

References

- [1] E. Adams, *On weighted norm inequalities for the Hilbert transform of functions with moments zero*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 272 (1982), 487–500.
- [2] R. Arocena and M. Cotlar, *Continuous generalized Toeplitz kernels in \mathbf{R}* , Portugal. Math. 39 (1–4) (1980), 419–434.
- [3] R. Arocena, M. Cotlar and C. Sadosky, *Weighted inequalities in L^2 and lifting properties*, in: Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud. 7A, 1981, 95–128.
- [4] H. Dym and H. P. McKean, *Gaussian Processes, Function Theory and the Inverse Spectral Problem*, Probab. Math. Statist. 31, Academic Press, New York 1976.
- [5] M. Domínguez, *A matricial extension of the Helson–Sarason theorem and a characterization of some multivariate linearly completely regular processes*, J. Multivariate Anal. 31 (1989), 289–310.
- [6] —, *Procesos continuos completamente regulares*, Master Thesis, Univ. Central de Venezuela, Caracas 1984.
- [7] —, *Rate of convergence of the maximal correlation coefficient in the continuous case*, Rev. Brasil. Probab. Estatíst. 3 (2) (1989).
- [8] —, *Velocidad de convergencia del coeficiente de máxima correlación*, Acta Cient. Venezolana 37 (2) (1986), 218–220.
- [9] H. Helson and D. Sarason, *Past and future*, Math. Scand. 21 (1967), 5–16.
- [10] H. Helson and G. Szegő, *A problem in prediction theory*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 51 (1960), 107–138.
- [11] I. A. Ibragimov, *Conditions for the complete regularity of continuous time stationary processes*, Sem. Math. V. A. Steklov Math. Inst. Leningrad 12 (1971), 29–49.
- [12] I. A. Ibragimov and Yu. A. Rozanov, *Gaussian Random Processes*, Appl. Math. 9, Springer, New York 1978.
- [13] D. Sarason, *An addendum to “Past and future”*, Math. Scand. 30 (1972), 62–64.

UNIVERSIDAD CENTRAL DE VENEZUELA
Apartado Postal 47.159, Caracas 1041-A, Venezuela

Received May 30, 1988

Revised version December 6, 1988 and March 3, 1989

(2446)

**Absolutely p -summing operators
and Banach spaces
containing all l_p^n uniformly complemented**

by

ANDREAS DEFANT (Oldenburg)

Abstract. It is proved that for $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ a Banach space G contains uniformly complemented all l_p^n 's if (and only if) each operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ such that $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes E \rightarrow G \otimes F$ is continuous splits into a product $T = RS$ of an absolutely p -summing operator S and an operator R with an absolutely p' -summing dual.

0. Introduction. In [4] Jarchow conjectured that for a fixed real number $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ a Banach space G contains all l_p^n uniformly complemented if (and only if) it satisfies the following condition (*): Every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that

$$\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes E \rightarrow G \otimes F$$

is continuous can be written as a product RS of two appropriate operators R and S where R is absolutely p' -summing and S is absolutely p -summing. We give an affirmative answer for $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ . For arbitrary $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ it is proved as a by-product that G satisfies (*) if and only if there is a constant $\lambda \geq 1$ such that for every natural number n there are finitely many operators $I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G)$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n)$ (where m depends on n) with

$$\text{id}_{l_p^n} = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq \lambda.$$

Standard notions and notations from Banach space theory are used, as presented in [5]. For the general theory of Banach operator ideals we refer the reader to [8].

