gamma) \Phi(\gamma) \frac{dF}{d\tau}(\gamma) \tau(d\gamma), \quad g \in G.$$

**LEMMA 5.2** (cf. [21, Lemma 4.2]). *The function  $S_\Phi$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}_C$ .*

**Proof.** From the definition of  $S_\Phi$  it follows that  $S_\Phi(g) = \int_G (g, \gamma) M_\Phi(d\gamma)$ , where  $M_\Phi = U_p \Phi$  is the measure defined in (4.2). Hence,  $S_\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(G)$ . Thus,  $S_\Phi$  is continuous (cf. [15, p. 15]). Since from the definition of  $\mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$  it follows easily that  $\text{supp } S_\Phi \subseteq C$ , we conclude that  $S_\Phi \in L^1(\lambda)$ .

Let  $T_\Phi := \tilde{S}_\Phi$  and let  $M^{T_\Phi}$  be the measure defined from  $T_\Phi$  according to (5.1).

**LEMMA 5.3** (cf. [21, Lemma 4.5(b)]). *For  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$ , we have  $M^{T_\Phi} = M_\Phi = U_p \Phi$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $dM^{T_\Phi}/d\tilde{\lambda} = T_\Phi$ , we obtain

$$S_\Phi(g) = \tilde{T}_\Phi(g) = \int_G (g, \gamma) T_\Phi(\gamma) \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma) = \int_G (g, \gamma) M^{T_\Phi}(d\gamma), \quad g \in G.$$

On the other hand,  $S_\Phi(g) = \int_G (g, \gamma) M_\Phi(d\gamma)$ ,  $g \in G$ , and the uniqueness of the inverse Fourier transform (cf. [15, p. 17]) yields  $M^{T_\Phi} = M_\Phi$ .

Now we can characterize the set  $U_p \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$ .

**THEOREM 5.4** (cf. [21, Theorem 4.9] for  $p = 2$ ). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $U_p \mathfrak{N}_{C, p} = \mathcal{N}_C \cap H^p(F)$ .*

**Proof.** Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 4.3 imply the inclusion  $U_p \mathfrak{N}_{C, p} \subseteq \mathcal{N}_C \cap H^p(F)$ . On the other hand, let  $M^T \in \mathcal{N}_C \cap H^p(F)$ , where  $M^T$  is defined by (5.1) and  $T \in \mathcal{T}_C$ . According to Theorem 4.3 there exists a  $\Phi \in L^1(F)$  such that  $U_p \Phi = M_\Phi = M^T$ , where  $M_\Phi$  is defined by (4.2). It only remains to prove that  $\Phi$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$ . But for  $g \in G \setminus C$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi, (g, \cdot) * I \rangle &= \int_G (g, \gamma) \Phi(\gamma) \frac{dF}{d\tau}(\gamma) \tau(d\gamma) = \int_G (g, \gamma) M_\Phi(d\gamma) = \int_G (g, \gamma) M^T(d\gamma) \\ &= \int_G (g, \gamma) T(\gamma) \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma) = \tilde{T}(g) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

because  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{S}_C$ . Hence,  $\Phi \in \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$ .

**5.3.** The Haar measure  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is regular if and only if  $\Gamma$  is discrete or  $\sigma$ -compact (cf. [6, (16.14)]); note that the  $\sigma$ -compactness of  $\Gamma$  is equivalent to the  $\sigma$ -finiteness of  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . But if  $\tilde{\lambda}$  is regular, then  $\tilde{\lambda} \in (\text{DS})$  (cf. [3, p. 337]). In this case we can give another useful characterization of  $U_p \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$ .

Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $F'$  be the Radon–Nikodym derivative of the absolutely continuous part of  $F$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . It is well known that  $F'$  is an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measurable function.

Now we can state the following result. Since its proof is analogous to the proof of Corollary 3.16 in [10], we omit it.

**THEOREM 5.5.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be discrete or  $\sigma$ -compact. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ . A measure  $M^T$  defined by (5.1) belongs to  $U_p \mathfrak{N}_{C, p}$  if and only if the following three conditions hold:*

- (i)  $T \in \mathcal{T}_C$ ,
- (ii)  $\text{Ker } T \supseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.,
- (iii)  $\int_G |T((F')^*)|^{1/q} d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ .

