

Analogues of Hardy's inequality in \mathbf{R}^n

by

TATJANA OSTROGORSKI (Beograd)

Abstract. For a class of integral operators K , defined for functions on a cone V in \mathbf{R}^n , we prove a weighted L^p -norm inequality

$$\int_V (Kf(x))^p \Delta^\gamma(x) dx \leq C \int_V f^p(x) \Delta^\gamma(x) dx$$

where $1 \leq p < \infty$, and the weight functions $\Delta^\gamma(x)$ are suitably defined (as n -dimensional analogues of the power functions t^γ , $t \in \mathbf{R}$). As special cases of the operator K we consider Hardy's operator and the Laplace transform.

1. Introduction. The purpose of this paper is to find an n -dimensional analogue of the well-known Hardy inequality [8, p. 20]:

If $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $\gamma < p-1$, then for positive functions f

$$(H_p) \quad \int_0^\infty \left(\int_0^x f(y) dy \right)^p x^{\gamma-p} dx \leq C \int_0^\infty f^p(x) x^\gamma dx$$

(where C is a finite constant).

We shall consider cones as n -dimensional counterparts of the half-line $(0, \infty) = \mathbf{R}_+$. Let V be a cone. Throughout the paper V will be assumed to be open, convex, homogeneous and selfadjoint (see Section 2 for the definitions). The cone V defines a partial order in \mathbf{R}^n in the following way: $x <_V y$ iff $y-x \in V$. We shall write $\langle a, b \rangle$ for the "interval" $\langle a, b \rangle = \{x \in V: a <_V x <_V b\}$ and define the operator ("Hardy's operator") by

$$(1) \quad Hf(x) = \int_{\langle 0, x \rangle} f(y) dy, \quad x \in V,$$

for positive functions f defined on V . In particular, we shall write

$$(2) \quad \Delta(x) = \int_{\langle 0, x \rangle} dy.$$

It will be shown that the powers of this function, $\Delta^\gamma(x)$, play the role of the weights x^γ in (H_p) .

In the one-dimensional case, it is known that inequalities similar to (H_p) hold for other operators too: for example, for the Laplace transform. So we

shall consider a class of operators

$$(3) \quad Kf(x) = \int_V k(x, y) f(y) dy, \quad x \in V,$$

where $k: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is a given function, the *kernel* of K , and $f: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$. If the integral in (3) is convergent for some function f , the operator is said to be *applicable* to f .

We shall find conditions for the kernel k which ensure that the operator K satisfies an (H_p) -type inequality (i.e. it is a bounded operator on the weighted L^p spaces on the cone, with weights Δ^y). This will be done in Section 3 (Theorem 1), after introducing some definitions and preliminary results in Section 2. In Section 4 we shall consider some special cases of Theorem 1. It will be shown that Hardy's operator satisfies the conditions of this theorem, and this will give the n -dimensional analogue of (H_p) (Theorem 2). We shall also show that the Laplace operator defined by

$$(4) \quad Lf(x) = \int_V e^{-x^y} f(y) dy$$

(where $*$ denotes an involution in V , see (6) below) satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1, and in fact satisfies the same type of inequality, with the same weights. (See also [1], where it was shown, in the one-dimensional case, but in spaces more general than L^p , that the operators H and L satisfy inequalities with equal weights.)

Note that in the one-dimensional case the weights x^y in (H_p) were replaced by a larger class of functions. In fact, Muckenhoupt [3] found a necessary and sufficient condition for the weights to satisfy (H_p) . Now, as it was pointed out in [4] the n -dimensional analogue of this condition is necessary but not sufficient. In this paper we show that the weights Δ^y satisfy Hardy's inequality, but the problem of more general weights remains open.

2. Homogeneous cones. First we introduce some definitions (see [2], [5] or [6]).

Let V be an open convex cone in \mathbf{R}^n . Then $V^* = \{y \in \mathbf{R}^n: y \cdot x > 0, x \in V\}$ is called the *dual cone* of V . The cone V is *selfadjoint* if $V = V^*$. Let $G(V)$ be the group of automorphisms of V (i.e. the group of all nonsingular linear transformations $A: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that $AV = V$). The cone is said to be *homogeneous* if for any $x, y \in V$ there is an $A \in G(V)$ such that $x = Ay$.

