

2. The proof of Theorem 4 implies that the spectrum of T_{θ_r} restricted to $\bigoplus_{p=1}^{r-1} H_p$ is homogeneous for r odd and nonhomogeneous if r is even ($r > 2$).
3. It is still an open question whether a generalized Morse sequence over a finite abelian group can have maximal spectral multiplicity greater than two.

References

- [1] R. V. Chacon, *Approximation and spectral multiplicity*, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 160, Springer, Berlin 1970, 18–27.
- [2] J. Coquet, T. Kamae and M. Mendès France, *Sur la mesure spectrale de certaines suites arithmétiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 105 (1977), 369–384.
- [3] G. R. Goodson, *On the spectral multiplicity of a class of finite rank transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985), 303–306.
- [4] A. del Junco, *A transformation with simple spectrum which is not rank one*, Canad. J. Math. 29 (1977), 655–663.
- [5] S. Kakutani, *Strictly ergodic symbolic dynamical systems*, in: Proc. 6th Berkeley Sympos., 2, Univ. of California Press, Berkeley 1972, 319–326.
- [6] M. Keane, *Strongly mixing g -measures*, Invent. Math. 16 (1972), 309–324.
- [7] J. Kwiatkowski and A. Sikorski, *Spectral properties of G -symbolic Morse shifts*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 115 (1987), 19–33.
- [8] M. Lemańczyk and M. K. Mentzen, *On metric properties of substitutions*, Compositio Math. 65 (1988), 241–263.
- [9] J. C. Martin, *The structure of generalized Morse minimal sets on n symbols*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 232 (1977), 343–355.
- [10] M. K. Mentzen, *Some examples of automorphisms with rank r and simple spectrum*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. 35 (7–8) (1987), 417–424.
- [11] M. Queffélec, *Mesures spectrales associées à certaines suites arithmétiques*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 107 (1979), 385–421.
- [12] —, *Substitution Dynamical Systems — Spectral Analysis*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1294, Springer, Berlin 1987.
- [13] E. A. Robinson, Jr., *Non-abelian extensions have nonsimple spectrum*, Compositio Math. 65 (1988), 155–170.
- [14] —, *Spectral multiplicity for nonabelian Morse sequences*, preprint.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
TOWSON STATE UNIVERSITY
Towson, Maryland 21204-7097, U.S.A.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
NICHOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY
Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

Received December 5, 1988
Revised version March 23 and June 6, 1989

(2516)

A smooth subadditive homogeneous norm on a homogeneous group

by

WALDEMAR HEBISCH and ADAM SIKORA (Wrocław)

Abstract. We prove that on every homogeneous group there exists a smooth, subadditive and homogeneous norm.

Introduction. Around 1970 E. M. Stein introduced the notion of a homogeneous group. Such a group G admits a homogeneous norm $\|\cdot\|$, which for a $\gamma \geq 1$ satisfies

$$\|xy\| \leq \gamma(\|x\| + \|y\|) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in G.$$

The group equipped with $\|\cdot\|$ and the Haar (Lebesgue) measure is a space of homogeneous type in the sense of [1]. A number of estimates become easier if $\gamma = 1$, i.e. if the homogeneous norm is subadditive, so that it gives rise to a left-invariant metric. It is known that for some homogeneous groups such a norm exists, e.g. for Heisenberg groups and the like [2]. Also for stratified groups the optimal control metric is homogeneous.

The aim of this note is to show that a homogeneous and subadditive norm exists for every homogeneous group and in fact the construction is quite simple. More information about such norms is supplied by Theorem 2.

The authors are grateful to Andrzej Hulanicki and Tadeusz Pytlik for their helpful suggestions.

A smooth subadditive homogeneous norm on a homogeneous group. A family of dilations on a nilpotent Lie algebra G is a one-parameter group $\{\delta_t\}_{t>0}$ ($\delta_t \circ \delta_s = \delta_{ts}$) of automorphisms of G determined by

$$\delta_t e_j = t^{d_j} e_j,$$

where e_1, \dots, e_n is a linear basis for G , the d_j are real numbers and $d_n \geq \dots \geq d_1 \geq 1$. If we put $(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum x_i e_i$, then

$$\delta_t(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (t^{d_1} x_1, \dots, t^{d_n} x_n).$$

1985 Mathematics Subject Classification: 22E25, 43A85.

Key words and phrases: homogeneous group, homogeneous norm, subadditive and homogeneous norm.

