

then the centre of any ball satisfying the Fefferman condition is in the ball of radius  $R$  and centre at zero. The bounds for the number of eigenvalues less than  $-\varepsilon$  for the potential  $V$  and the Fefferman estimates for  $N(-\varepsilon, \delta_{R+k\varepsilon^{-1/2}} V_\lambda)$  are, therefore, equal. When we repeat the argument fixing the radius  $R$  and substituting the potential  $\delta_{R+k\varepsilon^{-1/2}} V_\lambda$  for  $V_\lambda$ , we see that the radius of any ball which we must count does not exceed  $b^{1/2} E(\lambda|R+k\varepsilon^{-1/2})^{-1/2}$ . Therefore, if  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  is a maximal family of disjoint balls satisfying the Fefferman condition then for  $1 \leq j \leq n$

$$\int_{B_j} |V_\lambda|^p \geq c_2 E(\lambda|R+k\varepsilon^{-1/2})^{p-d/2}, \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$N(-\varepsilon, V) \leq c_3 E(\lambda|R+k\varepsilon^{-1/2})^{d/2-p} \int_{|y| < R+k\varepsilon^{-1/2}} |V_\lambda|^p,$$

which completes the proof.

Remark. Choosing zero as the centre of a "big" ball in spite of its arbitrariness does not influence much the value of the bound for large  $\lambda$ .

#### References

- [1] Ch. L. Fefferman, *The uncertainty principle*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1983), 129–206.
- [2] G. B. Folland, *Subelliptic estimates and function spaces on nilpotent Lie groups*, Ark. Mat. 13 (1975), 161–207.
- [3] A. A. Kirillov, *Unitary representations of nilpotent Lie groups* (in Russian), Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 17 (4) (1962), 57–110.
- [4] H. P. McKean,  *$-\Delta$  plus a bad potential*, J. Math. Phys. 18 (1977), 1277–1279.
- [5] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 2, Academic Press, 1975.
- [6] —, —, *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics*, Vol. 4, Academic Press, 1978.
- [7] B. Simon, *Functional Integration and Quantum Physics*, Academic Press, 1979.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY PAN, ODDZIAŁ WROCŁAW  
 INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES  
 WROCŁAW BRANCH  
 Kopernika 18, 51-617 Wrocław, Poland

Received July 26, 1988

Revised version February 7 and August 3, 1989

(2466)

### On weak $(r, 2)$ -summing operators and weak Hilbert spaces

by

MARTIN DEFANT and MARIUS JUNGE (Kiel)

**Abstract.** We study Pisier's concept of weak operator ideals and give applications, in particular to  $(r, 2)$ -summing operators. This leads to new characterizations of weak Hilbert spaces in terms of integral operators and Hilbert numbers. Moreover, a weak version of Grothendieck's inequality and the normability of certain Weyl number ideals are proved.

**Introduction.** We extend Pisier's [PS1] concept of weak properties of Banach spaces in the following way. If  $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathfrak{B}, \beta)$  are quasi-Banach ideals and  $0 < q < \infty$ , an operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  belongs to the ideal  $\mathfrak{B}_q(\alpha, \beta)$  if there is a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{A}(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{B}^*(Y, l_2)$  (the conjugate ideal of  $\mathfrak{B}$ )

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/q} a_k(vTu) \leq c\alpha(u)\beta^*(v),$$

where  $a_k$  is the  $k$ th approximation number.

In this notation, by [PS2],  $X$  is a weak Hilbert space if and only if  $\text{id}_X \in \mathfrak{B}_1(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)$ , where  $\Pi_2$  is the ideal of 2-summing operators.

Given an ideal  $(\mathfrak{B}, \beta)$ , we call an operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  a weak  $_q \beta$ -operator if it belongs to  $\mathfrak{B}_q \mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{B}_q(\|\cdot\|, \beta)$  ( $\mathfrak{B} \mathfrak{B} := \mathfrak{B}_1 \mathfrak{B}$  are the weak  $\beta$ -operators).

Denote by  $\Pi_{r,2}$  the  $(r, 2)$ -summing operators and by  $\mathfrak{L}_{p,\infty}^x$  all operators with Weyl numbers in the Lorentz sequence space  $l_{p,\infty}$ . We prove that

$$\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} = \mathfrak{L}_{p,\infty}^x, \quad 1 + 1/p = 1/q + 1/r > 1.$$

For  $q = 1$  this was shown in [PS1] and [MAS]. Even in this case, however, our proof is different and uses Grothendieck numbers.

As an application we prove that  $\mathfrak{L}_{p,\infty}^x$  admits an equivalent Banach ideal norm if and only if  $p > 2$ .

Using equalities of the form  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Omega = \mathfrak{B}_p \Pi_2$  ( $1/2 + 1/p = 1/q > 1$ ),  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} = \mathfrak{B}_p \Pi_2$  ( $1/2 + 1/p = 1/q + 1/r$ ) and an extension of a result of Geiss [GEI], we prove that the following are equivalent:

- 1)  $X$  is a weak Hilbert space.
- 2) Every operator  $T: l_1 \rightarrow X$  is weak 1-summing.
- 3) There exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all integral operators  $u: l_2 \rightarrow X$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ka_k(u) \leq ci_1(u).$$

- 4) For every  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  there is a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all operators  $u \in \Omega_{r,\infty}^h(l_2, X)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/r} a_k(u) \leq c \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/r} h_k(u),$$

where  $h_k$  is the  $k$ th Hilbert number.

Clearly the equivalence of 1) and 2) is a weak version of Grothendieck's inequality.

For the background material we refer in general to the monographs of König [KÖN], Pietsch [PI1], [PI2] and Pisier [PS2].

**1. Preliminaries.** We use standard Banach space notations. In particular, we have for all Banach spaces  $X$  and subspaces  $E \subset X$

$$i_E: E \rightarrow X, \quad x \mapsto x.$$

The Lorentz spaces  $l_{p,q}$  and  $l_{p,q}^n$ ,  $0 < p, q \leq \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , are defined in the usual way. Denote by  $i_{p,q}^n: l_p^n \rightarrow l_q^n$  the formal identity map.

Standard references on  $s$ -numbers and operator ideals are the monographs of Pietsch [PI1] and [PI2].

Note that for two quasi-Banach ideals  $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mathfrak{B}, \beta)$  the inclusion  $\mathfrak{A} \subset \mathfrak{B}$  implies  $\beta \leq c\alpha$  for some  $c \geq 0$ .

