

- [9] F. J. Martín-Reyes and A. de la Torre, *Weighted weak type inequalities for the ergodic maximal function and the pointwise ergodic theorem*, Studia Math. 87 (1987), 33–46.
- [10] —, —, *On the almost everywhere convergence of the ergodic averages*, Ergodic Theory Dynamical Systems 10 (1990), 141–149.
- [11] V. A. Rokhlin, *On the fundamental ideas of measure theory*, Mat. Sb. (N. S.) 25 (1949), 107–150. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Series 1, vol. 10, 1962, 1–54.
- [12] R. Sato, *Identification of the ratio ergodic limit for an invertible positive isometry on  $L_1$* , Math. J. Okayama Univ. 28 (1986), 165–171.
- [13] —, *On a ratio ergodic theorem*, Studia Math. 87 (1987), 47–52.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SCHOOL OF SCIENCE  
OKAYAMA UNIVERSITY  
Okayama, 700 Japan

Received December 30, 1988  
Revised version December 8, 1989

(2518)

## Stable rank of holomorphic function algebras

by

RUDOLF RUPP (Karlsruhe)

**Abstract.** We calculate the stable rank of stable subalgebras of  $A(K)$ .

**Introduction.** The concept of the stable rank of a ring, introduced by H. Bass [1], has been very useful in treating some problems in algebraic  $K$ -theory. In a series of papers G. Corach and F. D. Suárez calculated the stable rank of many Banach algebras. Among them are the well-known algebras  $A(K)$ , where  $A(K)$  is the Banach algebra of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact set  $K$  of the plane  $\mathbb{C}$  which are analytic in the interior  $K^0$  of  $K$ . In this paper we restrict ourselves mainly to subalgebras of  $A(K)$ , where  $K$  has a “good” boundary. For these algebras we calculate the stable rank. It is worth mentioning that the algebras may bear no topology at all. Many subalgebras of the disc algebra  $A(\mathbb{D})$  satisfy our conditions, for example,  $W^+$ ,  $A^\infty(\mathbb{D})$  and  $A_\alpha(\mathbb{D})$  (for definition, see below).

This paper presents material from the author’s thesis. In a forthcoming paper we will study the subalgebras of the disc algebra more closely.

§1. It is well known that the group of units in a Banach algebra is open. Unfortunately, this feature is lost in the general case of a topological algebra. Therefore we define:

A topological algebra  $A$  is called a  $Q$ -algebra if the set of units,  $A^{-1}$ , is open in  $A$ .

In this paper we consider complex, commutative  $Q$ -algebras with unit element being denoted by 1.

Given a  $Q$ -algebra  $A$ , an element  $a \in A^n$  is called *unimodular* if there exists  $b \in A^n$  such that

$$\langle b, a \rangle := \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i = 1.$$

We denote by  $U_n(A)$  the set of unimodular elements of  $A^n$ . Finally,  $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in U_n(A)$  is called *reducible* if there exist  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  in  $A$  such that

$$(a_1 + x_1 a_n, \dots, a_{n-1} + x_{n-1} a_n) \in U_{n-1}(A).$$

The *stable rank* of  $A$ , denoted by  $\text{sr}(A)$ , is the least integer  $n$  such that every  $a \in U_{n+1}(A)$  is reducible.

From the theory of the stable rank of  $Q$ -algebras we mention the following fact; see [10, p. 18, Korollar 1], or [4, Proposition 1]. (The proof given there is also valid for  $Q$ -algebras.)

**PROPOSITION 1.1.** *Suppose that  $A$  is a  $Q$ -algebra,  $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous curve and let  $\Gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_2(A)$ ,  $\Gamma(t) := (a - \gamma(t), b)$  such that  $(a - \gamma(0), b)$  is reducible. Then  $(a - \gamma(1), b)$  is also reducible.*

**§ 2.** Let  $C(K)$  resp.  $R(K)$  denote the Banach algebras of all continuous complex-valued functions on a compact set  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ , resp. of all those functions in  $C(K)$  which can be approximated uniformly by rational functions with poles off  $K$ . Let  $\|f\|_K = \sup_{z \in K} |f(z)|$ .

