

**Quantitative unconditionality of Banach  
spaces  $E$  for which  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$**

by

DANIEL LI (Paris)

**Abstract.** Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space with AP; if  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $E$  is isometric to a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace in a space with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional shrinking FDD; in particular,  $E$  is isometric to a subspace of a space with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional basis, and  $\text{dist}(E, L^p(0, 1)) \geq \max(p-1, 1/(p-1))$ .

**1. Introduction.** Since E. Alfsen and E. Effros introduced the notion of an  $M$ -ideal [1], many authors have studied for which Banach spaces  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(E)$ , the space of compact operators on  $E$ , is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , the space of all continuous operators on  $E$ . J. Hennefeld [17] had already proved that if  $E = c_0$  or  $l^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ ; actually for  $E = l^2$  this had been proved a long time ago by J. Dixmier [8]. This was improved by Á. Lima: if  $(E_\alpha)_{\alpha \in A}$  is a family of finite-dimensional spaces and  $E = (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha)_{l^p}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , or  $E = (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha)_{c_0}$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  ([21], p. 31); moreover, if  $F$  is a subspace of  $E = (\bigoplus_{\alpha \in A} E_\alpha)_{l^p}$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , C. M. Cho and W. B. Johnson showed that  $\mathcal{K}(F)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(F)$  if and only if  $F$  has the compact approximation property [5]. On the other hand,  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is not an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  when a)  $E = l^1$ ,  $E = l^\infty$  [31], b)  $E$  is a space with a 1-symmetric basis which is not  $l^p$  or  $c_0$  [18], c)  $E = \mathcal{C}(K)$ ,  $K$  infinite compact [9], d)  $E = L^1(\mu)$ ,  $\dim L^1(\mu) = \infty$  [9], [20], e)  $E$  is a Lindenstrauss space which is not  $c_0(I)$ , f)  $E = L^p(\mu)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ ,  $\mu$  not purely atomic [20]. Some authors also studied when  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  ([2], [9], [12], [20], [26], for instance).

After this concrete examples, structure theorems were sought for Banach spaces  $E$  for which  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ ; Á. Lima proved that  $E$  is then an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual  $E^{**}$  [21], a result which also yields the previous examples a), c), d), e) [16]. Lately G. Godefroy and P. Saab showed that  $E$  (supposed separable with AP) has got to be isomorphic to a complemented subspace of a space with a shrinking unconditional finite-dimensional decomposition [15].

The present work is a quantitative version of [15]; we prove that if  $E$  is a separable Banach space with AP such that  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $E$  is isometric to a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace in a space with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional shrinking FDD; in particular,  $E$  is isometric to a subspace of a space with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional basis and

$$\text{dist}(E, L^p(0, 1)) \geq \max\left(p-1, \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

This answers a question of G. Godefroy.

Let us note, however, that the main argument of [15] (numerical radius) cannot give this quantitative result and it is replaced by the fundamental lemma 4.1 of E. Alfsen and E. Effros [1].

**2. Notations and preliminaries.** The Banach spaces considered in this paper are real or complex; all the subspaces are supposed to be closed. If  $X$  is a Banach space, its closed unit ball is denoted by  $X_1$ , and the weak\*-topology on the dual  $X^*$  by  $\omega^*$ ; in any case, the notations are standard and are those of [7] or [23].

If  $E$  is a Banach space,  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  denotes the space of compact operators on  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  is the space of all continuous linear operators on  $E$ , and  $\mathcal{F}(E)$  the space of finite rank ones.

A subspace  $J$  of a Banach space  $X$  is said to be an  $M$ -ideal if there is an  $L$ -projection  $Q$  of  $X^*$  with range  $J^\perp$ , the annihilator of  $J$  in  $X^*$ , that is, a projection  $Q$  such that  $\|x\| = \|Qx\| + \|x - Qx\|$  for every  $x \in X^*$ .

A Banach space  $F$  is said to have a *finite-dimensional Schauder decomposition* (FDD) if every  $x \in F$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ , where  $x_n \in F_n$ , and each  $F_n$  is a finite-dimensional subspace of  $F$ ; the FDD is said to be *unconditional* if the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$  is unconditionally convergent for every  $x \in F$ , and the number

$$\sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \sup_{N \geq 1} \sup_{|\theta_n| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n x_n \right\|$$

is the *unconditional constant* of the FDD; the FDD is said to be *shrinking* if it induces by duality an FDD of  $F^*$  (see [23], I.1.g).