The ideals of bounded, finite-dimensional, absolutely  $(r, 2)$ -summing and integral operators are denoted by  $\Omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}$ ,  $\Pi_{r,2}$  and  $\mathfrak{I}_1$ , respectively ( $\Pi_2 := \Pi_{2,2}$ ).

Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$  be a quasi-Banach ideal. The component  $\mathfrak{A}^*(X, Y)$  of the conjugate ideal  $(\mathfrak{A}^*, \alpha^*)$  is the class of all operators  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$  such that

$$\alpha^*(T) := \sup \{ |\text{tr}(TS)| \mid S \in \mathfrak{F}(X, Y), \alpha(S) \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

The component  $\mathfrak{A}^d(X, Y)$  of the dual ideal  $(\mathfrak{A}^d, \alpha^d)$  is the class of all operators  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$  such that  $T^* \in \Omega(Y^*, X^*)$ , and  $\alpha^d(T) := \alpha(T^*)$ .

Next we recall the usual notation of some  $s$ -numbers of an operator  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$ :

- $a_n(T)$ : approximation numbers,
- $c_n(T)$ : Gelfand numbers,
- $x_n(T)$ : Weyl numbers,
- $y_n(T)$ : Chang numbers,
- $h_n(T)$ : Hilbert numbers.

The *Grothendieck numbers* were recently introduced by several authors. Let  $\text{Det}: \mathbb{K}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{K}^n$  be the unique determinant. Then

$$\Gamma_n(T) := \sup \{ |\text{Det}(\langle Tx_i, y_j^* \rangle)|^{1/n} \mid (x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset B_X, (y_k^*)_{k=1}^n \subset B_{Y^*} \}.$$

Let  $s$  be any  $s$ -number. The component  $\Omega_{p,q}^s(X, Y)$  of the ideal  $(\Omega_{p,q}^s, l_{p,q}^s)$  is the class of all operators  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$  such that

$$l_{p,q}^s(T) := \|s(T)\|_{p,q} < \infty.$$

Since on Hilbert space components all  $s$ -numbers are equal, we put  $\sigma_{p,q}(T) := l_{p,q}^s(T)$ , provided that  $T$  acts between Hilbert spaces.

Let  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $a \sim_c b$  ( $a \sim b$ ) means that  $(1/c)a \leq b \leq ca$ .

We now make a list of those known results and facts which are essential for this paper.

(1.1) [CAR] For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$  we have

$$\left( \prod_{k=1}^n c_k(T) \right)^{1/n} \leq \Gamma_n(T).$$

(1.2) [GEI] Let  $H, K$  be Hilbert spaces and  $T \in \Omega(H, K)$ . Then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left( \prod_{k=1}^n a_k(T) \right)^{1/n} = \Gamma_n(T).$$

In particular, when  $T \in \Omega(l_2^n, l_2^n)$  we have  $|\text{Det}(\langle T(e_i), e_j \rangle)|^{1/n} = \Gamma_n(T)$ , where  $(e_k)_{k=1}^n$  is the sequence of unit vectors in  $l_2^n$ .

(1.3) [GEI] For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$  and  $S \in \Pi_2(Y, Z)$  we have  $\Gamma_n(ST) \leq en^{-1/2} \pi_2(S) \Gamma_n(T)$ .

(1.4) [PI1] Let  $2 \leq r < \infty$ . Then  $\Omega_{r,1}^x \subset \Pi_{r,2} \subset \Omega_{r,\infty}^x$ . Furthermore, there is a constant  $c_r \geq 0$  such that  $l_{r,\infty}^x \leq \pi_{r,2} \leq c_r l_{r,1}^x$ .

(1.5) [JOH] Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $E$  be a Banach space with  $\dim E = n$ . Then there exists an invertible operator  $u \in \Omega(l_2^n, E)$  such that  $\|u\| = 1$  and  $\pi_2(u) = \pi_2(u^{-1}) = \sqrt{n}$ .

For further information on (1.5) see [PS2], [TOJ] and [KÖN].

**2. Weak operator ideals.** In this chapter we introduce the concept of weak operator ideals. Since most of the proofs of this chapter are technical and not very interesting, we just state our results. Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$ ,  $(\mathfrak{B}, \beta)$  be quasi-Banach ideals,  $X, Y, Z$  Banach spaces and  $0 < q < \infty$ . We start with the following definitions:

(2.1) An operator  $T \in \Omega(X, Y)$  has the *property*  $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha, \beta)$  ( $T \in \mathfrak{P}(\alpha, \beta)(X, Y)$ ) if

$$p(\alpha, \beta)(T) := \sup \{ \beta(TS) \mid Z \text{ Banach space, } S \in \mathfrak{A}(Z, X), \alpha(S) \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

Observe that  $(\mathfrak{P}(\alpha, \beta), p(\alpha, \beta)) = (\mathfrak{B} \circ \mathfrak{A}^{-1}, \beta \circ \alpha^{-1})$ .

(2.2) An operator  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$  has the property  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)$  (written  $T \in \mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)(X, Y)$ ) if

$$\omega_q(\alpha, \beta)(T) := \sup \{ \sigma_{q, \infty}(vTu) \mid u \in \mathfrak{U}(l_2, X), \alpha(u) \leq 1, \\ v \in \mathfrak{B}^*(Y, l_2), \beta^*(v) \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

In the case  $\alpha = \|\cdot\|$  we define

$$(\mathfrak{W}_q \mathfrak{B}, \omega_q \beta) := (\mathfrak{W}_q(\|\cdot\|, \beta), \omega_q(\|\cdot\|, \beta)).$$

In the case  $q = 1$  we define

$$(\mathfrak{W}(\alpha, \beta), \omega(\alpha, \beta)) := (\mathfrak{W}_1(\alpha, \beta), \omega_1(\alpha, \beta)), \quad (\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{B}, \omega\beta) := (\mathfrak{W}_1 \mathfrak{B}, \omega_1 \beta).$$

2.3. PROPOSITION. (i)  $(\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta), \omega_q(\alpha, \beta))$  is a quasi-Banach ideal and for all  $1 < q < \infty$  there exists an equivalent Banach ideal norm.

(ii)  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta) = \mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta^{**})$ .

(iii)  $T \in \mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)(X, Y)$  if and only if

$$M(T) := \sup \{ n^{1/q} a_n(vTu) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, u \in \mathfrak{U}(l_2^n, X), \alpha(u) \leq 1, \\ v \in \mathfrak{U}(Y, l_2^n), \beta^*(v) \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

In this case we have  $\omega_q(\alpha, \beta)(T) = M(T)$ .