A subalgebra  $A$  of  $A(K)$  is called *stable* if  $A$  contains all the polynomials and if  $(f - f(z_0))/(z - z_0) \in A$  whenever  $f \in A$  and  $z_0 \in K^0$ . Many of the well-known examples of subalgebras of  $A(K)$  have this property, e.g.,

$$W^+ := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n : \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| < \infty \right\};$$

$$A^\infty(K) := \{f \in C(K) : f \text{ analytic in } K^0, \forall n \exists g_n \in C(K) : g_n|_{K^0} = f^{(n)}\};$$

$$A_\alpha(K) := \{f \in C(K) : f \text{ analytic in } K^0 \text{ and satisfies a Hölder-Lipschitz condition on } K \text{ of order } \alpha\} \quad (0 < \alpha \leq 1).$$

A subalgebra  $A$  of  $A(K)$  is called *inversionally closed* if a function  $f \in A$  is invertible whenever it has no zero in  $K$ . (Note that no invertible function in  $A$  can have a zero in  $K$ . We require the converse.)

All algebras mentioned above are inversionally closed and stable.

The proof for  $A^\infty(K)$  is trivial, for  $W^+$  we refer to [9], p. 301, whereas the proof for  $A_\alpha(K)$  is standard, but requires some effort.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Every stable and inversionally closed subalgebra of  $R(K)$  has stable rank one.*

**Proof.** We have to show that every unimodular element  $(f, g)$  is reducible, that is, we have to show that a function  $h \in A$  exists such that  $f + hg$  is invertible in  $A$ . Since  $A$  is inversionally closed it is enough to show that  $f + hg$  has no zero in  $K$ .

Since the algebra  $R(K)$  has stable rank one (see [3] or [10]), there exists  $k \in R(K)$  and a zero-free function  $u \in R(K)$  such that

$$f + kg = u.$$

Now approximate  $k$  by rational functions  $r_n \in R(K)$ . Obviously we have

$$f + r_n g = u + (r_n - k)g.$$

Since  $r_n$  has poles off  $K$ , we have  $r_n = p_n \cdot q_n^{-1}$ , where  $p_n$  and  $q_n$  are polynomials and  $q_n$  has no zeros in  $K$ . On the other hand,  $A$  is inversionally closed and contains all polynomials, so  $r_n \in A$ .

There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $z \in K$

$$|u(z)| \geq \delta,$$

because  $u$  has no zero in  $K$ . Now we choose  $N$  so large that

$$\|(r_N - k)g\|_K < \delta/2.$$

and obtain for all  $z \in K$

$$|f(z) + r_N(z)g(z)| \geq \delta/2.$$

Taking the function  $h$  to be  $r_N$  we are done. ■

**Remark.** In Theorem 2.1 it is enough to assume that  $A$  contains all polynomials and is inversionally closed.

It is natural to ask whether the assumption  $A \subset R(K)$  can be replaced by  $A \subset A(K)$ . In this general setting the problem is unsolved, but we present an affirmative answer if  $K$  has a "good" boundary.

To this end we need the following proposition, whose proof may be found in [7], Theorem 1, or [10], Theorem 2.8.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact such that  $R(\partial K) = C(\partial K)$  and let  $A \subset A(K)$  be stable and inversionally closed. Then the element  $(f, g) \in A^2$  is unimodular iff  $f$  and  $g$  have no common zero in  $K$ .*

**Proof.** Of course we have only to show that if  $f$  and  $g$  have no common zero in  $K$ , then  $(f, g)$  is unimodular.

To this end consider  $\alpha, \beta \in C(K)$ ,

$$\alpha(z) := \frac{\overline{f(z)}}{|f(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2}, \quad \beta(z) := \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{|f(z)|^2 + |g(z)|^2}.$$

Obviously, we have  $\alpha f + \beta g = 1$ . In particular, these functions are continuous on  $\partial K$ , so by assumption, we can approximate them by rational functions  $r_k$  ( $k = 1, 2$ ). For all  $z \in \partial K$  the following inequality holds:

$$|r_1(z)f(z) + r_2(z)g(z)| \geq |\alpha(z)f(z) + \beta(z)g(z)| - \|(r_1(z) - \alpha(z))f_1(z) + (r_2(z) - \beta(z))g_2(z)\|_{\partial K}.$$