1. S_p -spaces and T_p -spaces. As usual l_p^n stands for the space \mathbf{R}^n equipped with the l_p -norm. A real Banach space G is said to be an S_p -space if it contains all l_p^n uniformly complemented, i.e., there is a sequence (G_n) of n -dimensional subspaces of G and projections $P_n \in \mathcal{L}(G, G)$ onto G_n such that

$$\sup_n d(G_n, l_p^n) < \infty, \quad \sup_n \|P_n\| < \infty$$

(here as usual $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ denotes the Banach–Mazur distance). Clearly, G is an S_p -space if and only if there is a $\lambda \geq 1$ such that for every n there are operators

$I_n \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G)$ and $P_n \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n)$ satisfying

$$\text{id}_{l_p^n} = P_n I_n, \quad \|P_n\| \|I_n\| \leq \lambda.$$

As an example we mention that every infinite-dimensional \mathcal{L}_p -space (in the sense of Lindenstrauss and Pełczyński) is an S_p -space. Moreover, it is well known that a Banach space is an S_p -space iff its dual is an $S_{p'}$ -space, and every S_p -space is either S_1 , S_2 or S_∞ . Pisier [9] (answering an old question of Lindenstrauss) constructed a class of infinite-dimensional Banach spaces which are not S_p for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We start with a useful characterization of S_1 - and S_∞ -spaces.

1.1. PROPOSITION. *A Banach space G is an S_1 -space (resp. S_∞ -space) if and only if its l_2 -sum*

$$l_2(G) := \{(x_k) \in G^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \|(x_k)\|_2 := (\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|x_k\|^2)^{1/2} < \infty\}$$

is an S_1 -space (resp. S_∞ -space).

Proof. Of course, if the complemented subspace G of $l_2(G)$ is an S_1 -space (resp. S_∞ -space) then this also holds for $l_2(G)$ itself. Conversely, assume that $l_2(G)$ is an S_∞ -space. A Banach space is an S_∞ -space iff it contains all l_∞^n uniformly, and hence by (a special case of) the Maurey–Pisier theorem (see [6], p. 85 or [7], p. 85) a Banach space is S_∞ iff it has no finite cotype. But then, since by [6], p. 55, the l_2 -sum $l_2(G)$ has no finite cotype if and only if G has no finite cotype, G is an S_∞ -space. Finally, if $l_2(G)$ is assumed to be S_1 then the assertion follows by duality. ■

We shall also need a characterization of S_2 -spaces which appears as a consequence of the following lemma. Let μ_{n+1} be the normalized rotation invariant Borel measure on the sphere $S_{n+1} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2} \mid \|x\|_2 = 1\}$.

1.2. LEMMA. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let r_n be a seminorm on \mathbb{R}^{n+2} such that $r_n(x) \leq \|x\|_2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}$. Moreover, assume that*

$$\inf_n \int_{S_{n+1}} r_n(x) \mu_{n+1}(dx) =: \alpha > 0.$$

Then there are a constant $c > 0$ and $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $n \geq n_0$ there exists a subspace E_n of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} satisfying

$$\dim E_n \geq cn \quad \text{and} \quad c \|x\|_2 \leq r_n(x) \leq \|x\|_2$$

for all $x \in E_n$.

The proof is modeled on the proof of Milman’s important theorem [7], 4.2: Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let M_n denote the median of r_n restricted to S_{n+1} , i.e., the unique real number M_n such that

$$\mu_{n+1}[M_n \geq r_n] \geq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \mu_{n+1}[M_n \leq r_n] \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Put $\theta := \frac{1}{3}$ and $\varepsilon := \frac{1}{6}M_n$. By [7], Theorem 2.4, there is a subspace E_n of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} with

$$\dim E_n \geq \frac{\varepsilon^2 n}{2 \log 12} = c' M_n^2 n$$

and a θ -net N in $S_{n+1} \cap E_n$ such that for all $x \in N$ (denote by ϱ the geodesic distance on S_{n+1})