Let φ be the "characteristic function" of the cone:

$$(5) \quad \varphi(x) = \int_V e^{-x^y} dy, \quad x \in V.$$

(The integral is convergent, see for example [6]).

Now put

$$(6) \quad x^* = -\text{grad} \log \varphi(x).$$

It was proved in [2, 5] that the function $*$ is an involution of V and satisfies

$$(7) \quad x^{**} = x,$$

$$(8) \quad (Ax)^* = A'^{-1} x^*, \quad \text{for } A \in G(V),$$

$$(9) \quad \varphi(x) \varphi(x^*) = c_1,$$

$$(10) \quad \left| \frac{dx^*}{dx} \right| = c_2 \varphi^2(x),$$

where the left-hand side denotes the Jacobian of the transformation $x \rightarrow x^*$; c_1 and c_2 are constants depending on the cone V .

Throughout the paper the letters C, c , possibly with subscripts, will be used to denote constants, not necessarily the same at each appearance; it is clear from the context on which parameters the constants depend.

Next we introduce a definition.

DEFINITION. A function $f: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is said to be *V -homogeneous of order α* , for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, if

$$f(Ax) = |A|^\alpha f(x)$$

for all $A \in G(V)$.

Since for $\lambda > 0$, $Ax = \lambda x$ is clearly an automorphism of V , we see that V -homogeneous functions are homogeneous in the usual sense, i.e. $f(\lambda x) = \lambda^{\alpha n} f(x)$.

For example, the function φ defined in (5) is V -homogeneous of order -1 , and Δ defined in (2) is V -homogeneous of order 1. Both statements are verified by introducing a change of variables in the respective integrals. In the latter case we also use the fact that $x <_V y$ implies $Ax <_V Ay$, for every $A \in G(V)$.

In the next lemma we prove that there are not many V -homogeneous functions. This is the analogue of the fact that Ct^α , $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$, are the only homogeneous functions in \mathbf{R}_+ .

LEMMA 1. Let V be a homogeneous cone and let $f: V \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ be V -homogeneous of order α , $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$. Then there is a constant C such that

$$f(x) = C \Delta^\alpha(x), \quad x \in V.$$

Proof. Consider the set $D = \{x \in V: \Delta(x) = 1\}$. We claim: if $A \in G(V)$ is such that $Ax_1 = x_2$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in D$, then $|A| = 1$. Indeed, since Δ is

V -homogeneous of order 1, we have

$$1 = \Delta(x_2) = \Delta(Ax_1) = |A| \Delta(x_1) = |A|$$

which proves the claim.

Now we prove that every V -homogeneous function is constant on D . Indeed, if $x_1, x_2 \in D$, then there is an $A \in G(V)$ such that $Ax_1 = x_2$ (since the cone V is homogeneous) and such that $|A| = 1$ (by the claim above). Now since f is V -homogeneous, we have

$$f(x_2) = f(Ax_1) = |A|^\alpha f(x_1) = f(x_1)$$

which proves that $f(\bar{x}) = C$, for every $\bar{x} \in D$. Finally, for $x \in V$ we have $\bar{x} = x\Delta^{-1/n}(x) \in D$, so that

$$f(x) = f(\Delta^{1/n}(x)\bar{x}) = (\Delta^{1/n}(x))^{\alpha n} f(\bar{x}) = \Delta^\alpha(x) f(\bar{x}) = C\Delta^\alpha(x).$$

This proves the lemma.

COROLLARY 1.

$$1/\varphi(x) = C\Delta(x), \quad x \in V.$$

This corollary is obvious, since by a previous remark, the function $1/\varphi$ is V -homogeneous of order 1.