Now the second term on the right-hand side can be made arbitrarily small and the first is identically 1. So, for sufficiently good approximations, the function  $r_1 f + r_2 g$  has no zero on  $\partial K$ . Let  $r_k = p_k/q_k$ , where  $p_k$  and  $q_k$  are polynomials such that  $q_k$  has no zero on  $\partial K$ . Define  $\tilde{q} := q_1 q_2$  and consider the function  $H := (\tilde{q} r_1) f + (\tilde{q} r_2) g$ . The stability of  $A$  gives  $H \in A$  and, moreover, the function

$H$  does not vanish on  $\partial K$ . This implies that  $H$  has only finitely many zeros at all. (This is a consequence of the identity theorem for analytic functions. Note that the interior of  $K$  may be disconnected!) By assumption the algebra  $A$  is stable and inversionally closed. So there exists a polynomial  $s \in A$  and an invertible function  $u \in A^{-1}$  such that  $H = su$ , i.e.,

$$(1) \quad pf + qg = su,$$

where we have abbreviated  $p := \tilde{q}r_1$  and  $q := \tilde{q}r_2$  (these are also polynomials).

To finish the proof we show that we can get rid of all the zeros of  $s$  in equation (1). If  $\zeta \in K$  is a zero of the polynomial  $s$ , we write  $s(z) = (z - \zeta)\tilde{s}(z)$ . Since  $H$  does not vanish on  $\partial K$ ,  $\zeta$  lies in the interior of  $K$ . Note that at least one of the functions  $f$  and  $g$  does not vanish at  $\zeta$ , say  $f$ . Using the stability of  $A$  again, there exists  $k \in A$  such that

$$(2) \quad f(z)/f(\zeta) - 1 = (z - \zeta)k(z) \quad (z \in K).$$

Multiplying (1) with  $k$  yields

$$pkf + qkg = sku = (z - \zeta)k\tilde{s}u.$$

Together with (2) this implies the existence of  $\tilde{p}, \tilde{q} \in A$ , not necessarily polynomials, such that

$$\tilde{p}f + \tilde{q}g = \tilde{s}u.$$

After finitely many steps the right-hand side is invertible in  $A$ . ■

**Remark.** Proposition 2.2 is a special case of the so called "Nullstellensatz" for these algebras; see [7] or [10].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $K \subset \mathbb{C}$  be compact such that  $R(\partial K) = C(\partial K)$  and let  $A \subset A(K)$  be stable and inversionally closed. Then its stable rank is one.*

**Remark.** The condition on  $K$  is rather mild, since by the well-known Hartogs–Rosenthal theorem  $R(\partial K) = C(\partial K)$  if  $\partial K$  has two-dimensional Lebesgue measure zero. Also  $A$  may not be topologically complete.

**Proof.** We have to show that every unimodular element  $(f, g)$  is reducible. Since  $A$  is inversionally closed, this is equivalent to the existence of  $h \in A$  such that  $f + hg$  has no zero in  $K$ . For  $g = 0$  there is nothing to prove, so we suppose  $g \neq 0$ .

*Step 1:*  $f(z) = z - \lambda$ . Consider the algebra  $A$  endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on  $K$ . Since  $A$  is inversionally closed, the result is a  $Q$ -algebra. By Proposition 1.1 it is sufficient to exhibit a path  $\Gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow U_2(A)$ ,

$$\Gamma(t) = (z - \gamma(t), g),$$

with  $\Gamma(0) = (z - \lambda, g)$  and reducible  $\Gamma(1)$ .

Let  $G$  be the component of  $K^0$  which contains  $\lambda$ . By the maximum principle there exists  $\zeta \in \partial G$  with  $g(\zeta) \neq 0$ . Obviously,  $\zeta \in \partial K$  and Proposition 2.2 yields  $(z - \zeta, g) \in U_2(A)$ .

Because of the continuity of  $g$  at  $\zeta$  there exists a convex neighborhood  $U$  of  $\zeta$  such that  $g$  never vanishes in  $U \cap G$ . Since  $\zeta$  is a boundary point of  $G$  and of  $K$ , there exist  $\zeta' \in G \cap U$  and  $\zeta'' \in U \cap [C \setminus K]$ . Now the algebra  $A$  is inversionally closed, in particular we have  $1/(z - \zeta'') \in A$ , since  $z - \zeta'' \in A$ .

