

- [8] M. Taibleson, *The existence of natural field structures for finite dimensional vector spaces over local fields*, Pacific J. Math. 63 (1976), 545–551.
- [9] D. Timotin, *A note on C_p estimates for certain kernels*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 9 (1986), 295–304.

INCREST
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
Bdul Pacii 220, 79 622 București, Romania

Received February 25, 1986
Revised version October 20, 1986

(2140)

Subspace mixing properties of operators in R^n with applications to Gluskin spaces

by

P. MANKIEWICZ (Warszawa)

Abstract. There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property that whenever G is a compact group of operators acting on X_n then

$$\sup \{ \|T\|_{X_n} : T \in G \} \geq \frac{c(n-t(G))}{n^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n}$$

where $t(G) = \inf \{ \text{tr } T : T \in G \}$.

E. D. Gluskin in [3] introduced a class of random n -dimensional Banach spaces in order to prove that the Banach–Mazur diameter of the set of all n -dimensional Banach spaces is of order n . Later the same author in [4] and independently S. J. Szarek [8] used different variants of spaces defined in [3] to prove the existence of finite-dimensional Banach spaces with the “worst possible” basis constants. Another variant of Gluskin spaces was used by the author in [5] to construct finite-dimensional Banach spaces with the “worst possible” symmetry constants. The importance of the notion of “subspace mixing operators on R^n ” in the context of Gluskin spaces was implicit in [4] and “almost explicit” in [5]. The final step in this direction was done by S. J. Szarek [9], who proved that a “vast majority” of Gluskin spaces enjoy the property that every subspace mixing operator on such a space has large norm. The subspace mixing property was used in that paper to prove the existence of finite-dimensional Banach spaces with two essentially different complex structures. Later on, the techniques developed in [9] were used by the same author to construct infinite-dimensional Banach spaces with some pathological properties [10], [11]; however, the credit for the first use of Gluskin spaces to construct pathological infinite-dimensional Banach spaces should be given to J. Bourgain [1].

In this paper we study subspace mixing properties of operators in R^n with special attention turned to operators which belong to a compact group of operators. The main difference in the approach between [9] and this paper lies in the fact that in [9] the author studied the subspace mixing property of an operator T in terms of certain “distances” of T to the line $\{\lambda \text{Id}\}_{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}}$ while

we study the same property in terms of some other “distances” of T to the operator $n^{-1}(\text{tr } T)\text{Id}$, and the values of the latter “distances” are easier to handle.

Finally, we use the results on subspace mixing properties to prove the existence of finite-dimensional Banach spaces with some pathological properties, or more precisely to establish some pathological properties of a “vast majority” of Gluskin spaces. The main result in this direction can be stated as follows (cf. Th. 5.3 below):

There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property that whenever G is a group of linear operators acting on X_n ,

$$\sup \{ \|T\|_{X_n} : T \in G \} \geq \frac{c(n-t(G))}{n^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n}$$

where $t(G) = \inf \{ \text{tr } T : T \in G \}$.

This answers a question posed by S. J. Szarek in [9].

Let us note that the theorem quoted above contains “up to a logarithmic factor” the results proved in [4], [5], [8], [9].

1. Notation and preliminaries. We shall use the standard notation. By e_1, \dots, e_n we shall denote the standard unit vector basis in \mathbb{R}^n and by $\|\cdot\|$ the standard Euclidean norm in \mathbb{R}^n . S^{n-1} will stand for the unit sphere in \mathbb{R}^n while μ_{n-1} will denote the normalized surface Lebesgue measure on S^{n-1} . O_n and h_n will stand for the orthogonal group on \mathbb{R}^n and the normalized Haar measure on it respectively. If E is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n then by P_E and E^\perp we shall denote the orthogonal projection on E and on the orthogonal complement of E in \mathbb{R}^n respectively.

We shall say that a linear operator $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ has the (α, β) -subspace mixing property for $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ iff there is a linear subspace $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dim E \geq \alpha$ such that

$$\|P_{E^\perp} T x\| \geq \beta \|x\| \quad \text{for every } x \in E.$$

The set of all operators in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ having the (α, β) -subspace mixing property will be denoted by $M_n(\alpha, \beta)$. Obviously for $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$:

- (i) $T \notin \bigcup_{\alpha, \beta > 0} M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ iff $T = \lambda \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) $T \in M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ iff $\lambda T \in M_n(\alpha, |\lambda| \beta)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (iii) $T \in M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ iff $T - \lambda \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$
iff $T - \lambda \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n} \in M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

We shall write $T \in \tilde{M}_n(\gamma)$ iff $T \in M_n(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ with $\alpha\beta \geq \gamma$. Recall that every operator $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be written in the form

$$(*) \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) \langle \cdot, u_i \rangle v_i$$

where $\lambda_1(T) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(T) \geq 0$ and $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ are orthonormal systems in \mathbb{R}^n . Any representation of an operator $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in the form $(*)$ will be called a *polar decomposition* of T . It is well known that while the polar decomposition of an operator T need not be unique the sequence $\{\lambda_i(T)\}_{i=1}^n$ is uniquely determined by T .