Thus $1/\varphi$ and Δ are equal up to a constant, which was not at all obvious from the definitions (2) and (5) of Δ and φ . Now we can use both formulas (2) and (5) to derive the properties of these two functions. First we see that Δ satisfies formulas analogous to (9) and (10):

$$(11) \quad \Delta(x)\Delta(x^*) = C_1,$$

$$(12) \quad \left| \frac{dx^*}{dx} \right| = \frac{C_2}{\Delta^2(x)}.$$

On the other hand, the following properties are easily deduced from (2):

$$(13) \quad \Delta \text{ is continuous and } \Delta(t) > 0, \quad t \in V.$$

$$(14) \quad \Delta(t) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } t \text{ approaches the boundary of the cone.}$$

$$(15) \quad \text{If } B \text{ is a compact set in } V, \text{ then there are constants } C_1 \text{ and } C_2 \text{ such that } 0 < C_1 \leq \Delta(x) \leq C_2 < \infty, \text{ for } x \in B.$$

3. Operators on cones. In this section we consider operators of the type (3). The kernel $k: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ of the operator K is said to be V -homogeneous of order α if

$$k(Ax, Ay) = |A|^\alpha k(x, y)$$

for every $A \in G(V)$.

Examples of operators with V -homogeneous kernels are (1) and (4). Their kernels are

$$(16) \quad k(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & y <_V x, \\ 0, & \text{for other } y \in V, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(17) \quad k(x, y) = e^{-x^* \cdot y}$$

respectively. It is easy to check that both kernels are V -homogeneous of order 0 (for (17) we have to use (8)).

As a matter of fact, it is enough to consider V -homogeneous kernels of order 0 only, since if k is V -homogeneous of order α we can take $k_1(x, y) = \Delta^{-\alpha}(x)k(x, y)$, which is V -homogeneous of order 0.

LEMMA 2. Let V be a homogeneous selfadjoint cone, and let the operator K have a V -homogeneous kernel of order 0 and be applicable to Δ^α , for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$K\Delta^\alpha(x) = C\Delta^{\alpha+1}(x).$$

Proof. We shall show that $K\Delta^\alpha(x)$ is V -homogeneous of order $\alpha+1$; then the lemma will follow from Lemma 1. Now, if $A \in G(V)$, we have by introducing a change of variables and making use of the V -homogeneity of k and Δ :

$$\begin{aligned} K\Delta^\alpha(Ax) &= \int_V k(Ax, y)\Delta^\alpha(y)dy = \int_V k(Ax, Au)\Delta^\alpha(Au)|A|du \\ &= |A|^{\alpha+1} \int_V k(x, u)\Delta^\alpha(u)du = |A|^{\alpha+1} K\Delta^\alpha(x). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma.

Next we consider the adjoint operator

$$K'f(y) = \int_V k(x, y)f(x)dx.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1 we shall have to deal with both operators K and K' simultaneously. It will make matters easier if we impose a further condition upon the kernel $k(x, y)$, which relates it to the adjoint kernel $k(y, x)$:

$$(18) \quad k(x^*, y^*) = k(y, x).$$

Let a kernel which satisfies (18) be called $*$ -symmetric. The lemma below shows for $*$ -symmetric kernels the relation between the domains of K and K' .



Our main two examples, Hardy's and Laplace's operators, have $*$ -symmetric kernels. Indeed, for the kernel (16) we have

$$k(x^*, y^*) = 1 \Leftrightarrow y^* <_V x^* \Leftrightarrow x <_V y \quad (\text{cf. [2]})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow k(y, x) = 1,$$

which means that k satisfies (18). Also for the kernel (17) we have (by making use of (7))

$$k(x^*, y^*) = e^{-x^*y^*} = e^{-xy} = e^{-y^*x} = k(y, x).$$

LEMMA 3. Let V be a homogeneous selfadjoint cone. If the kernel k of the operator K is $*$ -symmetric, then

$$K' \Delta^\alpha(y^*) = CK \Delta^{-\alpha-2}(y).$$

Proof. We have

$$K' \Delta^\alpha(y^*) = \int_V k(x, y^*) \Delta^\alpha(x) dx.$$

If we introduce the change of variables $x = u^*$, we have, according to (12), $dx = Cdu/\Delta^2(u)$ and therefore

$$K' \Delta^\alpha(y^*) = C \int_V k(u^*, y^*) \Delta^\alpha(u^*) \frac{du}{\Delta^2(u)}.$$

Now an application of (18) and (11) yields

$$K' \Delta^\alpha(y^*) = C_1 \int_V k(y, u) \Delta^{-\alpha}(u) \Delta^{-2}(u) du = C_1 K \Delta^{-\alpha-2}(y).$$

This proves the lemma.