Remark 5.6. In the already mentioned Corollary 3.16 of [10] the authors assumed that  $\Gamma$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Using the concept of the direct sum property of a measure we obtain the result for discrete groups  $\Gamma$ , too. However, this generalization does not seem to be very useful (cf. Remark 8.3 and Theorem 8.5).

## 6. Interpolability and minimality

6.1. Let  $G$  be an LCA group containing more than one element. Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel sets of the dual group  $\Gamma$ .

DEFINITION 6.1. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ . The set  $C$  is called *interpolable* in  $L^p(F)$  if  $\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p} = L^p(F)$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is called *interpolable* if each set  $C \in \mathcal{K}$  is interpolable in  $L^p(F)$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is called *minimal* if for each  $g \in G$  the set  $\{g\}$  is not interpolable in  $L^p(F)$ .

By Theorem 3.6, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and the Hahn–Banach theorem, the set  $C \in \mathcal{K}$  is not interpolable in  $L^p(F)$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{N}_{C, q} = \{0\}$ . Thus, using Theorem 5.4 we immediately obtain criteria for interpolability.

THEOREM 6.2 (cf. [21, Theorem 5.2] for  $p = 2$ ). Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $C \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then  $C$  is interpolable in  $L^p(F)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{N}_C \cap H^q(F) = \{0\}$ .

COROLLARY 6.3 (cf. [21, Corollary 5.4] for  $p = 2$  and [22, Corollary 3.2] for  $n = 1$ ). The space  $L^p(F)$  is interpolable if and only if  $(\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{K}} \mathcal{N}_C) \cap H^q(F) = \{0\}$ .

If  $G$  is discrete, then a subset  $C \subseteq G$  is compact if and only if it is finite, say  $C = \{g_1, \dots, g_k\}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

LEMMA 6.4 [21, Lemma 4.7(b)]. The set  $\mathcal{T}_C$  consists of all  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued trigonometric polynomials of the form  $\sum_{j=1}^k X_j(g_j, \cdot)^*$ ,  $X_j \in \mathcal{M}_n$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

From this observation and Corollary 6.3 we can easily deduce the following result, whose proof will be omitted.

COROLLARY 6.5 (cf. [21, Theorem 5.5] for  $p = 2$ ). Let  $G$  be discrete and let  $F \ll \tilde{\lambda}$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is interpolable if and only if for any  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued trigonometric polynomial  $W$  the integral  $\int_{\Gamma} |W((F)^{\#})^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda}$  is equal to 0 or to  $\infty$ .

6.2. If  $G$  is not discrete, then using the uniform continuity of the Fourier–Stieltjes transform (cf. [15, p. 15]), it can easily be shown that  $L^p(F)$  cannot be minimal. Thus, we will assume that  $G$  is discrete. Since for  $g \in G$  the operator of multiplication by  $(g, \cdot)$  is an isometry in  $L^p(F)$ , we conclude that  $L^p(F)$  is minimal if and only if the set  $\{e\}$  consisting of the unit  $e$  of  $G$  is not interpolable in  $L^p(F)$ . By Theorem 3.6, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and the Hahn–Banach theorem, it follows that the space  $L^p(F)$  is minimal if and only if  $\mathfrak{N}_{\{e\}, q} = \{0\}$ . Combining this fact with Theorems 5.4, 5.5, and Lemma 6.4 we obtain the following criterion for minimality.

THEOREM 6.6. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $G$  be discrete. Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $F'$  the Radon–Nikodym derivative of its absolutely continuous part w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is minimal if and only if there exists an  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\text{Ker } X \supseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. and  $0 < \int_{\Gamma} |X((F')^{\#})^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ .

If  $p = 2$  and  $|\cdot|$  is the euclidean norm,  $L^2(F)$  becomes a Hilbert space. In this case one can derive several conditions equivalent to the minimality of  $L^2(F)$  (see [9, Theorem 4.6], compare also [5, Ch. III.6]). In the scalar case, i.e.  $n = 1$ , M. Pourahmadi [12, Proof of Theorem 3.3] and A. Weron [22, Corollary 3.1] proved explicit formulas for the distance of the function  $(e, \cdot)$  from the space  $\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus \{e\}, p}$  in  $L^p(F)$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$  (see also [11, Corollary 3.2]). From these formulas one immediately deduces the minimality conditions of Theorem 6.6,  $1 < p \leq 2$  (cf. [12, Theorem 3.3] and [22, Theorem 3.1]). In the general situation it seems difficult to obtain deeper results on the distance of  $(e, \cdot)$  from  $\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus \{e\}, p}$ . However, we still have the following fact.