For a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $[A]$ will denote the linear hull of A . Sometimes, we shall identify an operator $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with its matrix representation $(a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ with respect to the basis $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$. For $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define

$$m(T) = \begin{cases} \lambda_k(T) & \text{for } n = 2k, \\ \lambda_{k+1}(T) & \text{for } n = 2k+1. \end{cases}$$

For $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\|T\|_{\text{HS}}$ will denote the Hilbert–Schmidt norm of T and $\text{tr } T$ will denote its trace. We shall make use of the following trivial equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2(T) = n \int_{S^{n-1}} \|Tx\|^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x), \\ \text{tr } T &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) \langle u_i, v_i \rangle = n \int_{S^{n-1}} \langle Tx, x \rangle d\mu_{n-1}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for every $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every polar decomposition of T .

Finally, we define

$$\delta(T) = \inf \{ \|T - \lambda \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{\text{HS}} : \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \}$$

for every $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$. It can easily be seen that for $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\delta^2(T) = \|T - (n^{-1} \text{tr } T) \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - n^{-1} (\text{tr } T)^2.$$

By \mathcal{G}_n we shall denote the set of all compact groups of operators acting on \mathbb{R}^n . We define

$$\mathcal{U}\mathcal{G}_n = \bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} G, \quad t(G) = \inf \{ \text{tr } T : T \in G \} \quad \text{for } G \in \mathcal{G}_n.$$

Since $\text{tr}(\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}) = n$ one has $t(G) \leq n$ for $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $t(G) = n$ iff $G \subset \{\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}, -\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n}\}$. For a compact group G we shall denote by h_G the normalized Haar measure on G .

We shall say that a group $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ acts *trivially* on a subspace $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ iff $T|_E = \varepsilon \text{Id}_E$, with $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$, for every $T \in G$. Also, we shall say that a group $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ acts *essentially nontrivially* on \mathbb{R}^n iff it does not act trivially on any subspace of \mathbb{R}^n with positive dimension.

The letter c with indices or without will always stand for an absolute numerical constant, in general different in different places.

We shall deal only with spaces over the reals; however, all the results after suitable modification remain valid in the complex case.

2. Mixing properties of contractions in \mathbf{R}^n . We begin with

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|P_{[x]^\perp} T P_{[x]}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \|P_{[x]^\perp} T x\|^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} ((n+1) \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - (\text{tr } T)^2 - \text{tr } T^2) \geq \frac{\delta^2(T)}{n+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first equality, as well as the inequality, is trivial. To prove the second equality set $T = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ and observe that for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in S^{n-1}$

$$\|P_{[x]^\perp} T x\|^2 = \|T x\|^2 - \langle T x, x \rangle^2 = \|T x\|^2 - \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j \right)^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \|P_{[x]^\perp} T x\|^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) &= \int_{S^{n-1}} \|T x\|^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) \\ &\quad - \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j \right)^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since the first integral on the right-hand side is equal to $n^{-1} \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2$, it remains to evaluate the second one. Expanding the square of the sum, skipping the integrals which are obviously equal to zero and taking into account that

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} x_i^4 d\mu_{n-1}(x) = \frac{3}{n(n+2)}, \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} x_i^2 x_j^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) = \frac{1}{n(n+2)}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ and $i \neq j$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad \int_{S^{n-1}} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j \right)^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} \left(3 \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ii} a_{jj} + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ji} a_{ij} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right)^2 + \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij} a_{ji} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} (\|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 + (\text{tr } T)^2 + \text{tr } T^2). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (1) and (2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S^{n-1}} \|P_{[x]^\perp} T x\|^2 d\mu_{n-1}(x) &= \frac{1}{n} \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - \frac{1}{n(n+2)} (\|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 + (\text{tr } T)^2 + \text{tr } T^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} ((n+1) \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - (\text{tr } T)^2 - \text{tr } T^2), \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

Thus we have

COROLLARY 2.2. $T \in M_n(1, (n+2)^{-1/2} \delta(T))$ for every $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. For every k -dimensional subspace $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ and every $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{O_n} \|P_{U(E^\perp)} T P_{U(E)}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_n(U) &= \frac{k(n-k)}{(n-1)n(n+2)} ((n+1) \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - (\text{tr } T)^2 - \text{tr } T^2) \\ &\geq \frac{k(n-k)}{(n-1)(n+2)} \delta^2(T). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since the integral does not depend on a particular choice of a space E but only on the dimension of E , let $E = [e_1, \dots, e_k]$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \int_{O_n} \|P_{U(E^\perp)} T P_{U(E)}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_n(U) &= \int_{O_n} \|U P_{E^\perp} U^{-1} T U P_E U^{-1}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_n(U) \\ &= \int_{O_n} \|P_{E^\perp} U^{-1} T U P_E\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_n(U). \end{aligned}$$

Let $UTU^{-1} = (a_{ij}(U))_{i,j=1}^n$ for $U \in O_n$ and observe that for every $U_1 \in O_n$

$$\int_{O_n} a_{ij}^2(U) dh_n(U) = \int_{O_n} a_{ij}^2(UU_1) dh_n(U).$$

By a simple ‘‘change of coordinates’’ argument we get

$$(4) \quad \int_{O_n} a_{ij}^2(U) dh_n(U) = \int_{O_n} a_{im}^2(U) dh_n(U)$$

for all $i \neq j$ and $l \neq m$. Let $a(T)$ be the common value of all integrals of the form (4). We have

$$(5) \quad \int_{O_n} \|P_{E^\perp} U^{-1} T U P_E\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_n(U) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=k+1}^n \int_{O_n} a_{ij}^2(U) dh_n(U) = k(n-k) a(T).$$

On the other hand, Prop. 2.1 means that

$$\begin{aligned} (6) \quad (n-1) a(T) &= \sum_{j=2}^n \int_{O_n} a_{1j}^2(U) dh_n(U) \\ &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} ((n+1) \|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - (\text{tr } T)^2 - \text{tr } T^2). \end{aligned}$$

Now, combining (3), (5) and (6) completes the proof.