**3. Acknowledgements.** This work was begun while I was visiting the University of Missouri-Columbia in March-April 1988. I thank the Ministère des Affaires Étrangères for partial financial support, and P. and E. Saab for their warm welcome. I am specially glad to thank M. and G. Godefroy for their very enjoyable hospitality, and G. Godefroy for his kind advice and suggestions.

I thank B. Maurey, F. Piquard and J. Saint Raymond for useful conversations, N. Ghoussoub for the reference [3], and D. Werner for useful remarks.

#### 4. The structure theorem

**THEOREM.** Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space. We suppose that

(H) There exist a subalgebra  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , containing  $I = \text{Id}_E$ , and an ideal  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that:

- 1)  $\mathcal{B} \subset \overline{\mathcal{F}(E)}$  (norm closure),
- 2)  $(\exists B_n \in \mathcal{B}) \|B_n\| \leq 1, \|B_n x - x\| \rightarrow 0, \forall x \in E$ ,
- 3)  $\mathcal{B}$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Then

(C) For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a space  $F$  with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional FDD such that  $E$  is isometric to a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace in  $F$ . Moreover, if  $E \not\cong l^1$  (resp.  $E$  is reflexive) we can take  $F$  such that its FDD is shrinking (resp.  $F$  reflexive).

We will prove this theorem in the next section. Let us now present a few consequences.

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $E$  be a separable Banach space satisfying (H). Then

(C') For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $E$  is isometric to a subspace of a space  $G$  with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional basis. Moreover, if  $E \not\cong l^1$  (resp.  $E$  is reflexive), then the basis may be assumed to be shrinking (resp.  $G$  may be assumed reflexive).

**Proof.** This follows from [23], I.1.g.5.: instead of  $\|x^* - x_{i,n}^*\| \leq 4^{-n}$ , we take  $\|x^* - x_{i,n}^*\| \leq 2^{-n}\alpha$ ; then  $\varphi(Tu) \geq 1 - \alpha$  and  $\varphi(M_\theta Tx) \leq 1 + \alpha$ , so that  $\|Tu\| \geq (1 - \alpha)/(1 + \alpha)$  and  $\|T\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq (1 + \alpha)/(1 - \alpha)$ .

Hence, if  $F$  admits a  $(1 + \alpha)$ -unconditional FDD,  $F$  is isometric to a subspace of  $G$  with a  $(1 + \alpha)^2/(1 - \alpha)$ -unconditional basis.

We conclude the proof by using the interpolation technique of [11], Theorem 3.3. ■

**COROLLARY 2.** Let  $E$  be separable Banach space with the Approximation Property. If  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $E$  is isometric to a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -complemented subspace in a space  $F$  with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional shrinking FDD, and  $F$  may be assumed reflexive if  $E$  is.

**Proof.** It suffices to remark that condition (H 2) holds ([16], Lemma 5.1), and to use [21], Cor. 2.5, in order to see that  $E \not\cong l^1$ . ■

**COROLLARY 3.** If  $E$  is a separable Banach space with the Approximation Property and  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , then

$$\text{dist}(E, L^p(0, 1)) \geq \max\left(p-1, \frac{1}{p-1}\right).$$

*Proof.* This follows from the reproducibility of the Haar basis ([22], Th. 4.1, [23], II.2.c.7, II.2.c.8): whenever  $L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is isometric to a subspace of a space with a basis  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a block basis of  $(u_n)_{n \geq 1}$  which is  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -equivalent to the Haar basis. Hence, the distance from  $E$  to  $L^p(0, 1)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is at least  $k_p$ , the unconditional constant of the Haar basis of  $L^p(0, 1)$ , and  $k_p = \max(p - 1, 1/(p - 1))$  ([3], Cor. 1, [4]).

Corollary 3 is also true for  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$  since  $\mathcal{K}(L^1(0, 1))$  and  $\mathcal{K}(L^\infty(0, 1))$  are isomorphic to no space which is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual ([21], Cor. 2.5). ■

**COROLLARY 4.** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space with a monotone basis  $\mathcal{U} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ . If  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$ , the space of compact diagonal operators, is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$ , the space of continuous diagonal operators, then (C) holds for  $E$ .*

**Remark 1.** If  $E = l^1$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  is the canonical basis,  $\mathcal{K}_d(l^1)$  is isometric to  $c_0$  and is an  $M$ -ideal of  $\mathcal{L}_d(l^1)$  which is isometric to  $l^\infty$ ; but  $l^1$  cannot be isomorphic to a subspace of a space with a shrinking FDD. So, the condition  $E \not\cong l^1$  in the conclusion (C) of the Theorem cannot be removed.