If we regard G as a Lie group with multiplication given by the Campbell–Hausdorff formula, then the dilations δ_t are also automorphisms of the group structure on G , and the nilpotent group G equipped with these dilations is called a *homogeneous group* (cf. [3]).

We are going to show that on every homogeneous group G there exists a subadditive and homogeneous norm, i.e. a function $\|\cdot\|: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ such that

- (a) $\|xy\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$, (b) $\|\delta_t x\| = t\|x\|$,
 (c) $\|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$, (d) $\|x\| = \|x^{-1}\|$,
 (e) $\|\cdot\|$ is continuous, (f) $\|\cdot\|$ is smooth on $G - \{0\}$.

The existence of $\|\cdot\|$ which satisfies (a)–(e) is equivalent to the existence of a set $A \subset G$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (α) A is open and \bar{A} is compact,
 (β) A is convex, i.e. if $x \in A$ and $y \in A$, $1 \geq t \geq 0$, then $\delta_t x \cdot \delta_{1-t} y \in A$,
 (γ) A is symmetric, i.e. if $x \in A$, then $x^{-1} \in A$.

In fact, given a set A satisfying (α)–(γ), we put

$$\|x\| = \inf\{t: \delta_{1/t} x \in A\}.$$

Now, if $\|x\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|y\| < \varepsilon'$, then $\delta_{1/\varepsilon} x \in A$, $\delta_{1/\varepsilon'} y \in A$ and by (β)

$$\delta_{1/(\varepsilon+\varepsilon')} xy = \delta_{\varepsilon/(\varepsilon+\varepsilon')} \delta_{1/\varepsilon} x \cdot \delta_{\varepsilon'/(\varepsilon+\varepsilon')} \delta_{1/\varepsilon'} y \in A,$$

so $\|xy\| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon'$. This proves (a). The rest is easy.

The converse is obtained by putting $A = \{x \in G: \|x\| < 1\}$.

Moreover, we see that the condition

- (e) (i) the boundary ∂A of A is a smooth manifold,
 (ii) $(d/dt)\delta_t x|_{t=1} \notin T_x \partial A$ for every $x \in \partial A$,

is equivalent to (f).

THEOREM 1. *For every homogeneous group G there exists a set A which satisfies (α)–(ε), hence G admits a norm which satisfies (a)–(f).*

Proof. If G is abelian we put $A = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n): \sum x_i^2 < 1\}$. To see that A satisfies (β) note that $d_t \geq 1$, so

$$\begin{aligned} (\sum (t^{d_i} x_i + (1-t)^{d_i} y_i)^2)^{1/2} &\leq (\sum (t^{d_i} x_i)^2)^{1/2} + \sum ((1-t)^{d_i} y_i)^2)^{1/2} \\ &\leq t(\sum x_i^2)^{1/2} + (1-t)(\sum y_i^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(α), (γ) and (e) are obvious.

We notice that if G is not abelian, then $d_n \geq 2$ and e_n is in the center of G , for $\delta_t[e_i, e_j] = [\delta_t e_i, \delta_t e_j] = t^{d_i+d_j}[e_i, e_j]$ and we assume that $1 \leq d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$. By the Campbell–Hausdorff formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_n)(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= (x_1 + y_1 + P_1(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}), \\ &\quad \dots, x_n + y_n + P_n(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})), \end{aligned}$$

where the P_i are polynomials and since e_n is in the center of G ($[e_n, e_i] = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$), neither x_n nor y_n appears in any of the P_i .

Now we proceed by induction on $\dim G$. Let A' be a subset of the quotient group $G' = G/\text{lin}\{e_n\} = \{\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}): x_i \in \mathbf{R}\}$ which satisfies (α)–(ε) and $\|\cdot\|'$ the corresponding norm. There exists a constant C such that

$$(*) \quad |P_n(\delta_t x, \delta_{1-t} y)| \leq 2Ct(1-t) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A', 0 \leq t \leq 1.$$

Indeed, since $P_n(x, 0) = P_n(0, y) = 0$, we see that every monomial in P_n depends both on x and y ; hence, since A' is bounded, (*) holds for some C . If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, then put $\bar{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. We prove that the set

$$A = \{x \in G: \bar{x} \in A' \text{ and } |x_n| < C + f(\|\bar{x}\|')\}$$

satisfies (α)–(ε) too, where C is the constant from (*), $f \in C^\infty(0, 1)$, $f' \leq 0$, $f'' \leq 0$, $f^{(k)}(0) = 0$, $f(0) = 1$, $f^{(k)}(1) = -\infty$, $f(1) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

Remark. With $f = 0$ the construction yields a set A which satisfies (α)–(γ) but of course not (ε).