The main result of this section is

THEOREM 2.4. $T \in M_n(\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \delta^2(T), \delta(T)/\sqrt{5n})$ for every $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\|T\| \leq 1$.

Proof. We shall assume that n is even. The case of n odd can be treated in a similar way. By Prop. 2.3, there is an $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, $\dim E = n/2$, such that

$$(7) \quad \|P_{E^\perp} T P_E\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \geq \frac{n^2}{4(n-1)(n+2)} \delta^2(T) \geq \frac{1}{5} \delta^2(T).$$

Let $V = P_{E^\perp} T P_E$ and let

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \lambda_i(V) \langle \cdot, u_i \rangle v_i$$

be a polar decomposition of V . Obviously $\{u_i\}$ and $\{v_i\}$ are orthonormal systems in E and E^\perp respectively and $\lambda_i(V) \leq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, n/2$. By (7) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \lambda_i^2(V) \geq \frac{1}{3} \delta^2(T).$$

This implies that more than $\frac{1}{10} \delta^2(T)$ of the $\lambda_i(V)$'s are greater than $(5n)^{-1/2} \delta(T)$. Set

$$E_0 = [u_i: i = 1, \dots, [\frac{1}{10} \delta^2(T) + 1]],$$

where $[\frac{1}{10} \delta^2(T)]$ denotes the integer part of $\frac{1}{10} \delta^2(T)$. Then

$$\|P_{E_0^\perp} T x\| \geq \|P_{E^\perp} T x\| = \|V x\| \geq (5n)^{-1/2} \delta(T)$$

for $x \in E_0$, which yields that $T \in M_n(\frac{1}{10} \delta^2(T), \delta(T)/\sqrt{5n})$ and completes the proof.

Remark 2.5. Observe that the theorem above gives (up to some constants) the best possible "mixing properties" for contractions in $L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with values of δ "proportional" to \sqrt{n} , while it is far from the best for contractions with relatively small values of δ .

In the sequel we shall need the following

LEMMA 2.6. *Let $a > b > 0$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^m)$ be such that there are two orthogonal subspaces $E_1, E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $\dim E_1 = \dim E_2 = m$, with the properties:*

$$\|T x\| \geq a \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in E_1, \quad \|T x\| \leq b \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in E_2.$$

Then $T \in M_n(\frac{1}{4}m, \frac{1}{4}(a-b))$.

Proof. Without any loss of generality we may assume that $a = 1$. In order to simplify the notation we shall assume that $m = 4k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let p be the maximal nonnegative integer such that $T \in M_n(p, \frac{1}{4}(1-b))$. Assume to the contrary that $p < k$, and let $F \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be such that

$$\|P_{F^\perp} T x\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b) \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in F, \quad \dim F = p.$$

Set

$$E_3 = [F \cup T F \cup T^* F \cup T^* T F]^\perp \cap E_1,$$

$$E_4 = [F \cup T F \cup T^* F \cup T^* T F]^\perp \cap E_2$$

and observe that $\dim E_3 \geq \dim E_2 - 4 \dim F \geq 4(k-p) \geq 4$. By the same

token $\dim E_4 \geq 4$. Using the same argument as in the proof of the fact that every $2k$ -dimensional ellipsoid has a k -dimensional spherical section we deduce that there are two orthogonal vectors $x_1, x_2 \in E_3 \oplus E_4$ such that $\|T x_1\| = \|x_1\|$ and $\|T x_2\| = b \|x_2\|$. Let $E_5 = [x_1, x_2]$ and note that $\|T|_{E_5}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = 1 + b^2$, while $|\text{tr } P_{E_5} T|_{E_5}| \leq 1 + b$. Now, if

$$\|P_{E_5^\perp} T|_{E_5}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b)^2,$$

then there is an $x_0 \in E_5$, $\|x_0\| = 1$, such that

$$\|P_{E_5^\perp} T x_0\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b).$$

Since $x_0 \in E_3 \oplus E_4$ it is a matter of a routine calculation to verify that if $F_1 = [F \cup \{x_0\}]$ then

$$\|P_{F_1^\perp} T y\| = \|P_{F^\perp} T y\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b) \|y\| \quad \text{for } y \in F,$$

$$\|P_{F_1^\perp} T x_0\| = \|P_{\{x_0\}^\perp} T x_0\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b), \quad P_{F_1^\perp} T x_0 \perp P_{F_1^\perp} T F,$$

and therefore

$$\|P_{F_1^\perp} T x\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b) \|x\| \quad \text{for } x \in F_1,$$

a contradiction to the assumption that F has the maximal dimension. On the other hand, if

$$\|P_{E_5^\perp} T|_{E_5}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \leq \frac{1}{4}(1-b)^2,$$

then treating E_5 as \mathbb{R}^2 we have

$$\|P_{E_5} T|_{E_5}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 - \frac{1}{2}(\text{tr } P_{E_5} T|_{E_5})^2 \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b)^2.$$

Thus $\delta(P_{E_5} T|_{E_5}) \geq \frac{1}{2}(1-b)$ and hence, by Cor. 2.2, there is an $x_0 \in E_5$, $\|x_0\| = 1$, with the property that

$$\|P_{E_5 \cap \{x_0\}^\perp} T x_0\| \geq \frac{1}{4}(1-b),$$

and we get a contradiction just as before, which completes the proof.