$$|r_n(x) - M_n| \leq \sup\{|r_n(x) - r_n(y)| \mid \varrho(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\} \leq \sup\{r_n(x - y) \mid \|x - y\|_2 \leq \varepsilon\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Now [7], Lemma 4.1, is applied showing that $\frac{1}{4}M_n \leq r_n(x)$ for all $x \in S_{n+1} \cap E_n$ (we remark that Lemma 4.1 of [7] is only formulated for norms r_n , but its proof just uses the fact that r_n is a continuous, convex and homogeneous function). So it remains to prove that for large n the median M_n is larger than or equal to some uniform constant $d > 0$.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ put $A_n := [r_n = M_n]$ and for $t \geq 0$ let $(A_n)_t$ be the set of all $x \in S_{n+1}$ such that $\varrho(x, y) \leq t$ for some $y \in A_n$. Then for every $x \in S_{n+1}$ and $y \in A_n$

$$\varrho(x, y) \geq \|x - y\|_2 \geq r_n(x - y) \geq |r_n(x) - M_n|,$$

and hence for all $t \geq 0$

$$\{x \in S_{n+1} \mid |r_n(x) - M_n| > t\} \subseteq \text{complement}(A_n)_t.$$

Since by Levy’s lemma (see [7], Corollary 2.3)

$$\mu_{n+1}((A_n)_t) \geq 1 - \sqrt{\pi/2} e^{-t^2 n/2}$$

we obtain

$$\mu_{n+1}[|r_n - M_n| > t] \leq \sqrt{\pi/2} e^{-t^2 n/2}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{S_{n+1}} r_n(x) \mu_{n+1}(dx) - M_n \right| &\leq \int_{S_{n+1}} |r_n(x) - M_n| \mu_{n+1}(dx) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\pi/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-t^2 n/2} dt = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumptions this implies for all n

$$\alpha \leq \int_{S_{n+1}} r_n(x) \mu_{n+1}(dx) \leq M_n + \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{n}},$$

so that for all $n \geq \pi^2/\alpha^2$

$$d := \alpha/2 \leq M_n,$$

which leads to the desired conclusion. ■

This lemma implies a useful criterion for S_2 -spaces.

1.3. PROPOSITION. A Banach space G is an S_2 -space if and only if there is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that for every n there are operators $V_n \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^{n+2}, G)$ and $U_n \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_2^{n+2})$ satisfying

$$\int_{S_{n+1}} \|U_n V_n(x)\|_2 \mu_{n+1}(dx) \geq \alpha \|U_n\| \|V_n\| > 0.$$

Proof. If G is an S_2 -space then there is $\lambda \geq 1$ such that for all n there are $I_{n+2} \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^{n+2}, G)$ and $P_{n+2} \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_2^{n+2})$ with

$$\text{id}_{l_2^{n+2}} = P_{n+2} I_{n+2}, \quad \|P_{n+2}\| \|I_{n+2}\| \leq \lambda.$$

In particular, for $\alpha := 1/\lambda$, $V_n := I_{n+2}$ and $U_n := P_{n+2}$

$$\int_{S_{n+1}} \|U_n V_n(x)\|_2 \mu_{n+1}(dx) = 1 \geq \alpha \|U_n\| \|V_n\| > 0.$$

Conversely, we assume without loss of generality that $\|V_n\| = \|U_n\| = 1$. Define

$$T_n := U_n V_n \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^{n+2}, l_2^{n+2}),$$

$$r_n(x) := \|T_n x\|_2 \quad \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+2}.$$

By the lemma there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for large n there is a subspace E_n of \mathbb{R}^{n+2} with $\dim E_n \geq cn$ and $c\|x\|_2 \leq r_n(x)$ for all $x \in E_n$. Now observe that T_n is injective on E_n and consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E_n, \|\cdot\|_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{E_n}} & (E_n, \|\cdot\|_2) \\ \downarrow V_n|_{E_n} & & \uparrow T_n^{-1} \\ G & \xrightarrow{U_n} l_2^{n+2} \xrightarrow{Q_n} & (T_n E_n, \|\cdot\|_2) \end{array}$$

where Q_n is the orthogonal projection. With $I_n := V_n|_{E_n}$ and $P_n := T_n^{-1} Q_n U_n$ one gets $\text{id}_{E_n} = P_n I_n$ and, since for all $x \in T_n E_n$

$$\|T_n^{-1} x\|_2 \leq \frac{1}{c} r_n(T_n^{-1} x) = \frac{1}{c} \|x\|_2,$$

moreover $\|P_n\| \|I_n\| \leq 1/c$. This proves that “ G contains all E_n uniformly complemented”, and hence is an S_2 -space. ■