Proof of (α)–(ε) for A . (α) and (γ) are obvious. To show (β) notice that if $x \in A$ and $y \in A$, then $\delta_t x \cdot \delta_{1-t} y = \delta_t \bar{x} \cdot \delta_{1-t} \bar{y} \in A'$. So, it is sufficient to prove the following inequality:

$$|t^{d_n} x_n + (1-t)^{d_n} y_n + P_n(\delta_t \bar{x}, \delta_{1-t} \bar{y})| < C + f(\|\delta_t \bar{x} \cdot \delta_{1-t} \bar{y}\|').$$

But $d_n \geq 2$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, $f' \leq 0$, $f'' \leq 0$ and hence, by the definition of A

$$\begin{aligned} |t^{d_n} x_n + (1-t)^{d_n} y_n + P_n(\delta_t \bar{x}, \delta_{1-t} \bar{y})| &< t^2(C + f(\|\bar{x}\|')) + (1-t)^2(C + f(\|\bar{y}\|')) + 2Ct(1-t) \\ &\leq C(t^2 + 2t(1-t) + (1-t)^2) + tf(\|\bar{x}\|') + (1-t)f(\|\bar{y}\|') \\ &\leq C + f(t\|\bar{x}\|' + (1-t)\|\bar{y}\|') \leq C + f(\|\delta_t \bar{x} \cdot \delta_{1-t} \bar{y}\|'). \end{aligned}$$

(ε)(i) is obvious. We first prove (ε)(ii) for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \partial A$ such that $|x_n| \leq C$. Then $\bar{x} \in \partial A'$ and $T_x \partial A = T_{\bar{x}} \partial A' \oplus \mathbf{R}e_n$. So if $(d/dt)\delta_t x|_{t=1} \in T_x \partial A$, then $(d/dt)\delta_t x|_{t=1} = (d/dt)\delta_t \bar{x}|_{t=1} \in T_{\bar{x}} \partial A'$. But this contradicts the induction hypothesis. Now, we observe that the set $\partial A \cap \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: x_n > C\}$ is the graph of the function $g(\bar{x}) = C + f(\|\bar{x}\|')$, $g: A' \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, and that if $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in T_{(\bar{x}, g(\bar{x}))} M$, where M is the graph of a function $g: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $\bar{x} \in X \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$, then $v_n = (d/dt)g(\bar{x} + t\bar{v})|_{t=0} = \bar{v}g(\bar{x})$. Hence if $(d/dt)\delta_t x|_{t=1} \in T_x \partial A$, where $x = (\bar{x}, C + f(\|\bar{x}\|')$, then by the definition of f ($f' \leq 0$),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < d_n x_n &= ((d/dt)\delta_t \bar{x}|_{t=1})(f(\|\bar{x}\|') + C) \\ &= (d/dt)f(\|\delta_t \bar{x}\|') = (d/dt)f(t\|\bar{x}\|') = f'(\|\bar{x}\|')\|\bar{x}\|' \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This contradiction proves (e)(ii) for $\partial A \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_n > C\}$. For $\partial A \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n: x_n < -C\}$, (e)(ii) follows by symmetry.

Theorem 2 below exhibits a very simple “convex body”, i.e. a set satisfying (α)–(ε), which yields a homogeneous subadditive norm. The proof, however, is more complicated.

THEOREM 2. *Let G be a homogeneous group and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ homogeneous coordinates ($\delta_t x = (t^{d_1} x_1, \dots, t^{d_n} x_n)$). There exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for $r < \varepsilon$ the set*

$$A = \{x: \sum x_i^2 < r^2\}$$

satisfies the conditions (α)–(ε). Consequently there is a homogeneous subadditive norm on G

$$\|x\|' = \inf\{t: \|\delta_{1/t} x\| < r\}$$

such that the unit ball $\{x: \|x\|' < 1\}$ coincides with the Euclidean ball $\{x: \|x\| < r\}$ ($\|x\| = (\sum x_i^2)^{1/2}$).