3. Mixing properties of operators and compact groups of operators in \mathbb{R}^n .

The theorem below describes the mixing properties of an operator T in terms of $m(T)$ and its trace.

THEOREM 3.1. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 4$ and for every $T \in L(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$T \in \tilde{M}_n(c |nm(T) - |\text{tr } T| / \log n).$$

Proof. First observe that since

$$|nm(T) - |\text{tr } T|| \leq nm(T - (n^{-1} \text{tr } T) \text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$$

for $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and since \tilde{T} has the same mixing properties as $T - \lambda \text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, it is enough to prove the theorem in the case when $\text{tr } T = 0$. In order to simplify the notation in what follows we shall assume that $n = 2^{10} p$, $p = 1, 2, \dots$

Fix $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ with $\text{tr } T = 0$ and let

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) \langle \cdot, u_i \rangle v_i$$

be its polar decomposition. We shall consider the following three mutually exclusive cases:

A. Either $\lambda_{n/256}(T) \geq \frac{3}{2}m(T)$ or $\lambda_{255n/256} \leq \frac{1}{2}m(T)$.

B. A does not hold and $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) \leq (1 + \frac{1}{64})nm(T)$.

C. Neither A nor B hold.

Case A. Note that, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$T \in M_n(2^{-10}n, 2^{-3}m(T)) \subset \tilde{M}_n(2^{-13}nm(T))$$

and we are done.

Case B. First observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n/256} \lambda_i(T) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i(T) - \sum_{i=n/256+1}^{n/2} \lambda_i(T) - \sum_{i=n/2+1}^{255n/256} \lambda_i(T) \\ &\leq (1 + \frac{1}{64})nm(T) - \frac{127}{256}nm(T) - \frac{127}{512}nm(T) < \frac{1}{3}nm(T) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $|\text{tr } T|E_1| < \frac{1}{3}nm(T)$, where $E_1 = [u_1, \dots, u_{n/256}]$. Thus

$$(8) \quad |\text{tr } T|E_1^\dagger| < \frac{1}{3}nm(T).$$

On the other hand,

$$\|T|E_1^\dagger\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \geq \frac{127}{256}nm^2(T) + \frac{127}{256}(\frac{1}{2}m(T))^2 > \frac{1}{2}nm^2(T).$$

Since $\|T|E_1^\dagger\| < \frac{1}{3}m(T)$ it is easy to see that

$$(9) \quad \|P_{E_1^\perp} T|E_1^\dagger\|_{\text{HS}}^2 > \frac{1}{4}nm^2(T).$$

But (8) and (9) imply that

$$\delta^2(\tilde{T}) > \frac{5}{81}nm^2(T)$$

where $\tilde{T} = P_{E_1^\perp} T|E_1^\dagger: E_1^\perp \rightarrow E_1^\perp$ is considered as an operator acting in $\mathbf{R}^{255n/256}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.4 applied to the operator $(2/3m(T))\tilde{T}$ we infer that

$$\tilde{T} \in M_{255n/256} \left(\frac{2n}{9^3}, \frac{m(T)}{9} \right) \subset \tilde{M}_{255n/256}(2^{-13}nm(T)),$$

which obviously implies that $T \in \tilde{M}_n(2^{-13}nm(T))$, concluding the proof in this case.

Case C. First note that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n/2} \lambda_i(T) > \frac{1}{2}(1 + \frac{1}{64})nm(T)$$

and $\lambda_i \geq m(T)$ for $i = 1, \dots, n/2$. Therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n/2} [\lambda_i(T) - m(T)] > \frac{nm(T)}{128}.$$

Hence there is a $j \leq \log_2(n/2)$ with the property that

$$\lambda_{2^j}(T) - m(T) > \frac{nm(T)}{2^j 128 \log_2(n/2)}$$

which means that

$$\|Tx\| > (1 + (2^j 128 \log_2(n/2))^{-1}n)m(T)$$

for $x \in [u_1, \dots, u_{2^j}]$. Since $\|Tx\| \leq m(T)$ for $x \in [u_{n/2+1}, \dots, u_n]$, by Lemma 2.6, we infer that

$$T \in M_n(2^{j-2}, 2^{-j-9}nm(T)/\log_2(n/2)) \subset \tilde{M}_n(2^{-13}nm(T)/\log n),$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.

As an easy consequence of the last theorem we have the following

THEOREM 3.2. For each $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there is a $c_\alpha > 0$ such that for every $n \geq \max\{(4\alpha)^{-1}, (4(1-\alpha))^{-1}\}$ and for every $T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$T \in \tilde{M}_n(c_\alpha |n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T||/\log n).$$

Proof. If $|n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - m(T)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T||n^{-1}$ then, by Lemma 2.6, for $0 < \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$

$$T \in M_n(\frac{1}{4}[\alpha n], \frac{1}{8}|n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T||n^{-1}) \subset \tilde{M}_n(2^{-6}\alpha |n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T||)$$

and we are done. If this is not the case then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |nm(T) - |\text{tr } T|| &\geq |n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T|| - |n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - nm(T)| \\ &> \frac{1}{2}|n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T||. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the previous theorem

$$T \in \tilde{M}_n(c |nm(T) - |\text{tr } T||/\log n) \subset \tilde{M}_n(\frac{1}{2}c |n\lambda_{[\alpha n]}(T) - |\text{tr } T||/\log n),$$

which concludes the proof in the case $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}$. The other case can be obtained in a similar way.