**Remark 2.** If  $E = L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T}$  is the trigonometrical basis ([23], II.2.c.16), then  $\mathcal{L}_d(L^p(\mathbb{T}), \mathcal{T}) = \text{CV}(L^p(\mathbb{T}))$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_d(L^p(\mathbb{T}), \mathcal{T}) = \text{CV}_c(L^p(\mathbb{T}))$ ) is the space of convolution operators (resp. compact convolution operators) on  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ; as in Cor. 3,  $\text{CV}_c(L^p(\mathbb{T}))$  is not an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual  $\text{CV}(L^p(\mathbb{T}))$  (another proof of this which was indicated to me by F. Lust-Piquard adapts the one of Á. Lima, [20], Th. 11).

### 5. Proof of the Theorem. First, we show

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be as in the Theorem, and assume the condition:*

(H1')  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{K}(E)$ , and  $\mathcal{B}$  is separable,

instead of (H1). Let  $Q$  be the  $L$ -projection of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  with kernel  $\mathcal{B}^\perp$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $S_n \in \mathcal{B}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that

$$Q^*I = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n \quad (\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)),$$

$$\sup_{|\theta_k| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_k S_k \right\| \leq 1 + \varepsilon.$$

We need the following lemma, which is essentially known ([30], Th. 2.5).

**LEMMA 1.** *With the hypothesis of the Proposition, for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,*

$$Q^*A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n A \quad (\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)).$$

*Proof.* We use the argument of J. Johnson ([19], Lemma 1). For every ultrafilter  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\lim_{\mathcal{V}} \psi(B_n)$  exists for every  $\psi \in \mathcal{B}^*$ .  $\mathcal{B}$  being an ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ , the map  $\psi: S \in \mathcal{B} \mapsto \varphi(SA)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{B}^*$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}^*$ ; hence, we can define  $\hat{\varphi}(A) = \lim_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi(B_n A)$ ; then  $\hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,  $\|\hat{\varphi}\| \leq \|\varphi\|$ .

Since  $B_n \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} I$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  uniformly on compact subsets of  $E$ , we have  $\|B_n B - B\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ , and so  $\hat{\varphi}(B) = \varphi(B)$  for  $B \in \mathcal{B}$ ; hence  $\|\hat{\varphi}\| = \|\varphi\|$ .

If we denote by  $R: \mathcal{A}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^*$  the restriction map and by  $A_{\mathcal{V}}: \mathcal{B}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^*$  the map  $\varphi \mapsto \hat{\varphi}$ ,  $A_{\mathcal{V}} R$  is a contractive projection of  $\mathcal{A}^*$  with kernel  $\mathcal{B}^\perp$ ; hence  $A_{\mathcal{V}} R = Q$  ([16], Prop. 2.1.b).

Therefore, for  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}^*$ ,

$$\langle Q^*A, \varphi \rangle = \langle A, A_{\mathcal{V}} R \varphi \rangle = \lim_{\mathcal{V}} (R \varphi)(B_n A) = \lim_{\mathcal{V}} \varphi(B_n A),$$

that is,  $Q^*A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n A$  ( $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ ). ■

**Proof of the Proposition.** Let us consider  $\mathcal{A}$  with its real Banach space structure. By Lemma 1,  $Q^*I = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n$  ( $\sigma(\mathcal{A}^{**}, \mathcal{A}^*)$ ).

Let  $K$  be the compact space  $(\mathcal{A}_1^*, \omega^*)$ , and let us consider elements of  $\mathcal{A}^{**}$  as functions on  $K$ . Denoting by  $\chi_L$  the characteristic function of the set  $L \subset K$ , we set

$$h = (I \chi_{\mathcal{B}^\perp \cap K}) \vee 0 = (I \chi_{\mathcal{B}^\perp \cap K})^+$$

and let  $\hat{h}$  be the smallest concave upper-semicontinuous (u.s.c.) function which is greater than  $h$  on  $K$ ; then ([1], Lemma 4.1)

$$(\forall t \in K) \quad (I - Q^*I)(t) = \hat{h}(t) - \hat{h}(-t).$$

Therefore, setting

$$(\forall t \in K) \quad g(t) = \frac{1}{2}[I(t) + 1] - \hat{h}(t),$$

we have

$$(\forall t \in K) \quad I(Qt) = (Q^*I)(t) = g(t) - g(-t),$$

and  $g$  is a positive, lower-semicontinuous (l.s.c.) function on  $K$  such that

$$(\forall t \in K) \quad g(t) + g(-t) \leq 1.$$

I am indebted to J. Saint Raymond for the next lemma.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $K$  be a compact space and  $F: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $F = G_1 - G_2$ , with  $G_1, G_2$  positive l.s.c. functions on  $K$ . If there exist a metrizable compact space  $H$ , a continuous surjection  $R: K \rightarrow H$  and a function  $F': H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$F = F' \circ R$ , then there exist continuous functions  $f_n(t)$  on  $K$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , such that

$$(\forall t \in K) \quad F(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t),$$

$$\sup_{|\theta_n| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n f_n \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup_{t \in K} [G_1(t) + G_2(t)].$$