(iv)  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta) = \mathfrak{P}(\alpha, \omega_q \beta) = (\mathfrak{B}^*)^{-1} \circ \mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, i_1)$ .

(v)  $\mathfrak{P}(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $1 \leq q < \infty$ .

(vi) Suppose that for all operators  $R \in \mathfrak{L}(Z, Y)$  we have  $R \in \mathfrak{B}$  if and only if

$$\sup \{ \beta^{**}(Rw) \mid w \in \mathfrak{U}(l_2, Z), \|w\| \leq 1 \} < \infty.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathfrak{P}(\alpha, \beta)$  for all  $0 < q < 1$ .

2.4. PROPOSITION. (i) Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be an injective Banach ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)$  is injective.

(ii) Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an injective Banach ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha^{*d}, \beta)$  is surjective.

(iii) Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an injective quasi-Banach ideal and  $\mathfrak{B}$  an injective or  $\mathfrak{B}^*$  a surjective Banach ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)$  is maximal.

(iv) Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an injective Banach ideal and  $\mathfrak{B}$  an injective or  $\mathfrak{B}^*$  a surjective Banach ideal. Then  $\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha^{*d}, \beta)$  is maximal.

(v) Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an injective Banach ideal and  $\mathfrak{B}$  an injective quasi-Banach ideal. Then

$$\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha^{*d}, \beta) = \mathfrak{W}_q(\beta^{*d}, \alpha)^d.$$

If additionally  $\mathfrak{B}$  is an injective Banach ideal, then

$$\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha, \beta)^d = \mathfrak{W}_q(\beta^{*d}, \alpha^{*d}).$$

(vi) Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an injective Banach ideal. Then

$$\mathfrak{W}_q(\alpha^{*d}, i_1) = (\mathfrak{W}_q \mathfrak{U})^d.$$

2.5. PROPOSITION. Every maximal quasi-Banach ideal  $(\mathfrak{U}, \alpha)$  with  $\mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}$  admits an equivalent Banach ideal norm.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3 (v) and (ii) we have  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{U}^{**} \subset \mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{U}^{**} = \mathfrak{W}\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}$ . Since  $(\mathfrak{U}^{**}, \alpha^{**})$  is a Banach ideal, the assertion is proved. ■

3. Some new aspects of Grothendieck numbers. We generalize Geiss' result (1.3) as follows:

3.1. PROPOSITION. For all  $0 < r < \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$  and  $S \in \mathfrak{L}_{r, \infty}^x(Y, Z)$  we have

$$\Gamma_n(ST) \leq (2e)^{1/2+1/r} en^{-1/r} l_{r, \infty}^x(S) \Gamma_n(T).$$

Proof. W.l.o.g. we may assume that  $\dim Y \geq n$ . Fix  $(x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset B_X$ ,  $(z_k^*)_{k=1}^n \subset B_{Z^*}$  and define

$$u := \sum_{k=1}^n e_k \otimes x_k \in \mathfrak{U}(l_2^n, X), \quad v := \sum_{k=1}^n z_k^* \otimes f_k \in \mathfrak{U}(Z, l_\infty^n),$$

where  $(e_k)_{k=1}^n \subset l_2^n$ ,  $(f_k)_{k=1}^n \subset l_\infty^n$  are the unit vectors. Then we have  $\|v\| = \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} \|z_k^*\| \leq 1$ .

Choose a subspace  $Tu(l_2^n) \subset E \subset Y$  with  $\dim E = n$ . By (1.5) there exists an invertible operator  $w \in \mathfrak{L}(E, l_2^n)$  such that  $\pi_2(w^{-1}) = \pi_2(w) = \sqrt{n}$  and  $\|w^{-1}\| = 1$ . Hence there exists an extension  $W \in \mathfrak{L}(Y, l_2^n)$  of  $w$  (i.e.  $W|_E = w$ ) with  $\pi_2(W) = \sqrt{n}$  (e.g. [PI1]).

The multiplicativity of the Weyl numbers and (1.4) imply

$$\begin{aligned} a_k(i_{\infty, 2}^n v S i_E w^{-1}) &\leq x_{2((k+1)/2)-1}(i_{\infty, 2}^n v S i_E w^{-1}) \\ &\leq x_{((k+1)/2)}(i_{\infty, 2}^n) x_{((k+1)/2)}(S) \|v\| \|i_E\| \|w^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \left[ \frac{k+1}{2} \right]^{-1/2} \pi_2(i_{\infty, 2}^n) \left[ \frac{k+1}{2} \right]^{-1/r} l_{r, \infty}^x(S) \\ &\leq 2^{1/2+1/r} k^{-1/2-1/r} n^{1/2} l_{r, \infty}^x(S). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (1.2)–(1.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Det}(\langle STx_i, z_j^* \rangle)|^{1/n} &= |\text{Det}(\langle i_{\infty, 2}^n v S Tu(e_i), e_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \\ &= |\text{Det}(\langle i_{\infty, 2}^n v S i_E w^{-1} W Tu(e_i), e_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \\ &= |\text{Det}(\langle i_{\infty, 2}^n v S i_E w^{-1}(e_i), e_j \rangle)|^{1/n} |\text{Det}(\langle W Tu(e_i), e_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \\ &= \left( \prod_{k=1}^n a_k(i_{\infty, 2}^n v S i_E w^{-1}) \right)^{1/n} |\text{Det}(\langle W Tx_i, e_j \rangle)|^{1/n} \\ &\leq 2^{1/2+1/r} n^{1/2} \left( \prod_{k=1}^n k^{-1/2-1/r} \right)^{1/n} l_{r, \infty}^x(S) \Gamma_n(WT) \\ &\leq 2^{1/2+1/r} n^{1/2} (e/n)^{1/2+1/r} l_{r, \infty}^x(S) en^{-1/2} \pi_2(W) \Gamma_n(T) \\ &= (2e)^{1/2+1/r} en^{-1/r} l_{r, \infty}^x(S) \Gamma_n(T). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The next proposition is a kind of converse of Proposition 3.1.