Remark 3.3. It may be of some value to note that the constant c_α in

the theorem above may be taken to be $2^{-6}\alpha$ (resp. $2^{-6}(1-\alpha)$) for α sufficiently close to 0 (resp. 1).

Now, we turn our attention to compact groups of operators acting on \mathbf{R}^n .

THEOREM 3.4. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 4$ and every $T \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{G}_n$*

$$\{T, T^{-1}\} \cap \tilde{M}_n(c(n-|\text{tr } T|)/\log n) \neq \emptyset.$$

In particular,

$$G \cap \tilde{M}_n(c(n-t(G))/\log n) \neq \emptyset$$

for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

Proof. Obviously, it is enough to prove the first part of the theorem. To this end, let $T \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{G}_n$. Since either $\lambda_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(T)$ or $\lambda_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(T^{-1})$ is not smaller than 1 and since $\text{tr } T = \text{tr } T^{-1}$, replacing perhaps T by T^{-1} , we may assume that $\lambda_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(T) \geq 1$. Thus by the previous theorem, since $|\text{tr } T| \leq n$, we have

$$T \in \tilde{M}_n(c_{1/2} |n\lambda_{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}(T) - |\text{tr } T| / \log n) \subset \tilde{M}_n(c_{1/2}(n - |\text{tr } T|) / \log n),$$

which completes the proof.

Remark 3.5. Let us observe that Th. 3.4 answers (at least partially) a problem posed by S. J. Szarek in [9].

In the sequel, we shall need the following notation: if X_n is an n -dimensional linear space and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a scalar product on X_n then by $\tilde{M}_{n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(\alpha)$, for $\alpha \geq 0$, we shall denote the corresponding class of subspace mixing operators in $L(X_n)$ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Also, by $\mathcal{G}(X_n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{U}\mathcal{G}(X_n)$) we shall denote the set (resp. the union) of all compact groups of operators acting on X_n .

The following result is just a small modification of the theorem above.

THEOREM 3.6. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every n -dimensional linear space X_n*

(i) $T \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{G}(X_n)$ implies $\{T, T^{-1}\} \cap \tilde{M}_{n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(c(n-|\text{tr } T|)/\log n) \neq \emptyset$ for every scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on X_n . In particular,

(ii) $G \cap \tilde{M}_{n, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle}(c(n-t(G))/\log n) \neq \emptyset$ for every $G \in \mathcal{G}(X_n)$ and every scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on X_n .

4. Compact groups of operators with relatively large values of $t(\cdot)$. In view of the results of the previous section it may be of some interest to give a more detailed description of those groups $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ for which $t(G)$ is relatively large, i.e. for which $n-t(G)$ is relatively small. To this end let us recall some basic facts about compact groups of operators acting on \mathbf{R}^n .

Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Then:

1° There is another scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ on \mathbf{R}^n such that G is a group of isometries of $(\mathbf{R}^n, \|\cdot\|_1)$, where $\|x\|_1 = \langle x, x \rangle_1^{1/2}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

2° There is a decomposition of \mathbf{R}^n into an $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$ -orthogonal sum of subspaces

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{R}^n = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_k$$

with the properties:

(i) $T(E_i) = E_i$ for every $T \in G$ and every $i = 1, \dots, k$.

(ii) G acts irreducibly on each E_i , $i = 1, \dots, k$, i.e. the group $G_{E_i} = \{T|_{E_i}; T \in G\} \subset L(E_i)$ does not admit a nontrivial invariant subspace for $i = 1, \dots, k$.

(iii) If $U \in L(E_i)$ commutes with every element of G_{E_i} then

$$\langle Ux, x \rangle_1 = (\dim E_i)^{-1} \text{tr } U$$

for every $x \in E_i$ with $\|x\|_1 = 1$ and every $i = 1, \dots, k$.

For a fixed group $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ every decomposition of \mathbf{R}^n in the form (10) satisfying 2°(i)–(iii) is said to be a *decomposition of \mathbf{R}^n into G -irreducible subspaces*. The properties 1°, 2°(i), (ii) and the complex (stronger) version of 2°(iii) can be found for example in [6]. We sketch the proof of the real case of 2°(iii). Let U be an operator in $L(E_i)$ which commutes with G_{E_i} . Then, by the same argument as in the complex case, we infer that $U = \lambda_1 \tilde{U}$, where $\lambda_1 \in \mathbf{R}$ and \tilde{U} is an isometry on $(E_i, \|\cdot\|_1)$. Set $S = U - \text{Id}_{E_i}$. Since S commutes with G_{E_i} , by the same token we deduce that $S = \lambda_2 \tilde{S}$ with $\lambda_2 \in \mathbf{R}$ and \tilde{S} being an isometry on $(E_i, \|\cdot\|_1)$. We have for $x \in E_i$, with $\|x\|_1 = 1$,

$$\lambda_2^2 = \langle Sx, Sx \rangle_1 = \|Ux\|_1^2 - 2\langle Ux, x \rangle_1 + \|x\|_1^2 = \lambda_1^2 + 1 - 2\langle Ux, x \rangle_1.$$