COROLLARY 2. Let the kernel of the operator K be $*$ -symmetric. If K is applicable to Δ^α , for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then K' is applicable to $\Delta^{-\alpha-2}$.

Now we come to the main theorem of the paper.

THEOREM 1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let V be a homogeneous selfadjoint cone in \mathbb{R}^n . Let k be a V -homogeneous kernel of order 0, which is $*$ -symmetric and such that for a given $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ the operator K is applicable to Δ^α . Then

$$(19) \quad \int_V (Kf(x))^p \Delta^{\gamma-p}(x) dx \leq C \int_V f^p(x) \Delta^\gamma(x) dx$$

where $\gamma = -\alpha p - 1$.

Proof. First, since K is applicable to Δ^α , we see from Lemma 2 that

$$(20) \quad K \Delta^\alpha(x) = C \Delta^{\alpha+1}(x)$$

and from Corollary 2 it follows that the operator K' is applicable to $\Delta^{-\alpha-2}$, so that again by Lemma 2 we have

$$(21) \quad K' \Delta^{-\alpha-2}(x) = C \Delta^{-\alpha-1}(x).$$

Now we apply Hölder's inequality to the integral defining Kf (the integrand of which was multiplied by $\Delta^\beta \Delta^{-\beta}$; $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ will be chosen later):

$$(22) \quad Kf(x) = \int_V k(x, y) f(y) dy$$

$$= \int_V k^{1/p}(x, y) f(y) \Delta^\beta(y) k^{1/p'}(x, y) \Delta^{-\beta}(y) dy$$

$$= \left(\int_V k(x, y) f^p(y) \Delta^{\beta p}(y) dy \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_V k(x, y) \Delta^{-\beta p'}(y) dy \right)^{1/p'}.$$

Now if we choose $\beta = -\alpha/p'$, i.e. $-\beta p' = \alpha$, then we can apply (20) to the last integral in (22) and obtain

$$(23) \quad Kf(x) = \left(\int_V k(x, y) f^p(y) \Delta^{-\alpha p/p'}(y) dy \right)^{1/p} (K \Delta^\alpha(x))^{1/p'}$$

$$= (C \Delta^{\alpha+1}(x))^{1/p'} \left(\int_V k(x, y) f^p(y) \Delta^{-\alpha p/p'}(y) dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now we can substitute (23) into the left-hand side of (19) (and use $p/p' = p-1$):

$$(24) \quad \int_V (Kf(x))^p \Delta^{-\alpha p-1-p}(x) dx$$

$$\leq C \int_V \Delta^{-\alpha p-1-p}(x) \Delta^{(\alpha+1)(p-1)}(x) \int_V k(x, y) f^p(y) \Delta^{-\alpha(p-1)}(y) dy dx.$$

By an application of Fubini's Theorem the last integral equals

$$(25) \quad \int_V f^p(y) \Delta^{-\alpha(p-1)}(y) \int_V k(x, y) \Delta^{-\alpha-2}(x) dx dy$$

(since $-\alpha p - 1 - p + (\alpha + 1)(p - 1) = -\alpha - 2$), and the inner integral is $K' \Delta^{-\alpha-2}(y)$ so that by (21) we deduce that (25) equals

$$(26) \quad C \int_V f^p(y) \Delta^{-\alpha(p-1)}(y) \Delta^{-\alpha-1}(y) dy = C \int_V f^p(y) \Delta^{-\alpha p-1}(y) dy.$$

Now the theorem follows from (24)–(26).

4. Applications to some special operators. In this section we shall apply Theorem 1 to some special operators including Hardy's (1) and Laplace's (4). In fact we only have to prove that these operators are applicable to some Δ^α (all the other conditions of Theorem 1 were verified earlier).