COROLLARY 6.7 (cf. [9, Theorem 4.6] for  $p = 2$ ). The space  $L^p(F)$  is minimal if and only if there exists an orthoprojector  $P \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\text{Ker } P \supseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. and  $0 < \int_{\Gamma} |P((F')^{\#})^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ .

Proof. The sufficiency is clear from Theorem 6.6. Assume, conversely, that  $L^p(F)$  is minimal. Then by Theorem 6.6 there exists an  $X \in \mathcal{M}_n$  such that  $\text{Ker } X \supseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. and  $0 < \int_{\Gamma} |X((F')^{\#})^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ . Let  $P := X^{\#} X$ . Then  $P$  is the orthoprojector onto  $\mathcal{R}(X^{\#})$  and we have  $\int_{\Gamma} |P((F')^{\#})^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ . The last integral is not zero, since otherwise  $\int_{\Gamma} |X((F')^{\#})^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} = 0$  because  $XP = X$ .

7.  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regularity. Let  $G$  be an LCA group containing more than one element.

DEFINITION 7.1. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a family of nonempty subsets of  $G$ . Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel sets of the dual group  $\Gamma$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is called  $\mathcal{J}$ -regular if  $\bigcap_{A \in \mathcal{J}} \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus A, p} = \{0\}$ , and  $\mathcal{J}$ -singular if  $\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus A, p} = L^p(F)$  for each  $A \in \mathcal{J}$ .

LEMMA 7.2. Let  $\mathcal{J} \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ -regular if and only if

$$\bigvee_{C \in \mathcal{J}} \overline{U_q \mathfrak{M}_{C, q}} = H^q(F).$$

Proof. By (3.5), Theorem 3.6, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and the Hahn–Banach theorem,  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}$ -regular if and only if

$$\bigvee_{C \in \mathcal{J}} \overline{\mathfrak{M}_{C, q}} = \bigvee_{C \in \mathcal{J}} \overline{\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p}^{\perp}} = \left( \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{J}} \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C, p} \right)^{\perp} = \{0\}^{\perp} = L^p(F).$$

Now use Theorem 4.3.

In our paper we consider two families of subsets of  $G$ :

$$\mathcal{J}_0 := \{\{g\} : g \in G\}, \quad \mathcal{J}_c := \mathcal{K}.$$

Comparing Definitions 6.1 and 7.1 we see that  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -singular or  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -singular if and only if it is not minimal or interpolable, respectively. Thus, we can use the results of Section 6 to obtain conditions for the  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -singularity and the  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -singularity of  $L^p(F)$ . The details are left to the reader. In this section we study  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regularity and Section 8 is devoted to  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regularity.

If  $G$  is not discrete, then  $L^p(F)$  cannot be  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regular (cf. the beginning of Section 6.2). Hence, we will assume in the remaining part of this section that  $G$  is discrete.

**THEOREM 7.3** (cf. [10, Theorem 5.3] for  $p = 2$ ). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and let  $G$  be discrete. Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $F'$  the Radon-Nikodym derivative of its absolutely continuous part w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regular if and only if the following three conditions hold:*

- (i)  $F \ll \tilde{\lambda}$ .
- (ii) There exists a subspace  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(F') = \mathcal{A}$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.
- (iii)  $((F')^\#)^{q/p}$  is integrable w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ .

In the proof of Theorem 7.3 we need the following fact.

**LEMMA 7.4.** *Let  $\{M_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be a sequence of measures in  $H^p(F)$  which tends to a measure  $M$  in  $H^p(F)$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M_j(B) = M(B)$  for each  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $\Phi_j := U_p^{-1}M_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\Phi := U_p^{-1}M$ . By Theorem 4.3 we have  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_j = \Phi$  in  $L^p(F)$ . Since  $F$  is finite,  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_j = \Phi$  in  $L^1(F)$  (cf. [8, Lemma 5]). Hence, the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |M_j(B) - M(B)| &= \left| \int_B \Phi_j \frac{dF}{d\tau} d\tau - \int_B \Phi \frac{dF}{d\tau} d\tau \right| \\ &\leq \int_B \left| (\Phi_j - \Phi) \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right| d\tau \leq \|\Phi_j - \Phi\|_1, \quad B \in \mathcal{B}, \end{aligned}$$

yields the result of the lemma.