Hence $\langle Ux, x \rangle_1 \equiv \text{const}$ for $x \in E_i$ with $\|x\|_1 = 1$. Now, the exact value of $\langle Ux, x \rangle_1$ follows from the formula

$$\text{tr } U = \dim E_i \int_{S^{E_i}} \langle Ux, x \rangle_1 d\mu_{S^{E_i}}(x),$$

where $\mu_{S^{E_i}}$ denotes the normalized Lebesgue measure on the unit sphere S^{E_i} of $(E_i, \|\cdot\|_1)$.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ the cardinality of the set of 1-dimensional subspaces in every decomposition of \mathbf{R}^n into G -irreducible subspaces is at least $n - c(n-t(G))$.*

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$. In view of 1° above, without any loss of generality we may and shall assume that $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1$. Let

$$\mathbf{R}^n = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_k$$

be a decomposition of \mathbf{R}^n into G -irreducible subspaces. Let $n_i = \dim E_i$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Obviously, $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$. Assume that $n_1 \geq \dots \geq n_k$ and let $j = \max \{i: n_i > 1\}$. Note that to prove the proposition it is enough to prove that there is a constant $c > 0$ with the property

$$(*) \quad \sum_{i=1}^j n_i \leq c(n - t(G)).$$

To this end, in order to simplify the notation, we shall assume that all n_i 's are even for $i \leq j$. Let $m = \sum_{i=1}^j n_i$, and in each E_i , $i \leq j$, choose arbitrary two orthogonal subspaces F_i^1 and F_i^2 with $\dim F_i^1 = \dim F_i^2 = n_i/2$. Let

$$F_1 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^j F_i^1, \quad F_2 = \bigoplus_{i=1}^j F_i^2.$$

Consider the operators $U_i \in L(E_i)$ defined by

$$(11) \quad U_i = \int_G T^{-1} |E_i \circ P_{F_i^1} \circ T| E_i dh_G(T)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, j$. Obviously, U_i commutes with every element of G_{E_i} . By 2^o(iii),

$\langle U_i x, x \rangle = n_i^{-1} \text{tr } U_i$ for every $x \in S^{E_i}$. On the other hand, it follows from (11) that $\text{tr } U_i = \dim F_i^1 = n_i/2$. Hence

$$(12) \quad \langle U_i x, x \rangle = \frac{1}{2}$$

for every $i = 1, \dots, j$ and every $x \in S^{E_i}$. Set $S^{F_i^2} = S_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, j$ and observe that by (12)

$$\begin{aligned} m/2 &= \sum_{i=1}^j n_i \int_{S_i} \langle U_i x, x \rangle d\mu_{S_i}(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^j n_i \int_{S_i} \int_G \langle (T^{-1} |E_i \circ P_{F_i^1} \circ T| E_i) x, x \rangle dh_G(T) d\mu_{S_i}(x) \\ &= \int_G \left(\sum_{i=1}^j n_i \int_{S_i} \|P_{F_i^1} T x\|^2 d\mu_{S_i}(x) \right) dh_G(T) \\ &= \int_G \sum_{i=1}^j \|P_{F_i^1} T P_{F_i^2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_G(T) = \int_G \|P_{F_1} T P_{F_2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 dh_G(T). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore there exists a $T_0 \in G$ such that

$$(13) \quad \|P_{F_1} T_0 P_{F_2}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \geq m/2.$$

Set $\tilde{E} = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_j$ and let $\tilde{T} = T_0|_{\tilde{E}}$. Obviously \tilde{T} is an isometry in $L(\tilde{E})$. Let $\{u_i: i = 1, \dots, m/2\}$ be an orthonormal basis in F_1 and let $\{u_i: i = m/2 + 1, \dots, m\}$ be an orthonormal basis in F_2 . Since \tilde{E} is the orthogonal

sum of F_1 and F_2 we infer that $\{u_i: i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is an orthogonal basis in \tilde{E} . Let $(\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=1}^m$ be the matrix representation of \tilde{T} with respect to this basis. Since $\|\tilde{T}\|_{\text{HS}}^2 = m$, by (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{tr } \tilde{T}| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ii} \right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^m |\alpha_{ii}| \leq \sqrt{m} \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ii}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \sqrt{m} (m - m/2)^{1/2} \\ &= m/\sqrt{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$t(G) \leq |\text{tr } T_0| \leq |\text{tr } \tilde{T}| + n - m$$

which yields (*) and concludes the proof.

Now, we are ready to derive the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.2. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and for every group $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ there is a subspace $E_G \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ with $\dim E_G \geq n - c(n - t(G))$ on which G acts trivially.*

Proof. Fix $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Replacing perhaps G by the group $-G \cup G$ we may assume that $-\text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}^n} \in G$. As in the proof of the previous proposition, without any loss of generality we may assume that G is a group of isometries of \mathbf{R}^n . Since the trace is a continuous functional on $L(\mathbf{R}^n)$ equipped with the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and its norm is equal to \sqrt{n} and since

$$G \subset S_{n,2} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{T \in L(\mathbf{R}^n): \|T\|_{\text{HS}} = \sqrt{n}\}$$

we infer that there is a constant $c_1 \in (0, 1)$ with the property that if $T \in S_{n,2}$ and $\text{tr } T \geq c_1 n$ then $\|T - \text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}^n}\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n}$.