Let  $Z$  denote the set of zeros of  $g$  in  $G$ . Then it is well known that  $G \setminus Z$  is open and connected. So there exists a path  $\tilde{\gamma}$  joining  $\lambda$  and  $\zeta'$  which avoids the zeros of  $g$ , that is,

$$(z - \tilde{\gamma}(t), g) \in U_2(A)$$

by Proposition 2.2. Now we join  $\zeta'$  and  $\zeta''$  by a line segment. The composite path with  $\tilde{\gamma}$  will be called  $\gamma$ . Now, the line segment joining  $\zeta'$  and  $\zeta''$  is in  $U$ , in which  $g$  never vanishes. Thus

$$(z - \gamma(t), g) \in U_2(A).$$

Since  $z - \zeta''$  is invertible in  $A$ , it is clear that  $(z - \zeta'', g)$  is reducible.

Proposition 1.1 yields the assertion.

*Step 2:*  $f$  is a polynomial. This is standard, and we refer to [3], p. 630, Step 4, or [10]. (It is done by factoring  $f$  into linear factors and then multiplying the results of Step 1.)

*Step 3:*  $f \in A$ . Since  $(f, g)$  is unimodular, there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in A$  such that

$$\alpha f + \beta g = 1.$$

We multiply this identity with  $g$  and add it to the former. The result is that the element  $(f, g^2)$  is unimodular. Now we use  $(f, g^2)$  rather than  $(f, g)$ .

By the proof of Proposition 2.2 there exist polynomials  $p, q, s \in A$  and  $u \in A^{-1}$  such that

$$(1) \quad pf + qg^2 = su.$$

Also it is shown there that the polynomial  $s$  has all its zeros in  $K^0$ .

Now suppose  $p(\zeta) = g(\zeta) = 0$ . By the identity above we have  $s(\zeta) = 0$ , in particular  $\zeta \in K^0$ . Dividing (1) by  $z - \zeta$ , we arrive at

$$(2) \quad \frac{p}{z - \zeta}f + q \frac{g}{z - \zeta}g = \frac{s}{z - \zeta}u.$$

Using the stability of  $A$  we know that all the quotients in (2) are elements of  $A$ . Now (2) is exactly of the form of (1), only the degree of the polynomial  $s$  is reduced.

Repeating this process, we get the identity

$$(3) \quad pf + \tilde{h}g = su$$

such that  $p, \tilde{h}, s \in A$ ,  $p$  and  $g$  have no common zero in  $K$  and  $u$  is invertible in  $A$ .

Step 4: The polynomial  $p$  “vanishes” in (3). Since  $p$  and  $g$  have no common zero in  $K$  the second step implies the existence of  $k, v \in A, v \in A^{-1}$  such that  $p + kg = v$ . Putting this in (3) yields

$$(4) \quad f + Hg = su \frac{1}{v}$$

with  $H \in A$  chosen appropriately.

Step 5: The polynomial  $s$  in (4) “vanishes”. By this equation  $s$  and  $g$  can have no common zero in  $K$ . (Such a zero would be a common zero of  $f$  and  $g$ , contradicting  $\alpha f + \beta g = 1$ .) Now the second step implies the existence of  $h, w \in A, w \in A^{-1}$  such that  $s + lg = w$ . Together with (4) this yields

$$f + \left( H + lu \frac{1}{v} \right) g = u \frac{1}{v} w.$$

Since the right-hand side is invertible, we are done. ■

Note that there exist compact sets  $K$  with  $R(\partial K) = C(\partial K)$ , but  $A(K) \neq R(K)$ ; see [12], p. 72, Example 9.8.