*Proof of Theorem 7.3. Necessity.* Assume that  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regular.

*Proof of (i).* Assume that  $F$  is not absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . Then there exists a nonzero measure  $M \in H^q(F)$  whose support is contained in the support of the singular part of  $F$ . But (5.1) and Theorem 5.4 show that the elements of  $U_q \mathfrak{R}_{\{g\}, q}$ ,  $g \in G$ , are absolutely continuous w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . By Lemma 7.2, this contradicts the  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regularity of  $L^p(F)$ . Thus,  $F \ll \tilde{\lambda}$ .

The proof of (ii) and (iii) is adapted from the proof of Theorem 5.2 in [10].

*Proof of (ii).* Since  $F$  is a finite measure, we have  $\int_G |(F')^{1/q}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$  by Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3. Hence,

$$\int_G \left| \frac{dF}{d\tau} \left( \left( \frac{dF}{d\tau} \right)^\# \right)^{1/p} \right|^q d\tau = \int_G |(F')^{1/q}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} = \int_G |(F')^{1/q}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty,$$

i.e.,  $F \in H^q(F)$ . According to Lemma 7.2, there exist a sequence  $\{C_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of finite subsets of  $G$  and a sequence  $\{M_{g,j}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  such that  $M_{g,j} \in U_q \mathfrak{R}_{\{g\}, q}$ ,  $g \in C_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and

$$(7.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{g \in C_j} M_{g,j} = F$$

in  $H^q(F)$ . Without loss of generality we may assume that  $e \in C_j$ ,  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ . By Theorems 5.4 and 5.5, and Lemma 6.4,  $M_{g,j}$  is of the form  $M_{g,j}(B) = X_{g,j} \int_B (g, \gamma)^* \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , where  $X_{g,j}$  is an  $n \times n$ -matrix such that

$$(7.2) \quad \text{Ker } X_{g,j} \supseteq \text{Ker } F' \quad \tilde{\lambda}\text{-a.e.},$$

$$(7.3) \quad \int_G |X_{g,j} ((F')^\#)^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty, \quad g \in C_j, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Using (7.1), Lemma 7.4, and the equality  $\int_G (g, \gamma)^* \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma) = 0$ , for  $g \in G$ ,  $g \neq e$ , we get

$$(7.4) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} X_{e,j} = F(\Gamma).$$

The relations (7.2) and (7.4) yield

$$\text{Ker } F(\Gamma) \supseteq \text{Ker } F' \quad \tilde{\lambda}\text{-a.e.}$$

On the other hand,  $\text{Ker } F(\Gamma) = \text{Ker} \left( \int_G F' d\tilde{\lambda} \right) \subseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. (cf. [13, Lemma 3.2 (a)]). Thus  $\text{Ker } F(\Gamma) = \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. Since  $F'$  is  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued, there is a subspace  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(F') = \mathcal{A}$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.

*Proof of (iii).* (7.3) and (7.4) yield  $\int_G |F(\Gamma) ((F')^\#)^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ . This gives

$$\int_G |F(\Gamma)^\# F(\Gamma) ((F')^\#)^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty.$$

Since  $F(\Gamma)^\# F(\Gamma)$  is the orthoprojector onto  $\mathcal{R}(F(\Gamma)^\#) = \mathcal{R}(F(\Gamma))$  and since  $\mathcal{R}(F') = \mathcal{R}(F(\Gamma))$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e., we finally conclude that  $\int_G |((F')^\#)^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ . Now Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 imply the integrability of  $((F')^\#)^{q/p}$ .