3.2. PROPOSITION. Let  $(\mathbb{C}, \gamma)$  be a quasi-Banach ideal and  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ . Then we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

- (i)  $\Gamma_n(T) \leq \sup \{ \Gamma_n(vT) \mid v \in \mathfrak{C}(Y, l_2), \gamma(v) \leq \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n) \}$ .
- (ii)  $\Gamma_n(T) \leq \sup \{ \Gamma_n(Ti_E u) \mid E \subset X, \dim E = n, u \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2^n, E), \gamma^d(u) \leq \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n) \}$ .

Proof. (ii) Let  $(x_k)_{k=1}^n \subset B_X$  and  $(y_k^*)_{k=1}^n \subset B_{Y^*}$ . Choose a subspace  $\{x_k\}_{k=1}^n \subset E \subset X$  with  $\dim E = n$  (w.l.o.g.  $\dim X \geq n$ ). We define  $S := \sum_{k=1}^n f_k \otimes x_k \in \mathfrak{L}(l_1^n, E)$ , where  $(f_k)_{k=1}^n$  are unit vectors in  $l_1^n$ . Furthermore, let  $u := Si_{2,1}^n \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2^n, E)$  and hence

$$\gamma^d(u) \leq \gamma^d(i_{2,1}^n) \|S\| = \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n) \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} \|x_k\| \leq \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n).$$

Let  $(e_k)_{k=1}^n$  be the sequence of unit vectors in  $l_2^n$ . Then

$$|\text{Det}(\langle Tx_i, y_j^* \rangle)|^{1/n} = |\text{Det}(\langle Ti_E u(e_i), y_j^* \rangle)|^{1/n} \leq \Gamma_n(Ti_E u).$$

(i) can be proved similarly. ■

4. A criterion to compute weak norms. The purpose of this chapter is to give a necessary and sufficient criterion for an operator to be in a weak operator ideal.

4.1. PROPOSITION. Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha), (\mathbb{C}, \gamma)$  be quasi-Banach ideals and let  $(f(n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a positive sequence such that

$$c := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n) \left( \prod_{k=1}^n 1/f(k) \right)^{1/n} < \infty.$$

Then we have for all  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$  the implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Furthermore, we have the estimates  $M_3(T) \leq M_2(T) \leq cM_1(T)$ .

(i) There exists a constant  $M_1(T) \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{A}(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{C}(Y, l_2)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f(k) a_k(vTu) \leq M_1(T) \alpha(u) \gamma(v).$$

(ii) There exists a constant  $M_2(T) \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{A}(l_2, X)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\gamma(i_{\infty,2}^k)} f(k) \Gamma_k(Tu) \leq M_2(T) \alpha(u).$$

(iii) There exists a constant  $M_3(T) \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{A}(l_2, X)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\gamma(i_{\infty,2}^k)} f(k) a_k(Tu) \leq M_3(T) \alpha(u).$$

If additionally

$$b := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{ f(2k)/f(k), f(2k-1)/f(k) \} < \infty$$

and  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^k) h_k(v) \leq \gamma(v)$  for all  $v \in \mathfrak{C}(Y, l_2)$ , then (i), (ii) and (iii) are equivalent and we have  $(1/b)M_1(T) \leq M_3(T) \leq M_2(T) \leq cM_1(T)$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Let  $u \in \mathfrak{A}(l_2, X)$ . We obtain from Proposition 3.2 and (1.2) for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n)} f(n) \Gamma_n(Tu) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n)} f(n) \sup \left\{ \left( \prod_{k=1}^n a_k(wTu) \right)^{1/n} \mid w \in \mathfrak{C}(Y, l_2), \gamma(w) \leq \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n) \right\} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n)} f(n) \left( \prod_{k=1}^n 1/f(k) \right)^{1/n} \\ & \quad \times \sup \left\{ \sup_{k=1, \dots, n} f(k) a_k(wTu) \mid w \in \mathfrak{C}(Y, l_2), \gamma(w) \leq \gamma(i_{\infty,2}^n) \right\} \\ & \leq cM_1(T) \alpha(u). \end{aligned}$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follows from (1.1) and we have  $M_3(T) \leq M_2(T)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Let the additional assumptions hold. Then we obtain for all  $u \in \mathfrak{A}(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{C}(Y, l_2)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f(k) a_k(vTu) & \leq b \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} f(\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor) x_{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor}(v) x_{\lfloor (k+1)/2 \rfloor}(Tu) \\ & \leq b\gamma(v) \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\gamma(i_{\infty,2}^k)} f(k) x_k(Tu) \leq bM_3(T) \alpha(u) \gamma(v). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark. Using the second part of Proposition 3.2 it is possible to prove a "left-hand" version of the preceding result.

For applications in Chapter 5 the following corollary is useful.

4.2. COROLLARY. Let  $(\mathfrak{A}, \alpha)$  be a quasi-Banach ideal. Then for all  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_q \mathfrak{A}$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/q} \frac{1}{\alpha^*(i_{\infty,2}^k)} x_k(T) \leq e^{1/q} \omega_q \alpha(T).$$

Proof. Choose  $(\mathbb{C}, \gamma) := (\mathfrak{A}^*, \alpha^*)$  and  $f(n) := n^{1/q}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$c := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n) \left( \prod_{k=1}^n 1/f(k) \right)^{1/n} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{1/q} (1/n!)^{1/nq} \leq e^{1/q} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/q} a_k(vTu) \leq \omega_q \alpha(T) \|u\| \alpha^*(v) \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2, X) \text{ and } v \in \mathfrak{A}^*(Y, l_2).$$

Proposition 4.1 (i)⇒(iii) yields for all  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2, X)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/q} \frac{1}{\alpha^*(i_{\infty,2}^k)} a_k(Tu) \leq e^{1/q} \omega_q \alpha(T) \|u\|. \blacksquare$$

**5. Weak  $(r, 2)$ -summing operators.** The following proposition follows immediately from Proposition 2.4.

**5.1. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $2 \leq r < \infty$  and  $0 < q < \infty$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2}$  is an injective and maximal quasi-Banach ideal.
- (ii)  $(\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2})^d = \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_{r,2}^{*d}, i_1)$ .

**Remark.** For all  $2 \leq r < \infty$  and  $v \in \mathcal{L}(X, l_2)$ ,  $v \in \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_{r,2}^{*d}, i_1)(X, l_2)$  if and only if

$$\omega_q(\pi_{r,2}^{*d}, i_1)(v) = \sup\{\sigma_{q,\infty}(vu) \mid u \in \Pi_{r,2}^{*d}(l_2, X), \pi_{r,2}^{*d}(u) \leq 1\} < \infty.$$

Mascioni [MAS] denotes the above supremum by “ $\omega\pi_{r,2}^d(v)$ ”. Hence  $(\omega\pi_{r,2})^d = “\omega\pi_{r,2}^d”$ .