Now, as a technical device, a new class of Banach spaces is defined which a priori is larger than the class of all S_p -spaces. We call a real Banach space G a T_p -space ($1 \leq p < \infty$) if there is a constant $\lambda \geq 1$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and operators $I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^m, G)$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_2^m)$ satisfying

$$\text{id}_{l_2^m} = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq \lambda.$$

A Banach space G is T_p if and only if its dual is T_p ; (one direction is trivial and the other follows by standard arguments using (a weak form of) the principle of local reflexivity). Obviously, every S_p -space is a T_p -space. The following partial converse is the crucial step of the proof of our main result.

1.4. THEOREM. For $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ every T_p -space is an S_p -space.

Proof. The cases $p = 1$ and ∞ : Because of the duality of T_1 - and T_∞ -spaces as well as S_∞ - and S_1 -spaces it suffices to prove that every T_∞ -space is S_∞ . Let G be a T_∞ -space. In view of 1.1 we show that the l_2 -sum of G is S_∞ . By assumption there is $\lambda \geq 1$ (not depending on n) and there are operators $I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^m, G)$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_2^m)$ (where m depends on n) such that

$$\text{id}_{l_2^m} = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq \lambda.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\|P_k\| = \|I_k\|$ for all k . Define

$$V_n: l_2^m \rightarrow l_2(G), \quad \xi \mapsto (I_1 \xi, \dots, I_m \xi, 0, \dots),$$

$$U_n: l_2(G) \rightarrow l_2^m, \quad (x_k) \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^m P_k x_k.$$

Then obviously $\text{id}_{l_2^m} = U_n V_n$ and $\|V_n\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda}$, $\|U_n\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda}$, which proves that $l_2(G)$ contains all l_2^m uniformly complemented.

The case $p = 2$: Let G be a T_2 -space. In order to show that G is S_2 we use criterion 1.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there are operators $I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^{m+2}, G)$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_2^{m+2})$ such that

$$\text{id}_{l_2^{m+2}} = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq \lambda,$$

where the constant $\lambda \geq 1$ does not depend on n . Assume that for all $k = 1, \dots, m$

$$\int_{S_{n+1}} \|P_k I_k(x)\|_2 \mu_{n+1}(dx) < \frac{1}{\lambda} \|P_k\| \|I_k\|.$$

Then

$$1 = \int_{S_{n+1}} \left\| \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k(x) \right\|_2 \mu_{n+1}(dx) \leq \int_{S_{n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k I_k(x)\|_2 \mu_{n+1}(dx) < \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq 1,$$

a contradiction. Hence there is $1 \leq k \leq m$ such that with $\alpha := 1/\lambda > 0$, $V_n := I_k \in \mathcal{L}(l_2^{n+2}, G)$ and $U_n := P_k \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_2^{n+2})$

$$\int_{S_{n+1}} \|U_n V_n(x)\|_2 \mu_{n+1}(dx) \geq \alpha \|U_n\| \|V_n\| > 0,$$

which by criterion 1.3 implies that G is an S_2 -space. ■

It remains unsolved whether the preceding result holds for arbitrary $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

2. S_p -spaces and p -dominated operators. Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let E be a Banach space. For $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ put

$$w_p(x_i) := \sup \left\{ \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle x_i, x' \rangle|^p \right)^{1/p} \mid x' \in B_E \right\}$$

(with the obvious modification for $p = \infty$). Denote by $[\mathcal{D}_p, D_p]$ the Banach operator ideal of all p -dominated operators: $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(E, F)$ if there is a $c \geq 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |\langle Tx_i, y_i \rangle| \leq c w_p(x_i) w_p(y_i)$$

for all finite families $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in F$. The norm is given by $D_p(T) := \inf c$ where c is as above (see [8], 17.4).