*Sufficiency.* Assume (i)-(iii). Let  $Q$  be the orthoprojector in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  onto  $\mathcal{A}$ . For each  $g \in G$ , the measure  $M_g$  defined by  $M_g(B) := Q \int_B (g, \gamma)^* \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , belongs to  $U_q \mathfrak{R}_{\{g\}, q}$ . If  $L^p(F)$  were not  $\mathcal{J}_0$ -regular, then, by Lemma 7.2, Theorem 4.6, Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and the Hahn-Banach theorem, there would exist a measure  $N \in H^p(F)$  such that  $N \neq 0$  in  $H^p(F)$  and  $\langle\langle N, M_g \rangle\rangle = 0$  for each  $g \in G$ . But

$$\langle\langle N, M_g \rangle\rangle = \int_G (g, \gamma) \frac{dN}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(\gamma) F'(\gamma)^\# \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma).$$

Thus, the uniqueness theorem for the inverse Fourier-Stieltjes transform would imply  $(dN/d\tilde{\lambda})(F')^\# = 0$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e., and hence,  $\text{Ker } dN/d\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \mathcal{R}(F')^\#$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. On the other hand,  $\text{Ker } dN/d\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e., since  $N \in H^p(F)$ . Thus,  $dN/d\tilde{\lambda} = 0$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. This means  $N = 0$  in  $H^p(F)$ , a contradiction.



**8.  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regularity.** M. G. Avetisyan and R. L. Dobrushin (cf. [1, Theorem 1]) proved a result which in the situation of our paper can be stated in the following form.

**THEOREM 8.1.** Let  $G := \mathbf{Z}^l$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ . Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  of Borel sets of the dual group  $\Gamma$ , and let  $F'$  be the Radon–Nikodym derivative of the absolutely continuous part of  $F$  w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . The space  $L^2(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regular if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (i)  $F \ll \tilde{\lambda}$ .
- (ii) The rank  $r(F')$  is constant  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.
- (iii) There exists an  $\mathcal{M}_n$ -valued trigonometric polynomial  $W$  such that  $\text{Ker } W = \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. and  $\int_{\Gamma} |W((F')^\#)^{1/2}|^2 d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ .

In [1] the authors also sketch the proof of the corresponding result for  $G := \mathbf{R}^l$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ . The present section deals with some generalizations of the result of Theorem 8.1, yet I was not able to generalize that result to an arbitrary LCA group  $G$  whose dual group is discrete or  $\sigma$ -compact. The main obstacle is the fact that the rank of a function in  $\mathcal{T}_C$ ,  $C \in \mathcal{X}$ , is in general not necessarily constant  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. Thus we give the following definition.

**DEFINITION 8.2.** We will say that an LCA group  $G$  containing more than one element has the *property* ( $\mathcal{C}$ ) if for each  $C \in \mathcal{X}$  an arbitrary function from  $\mathcal{T}_C$  has constant rank  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.

**Remark 8.3.** Note that the groups  $\mathbf{Z}^l$  and  $\mathbf{R}^l$ ,  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ , have the property ( $\mathcal{C}$ ). If  $G$  is compact and hence  $\Gamma$  is discrete, then  $G$  does not have the property ( $\mathcal{C}$ ). If  $G$  is discrete and can be ordered, then  $G$  has the property ( $\mathcal{C}$ ) (cf. [20, Lemma 4.6]). Note further that a discrete group can be ordered if and only if it does not contain a finite subgroup (cf. [15, p. 194]).

Now we will prove a generalization of Theorem 8.1. We start with the following lemma.

**LEMMA 8.4.** For  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $C \in \mathcal{X}$ ,

$$(8.1) \quad \bigvee_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p}.$$

**Proof.** The inclusion  $\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p} \subseteq \bigvee_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p}$  is obvious. On the other hand, for  $C, D \in \mathcal{X}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p} \vee \mathfrak{N}_{D,p} &= \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus (C,D),q}^\perp \vee \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus D,q}^\perp = (\mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus C,p} \cap \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus D,q})^\perp \\ &\subseteq \mathfrak{M}_{G \setminus (C \cup D),q}^\perp = \mathfrak{N}_{C \cup D,p} \end{aligned}$$

by (3.5). This implies  $\bigvee_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p} \subseteq \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \mathfrak{N}_{C,p}$ . Now use Theorem 4.3.