References

[1] H. Bass, *K-theory and stable algebra*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 22 (1964), 5–60.  
 [2] G. Corach and F. D. Suárez, *Extension problems and stable rank in commutative Banach algebras*, Topology Appl. 21 (1985), 1–8.  
 [3] —, —, *Stable rank in holomorphic function algebras*, Illinois J. Math. 29 (1985), 627–639.  
 [4] —, —, *On the stable rank of uniform algebras and  $H^\infty$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 98 (1986), 607–610.  
 [5] —, —, *Dense morphisms in commutative Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 304 (1987), 537–547.  
 [6] P. Jones, D. Marshall and T. Wolff, *Stable rank of the disc algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1986), 603–604.  
 [7] R. Mortini and R. Rupp, *A constructive proof of the Nullstellensatz for subalgebras of  $A(K)$* , preprint.  
 [8] M. J. Pelling, *Linear composition of two entire functions*, Amer. Math. Monthly 85 (1978), 505.  
 [9] M. v. Renteln, *A simple constructive proof of an analogue of the corona theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (2) (1981), 299–303.  
 [10] R. Rupp, *Über den Bass-Stable-Rank komplexer Funktionen-Algebren*, Dissertation, Karlsruhe 1988.  
 [11] —, *Stable rank of finitely generated algebras*, Arch. Math. (Basel), to appear.  
 [12] L. Zalcemann, *Analytic Capacity and Rational Approximation*, Lecture Notes in Math. 50, Springer, 1968.

UNIVERSITÄT KARLSRUHE  
 MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT I  
 Englerstr. 2, D-7500 Karlsruhe 1, F.R.G.

Received February 23, 1989  
 Revised version September 29 and December 27, 1989

(2538)

Remarks on singular convolution operators

by

ANDREAS SEEGER (Princeton, N.J.)

**Abstract.** We prove some endpoint estimates for singular convolution operators. For example, let  $m$  be a bounded function such that for some suitable  $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\})$ -function  $\varphi$ ,  $\varphi m(t \cdot)$  is in the Besov space  $B_{q,1}^\alpha$ , uniformly in  $t > 0$ . Then  $m$  is a Fourier multiplier on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if  $\alpha = n(1/p - 1/2) > n/q, 1 < p \leq 2$ , and on  $H^1$  if  $\alpha = n/2, 2 < q \leq \infty$ . If  $m$  is radial we may replace  $B_{q,1}^\alpha$  by  $B_{n/2,1}^\alpha$ .

**1. Introduction.** The purpose of this paper is to prove endpoint estimates for some classes of multiplier transformations on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and other function spaces. We consider a convolution operator  $T$ , defined by  $Tf = \mathcal{F}^{-1}[m\mathcal{F}f]$ , where  $\mathcal{F}f$  (or  $\hat{f}$ ) denotes the Fourier transform of  $f$ . The  $M^p$ -multiplier norm of  $m$  is defined as the norm of  $T$  as a bounded operator on  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

To formulate a theorem let us introduce some notation. Let  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be supported in  $\{\xi; 1/4 < |\xi| < 4\}$  and positive in  $\{\xi; 1/2 < |\xi| < 2\}$ . Let  $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be supported in  $\{x; |x| \leq 1\}$  and be equal to 1 in  $\{x; |x| \leq 1/2\}$ . Define  $\Psi_t(x) = \psi(2^{-t}x) - \psi(2^{-t+1}x)$ . Then  $\Psi_t$  is supported in  $\{x; 2^{t-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^t\}$ .  $\Psi_t$  will be used to decompose the convolution kernel  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}[m]$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Suppose that  $1 < p < r \leq 2$  and*

$$(1.1) \quad \|m\|_\infty + \sup_{t>0} \sum_{l>0} 2^{ln(1/p-1/r)} \|\varphi m(t \cdot) * \hat{\Psi}_l\|_{M^r} \leq A.$$

*Then  $m$  is a multiplier in  $M^p$ , and  $\|m\|_{M^p} \leq cA$ . If  $p = 1 < r \leq 2$  we have the conclusion that  $T$  is a bounded operator on the Hardy space  $H^1$ .*

This result can be considered as an endpoint version of Hörmander’s multiplier theorem [16] (compare (1.2) below). It extends a result by Baernstein and Sawyer [1] who proved that the condition

$$\|m\|_\infty^p + \sup_{t>0} \sum_{l>0} 2^{ln(1-p)} \|\varphi m(t \cdot) * \hat{\Psi}_l\|_1^p < \infty$$

1985 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42B15; Secondary 42B20, 46E35.  
 Key words and phrases: Fourier multipliers, sharp function estimates.