Proof. We verify only the condition (β) because the others are satisfied trivially. Put

$$V_1 = \text{lin}\{e_i: d_i < 2\}, \quad V_2 = \text{lin}\{e_i: d_i \geq 2\};$$

then $G = V_1 \oplus V_2$ as a linear space. Define $(x_1, x_2) = x_1 + x_2$, where $x_1 \in V_1, x_2 \in V_2$. Since $\delta_t[e_i, e_j] = t^{d_i+d_j}[e_i, e_j]$ and $d_k \geq 1$, it follows that $[x, y] \in V_2$ for all $x, y \in G$, so for $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ we have

$$x \cdot y = (x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2 + R(x, y)).$$

Let $R_1(x, y) = R((x_1, 0), (y_1, 0))$ and $R_2 = R - R_1$. In virtue of the Campbell–Hausdorff formula there is a constant C_1 such that for all $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$

$$\|R_1(x, y)\| \leq C_1 \| [x_1, y_1] \|.$$

Hence, by the inequality

$$\|[x, y]\| \leq C'_1 \|x\| \|y\| \| |x/\|x\| - y/\|y\| \|,$$

which is an easy consequence of the bilinearity and antisymmetry of $[\ , \]$, we have for some constant C_1

$$(1) \quad \|R_1(x, y)\| \leq C_1 \|x_1\| \|y_1\| \| |x_1/\|x_1\| - y_1/\|y_1\| \|$$

for all $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$. Also by the Campbell–Hausdorff formula there is a constant C' such that for $\|x\|, \|y\| < 1$

$$(*) \quad \|R_2(x, y)\| \leq C' (\|x_1\| \|y_2\| + \|x_2\| \|y_1\| + \|x_2\| \|y_2\|).$$

Let $v = \delta_t x_2 + \delta_{1-t} y_2 + R_2(\delta_t x, \delta_{1-t} y)$. By the definition $d_i \geq 2$ for $e_i \in V_2$, so in virtue of (*)

$$\|v\| \leq t^2 \|x_2\| + (1-t)^2 \|y_2\| + C' t(1-t) (\|x_1\| \|y_2\| + \|x_2\| \|y_1\| + \|x_2\| \|y_2\|).$$

Now, if we assume that $C'(\|x_1\| + \|x_2\| + \|y_1\|) \leq 1/2$ and $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then

$$\|v\| \leq t^2 \|x_2\| + (1-t)^2 \|y_2\| + \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) (\|x_2\| + \|y_2\|) \leq \|x_2\| + \|y_2\|$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\| &\leq t^2 \|x_2\| + (1-t)^2 \|y_2\| + \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) (\|x_2\| + \|y_2\|) \\ &= t \|x_2\| + (1-t) \|y_2\| - \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) (\|x_2\| + \|y_2\|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|v\| + \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) (\|x_2\| + \|y_2\|) \leq t \|x_2\| + (1-t) \|y_2\|$ and

$$(2) \quad \|v\|^2 (1+t(1-t)) \leq \|v\|^2 + t(1-t) \|v\| (\|x_2\| + \|y_2\|) \leq (\|v\| + \frac{1}{2} t(1-t) (\|x_2\| + \|y_2\|))^2 \leq (t \|x_2\| + (1-t) \|y_2\|)^2.$$

Note that $2(v_1, v_2) \leq t(1-t) \|v_1\|^2 + 4 \|v_2\|^2 / (t(1-t))$, where $(x, y) = \sum x_i y_i$ is the scalar product. Hence

$$(3) \quad \|v + R_1(\delta_t x, \delta_{1-t} y)\|^2 \leq \|v\|^2 (1+t(1-t)) + \|R_1\|^2 [1 + 4/(t(1-t))].$$

Observe also that

$$(4) \quad (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2 = \|x+y\|^2 + \|x\| \|y\| \| |x/\|x\| - y/\|y\| \|^2.$$