Sketch of proof (see [14], Lemma 3). We set

$$(\forall u \in H) \quad \tilde{G}_1(u) = \inf \{ G_i(t) | R(t) = u \};$$

then  $\tilde{G}_1, \tilde{G}_2$  are positive and l.s.c. on  $H$ , and

$$(\forall u \in H) \quad F'(u) = \tilde{G}_1(u) - \tilde{G}_2(u).$$

Since  $H$  is a metrizable space we have

$$\tilde{G}_i(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \uparrow g_{i,n}(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [g_{i,n+1}(u) - g_{i,n}(u)],$$

with  $g_{1,1} = g_{2,1} = 0$ ,  $g_{1,n}, g_{2,n}$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , continuous on  $H$ ; setting, for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(\forall u \in H) \quad f'_n(u) = [g_{1,n+1}(u) - g_{1,n}(u)] - [g_{2,n+1}(u) - g_{2,n}(u)],$$

we have

$$(\forall u \in H) \quad F'_n(u) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f'_n(u)$$

and, for  $|\theta_n| \leq 1$ ,  $u \in H$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n f'_n(u) \right| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [g_{1,n+1}(u) - g_{1,n}(u)] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [g_{2,n+1}(u) - g_{2,n}(u)] \\ &= \tilde{G}_1(u) + \tilde{G}_2(u) \leq \sup_{t \in K} [G_1(t) + G_2(t)]. \end{aligned}$$

This gives the lemma with  $f_n = f'_n \circ R$ . ■

We use Lemma 2 with  $K = (\mathcal{A}_1^*, \omega^*)$ ,  $H = (\mathcal{B}_1^*, \omega^*)$ ,  $F = Q^*I$ , and  $R$  the restriction map. Since  $Q^*I = F' \circ R$ , where

$$F'(u) = \hat{u}(I) = \lim_{\nu} u(B_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} u(B_{n_k}),$$

we get by Lemma 2 functions  $f_n \in \mathcal{C}(K)$  such that

$$(\forall t \in K) \quad (Q^*I)(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(t),$$

$$\sup_{|\theta_n| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n f_n \right\|_{\infty} \leq \sup_{t \in K} [g(t) + g(-t)] \leq 1.$$

In order to conclude the proof of the Proposition, it suffices to apply the following quantitative version of a classical result of A. Pełczyński (a similar result can be found in [10], Lemma 1), with  $Y = \mathcal{B}$ ,  $X = \mathcal{C}(K)$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and let  $Y$  be a subspace of  $X$ . If  $f \in Y^{\perp\perp}$  is such that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n^* x_n, \quad x_n \in X,$$

$$\sup_{|\theta_n| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n x_n \right\| \leq C \|f\|,$$

then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are  $y_n \in Y$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that

$$f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n^* y_n, \quad \sup_{|\theta_n| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n y_n \right\| \leq (C + \varepsilon) \|f\|.$$

Sketch of proof (see [29], Lemma 15.7, p. 446). There exist  $z_n \in Y$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , such that  $f = \omega_n^* \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$ . Setting  $v_n = z_n - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , we can define a strictly increasing sequence of integers  $0 = p_0 < p_1 < \dots$ , a sequence of real numbers  $\lambda_n \geq 0$ , such that  $\sum_{i=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} \lambda_i = 1$  and

$$u_n = \sum_{i=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} \lambda_i v_i, \quad \|u_n\| \leq \varepsilon \|f\| / 2^{n+1}.$$

Setting now

$$w_n = \sum_{i=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} \lambda_i z_i, \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$y_1 = w_1, \quad y_{n+1} = w_{n+1} - w_n,$$

we have

$$y_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \mu_j^0 x_j + u_1,$$

$$y_{n+1} = \sum_{j=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_{n+1}} \mu_j^n x_j + u_{n+1} - u_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

with

$$\mu_j^0 = \sum_{i=j}^{p_1} \lambda_i,$$

$$\mu_j^n = \begin{cases} 1 - \sum_{i=j}^{p_n} \lambda_i, & p_{n-1}+1 \leq j \leq p_n, \\ \sum_{i=j}^{p_{n+1}} \lambda_i, & p_n+1 \leq j \leq p_{n+1}, \end{cases} \quad n \geq 1.$$