The following lemma was essentially proved by Mascioni [MAS].

**5.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $v \in \mathcal{L}(Y, l_2)$  and  $1 < q, q' < \infty$  with  $1/q + 1/q' = 1$ . Then*

- (i)  $l_{q',\infty}^x(v) \leq (l_{q,1}^x)^*(v) \leq 2l_{q',\infty}^x(v)$ .
- (ii)  $l_{1,\infty}^x(v) \leq i_1(v)$ .

**Proof.** (ii) Let  $u \in \mathfrak{F}(l_2, Y)$  with  $\|u\| \leq 1$ . Then  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ka_k(vu) \leq \sigma_1(vu) \leq i_1(v) \|u\| \leq i_1(v)$ .  $\blacksquare$

**5.3. LEMMA.** *There exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

- (i)  $(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{1,2}^n) \sim_c (1 + \ln n)$ .
- (ii)  $\omega l_{2,\infty}^x(i_{2,1}^n) \sim_c n/(1 + \ln n)$ .

**Proof.** (i) Let  $u \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^2, l_1^2)$ . Hence by (1.4)

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr } i_{1,2}^n u| &\leq \sigma_1(i_{1,2}^n u) \leq (1 + \ln n) \sigma_{1,\infty}(i_{1,2}^n u) \\ &\leq 2(1 + \ln n) l_{2,\infty}^x(i_{1,2}^n) l_{2,\infty}^x(u) \\ &\leq 2(1 + \ln n) \pi_2(i_{1,2}^n) l_{2,\infty}^x(u) \leq 2(1 + \ln n) l_{2,\infty}^x(u). \end{aligned}$$

To prove the reverse estimate consider the diagonal operator  $D_\sigma: l_2 \rightarrow l_1$ ,  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto (k^{-1} x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Since  $D_\sigma \in \mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}^x(l_2, l_1)$  (e.g. [PI1]), we obtain

$$(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{1,2}^n) \geq c^{-1} |\text{tr } i_{1,2}^n D_\sigma| \geq (3c)^{-1} (1 + \ln n).$$

(ii) The lower estimate follows from (i):

$$\frac{1}{c} \frac{n}{1 + \ln n} \leq \frac{\sigma_{1,\infty}(i_{1,2}^n i_{2,1}^n i_{2,2}^n)}{\|i_{2,2}^n\| (l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{1,2}^n)} \leq \omega l_{2,\infty}^x(i_{2,1}^n).$$

Since  $(\mathcal{L}_{2,\infty}^x)^*$  is a Banach ideal,  $(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{1,2}^n) (l_{2,\infty}^x)^{**}(i_{2,1}^n) = n$  (e.g. [PI2]). Hence from Proposition 2.3 and (i) we obtain the upper estimate:

$$\omega l_{2,\infty}^x(i_{2,1}^n) = \omega (l_{2,\infty}^x)^{**}(i_{2,1}^n) \leq (l_{2,\infty}^x)^{**}(i_{2,1}^n) = \frac{n}{(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{1,2}^n)} \leq c \frac{n}{1 + \ln n}. \blacksquare$$

**5.4. LEMMA.** *For all  $0 < r < \infty$  there is a constant  $c_r > 0$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$*

- (i)  $(l_{r,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^n) \sim_{c_r} \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & \text{if } r < 2, \\ \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)} & \text{if } r = 2, \\ n^{1-1/r} & \text{if } r > 2, \end{cases}$
- (ii)  $\omega l_{r,\infty}^x(i_{2,\infty}^n) \sim_{c_r} \begin{cases} \sqrt{n} & \text{if } r < 2, \\ \sqrt{n/(1 + \ln n)} & \text{if } r = 2, \\ n^{1/r} & \text{if } r > 2. \end{cases}$

**Proof.** (i) For all  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  we have by (1.4)

$$x_k(i_{\infty,2}^n) \leq \pi_2(i_{\infty,2}^n) k^{-1/2} = (n/k)^{1/2}.$$

This implies for all  $u \in \mathcal{L}_{r,\infty}^x(l_2^2, l_\infty^2)$

$$|\text{tr } i_{\infty,2}^n u| \leq \sigma_1(i_{\infty,2}^n u) \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1/r} x_k(i_{\infty,2}^n) l_{r,\infty}^x(u) \leq 2\sqrt{n} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{-1/2-1/r} l_{r,\infty}^x(u).$$

This proves the upper estimate.

If  $r < 2$ , then  $(l_{r,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^n) \geq \|i_{\infty,2}^n\| = \sqrt{n}$ . If  $r > 2$ , then

$$(l_{r,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^n) \geq \frac{n}{l_{r,\infty}^x(i_{2,\infty}^n)} \geq \frac{n}{n^{1/r} \|i_{2,\infty}^n\|} = n^{1-1/r}.$$

Now let  $r = 2$ . By [PI1], we have  $a_n(i_{2,1}^n) = (n+1)^{1/2}$ . By Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 5.3

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^n)} (n+1)^{1/2} &= \frac{n}{(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^n)} x_n(i_{2,1}^n) \\ &\leq e \omega l_{2,\infty}^x(i_{2,1}^n) \leq ec 2n/(1 + \ln 2n). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $(l_{2,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^n) \geq (2ec)^{-1} \sqrt{n(1 + \ln n)}$ .

(ii) follows from (i) as the corresponding implication in the proof of Lemma 5.3.  $\blacksquare$

**5.5. THEOREM.** *Let  $0 < q, p < \infty$ ,  $1 \leq t \leq \infty$  and  $2 \leq r < \infty$ . Then*

- (i)  $\mathfrak{B}_q \mathcal{L}_{r,t}^x = \mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} = \mathcal{L}$  if  $1/q + 1/r \leq 1$ .
- (ii)  $\mathfrak{B}_q \mathcal{L}_{r,1}^x = \mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} = \mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}^x$  if  $1/q + 1/r > 1$  and  $1 + 1/p = 1/q + 1/r$ .

If additionally  $r > 2$ , then  $\mathfrak{B}_q \mathcal{L}_{r,t}^x = \mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}^x$ .