Finally, by (1)–(4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_t x \cdot \delta_{1-t} y\|^2 &= \|\delta_t x_1 + \delta_{1-t} y_1\|^2 + \|v + R_1(\delta_t x, \delta_{1-t} y)\|^2 \\ &\leq (\|\delta_t x_1\| + \|\delta_{1-t} y_1\|)^2 - \|\delta_t x_1\| \|\delta_{1-t} y_1\| \\ &\quad \times \|\delta_t x_1/\|\delta_t x_1\| - \delta_{1-t} y_1/\|\delta_{1-t} y_1\|\|^2 \\ &\quad + \|v\|^2 (1+t(1-t)) + \|R_1\|^2 [1 + 4/(t(1-t))] \\ &\leq (t \|x_1\| + (1-t) \|y_1\|)^2 + (t \|x_2\| + (1-t) \|y_2\|)^2 \\ &\quad + [1 + 4/(t(1-t))] C_1^2 t(1-t) \|x_1\| \|y_1\| \|\delta_t x_1\| \|\delta_{1-t} y_1\| \\ &\quad \times \|\delta_t x_1/\|\delta_t x_1\| - \delta_{1-t} y_1/\|\delta_{1-t} y_1\|\|^2 \\ &\quad - \|\delta_t x_1\| \|\delta_{1-t} y_1\| \|\delta_t x_1/\|\delta_t x_1\| - \delta_{1-t} y_1/\|\delta_{1-t} y_1\|\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

However, if $5C_1^2 \|x_1\| \|y_1\| < 1$, then the sum of the last two expressions will be nonpositive, so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\delta_t x \cdot \delta_{1-t} y\|^2 &\leq (t \|x_1\| + (1-t) \|y_1\|)^2 + (t \|x_2\| + (1-t) \|y_2\|)^2 \\ &\leq (t \|x\| + (1-t) \|y\|)^2. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Theorem 2.

References

- [1] R. R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse Harmonique Non-commutative sur Certains Espaces Homogènes*, Lecture Notes in Math. 242, Springer, Berlin 1971.
- [2] J. Cygan, *Subadditivity of homogeneous norms on certain nilpotent Lie groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 83 (1981), 69–70.
- [3] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy Spaces on Homogeneous Groups*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1982.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY UNIWERSYTETU WROCŁAWSKIEGO
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, WROCŁAW UNIVERSITY
 Pl. Grunwaldzki 2/4, 50-384 Wrocław, Poland

Received March 3, 1989
 Revised version July 17, 1989

(2544)

Renormalizations of Banach and locally convex algebras

by

ANTONIO FERNÁNDEZ (Sevilla) and VLADIMÍR MÜLLER (Prague)*

Abstract. Let $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed algebra and A its subalgebra. If $|\cdot|$ is an algebra norm on A equivalent to the restriction of $\|\cdot\|$ to A , then $|\cdot|$ can be extended to an algebra norm on B equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. This generalizes the result of Lindberg [3] for commutative algebras. An analogous statement is also proved for locally convex algebras. As a corollary this gives an affirmative answer to a problem of Żelazko [4]: The topology of a locally convex algebra B with unit e can always be given by a submultiplicative system of seminorms $|\cdot|_\alpha$ satisfying $|e|_\alpha = 1$ for all α .

1. Normed algebras. Let A, B be normed algebras and $f: A \rightarrow B$ an isomorphic embedding. We prove that B can be renormed in such a way that f will become isometric. This means that the concepts of isomorphic and isometric extensions coincide. This result was known for commutative normed algebras [3].

THEOREM 1. Let $(B, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed algebra and A its subalgebra. Suppose that $|\cdot|$ is an algebra norm on A satisfying

$$\alpha|a| \leq \|a\| \leq \beta|a| \quad \text{for all } a \in A,$$

where $0 < \alpha \leq 1 \leq \beta$. Then there exists an algebra norm $\|\cdot\|'$ on B such that $\|a\|' = |a|$ for all $a \in A$, and

$$(\alpha/\beta^2)\|b\|' \leq \|b\| \leq \beta\|b\|' \quad \text{for all } b \in B.$$

Proof. We may suppose that B has a unit e which belongs to A and $|e| = \|e\| = 1$. If either of these conditions is not satisfied we consider the unitizations $B_1 = \{b + \lambda : b \in B, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\}$ and $A_1 = \{a + \lambda : a \in A, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq B_1$ with naturally defined algebraic operations and the norms $\|b + \lambda\|_{B_1} = \|b\|_B + |\lambda|$ and $|a + \lambda|_{A_1} = |a|_A + |\lambda|$.

For $b \in B$ define $q(b) = \sup\{\|ab\| : a \in A, |a| \leq 1\}$. Clearly $q(b) \geq \|b\|$ and $q(b) \leq \|b\| \sup\{\|a\| : a \in A, |a| \leq 1\} \leq \beta\|b\|$.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46H10.

* The second author has been supported by a grant of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science.