Now, observe that if $t(G) < c_1 n$ then the theorem holds trivially with $c = 2/(1 - c_1)$ and therefore it is enough to prove it for groups satisfying $t(G) \geq c_1 n$. Let G be such a group and define

$$G_0 = \{T \in G: \text{tr } T \geq c_1 n\} = \{T \in G: \text{tr } T > 0\}.$$

We claim that G_0 is a subgroup of G and $G = -G_0 \cup G_0$. Indeed, the second property of G_0 is trivial, and to see that G_0 is a subgroup, note that for $T_1, T_2 \in G_0$ we have $\|\text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}^n} - T_1 T_2\|_{\text{HS}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n}$, hence $\text{tr}(\text{Id}_{\mathbf{R}^n} - T_1 T_2) = n - \text{tr } T_1 T_2 \leq \frac{1}{2} n$, which implies $\text{tr } T_1 T_2 > 0$ and therefore $T_1 T_2 \in G_0$. In particular, we have $t(G_0) = t(G) \geq c_1 n$.

Now, let $\mathbf{R}^n = E_1 \oplus \dots \oplus E_m$ be a decomposition of \mathbf{R}^n into G -irreducible subspaces. Let $\{E_i\}_{i=1}^k$ be the set of all 1-dimensional subspaces in this decomposition. By Prop. 4.1, we have $k \geq n - c_2(n - t(G))$, where c_2 is some numerical constant. Let $u_i \in E_i$, $\|u_i\| = 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$, and define

$$A = \{i: \tilde{T}u_i = u_i \text{ for every } T \in G_0\}, \quad B = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus A.$$

Set $F = [u_i: i \in B]$ and observe that if $i \in B$ then

$$\int_{G_0} \langle Tu_i, u_i \rangle dh_{G_0}(T) = 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_{G_0} \text{tr}(T|F) dh_{G_0} = \sum_{i \in B} \int_{G_0} \langle Tu_i, u_i \rangle dh_{G_0}(T) = 0.$$

Thus there is a $T_0 \in G_0$ with $\text{tr}(T_0|F) \leq 0$. We have

$$t(G) \leq \text{tr} T_0 \leq \text{tr}(T_0|F) + \dim F^\perp \leq n - \dim F,$$

which implies that

$$\# B = \dim F \leq n - t(G).$$

This yields

$$\# A = k - \# B \geq n - c_2(n - t(G)) - (n - t(G)) = n - (c_2 + 1)(n - t(G)).$$

Now, setting $c = c_2 + 1$ and $E_G = [u_i: i \in A]$ concludes the proof.

Remark 4.3. The theorem above states that if $n - t(G)$ is relatively small then G acts trivially on a large-dimensional subspace.

5. Application to the pathological properties of Gluskin spaces. We begin with the crucial result due to S. J. Szarek [9].

THEOREM 5.1 (S. J. Szarek). *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $n \geq 4$ there is a norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ on \mathbf{R}^n with the property*

$$\|T\|_n \geq \frac{c\alpha}{\sqrt{n \log n}}$$

for every operator $T \in \tilde{M}_n(\alpha)$.

Remark 5.2. In fact, Szarek proved slightly more, namely, that Th. 5.1 holds for a “vast majority” of norms defined by E. D. Gluskin in [4].

Combining Th. 5.1 with Th. 3.4 we immediately get an answer to a problem posed by S. J. Szarek in [9].

THEOREM 5.3. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 4$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property that for every $T \in \mathcal{U}\mathcal{G}(X_n)$*

$$\max \{ \|T\|_{X_n}, \|T^{-1}\|_{X_n} \} \geq \frac{c(n - |\text{tr} T|)}{n^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n}.$$

In particular,

$$\sup \{ \|T\|_{X_n}: T \in G \} \geq \frac{c(n - t(G))}{n^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n}$$

for every $G \in \mathcal{G}(X_n)$.

As an application of the result above we shall deduce slightly weaker versions (they differ by a logarithmic factor) of results due to E. D. Gluskin [4] and S. J. Szarek [8].

COROLLARY 5.4. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $n \geq 4$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property*

$$\|P\|_{X_n} \geq \frac{ck}{n^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n}$$

for every rank k projection P , with $k \leq n/2$.

Proof. For every such projection P it is enough to consider the group G_P consisting of $\text{Id}_{X_n} - 2P$ and Id_{X_n} , and apply Th. 5.3 (note that $\text{tr}(\text{Id}_{X_n} - 2P) = n - 2k$).

As another application of Th. 5.3 let us mention the following weaker version (“up to a logarithmic factor”) of a result proved by S. J. Szarek in [9]:

COROLLARY 5.5. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 2$ there is a $2n$ -dimensional Banach space X_{2n} with the property that for every complex n -dimensional Banach space Y_n^C the Banach–Mazur distance over the reals $d_{\mathbf{R}}(X_{2n}, Y_n^C)$ is at least $cn^{1/2} \log^{-3/2} n$.*

Proof. Let X_{2n} be a Banach space satisfying Th. 5.3 and let $T: X_{2n} \rightarrow Y_n^C$ be an “ \mathbf{R} -linear” operator realizing the distance between X_{2n} and Y_n^C . Define

$$A = T^{-1} \circ \text{Id}_{Y_n^C} \circ T.$$

Since $A^2 = -\text{Id}_{X_{2n}}$ it can easily be seen that $\text{tr} A = 0$. Now, it is enough to apply Th. 5.3 for the group $\{A, -A, -\text{Id}, \text{Id}\}$ and to note that on the other hand $\|A\|_{X_{2n}} \leq d_{\mathbf{R}}(X_{2n}, Y_n^C)$.

The next result is a one more application of Th. 5.3 concerning a “vast majority” of Gluskin spaces.