- (iii)  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Omega = \Omega_{p,\infty}^x$  if  $0 < q < 1$  and  $1 + 1/p = 1/q$ .
- (iv)  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_1^s = \Omega_{q,\infty}^h$  for each  $s$ -number  $s$ .
- (v)  $\mathfrak{B}_p \Omega_{p,\infty}^x \not\cong \Omega_{p,\infty}^x$  if  $0 < p \leq 2$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $1/q + 1/r \leq 1$ . Hence  $r \leq q$ . By Lemma 5.2 we have for all  $T \in \mathfrak{L}(X, Y)$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in (\Omega_{r,1}^x)^*(Y, l_2)$

$$\sigma_{q,\infty}(vTu) \leq \sigma_{r,\infty}(vTu) \leq \|T\| \|u\| l_{r,\infty}^x(v) \leq \|T\| \|u\| (l_{r,1}^x)^*(v).$$

This proves  $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,1}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,t}^x \subset \Omega$  and  $\Omega \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,1}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} \subset \Omega$ .

(ii) Let  $1/q + 1/r > 1$ . By Lemma 5.2 we have for all  $T \in \Omega_{p,\infty}^x(X, Y)$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in (\Omega_{r,1}^x)^*(Y, l_2)$

$$\sigma_{q,\infty}(vTu) \leq 2^{1/q} l_{p,\infty}^x(Tu) l_{r,\infty}^x(v) \leq 2^{1/q} l_{p,\infty}^x(T) \|u\| (l_{r,1}^x)^*(v).$$

This shows  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,1}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,t}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,\infty}^x$  and, by (1.4),  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,1}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,\infty}^x$ .

Note that  $\pi_{r,2}^*(i_{\infty,2}^k) = \sqrt{k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By (1.4) and Lemma 5.4 for all  $r > 2$  there exists  $c_r \geq 0$  such that for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\pi_{r,2}^*(i_{\infty,2}^k) \leq (l_{r,\infty}^x)^*(i_{\infty,2}^k) \leq c_r k^{1-1/r}.$$

Hence  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_2 \subset \Omega_{p,\infty}^x$  by Corollary 4.2 and  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_{r,2} \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega_{r,\infty}^x \subset \Omega_{p,\infty}^x$  for all  $r > 2$ . This completes the proof of (ii).

(iii) By Lemma 5.2 we have for all  $T \in \Omega_{p,\infty}^x(X, Y)$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in \Omega^*(Y, l_2) = \mathfrak{S}_1(Y, l_2)$

$$\sigma_{q,\infty}(vTu) \leq 2^{1/q} l_{p,\infty}^x(Tu) l_{1,\infty}^x(v) \leq 2^{1/q} l_{p,\infty}^x(T) \|u\| i_1(v).$$

Hence  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}_q \Omega$ . The reverse inclusion follows again from Corollary 4.2, since  $i_1(i_{\infty,2}^k) = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(iv) Observe that  $(\Omega_1^s)^*(Y, l_2) = \mathfrak{L}(Y, l_2)$ . Hence (iv) is obvious.

(v) Since  $l_{p,\infty}^x(i_{\infty,2}^k) \sim n^{1/p}$  (e.g. [PI1]), (v) follows from Lemma 5.4. ■

**5.6. COROLLARY.** *The following operator ideals possess an equivalent Banach ideal norm:*

- (i)  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^h$  if  $1 < p \leq \infty$ ,
- (ii)  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^x$  and  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^y$  if  $2 < p \leq \infty$ .

*In all other cases of  $p$ , there exists no equivalent Banach ideal norm.*

*Proof.* Since by Proposition 2.3 for all  $1 < q < \infty$   $\mathfrak{B}_q(\alpha, \beta)$  has an equivalent Banach ideal norm the assertion follows from Theorem 5.5.

The Lorentz spaces  $l_{p,\infty}$ ,  $0 < p \leq 1$ , possess no equivalent norm. Hence the operator ideal  $\Omega_{p,\infty}^s$  has no equivalent Banach ideal norm for any  $s$ -number  $s$ .

Lemma 5.4 yields for  $\alpha = l_{r,\infty}^x$  that

$$\alpha^*(i_{\infty,2}^n) \alpha(i_{\infty,2}^n) \sim \begin{cases} n(1 + \ln n) & \text{if } r = 2, \\ n^{-1/2+1/r} & \text{if } 1 < r < 2. \end{cases}$$

For Banach ideals  $(\mathfrak{B}, \beta)$ , by [PI2],  $\beta^*(i_{\infty,2}^n) \beta(i_{\infty,2}^n) = n$ . Thus  $l_{r,\infty}^x$  cannot be equivalent to any Banach ideal norm for  $1 \leq r \leq 2$ . ■

The following corollary contains a quotient formula for Weyl numbers.

**5.7. COROLLARY.** *Let  $0 < p, q, s, t < \infty$ ,  $0 < z \leq \infty$  and  $2 \leq r < \infty$  such that  $1 + 1/s + 1/p = 1/q + 1/r = 1 + 1/t$ . Then*

$$\Omega_{p,\infty}^x = \mathfrak{B}(l_{s,z}^x, l_{t,\infty}^x) = \mathfrak{B}_q(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_{r,2}).$$

*In particular,  $T \in \Omega_{p,\infty}^x(X, Y)$  if and only if there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that  $l_{t,\infty}^x(Tu) \leq c l_{s,z}^x(u)$  for all  $u \in \Omega_{s,z}^x(l_2, X)$ .*

*Proof.* By the multiplicativity of the Weyl numbers, Proposition 2.3 (iv) and Theorem 5.5 we have obviously

$$\Omega_{p,\infty}^x \subset \mathfrak{B}(l_{s,\infty}^x, l_{t,\infty}^x) \subset \mathfrak{B}(l_{s,z}^x, l_{t,\infty}^x) = \mathfrak{B}(l_{s,z}^x, \omega_q \pi_{r,2}) = \mathfrak{B}_q(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_{r,2}).$$

Let  $0 < e, f < \infty$  such that  $1/2 + 1/e = 1 + 1/t$  and  $1/2 + 1/f = 1 + 1/p$ . Then again by Proposition 2.3 (iv) and Theorem 5.5

$$\mathfrak{B}_q(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_{r,2}) = \mathfrak{B}(l_{s,z}^x, l_{t,\infty}^x) = \mathfrak{B}_e(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_2) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{B}_f(\|\cdot\|, \pi_2) = \Omega_{p,\infty}^x.$$

So it remains to show that  $\mathfrak{B}_e(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_2) \subset \mathfrak{B}_f(\|\cdot\|, \pi_2)$ .