Important particular cases are $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{S}_1$, the ideal of all absolutely summing operators, $\mathcal{D}_\infty = \mathcal{S}_1^{\text{dual}}$, the ideal of all operators T such that T' is absolutely summing, and \mathcal{D}_2 , the largest Banach ideal of operators which in Hilbert spaces coincides with the ideal of all nuclear operators. A deep factorization theorem of Kwapien (see [8], 17.4.3) states that an operator T is p -dominated if and only if it can be written as a product RS where R' is absolutely p' -summing and S absolutely p -summing, briefly: $\mathcal{D}_p := \mathcal{S}_{p'}^{\text{dual}} \circ \mathcal{S}_p$ (put $\mathcal{S}_\infty = \mathcal{L}$).

As usual $\varepsilon(\cdot; E, F)$ denotes the injective norm on the tensor product $E \otimes F$ of two Banach spaces and $\pi(\cdot; E, F)$ the projective norm. Moreover, for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ we shall consider the norms

$$w_p(z; E, F) := \inf \{ w_p(x_i) w_p(y_i) \mid z = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i \}$$

$$w_p^*(z; E, F) := \sup \{ |\langle z, u \rangle| \mid w_p(u; E', F') \leq 1 \},$$

which as ε and π form tensor norms in the sense of Grothendieck, in particular: $\varepsilon \leq w_p, w_p^* \leq \pi$ (see e.g. [2] and [3]). If E is an \mathcal{L}_p -space or F is an \mathcal{L}_p -space then isomorphically

$$E \otimes_\varepsilon F = E \otimes_{w_p} F, \quad E \otimes_\pi F = E \otimes_{w_p^*} F.$$

Moreover, for arbitrary Banach spaces E and F

$$\mathcal{D}_p(E, F) = (E \otimes_{w_p} F)', \quad T \mapsto (x \otimes y \mapsto \langle y, Tx \rangle),$$

holds isometrically. Hence, if as usual $[\mathcal{I}, I]$ stands for the Banach operator ideal of all integral operators then

$$\mathcal{D}_p(E, F') = (E \otimes_{w_p} F)' = (E \otimes_\varepsilon F)' = \mathcal{I}(E, F'),$$

provided E is an \mathcal{L}_p -space or F an $\mathcal{L}_{p'}$ -space.

The following tensor product characterization of p -dominated operators is a slight extension of results proved in [1] and [4].

2.1. PROPOSITION. For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ and a Banach space G consider the following four statements:

- (1) $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(E, F)$.
- (2) $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes_{w_p} E \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi F$ is continuous.
- (3) $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes_\varepsilon E \rightarrow G \otimes_{w_p^*} F$ is continuous.
- (4) $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes_{w_p} E \rightarrow G \otimes_{w_p^*} F$ is continuous.

Then (1) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (4). Conversely: If G is a T_p -space then (1)–(4) are equivalent. In particular, if G is an infinite-dimensional \mathcal{L}_p -space then (1) is equivalent to

- (5) $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes_\varepsilon E \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi F$ is continuous.

We remark that this result in particular implies that Pisier's infinite-dimensional Banach spaces P for which $P \otimes_\varepsilon P = P \otimes_\pi P$ holds isomorphically cannot be T_p -spaces for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (for the construction of these spaces see e.g. [9]).