THEOREM 5.6. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 4$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property: if*

$$G \in \mathcal{G}(X_n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup \{ \|T\|_{X_n}: T \in G \} = A$$

then G acts trivially on some subspace of X_n of dimension $> n - cAn^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n$.

Proof. The theorem follows directly from Th. 5.3 and Th. 4.2.

Remark 5.7. (i) Note that Th. 5.6 is nontrivial only if $A < c^{-1} n^{1/2} \log^{-3/2} n$.

(ii) On the other hand, Th. 5.6 states that the situation in Corollary 5.4 is typical (G_P acts trivially on $\ker P$, while $\dim \ker P = n - k$).

Now, we shall deal with the problem of representing an operator T

acting on a finite-dimensional Banach space as a sum of some number of "small rank" operators with "small" norms.

THEOREM 5.8. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \geq 4$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property that for every $T \in L(X_n)$, if $T = \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ with $\text{rank } T_i \leq n/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ then*

$$\sup \{ \|T_i\|_{X_n}; i = 1, \dots, k \} \geq \frac{c |\text{tr } T|}{kn^{1/2} \log^{3/2} n}.$$

In particular, if $\text{Id}_{X_n} = \sum_{i=1}^k T_i$ with $\text{rank } T_i \leq n/2$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ then

$$\sup \{ \|T_i\|_{X_n}; i = 1, \dots, k \} \geq \frac{cn^{1/2}}{k \log^{3/2} n}.$$

Proof. First observe that for at least one of the T_i 's we have $|\text{tr } T_i| \geq k^{-1} |\text{tr } T|$ while $\lambda_{\lfloor 3n/4 \rfloor}(T_i) = 0$, and next apply Th. 3.1 and Th. 5.1.

Remark 5.9. A standard argument shows that Th. 5.8 implies the following result due to S. J. Szarek [11]:

There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with the property that whenever X_n is C_1 -isomorphic to a C_2 -complemented subspace of an m -dimensional Banach space Y_m then the basis constant of Y_m is greater than

$$cC_1^{-1} C_2^{-1} m^{-1} n^{3/2} \log^{-3/2} n.$$

This shows that the estimates obtained from the corresponding positive result due to A. Pełczyński [7] are "up to a logarithmic factor" the best possible.

For a finite-dimensional Banach space X denote by $\mathcal{NG}(X)$ the set of those compact groups of operators on X which act essentially nontrivially on X . Define

$$\text{ws}(X, G) = \sup \{ \|T\|_X; T \in G \}$$

for every $G \in \mathcal{NG}(X)$ and the weak symmetry constant $\text{ws}(X)$ of X by

$$\text{ws}(X) = \inf \{ \text{ws}(X, G); G \in \mathcal{NG}(X) \}.$$

Obviously, for every finite-dimensional Banach space X :

- (i) $\text{ws}(X) = \inf \{ d(X, Y); \dim Y = \dim X \text{ and } \text{ws}(Y) = 1 \}$,
- (ii) $\text{ws}(X) \leq s(X)$ where $s(X)$ denotes the symmetry constant of X defined by D. J. H. Garling and Y. Gordon in [2] (cf. also [5]) and
- (iii) $\text{ws}(X) \leq \sqrt{\dim X}$.

Th. 5.6 yields the following result which generalizes "up to a logarithmic" factor the main result of [5].

THEOREM 5.10. *There is a constant $c > 0$ such that for each $n \geq 4$ there is an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with*

$$\text{ws}(X_n) \geq cn^{1/2} \log^{-3/2} n.$$

Remark 5.11. One can construct for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ an n -dimensional Banach space X_n with $\text{ws}(X_n) = 1$ and $s(X_n) \geq c\sqrt{n}$ for some numerical constant $c > 0$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank Staszek Szarek for interesting discussions concerning Gluskin spaces and Nassif Ghoussoub for a fascinating private lecture on some deep historical roots of an actual problem. Also, I would like to thank Tadek Figiel for plenty of remarks concerning the manuscript of this paper.

References

- [1] J. Bourgain, *A complex Banach space such that X and \bar{X} are not isomorphic*, preprint.
- [2] D. J. H. Garling and Y. Gordon, *Relations between some constants associated with finite dimensional Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 9 (1971), 346–361.
- [3] E. D. Gluskin, *The diameter of the Minkowski compactum is roughly equal to n* , Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 15 (1) (1981), 72–73 (in Russian).
- [4] —, *Finite-dimensional analogues of spaces without a basis*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 261 (1981), 1046–1050 (in Russian).
- [5] P. Mankiewicz, *Finite-dimensional Banach spaces with symmetry constant of order \sqrt{n}* , Studia Math. 79 (1984), 193–200.
- [6] M. A. Naimark and A. I. Stern, *Theory of Group Representations*, Springer, 1982.
- [7] A. Pełczyński, *Any separable Banach space with the bounded approximation property is a complemented subspace of a Banach space with a basis*, Studia Math. 40 (1971), 239–243.
- [8] S. J. Szarek, *The finite dimensional basis problem with an appendix on nets of Grassmann manifolds*, Acta Math. 151 (1983), 153–179.
- [9] —, *On the existence and uniqueness of complex structure and spaces with few operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [10] —, *A superreflexive Banach space which does not admit complex structure*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [11] —, *A Banach space without a basis which has the bounded approximation property*, preprint.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland

Received March 25, 1986
Revised version July 30, 1986

(2157)