**THEOREM 8.5.** Let  $G$  be an LCA group with property ( $\mathcal{C}$ ) and whose dual group  $\Gamma$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $F$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_n^+$ -valued measure on  $\mathcal{B}$

and  $F'$  the Radon–Nikodym derivative of its absolutely continuous part w.r.t.  $\tilde{\lambda}$ . The space  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regular if and only if the following three conditions hold:

- (i)  $F \ll \tilde{\lambda}$ .
- (ii) The rank  $r(F')$  is constant  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.
- (iii) There exists a  $C \in \mathcal{X}$  and a function  $W \in \mathcal{T}_C$  such that  $\text{Ker } W = \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. and  $\int_{\Gamma} |W((F')^\#)^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} < \infty$ .

**Proof. Necessity.** Assume that  $L^p(F)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regular. According to Lemma 7.2 and (8.1), we have

$$(8.2) \quad \bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{X}} \overline{U_q \mathfrak{N}_{C,q}} = H^q(F).$$

Now (i) can be proved in the same way as (i) of Theorem 7.3.

**Proof of (ii).** In the proof of Theorem 7.3 it was shown that  $F \in H^q(F)$ . From (8.2) follows the existence of sequences  $\{C_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{X}$  and  $\{M_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \subseteq H^q(F)$  such that  $M_j \in U_q \mathfrak{N}_{C_j,q}$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} M_j = F$  in  $H^q(F)$ . But the relation

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Gamma} |(dM_j/d\tilde{\lambda} - F')((F')^\#)^{1/p}|^q d\tilde{\lambda} = 0$$

implies the existence of a subsequence  $\{M_{j_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (dM_{j_k}/d\tilde{\lambda} - F')((F')^\#)^{1/p} = 0$$

and hence

$$(8.3) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{dM_{j_k}}{d\tilde{\lambda}} ((F')^\#)^{1/p} = (F')^{1/q} \tilde{\lambda}\text{-a.e.}$$

Let  $r$  be the maximal rank of  $F'$  and let  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  be a Borel set such that  $\tilde{\lambda}(B) > 0$  and  $r(F'(\gamma)) = r$  for  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.  $\gamma \in B$ . Since rank is a lower continuous function, we conclude from (8.3) that there exist an  $i \in \mathbf{N}$  and a Borel subset  $B_1$  of  $B$  such that  $\tilde{\lambda}(B_1) > 0$  and  $r((dM_{j_k}/d\tilde{\lambda})(\gamma)) \geq r$  for  $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.  $\gamma \in B_1$ . Since  $G$  has the property ( $\mathcal{C}$ ), we find that  $r(dM_{j_k}/d\tilde{\lambda}) \geq r$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. But from (4.2) it follows that  $dM_{j_k}/d\tilde{\lambda} = (U_q^{-1} M_{j_k}) F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. Hence,  $r(F') \geq r$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. Since  $r$  is the maximal rank of  $F'$ , we obtain  $r(F') = r$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e.

**Proof of (iii).** It is not hard to see that for the function  $W := dM_{j_k}/d\tilde{\lambda}$  all conditions hold.

**Sufficiency.** Assume (i)–(iii). If  $L^p(F)$  were not  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regular, there would exist an  $N \in H^p(F)$  such that  $N \neq 0$  in  $H^p(F)$  and  $\langle\langle N, M^T \rangle\rangle = 0$  for arbitrary  $C \in \mathcal{X}$  and each  $T \in \mathcal{T}_C$  (cf. the proof of Theorem 7.3). Here  $M^T$  denotes the measure defined in (5.1). In particular, we would have

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{dN}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(\gamma) F'(\gamma)^\#(g, \gamma) W(\gamma)^\# \tilde{\lambda}(d\gamma) = 0 \quad \text{for each } g \in G.$$

The uniqueness theorem for the inverse Fourier–Stieltjes transform implies  $(dN/d\tilde{\lambda})(F')^* W^* = 0$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. If  $P$  denotes the orthoprojector onto  $\mathcal{R}(W^*)$ , we get

$$0 = \frac{dN}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(F')^* W^*(W^*)^* = \frac{dN}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(F')^* P = \frac{dN}{d\tilde{\lambda}}(F')^* \quad \tilde{\lambda}\text{-a.e.},$$

hence,  $\text{Ker } dN/d\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \mathcal{R}(F')$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. But since  $\text{Ker } dN/d\tilde{\lambda} \supseteq \text{Ker } F'$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. because  $N \in H^p(F)$ , we deduce  $dN/d\tilde{\lambda} = 0$   $\tilde{\lambda}$ -a.e. Thus,  $N = 0$  in  $H^p(F)$ , a contradiction.