Since  $0 \leq \mu_j^n \leq 1$ ,  $\forall j, \forall n \geq 0$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n \nu_n \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=p_{n-1}+1}^{p_n} (\theta_n \mu_j^{n-1} + \theta_{n+1} \mu_j^n) x_j + \sum_{j=p_{N-1}+1}^{p_N} \theta_N \mu_j^{N-1} x_j \right\| + \varepsilon \|f\| \\ & \leq C \|f\| + \varepsilon \|f\| \end{aligned}$$

because  $\mu_j^{n-1} + \mu_j^n = 1$  for  $p_{n-1}+1 \leq j \leq p_n$ , and hence  $|\theta_n \mu_j^{n-1} + \theta_{n+1} \mu_j^n| \leq 1$ . ■

The conclusion of the proof of the Theorem is along the lines of [15].

We begin by noting that, for  $x \in E$ ,  $x^* \in E^*$ ,  $x \otimes x^*$  induces an element of  $\mathcal{A}^*$ :

$$(\forall A \in \mathcal{A}) \quad \langle x \otimes x^*, A \rangle = \langle x^*, Ax \rangle;$$

we have  $\|x \otimes x^*\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} \geq \|R(x \otimes x^*)\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$ ; on the other hand, for every  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $B_n A \in \mathcal{B}$  and condition (H2) gives

$$\langle x^*, Ax \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x^*, B_n Ax \rangle;$$

hence  $\|x \otimes x^*\|_{\mathcal{A}^*} = \|R(x \otimes x^*)\|_{\mathcal{B}^*}$ .

Therefore, since  $\mathcal{A}^* = \mathcal{B}^{\perp} \oplus_1 N$  with  $N$  isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{B}^*$ , we have  $Q(x \otimes x^*) = x \otimes x^*$ , and the Proposition allows us to write

$$\langle x^*, x \rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle x^*, S_n x \rangle.$$

By condition (H1), we can find a sequence  $(R_n)_{n \geq 1}$  of finite rank operators such that  $\|S_n - R_n\| \leq \varepsilon/2^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ; setting (as in [27], proof of Prop. 3, or [15], proof of Cor. 6)

$$(\forall x \in E) \quad S(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega^* R_n(x),$$

we obtain an operator from  $E$  into  $E^{**}$ ;  $S$  is actually an operator from  $E$  into  $E$  because for every  $x \in E$  and  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(S(x), E) & \leq \left\| S(x) - \sum_{k=1}^n R_k(x) - x + \sum_{k=1}^n S_k(x) \right\| \\ & \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|R_k - S_k\| \|x\| \leq \varepsilon \|x\|/2^n; \end{aligned}$$

moreover, since  $\|I - S\| \leq \varepsilon$ ,  $S$  is an invertible operator and  $\|S^{-1}\| \leq 1/(1-\varepsilon)$ .

Now, the finite rank operators  $U_n = S^{-1}R_n$  satisfy the conditions

$$x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n(x), \quad \sup_{|\theta_n| \leq 1} \left\| \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n U_n(x) \right\| \leq \frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon} \|x\|, \quad \forall x \in E.$$

It follows that  $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a  $\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ -unconditional finite-dimensional expansion of identity of  $E$ .

Now, by [24], Lemma 1.2, there is a Banach space  $F$  with a 1-unconditional FDD such that  $E$  is  $\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ -isomorphic to a  $\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ -complemented subspace in  $F$ . By renorming  $F$ , we deduce that  $F$  has a  $\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)$ -unconditional FDD and that  $E$  is isometric to a  $\left(\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^2$ -complemented subspace of  $F$ .

In order to complete the proof let  $F$  be a Banach space with a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional FDD,  $(P_n)_{n \geq 1}$  the associated projections,  $J$  an isometry from the Banach space  $E$  into  $F$ , and  $P$  a projection of  $F$  with range  $J(E)$  and  $\|P\| \leq 1+\varepsilon$ .