Proof. Obviously, (3) \Rightarrow (4) and (2) \Rightarrow (4). The proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2) is easy: Indeed, for $z = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i \otimes x_i \in G \otimes E$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\text{id}_G \otimes T(z); G, F) &= \sup \{ |\langle \text{id}_G \otimes T(z), \varphi \rangle| \mid \varphi \in B_{(G \otimes_\pi F)} \} \\ &= \sup \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \langle Tx_i, L_\varphi g_i \rangle \right| \mid \varphi \in B_{(G \otimes_\pi F)} \right\} \leq D_p(T) w_p(x_i) w_p(g_i) \end{aligned}$$

(here $L_\varphi \in \mathcal{L}(G, F')$ denotes the canonical operator associated with φ , and hence

$$\pi(\text{id}_G \otimes T(z); G, F) \leq D_p(T) w_p(z; G, E).$$

The implication (1) \Rightarrow (3) follows from (1) \Rightarrow (2) by duality, since $T \in \mathcal{D}_p(E, F)$ iff $T' \in \mathcal{D}_p(F', E')$ and the embeddings

$$G \otimes_\varepsilon E \hookrightarrow (G' \otimes_\pi E)', \quad G \otimes_{w_p} F \hookrightarrow (G' \otimes_{w_p} F')$$

are isometries. So it remains to prove (4) \Rightarrow (1) provided that G is a T_p -space. Fix $x_1, \dots, x_n \in E$ and $y_1, \dots, y_n \in F'$. Then there is a constant $\lambda \geq 1$ (independent of n) and there are operators $I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G)$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n)$ (m depending on n) such that

$$\text{id}_{l_p^n} = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq \lambda.$$

Hence with $\varepsilon_j := \text{sgn} \langle Tx_j, y_j \rangle$ by use of (4)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n |\langle Tx_i, y_i \rangle| &= \sum_{i,j} \sum_k \langle I_k e_i \otimes Tx_i, P'_k e_j \otimes \varepsilon_j y_j \rangle \\ &\leq \sum_k |\langle \sum_i I_k e_i \otimes Tx_i, \sum_j P'_k e_j \otimes \varepsilon_j y_j \rangle| \\ &\leq \sum_k w_p^* (\sum_i I_k e_i \otimes Tx_i; G, F) w_p (\sum_j P'_k e_j \otimes \varepsilon_j y_j; G', F') \\ &\leq \lambda \| \text{id}_G \otimes T : G \otimes_{w_p} E \rightarrow G \otimes_{w_p} F \| w_p(x_i) w_p(y_j), \end{aligned}$$

which as desired proves that T is p -dominated. ■

As a corollary this proposition implies that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ is p -dominated if and only if there is an S_p -space G such that $\text{id}_G \otimes T : G \otimes_\varepsilon E \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi F$ is continuous. In [4] Jarchow asked for a certain converse of this statement: *Is a Banach space G an S_p -space if every operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that $\text{id}_G \otimes T : G \otimes_\varepsilon E \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi F$ is continuous, is already p -dominated?* The following theorem gives a positive answer for $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ . Moreover, for arbitrary $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ it is shown that the answer to Jarchow's problem is positive if one considers the class of all T_p -spaces instead of the class of all S_p -spaces.

2.2. THEOREM. *Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let G be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) Every $T \in \mathcal{L}(E, F)$ such that $\text{id}_G \otimes T : G \otimes_\varepsilon E \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi F$ is continuous, is p -dominated.
- (2) There is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for each $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, l_p^n)$

$$I(T) \leq c \| \text{id}_G \otimes T : G \otimes_\varepsilon l_p^n \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi l_p^n \|$$

($I(T)$ is the integral = nuclear norm of T).

- (3) G is a T_p -space.

Moreover, for $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ these statements are equivalent to

- (4) G is an S_p -space.

Proof. Obviously, (1) \Rightarrow (2) is a consequence of the closed graph theorem and the fact that $\mathcal{D}_p(l_p, l_p) = \mathcal{L}(l_p, l_p)$ (see the preliminary remarks of this section). Moreover, (3) \Rightarrow (1) follows directly from 2.1, and (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) (if $p = 1, 2$ or ∞) was already stated in 1.4. Hence it remains to prove that (2) implies (3): For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consider the linear surjection

$$c_u : (l_p^n \otimes G') \otimes (G \otimes l_p^n) \rightarrow l_p^n \otimes l_p^n, \quad (\eta \otimes g') \otimes (g \otimes \xi) \mapsto \langle g, g' \rangle \eta \otimes \xi,$$

and define the quotient norm

$$\delta(z; l_p^n, l_p^n) := \inf \{ \pi(w; l_p^n \otimes_\varepsilon G', G \otimes_\varepsilon l_p^n) \mid c_u(w) = z \}$$

on $l_p^n \otimes l_p^n$.