Remark 8.6. Analyzing the proof of Theorem 8.5 we see that the conditions (i)–(iii) are sufficient and (i) is necessary for the  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regularity of  $L^p(F)$  even in the case that  $G$  does not have the property  $(\mathcal{C})$ . However, condition (ii) is in general not necessary for the  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regularity of  $L^p(F)$  if we do not require that  $G$  has the property  $(\mathcal{C})$ . In fact, consider a group consisting of three elements. The dual group  $\Gamma$  also has three elements. Consider a nonnegative scalar measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that  $\mu$  has positive masses on two elements of  $\Gamma$  and is 0 on the third element. Clearly, (ii) does not hold for  $\mu$ . But it is not hard to see that  $L^p(\mu)$  is  $\mathcal{J}_c$ -regular.

#### References

- [1] M. G. Avetisyan and R. L. Dobrushin, *A condition for the linear regularity of vector-valued stochastic fields*, Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 21 (4) (1985), 76–82 (in Russian).
- [2] R. Delanghe, *On the extension of linear functionals in modules over an  $H^*$ -algebra*, Simon Stevin 53 (1979), 201–209.
- [3] N. Dinculeanu, *Vector Measures*, Deutscher Verlag der Wiss., Berlin 1966.
- [4] W. Fieger, *Die Anwendung einiger maß- und integrationstheoretischer Sätze auf matrizielle Riemann–Stieltjes-Integrale*, Math. Ann. 150 (1963), 387–410.
- [5] E. J. Hannan, *Multiple Time Series*, Wiley, New York 1970.
- [6] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. 1, Springer, Berlin 1963.
- [7] L. Klotz, *Some Banach spaces of measurable operator-valued functions*, Probab. Math. Statist., to appear.
- [8] —, *Inclusion relations for some  $L^p$ -spaces of operator-valued functions*, Math. Nachr., to appear.
- [9] A. Makagon and A. Weron,  *$q$ -variate minimal stationary processes*, Studia Math. 59 (1976), 41–52.
- [10] —, —, *Wold–Cramér concordance theorems for interpolation of  $q$ -variate stationary processes over locally compact abelian groups*, J. Multivariate Anal. 6 (1976), 123–137.
- [11] A. G. Miasche and M. Pourahmadi, *Best approximations in  $L^p(d\mu)$  and prediction problems of Szegő, Kolmogorov, Yaglom, and Nakazi*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 38 (1988), 133–145.
- [12] M. Pourahmadi, *On minimality and interpolation of harmonizable stable processes*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 44 (1984), 1023–1030.
- [13] J. B. Robertson and M. Rosenberg, *The decomposition of matrix-valued measures*, Michigan Math. J. 15 (1968), 353–368.
- [14] M. Rosenberg, *The square-integrability of matrix-valued functions with respect to a non-negative hermitian measure*, Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), 291–298.
- [15] W. Rudin, *Fourier Analysis on Groups*, Wiley, New York 1962.

- [16] H. Salehi, *The Hellinger square-integrability of matrix-valued measures with respect to a non-negative Hermitian measure*, Ark. Mat. 7 (1967), 299–303.
- [17] —, *Application of the Hellinger integrals to  $q$ -variate stationary stochastic processes*, ibid. 7 (1967), 305–311.
- [18] —, *On the Hellinger integrals and interpolation of  $q$ -variate stationary stochastic processes*, ibid. 8 (1968), 1–6.
- [19] —, *Interpolation of  $q$ -variate homogeneous random fields*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 25 (1969), 653–662.
- [20] H. Salehi and J. K. Scheidt, *Interpolation of  $q$ -variate weakly stationary stochastic processes over a locally compact abelian group*, J. Multivariate Anal. 2 (1972), 307–331.
- [21] A. Weron, *On characterizations of interpolable and minimal stationary processes*, Studia Math. 49 (1974), 165–183.
- [22] —, *Harmonizable stable processes on groups: Spectral, ergodic and interpolation properties*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 68 (1985), 473–491.

SEKTION MATHEMATIK  
KARL-MARX-UNIVERSITÄT  
O-7010 Leipzig, Germany

Received April 19, 1990

(2677)