As in [15], adapting the proof of [11], Th. 3.3, we set

$$W = \overline{\text{conv}} \left( \bigcup_{N \geq 1} \left\{ \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \theta_n P_n \right) (J E_1); |\theta_n| \leq 1 \right\} \right).$$

The set  $W$  is weakly conditionally compact (w.c.c.) if  $E \nrightarrow l^1$  (resp.  $W$  is weakly compact (w.c.) if  $E$  is reflexive) ([11], Lemma 3.2); in particular, every sequence in  $W$  has a weakly Cauchy subsequence. Define ([6], Lemma 1)

$$W_n = \frac{1}{2}(2^n W + 2^{-n} F_1), \quad n \geq 0,$$

and  $\|x\| = \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|x\|_n^2 \right)^{1/2}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_n$  is the gauge of  $W_n$ ; then  $F_0 = \{x \in F \mid \|x\| < \infty\}$  is a Banach space and the canonical injection  $j: F_0 \rightarrow F$  is continuous ([6], Lemma 1(ii)). Since  $W \subset (1+\varepsilon)F_1$ , it follows that  $\|j\| \leq 1+\varepsilon$  because  $\|x\| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \|x\|_0 \leq 1 \Rightarrow x \in \frac{1}{2}(W + F_1) \subset (1+\varepsilon)F_1$ .

Since  $J E_1 \subset W$ , we have  $J = j \circ A$ , where  $A: E \rightarrow F_0$  is an isomorphic embedding, with  $\|A\| \leq 1$ ,  $\|A^{-1}\| \leq 1+\varepsilon$ .

Since  $P_n(W) \subset W$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $F_0$  has a  $(1+\varepsilon)$ -unconditional FDD ([6], Lemma 1(viii), (ix)) which is shrinking (resp.  $F_0$  is reflexive) since  $(F_0)_1 = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} \frac{1}{2}(2^n W + 2^{-n} F_1)$  is w.c.c. (resp. w.c.) by a classical lemma of Grothendieck ([7], pp. 227, 237).

Renorming  $F_0$  concludes the proof. ■

## 6. Concluding remarks and questions

**6.1.** The Lorentz sequence spaces  $d(w, p)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , are reflexive Banach spaces with 1-symmetric basis such that  $\mathcal{X}(d(w, p))$  is not an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(d(w, p))$  [18]; therefore the Theorem of this paper gives a necessary

condition on  $E$  for  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  to be an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$  but it is not a sufficient condition. This leads to

QUESTION 1. Is it possible to find a necessary and sufficient condition on  $E$  for  $\mathcal{K}(E)$  to be an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ ?

6.2. QUESTION 2. Can  $\mathcal{K}(L^p(0, 1))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ , be isomorphic to a space which is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual? (see [14], Question III.4).

6.3. Let  $E$  be a Banach space with a monotone basis  $\mathcal{U} = (u_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , and let  $\bar{u}_n \in \mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  be defined by  $\bar{u}_n(u_k) = u_n$  if  $k = n$ , and 0 if  $k \neq n$ ;  $(\bar{u}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is a basis of  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  ([28], Lemma 5), and it is easy to see that it is equivalent to the canonical basis of  $c_0$  if and only if  $\mathcal{U}$  is unconditional. A. Sersouri ([28], Problème 15) asked if  $\mathcal{U}$  is unconditional whenever  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  is isomorphic to  $c_0$ . We may ask: is  $\mathcal{U}$  unconditional whenever  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  is isomorphic to a space which is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual)? (Let us remark that  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})^{**} = \mathcal{L}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  if  $(\bar{u}_n)_{n \geq 1}$  is shrinking, and, in particular, if  $\mathcal{U}$  is shrinking or boundedly complete ([28], Th. 8).) The answer is negative: if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a conditional basis of  $l^p$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  ([23], I.2.b.11, II, p. 162), then  $\mathcal{K}_d(l^p, \mathcal{U})$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}_d(l^p, \mathcal{U}) = \mathcal{K}_d(l^p, \mathcal{U})^{**}$  since  $\mathcal{K}(l^p)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual. A weaker question can be asked (a partial answer is given in [28], Prop. 16):

QUESTION 3. If  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  (resp.  $\mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$  is isomorphic to a space which is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual), does  $\mathcal{U}$  have an unconditional basic subsequence?

For  $E = L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{T}$  the trigonometric basis, the existence of  $A(r)$ -sets ( $r \geq \max(p, p^*)$ ) implies the existence of an unconditional basic subsequence without the  $M$ -ideal hypothesis ([25], Th. 2.11).

6.4. Let us mention that every separable  $\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -space which is isomorphic to a space which is an  $M$ -ideal in its bidual is isomorphic to  $c_0$  [13].