Step 1: We prove that under the hypothesis of (2) for all n

$$\delta(\cdot; l_p^n, l_p^n) \leq c \varepsilon(\cdot; l_p^n, l_p^n)$$

or dually: For $u = \sum_i x_i \otimes y_i \in l_p^n \otimes l_p^n$

$$\pi(u; l_p^n, l_p^n) \leq c \delta'(u; l_p^n, l_p^n)$$

where

$$\delta'(u; l_p^n, l_p^n) := \sup \{ |\langle u, v \rangle| \mid \delta(v; l_p^n, l_p^n) \leq 1 \}.$$

Consider the operator $T_u := \sum_i y_i \otimes x_i \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, l_p^n)$. Then

$$\| \text{id}_G \otimes T_u : G \otimes_\varepsilon l_p^n \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi l_p^n \| \leq \delta'(u; l_p^n, l_p^n).$$

Indeed, for $z = \sum_i g_i \otimes \xi_i \in G \otimes l_p^n$, choose

$$w = \sum_j g_j \otimes \eta_j \in G' \otimes_\varepsilon l_p^n = (G \otimes_\pi l_p^n)'$$

with $\varepsilon(w; G', l_p^n) \leq 1$, $\pi(\text{id}_G \otimes T_u(z); G, l_p^n) = |\langle \text{id}_G \otimes T_u(z), w \rangle|$, and check

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\text{id}_G \otimes T_u(z); G, l_p^n) &= |\langle \sum_i g_i \otimes T_u(\xi_i), \sum_j g_j \otimes \eta_j \rangle| \\ &= |\langle u, c_u((\sum_j \eta_j \otimes g_j) \otimes (\sum_i g_i \otimes \xi_i)) \rangle| \\ &\leq \delta'(u; l_p^n, l_p^n) \delta(c_u(\dots); l_p^n, l_p^n) \leq \delta'(u; l_p^n, l_p^n) \varepsilon(z; G, l_p^n). \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (2)

$$\pi(u; l_p^n, l_p^n) = I(T_u; l_p^n \rightarrow l_p^n) \leq c \delta'(u; l_p^n, l_p^n).$$

Step 2: Let us now prove that G is a T_p -space. For all n the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (l_p^n \otimes_\varepsilon G') \otimes_\pi (G \otimes_\varepsilon l_p^n) & \xrightarrow{c_u} & l_p^n \otimes_\delta l_p^n \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G) \otimes_\pi \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n) & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, l_p^n) \\ & & S \otimes T \rightsquigarrow TS. \end{array}$$

Therefore by step 1 there is $w \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G) \otimes \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n)$ such that $\Psi(w) = \text{id}_{l_p^n}$ and

$$\pi(w; \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G), \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n)) \leq (1 + c)c,$$

which by the definition of the π -norm implies the assertion: For every n there is m and there are operators $I_1, \dots, I_m \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, G)$ and $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n)$ satisfying

$$\text{id}_{l_p^n} = \sum_{k=1}^m P_k I_k, \quad \sum_{k=1}^m \|P_k\| \|I_k\| \leq (1 + c)^2 c. \quad \blacksquare$$

Since $\mathcal{D}_p \subseteq \mathcal{D}_2$ for $1 < p < \infty$ (see [8], 17.4.5) one gets as an immediate consequence that every T_p -space ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$) is either S_1 or S_2 or S_∞ .