For this, let  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_e(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_2)(X, Y)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $u \in \mathfrak{L}(l_2^s, X)$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{L}(Y, l_2^t)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/f} a_n(vTu) &\leq n^{1/f-1/e} \sigma_{e,\infty}(vTu) \leq n^{1/f-1/e} \omega_e(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_2)(T) l_{s,z}^x(u) \pi_2(v) \\ &\leq c n^{1/f-1/e+1/s} \omega_e(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_2)(T) \|u\| \pi_2(v) \\ &= c \omega_e(l_{s,z}^x, \pi_2) \|u\| \pi_2(v), \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $c \geq 0$  only depends on  $s$  and  $z$ . Hence Proposition 2.3 (iii) completes the proof. ■

We finish this chapter with the following easy proposition:

**5.8. PROPOSITION.** *For all  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $1 \leq p \leq 2$  we have  $\mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_p = \mathfrak{B}_q \Pi_2$ .*

*Proof.* We only have to observe that by the Grothendieck–Pietsch factorization theorem  $\Pi_p(l_2, X) = \Pi_2(l_2, X)$  for all  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . ■

**6. Some applications to weak Hilbert spaces.** Weak Hilbert spaces were introduced and investigated by Pisier [PS1]. We use one of their various characterizations as a formal definition:

(6.1) We call  $\mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)$  the ideal of *weak Hilbert operators*. A Banach space  $X$  is called a *weak Hilbert space* if  $\text{id}_X$  is a weak Hilbert operator.

Proposition 2.4 yields immediately the following

6.2. PROPOSITION. For all  $0 < q < \infty$  the quasi-Banach ideal  $\mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)$  is injective, surjective, maximal and completely symmetric.

The next theorem is a weak version of Grothendieck's inequality.

6.3. PROPOSITION. A Banach space  $X$  is a weak Hilbert space if and only if  $\mathfrak{B}\Pi_1(l_1, X) = \mathfrak{Q}(l_1, X)$ .

Proof. First of all note that by the "little Grothendieck theorem"  $\Pi_2^d(l_2, L) = \mathfrak{Q}(l_2, L)$  for each  $\mathfrak{L}_1^d$ -space  $L$  and therefore we have  $\mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)(L, X) = \mathfrak{B}\Pi_2(L, X)$  for each Banach space  $X$ .

Now let  $X$  be a weak Hilbert space. Then by the ideal properties and Proposition 5.8

$$\mathfrak{Q}(l_1, X) = \mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)(l_1, X) = \mathfrak{B}\Pi_2(l_1, X) = \mathfrak{B}\Pi_1(l_1, X).$$

For the reverse direction let  $X$  be a Banach space such that  $\mathfrak{B}\Pi_1(l_1, X) = \mathfrak{Q}(l_1, X)$ . By the maximality of  $\mathfrak{B}\Pi_2$  (Proposition 5.1) and by Proposition 5.8 we obtain therefore

$$\mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)(l_1(B_X), X) = \mathfrak{B}\Pi_2(l_1(B_X), X) = \mathfrak{Q}(l_1(B_X), X).$$

But this implies that the canonical surjection  $Q: l_1(B_X) \rightarrow X$  is a weak Hilbert operator. Hence the surjectivity of  $\mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)$  (Proposition 6.2) completes the proof. ■

Now we want to characterize weak Hilbert spaces only by Weyl numbers and integral operators.

6.4. THEOREM. Let  $0 < q, p < \infty$  such that  $1/p = 1 + 1/q$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2) = \mathfrak{B}_p(i_1, \|\cdot\|) = \mathfrak{P}(i_1, l_{q,\infty}^x).$$

In particular, for all Banach spaces  $X$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is a weak Hilbert space.
- (2) There exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{S}_1(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{S}_1(Y, l_2)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^2 a_k(vu) \leq ci_1(u) i_1(v).$$

- (3) There exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{S}_1(l_2, X)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} ka_k(u) \leq ci_1(u).$$

Proof. Let  $0 < r, s, t < \infty$  such that  $1/r = 1 + 1/s = 1/2 + 1/q$ . Then Proposition 2.4 (v) and Theorem 5.5 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2) &= \mathfrak{P}(\pi_2^d, \omega_q \pi_2) = \mathfrak{P}(\pi_2^d, l_{s,\infty}^x) = \mathfrak{P}(\pi_2^d, \omega, \|\cdot\|) = \mathfrak{B}_r(\pi_2^d, \|\cdot\|) \\ &= \mathfrak{B}_r(\pi_2^{*d}, \|\cdot\|) = \mathfrak{B}_r(i_1, \pi_2)^d = \mathfrak{P}(i_1, \omega, \pi_2)^d = \mathfrak{P}(i_1, l_{q,\infty}^x)^d \\ &= \mathfrak{P}(i_1, \omega_p \|\cdot\|)^d = \mathfrak{B}_p(i_1, \|\cdot\|)^d = \mathfrak{B}_p(i_1, \|\cdot\|). \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_p(i_1, \|\cdot\|)(X, Y)$ . Hence we have for all  $u \in \mathfrak{S}_1(l_2, X)$  and  $v \in \mathfrak{S}_1(Y, l_2)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/p} a_k(vTu) \leq \omega_p(i_1, \|\cdot\|) i_1(u) i_1(v).$$

Since  $i_1(i_{\infty,2}^h) = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $l_{1,\infty}^h(v) \leq i_1(v)$  for all  $v \in \mathfrak{S}_1(Y, l_2)$  (Lemma 5.2), by Proposition 4.1 (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) the inequality above is equivalent to: there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{S}_1(l_2, X)$

$$\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/p-1} a_k(Tu) \leq ci_1(u).$$

But this means  $T \in \mathfrak{P}(i_1, l_{q,\infty}^x)(X, Y)$ . ■

The following proposition is proved in the special case of weak Hilbert spaces by Pisier [PS1]. The general case was obtained by Pietsch [PI3].

6.5. PROPOSITION. Let  $0 < q < \infty$  and  $T \in \mathfrak{Q}$ . Then  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)$  if and only if

$$G_q(T) := \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/q-1} \Gamma_k(T) < \infty.$$

Remark. Using Proposition 4.1, Proposition 3.2 and (1.3) we get a different proof of Proposition 6.5, yielding the following estimates:

$$G_q(T) \leq \omega_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)(T) \leq 2^{1/q} e G_q(T).$$

In the last theorem we characterize weak Hilbert spaces by Weyl and Hilbert numbers.