6.5. If we replace condition (H1) in the Theorem by the stronger condition:

(H1'')  $\mathcal{F}(E) \cap \mathcal{B}$  is norm dense in  $\mathcal{B}$

(which holds if  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{K}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$ ), we can take in the proof  $R_n \in \mathcal{F}(E) \cap \mathcal{B}$ , and if  $\mathcal{A}$  is closed in the strong operator topology, then  $S \in \mathcal{A}$ ; hence if  $\mathcal{A}$  is also a full subalgebra  $\mathcal{L}(E)$ , then  $S^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$  (these two conditions hold when  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}_d(E, \mathcal{U})$ ); therefore  $U_n \in \mathcal{F}(E) \cap \mathcal{B}$ :  $E$  has a  $(1 + \varepsilon)$ -unconditional finite-dimensional expansion of its identity,  $(U_n)_{n \geq 1}$ , with the  $U_n$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ .

## References

- [1] E. Alfsen and E. Effros, *Structure in real Banach spaces, I*, Ann. of Math. 96 (1972), 98–128.
- [2] E. Behrends, *Sur les  $M$ -idéaux des espaces d'opérateurs compacts*, Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII, Sémin. d'Anal. Fonct. Paris VI-VII, 1984–85, 11–18.
- [3] D. L. Burkholder, *A nonlinear partial differential equation and the unconditional constant of the Haar system in  $L^p$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 7 (3) (1982), 591–595.
- [4] —, *A proof of Pełczyński's conjecture for the Haar system*, Studia Math. 91 (1) (1988), 79–83.
- [5] C.-M. Cho and W. B. Johnson, *A characterization of subspaces  $X$  of  $l_p$  for which  $K(X)$  is an  $M$ -ideal in  $L(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (3) (1985), 466–470.
- [6] J. Davis, T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and A. Pełczyński, *Factoring weakly compact operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 17 (1974), 311–317.
- [7] J. Diestel, *Sequences and Series in Banach Spaces*, Springer, 1984.
- [8] J. Dixmier, *Les fonctionnelles linéaires sur l'ensemble des opérateurs bornés d'un espace de Hilbert*, Ann. of Math. 51 (1950), 387–408.
- [9] H. Fakhoury, *Sur les  $M$ -idéaux dans certains espaces d'opérateurs et l'approximation par des opérateurs compacts*, Canad. Math. Bull. 23 (4) (1980), 401–411.
- [10] M. Feder, *On subspaces of spaces with an unconditional basis and spaces of operators*, Illinois J. Math. 24 (2) (1980), 196–205.
- [11] T. Figiel, W. B. Johnson and L. Tzafriri, *On Banach lattices and spaces having local unconditional structure, with applications to Lorentz function spaces*, J. Approx. Theory 13 (1975), 395–412.
- [12] D. J. Fleming and D. M. Giarrusso,  *$M$ -ideals in  $L(l_1, E)$* , Canad. Math. Bull. 29 (1) (1986), 3–10.
- [13] G. Godefroy,  *$\mathcal{L}^\infty$ -Spaces which are isomorphic to  $M$ -ideals*, preprint.
- [14] G. Godefroy and D. Li, *Banach spaces which are  $M$ -ideals in their bidual have property (u)*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 39 (2) (1989), 361–371.
- [15] G. Godefroy and P. Saab, *Weakly unconditionally convergent series in  $M$ -ideals*, Math. Scand., to appear.
- [16] P. Harmand and Á. Lima, *Banach spaces which are  $M$ -ideals in their biduals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 283 (1984), 253–264.
- [17] J. Hennfeld, *A decomposition for  $B(X)^*$  and unique Hahn–Banach extensions*, Pacific J. Math. 46 (1) (1973), 197–199.
- [18] —,  *$M$ -ideals,  $HB$ -subspaces, and compact operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 28 (6) (1979), 927–934.
- [19] J. Johnson, *Remarks on Banach spaces of compact operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 32 (1979), 304–311.
- [20] Á. Lima,  *$M$ -ideals of compact operators in classical Banach spaces*, Math. Scand. 44 (1979), 207–217.
- [21] —, *On  $M$ -ideals and best approximation*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 31 (1982), 27–36.
- [22] J. Lindenstrauss and A. Pełczyński, *Contributions to the theory of the classical Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971), 225–249.
- [23] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I, II*, Springer, 1977, 1979.
- [24] A. Pełczyński and P. Wojtaszczyk, *Banach spaces with finite dimensional expansions of identity and universal bases of finite dimensional subspaces*, Studia Math. 40 (1971), 91–108.
- [25] H. P. Rosenthal, *Projections onto translation-invariant subspaces of  $L^p(G)$* , Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1966).
- [26] K. Saatkamp,  *$M$ -ideals of compact operators*, Math. Z. 158 (1978), 253–263.
- [27] A. Sersouri, *Propriété (u) dans les espaces d'opérateurs*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci., to appear.
- [28] —, *Opérateurs diagonaux dans les espaces à bases*, Math. Z., to appear.
- [29] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach Spaces I*, Springer, 1970.