We remark that the theorem holds in the complex sense also (for the definition of complex S_p - and T_p -spaces use the complex l_p^n 's instead of the real ones). Indeed, it can be checked easily that the proof of the equivalences (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) does not depend on the scalar field. Moreover, for $p = 1, 2$ and ∞ every complex T_p -space contains all complex l_p^n uniformly complemented. For $p = 1, \infty$ the proof is exactly that of 1.4, and for $p = 2$ the argument is as follows: Let G be a complex T_2 -space. Then it is immediate that G considered as a real Banach space is T_2 , and hence S_2 by 1.4. But, if a complex Banach space considered as a real one contains all real l_2^n uniformly complemented, then it also contains all complex l_2^n uniformly complemented (this was pointed out to me by Pisier; his argument is based on the facts that Theorem 5.11 of [10] is valid in the complex case also and moreover its converse is essentially true).

Finally, we state some simple reformulations of the theorem. It follows from 2.1 that for $G = l_p$, every $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_p, l_p)$ is integral (= p' -dominated) if and only if $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes_\varepsilon l_p \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi l_p$ is continuous (see also [2], 5.2). By the theorem and the closed graph theorem the following partial converse holds: For $p = 1, 2, \infty$ the fact that every $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_p, l_p)$ is integral if $\text{id}_G \otimes T: G \otimes_\varepsilon E \rightarrow G \otimes_\pi F$ is continuous, implies that G is an S_p -space.

Purely formulated in terms of operators this means

2.3. COROLLARY. *Let $p = 1, 2$ or ∞ and let G be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent:*

(1) *Every $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_p, l_p)$ such that $TS \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_p)$ is integral for every $S \in \mathcal{L}(G, l_p)$, is integral itself.*

(2) *There is a constant $c \geq 0$ such that for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(l_p^n, l_p^n)$*

$$I(T) \leq c \sup \{ I(TS) \mid S: G \rightarrow l_p^n, \|S\| \leq 1 \}.$$

(3) *G is an S_p -space.*

The equivalence of (1) and (2) follows by standard arguments (using the closed graph theorem). The proof of (2) \Leftrightarrow (3) is a direct consequence of 2.2 and the commutativity of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G' \otimes_\varepsilon l_p^n & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{G'} \otimes T} & G' \otimes_\pi l_p^n \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{L}(G, l_p^n) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & I(G, l_p^n) \\ S \rightsquigarrow TS & & \end{array}$$

We remark that l_p in 2.3(1) can be replaced by any infinite-dimensional \mathcal{L}_p -space.

Acknowledgement. The author wants to thank Prof. T. Figiel who at the VIIIth Polish-GDR Seminar at Georgenthal gave some crucial hints for the proof of Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] J. S. Cohen, *Absolutely p -summing, p -nuclear operators and their conjugates*, Math. Ann. 201 (1973), 177-200.
 [2] A. Defant and K. Floret, *The metric theory of tensor products and operator ideals*, Note Mat. 8 (1988), to appear.
 [3] A. Grothendieck, *Résumé de la théorie métrique des produits tensoriels topologiques*, Bol. Soc. Mat. São Paulo 8 (1956), 1-79.
 [4] H. Jarchow, *On a theorem of John and Zizler*, in: J. Frehse, D. Pallaschke and U. Trottenberg (eds.), *Special Topics of Applied Mathematics*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980, 3-13.
 [5] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I, II*, Springer, Berlin 1977/79.
 [6] B. Maurey et G. Pisier, *Séries de variables aléatoires vectorielles indépendantes et propriétés géométriques des espaces de Banach*, Studia Math. 58 (1976), 45-90.
 [7] V. D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1200, Springer, 1986.
 [8] A. Pietsch, *Operator Ideals*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1980.
 [9] G. Pisier, *Factorization of linear operators and geometry of Banach spaces*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math. 60, Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.
 [10] —, *Probabilistic methods in the geometry of Banach spaces*, in: Probability and Analysis, CIME, Varenna 1985, Lecture Notes in Math. 1206, Springer, 1986, 167-241.

FACHBEREICH MATHEMATIK
 UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG
 D-2900 Oldenburg, F.R.G.

Received May 31, 1988

(2447)