6.6. THEOREM. Let  $0 < q, s < \infty$  and  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  such that  $1 + 1/s = 1/q + 1/r$ . Then

$$\mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2) = \mathfrak{P}(l_{r,\infty}^h, l_{s,\infty}^x).$$

In particular, for all Banach spaces  $X$  the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $X$  is a weak Hilbert space.
- (2) For all  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{L}_{r,\infty}^h(l_2, X)$

$$l_{r,\infty}^x(u) \leq cl_{r,\infty}^h(u).$$

- (3) There exist  $1 \leq r \leq 2$  and a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that for all  $u \in \mathfrak{L}_{r,\infty}^h(l_2, X)$

$$l_{r,\infty}^x(u) \leq cl_{r,\infty}^h(u).$$

Proof. Let  $T \in \mathfrak{P}(l_{r,\infty}^h, l_{s,\infty}^x)(X, Y)$ . If  $r > 1$ , we have by Lemma 5.2 and (1.4) for all  $u \in \mathfrak{L}_{r,\infty}^h(l_2, X)$

$$l_{s,\infty}^x(Tu) \leq cl_{r,\infty}^h(u) = cl_{r,\infty}^h(u^*) = cl_{r,\infty}^h(u^*) \leq c(l_{r,1}^x)^*(u^*) \leq cc_r \pi_{r,2}^{*d}(u).$$

Let  $0 < p < \infty$  such that  $1/2 + 1/p = 1 + 1/s$ . Hence by Propositions 2.3 and 2.4, Theorem 5.5 and Proposition 6.2

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{B}(l_{r,\infty}^h, l_{s,\infty}^x) &\subset \mathfrak{B}(\pi_{r,2}^{*d}, l_{s,\infty}^x) = \mathfrak{B}(\pi_{r,2}^{*d}, \omega_p \pi_2) = \mathfrak{B}_p(\pi_{r,2}^{*d}, \pi_2) \\ &= \mathfrak{B}_p(\pi_2^d, \pi_{r,2}^d) = \mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \omega_p \pi_{r,2}^d) = \mathfrak{B}(\pi_2^d, \omega_q \pi_2^d) \\ &= \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2^d) = \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2). \end{aligned}$$

In the case  $r = 1$ , by Lemma 5.2 we have  $l_{s,\infty}^x(Tu) \leq c l_{1,\infty}^h(u) \leq c i_1(u)$  for all  $u \in \Omega_{1,\infty}^h(l_2, X)$ . Hence  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_s(\pi_2^d, \pi_2) = \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)$  by Theorem 6.4.

Conversely, let  $T \in \mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2)(X, Y)$ . Hence by Proposition 6.5 there exists a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that  $\sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{1/q-1} \Gamma_k(T) \leq c$ .

Now let  $S \in \Omega_{r,\infty}^h(Z, X)$  and  $u \in \Omega(l_2, X)$ . Then we obtain by (1.1) and Proposition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned} n^{1/s} a_n(TSu) &= n^{1/s} c_n(TSu) \leq n^{1/s} \Gamma_n(TSu) = n^{1/s} \Gamma_n((Su)^* T^*) \\ &\leq n^{1/s} (2e)^{1/2+1/r} e n^{-1/r} l_{r,\infty}^x((Su)^*) \Gamma_n(T^*) \\ &\leq (2e)^{1/2+1/r} e \|u\| l_{r,\infty}^h(S^*) n^{1/q-1} \Gamma_n(T) \\ &\leq (2e)^{1/2+1/r} e c l_{r,\infty}^h(S) \|u\|. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Remark. 1) Theorem 6.6 yields that the eigenvalue type of  $\mathfrak{B}_q(\pi_2^d, \pi_2) \circ \Omega_{r,\infty}^h$  is  $l_{s,\infty}^x$ . This implies the known eigenvalue estimate for weak Hilbert spaces ([PS1]).

2) Since  $\Omega_{2,\infty}^x = \mathfrak{B}H_2$  by Theorem 5.5 and  $\Omega_{2,\infty}^h(l_2, X) = \Omega_{2,\infty}^x(l_2, X) = (\mathfrak{B}H_2)^d(l_2, X)$  we have the following reformulation of Theorem 6.6:

*X is a weak Hilbert space if and only if there is a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that  $\omega \pi_2(u) \leq c(\omega \pi_2)^d(u)$  for all  $u \in (\mathfrak{B}H_2)^d(l_2, X)$ .*

This is the analogue of the well-known Hilbert space characterization, due to Kwapien [KWA]: *X is a Hilbert space if and only if there is a constant  $c \geq 0$  such that  $\pi_2(u) \leq c \pi_2^d(u)$  for all  $u \in \Pi_2^d(l_2, X)$ .*

#### References

- [CAR] B. Carl, *Inequalities of Bernstein–Jackson-type and the degree of compactness of operators in Banach spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 35 (3) (1985), 79–118.
- [GEI] S. Geiss, *Grothendieck numbers of operators on Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr. (1989), to appear.
- [JOH] F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, in: Courant Anniversary Volume, Interscience, New York 1948, 187–204.
- [KÖN] H. König, *Eigenvalue Distribution of Compact Operators*, Birkhäuser, Basel 1986.
- [KWA] S. Kwapien, *A linear topological characterization of inner product spaces*, Studia Math. 38 (1970), 277–278.
- [MAS] V. Mascioni, *Weak cotype and weak type in the local theory of Banach spaces*, Dissertation, Zürich 1988.

- [PI1] A. Pietsch, *Eigenvalues and s-Numbers*, Geest & Portig, Leipzig 1987, and Cambridge Univ. Press, 1987.
- [PI2] —, *Operator Ideals*, Deutscher Verlag Wiss., Berlin 1978, and North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980.
- [PI3] —, *Eigenvalue distributions and geometry of Banach spaces*, Math. Nachr., to appear.
- [PS1] G. Pisier, *Weak Hilbert spaces*, Proc. London Math. Soc. 56 (1988), 547–579.
- [PS2] —, *The Volume of Convex Bodies and Banach Space Geometry*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.
- [ToJ] N. Tomczak-Jaegermann, *Banach–Mazur Distances and Finite-Dimensional Operator Ideals*, Longman, 1989.

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR  
UNIVERSITÄT KIEL  
Olshausenstr. 40-60, D-2300 Kiel 1, F.R.G.

Received November 11, 1988  
Revised version July 25, 1989

(2514)