- [30] R. R. Smith and J. D. Ward, *Applications of convexity and  $M$ -ideal theory to quotient Banach algebras*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 30 (1979), 365–384.  
 [31] —, —,  *$M$ -ideal structure in Banach algebras*, J. Funct. Anal. 27 (1978), 337–349.

ÉQUIPE D'ANALYSE  
 U.A. No. 754 au C.N.R.S.  
 UNIVERSITÉ PARIS VI  
 Tour 46, 4ème étage  
 4, Pl. Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

DÉPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES  
 UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SUD  
 Bât. 425  
 91405 Orsay Cedex, France

Received October 10, 1988  
 Revised version February 22, 1989

(2486)

**Added in proof** (January 1990). D. Werner (*Remarks on  $M$ -ideals of compact operators*, to appear in Quart. J. Math. Oxford) proved that the result of C.-M. Cho and W. B. Johnson also holds for subspaces of  $c_0$ -sums of finite-dimensional spaces. A similar result is given by E. Oja (C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 309 (1989), 983–968).

Very recently, P. G. Casazza and N. J. Kalton (*Notes on approximation properties in separable Banach spaces*, preprint) have introduced the notion of  $\mu$ -ideal which is more general than that of  $M$ -ideal and have given a characterization of separable reflexive Banach spaces  $X$  with AP for which  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is a  $\mu$ -ideal in  $\mathcal{L}(X)$ .

**Partial differential operators of infinite order  
 with constant coefficients  
 on the space of analytic functions on the polydisc**

by

SIEGFRIED MOMM (Düsseldorf)

**Abstract.** For a componentwise radial plurisubharmonic function  $p: \mathbb{C}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  satisfying some technical conditions we consider the (DFN)-space  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N) := \{f \in A(\mathbb{C}^N) \mid \exists k \in \mathbb{N}: \|f\|_k = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}^N} |f(z)| e^{-(1+1/k)p(z)} < \infty\}$  of analytic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . If we put  $A_p^0(\mathbb{C}^N) := \{F \mid \forall k \in \mathbb{N}: F^k \in A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)\}$  then  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  is an  $A_p^0(\mathbb{C}^N)$ -module such that  $F \cdot A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  is a closed subspace of  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  for each  $F \in A_p^0(\mathbb{C}^N)$ . We prove that  $F \cdot A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  is a complemented subspace of  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  for each  $F \in A_p^0(\mathbb{C}^N)$  iff the strong dual  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)'_b$  has the linear topological invariant (DN) iff  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  itself is a complemented subspace of a corresponding weighted (LB)-space  $L^2_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  of locally square integrable functions on  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Applying this result to the function  $p(z) = \sum_{j=1}^N |z_j|^2$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C}^N$ , we deduce that each nonzero linear partial differential operator of infinite order with constant coefficients on the Fréchet space  $A(\Delta)$  of all analytic functions on the unit polydisc  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$  admits a continuous linear right inverse. In our approach we use a sequence space representation of  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)'_b$  and elementary function theory to give all the projections by explicit formulas.

For a plurisubharmonic function  $p$  on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  denote by  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  the space of all entire functions  $f$  satisfying  $|f(z)| \leq A e^{Bp(z)}$  for some  $A > 0$ ,  $1 > B > 0$  depending on  $f$ . If we allow arbitrarily large  $B > 0$ , then we get an algebra denoted by  $A_p(\mathbb{C}^N)$ . Both spaces, endowed with their natural inductive limit topology, are (DFN)-spaces, provided that  $p$  satisfies some technical conditions.

Recently Meise and Taylor ([12], [13]) showed for radial weights  $p$  that each principal ideal of  $A_p(\mathbb{C}^N)$  is complemented if and only if the strong dual  $A_p(\mathbb{C}^N)'_b$  has the linear topological invariant (DN).

In the present paper we find for componentwise radial weights  $p$  that each subspace  $F \cdot A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  of  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$  is complemented if and only if the strong dual  $A_{p,1}(\mathbb{C}^N)'_b$  has the linear topological invariant (DN) (see Wagner [21] and Vogt [19]). Our proof also gives rise to a new and more elementary proof of the above-mentioned result of Meise and Taylor.

To state an application of our result, we denote by  $A(\Delta)$  the Fréchet space of all analytic functions on the unit polydisc  $\Delta$  in  $\mathbb{C}^N$ . Then each nonzero