Now, let $\Sigma = \{x \in V: |x| = 1\}$ be the part of the unit sphere contained in V . Consider the integral

$$(27) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \Delta^{\alpha}(t') dt'$$

Since Δ is a bounded continuous function on Σ (see (13)) it is obvious that (27) is convergent for all $\alpha \geq 0$. And if (27) converges for some $\alpha_0 < 0$, then it converges for all $\alpha > \alpha_0$, by Hölder's inequality. Let $\sigma_0 = \sigma_0(V)$ be the infimum of all α such that (27) is convergent. For example, the cone $R_+^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n): x_1 > 0, \dots, x_n > 0\}$ has $\sigma_0 = -1$, and the cone $V_n^+ = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n): x_0^2 > x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2\}$ has $\sigma_0 = -2/(n+1)$.

Now put

$$(28) \quad \sigma = \max(-1, \sigma_0).$$

Then we can prove the following two lemmas in which it is shown that the operators H and L are applicable to Δ^{α} .

LEMMA 4. Let $\alpha > \sigma$. Then

$$(29) \quad \int_{\langle 0, x \rangle} \Delta^{\alpha}(t) dt = C \Delta^{\alpha+1}(x).$$

LEMMA 5. Let $\alpha > \sigma$. Then

$$(30) \quad \int_V e^{-x^*t} \Delta^{\alpha}(t) dt = C \Delta^{\alpha+1}(x).$$

Proof of Lemma 4. We have the obvious majorization

$$(31) \quad H \Delta^{\alpha}(x) = \int_{\langle 0, x \rangle} \Delta^{\alpha}(t) dt \leq \int_{|t| < |x|} \Delta^{\alpha}(t) dt.$$

Now we can introduce the polar coordinates $r = |t|$, $t' = t/r$. Then

$$(32) \quad \int_{|t| < |x|} \Delta^{\alpha}(t) dt = \int_{\Sigma} \int_0^{|x|} r^{n-1} \Delta^{\alpha}(rt') dr dt',$$

and if we make use of the homogeneity of Δ the last integral equals

$$(33) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \Delta^{\alpha}(t') dt' \int_0^{|x|} r^{n-1} r^{\alpha n} dr.$$

The first integral in (33) is convergent by (27) and (28) since $\alpha > \sigma > \sigma_0$ and the second is convergent since $\alpha > \sigma > -1$ (i.e. $\alpha n + n > 0$).

A combination of (31), (32) and (33) proves that $H \Delta^{\alpha}(x)$ is finite for all $x \in V$. An application of Lemma 2 completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 5. We introduce the polar coordinates $r = |t|$, $t' = t/r$ in the integral

$$\begin{aligned} L \Delta^{\alpha}(x) &= \int_V e^{-x^*t} \Delta^{\alpha}(t) dt = \int_{\Sigma} dt' \int_0^{\infty} e^{-x^*rt'} \Delta^{\alpha}(rt') r^{n-1} dr \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \Delta^{\alpha}(t') \int_0^{\infty} e^{-r(x^*t')} r^{\alpha n + n - 1} dr dt'. \end{aligned}$$

Now if we introduce the change of variables $r(x^*t') = \rho$, we obtain

$$(34) \quad L \Delta^{\alpha}(x) = \int_{\Sigma} \Delta^{\alpha}(t') \frac{dt'}{(x^*t')^{\alpha n + n}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho} \rho^{\alpha n + n - 1} d\rho.$$

Now the last integral is convergent since $\alpha > -1$ and we only have to prove that the first integral in (34) is also convergent. Let $d(a)$, for $a \in V$, denote the distance of a from the boundary of V . Then

$$(35) \quad a \cdot y' > d(a)$$

for every $y' \in \Sigma$ (see [2]). An application of (35) to (34) yields (since $\alpha n + n > 0$)

$$L \Delta^{\alpha}(x) \leq C \frac{1}{d(x^*)^{\alpha n + n}} \int_{\Sigma} \Delta^{\alpha}(t') dt'.$$

The last integral is convergent by (27), and $d(x) > 0$ for every $x \in V$ (recall that V is an open cone), so that $L \Delta^{\alpha}(x)$ is finite, and this, by Lemma 2, completes the proof of the lemma.

Now we can easily prove the n -dimensional Hardy inequality, and also a similar inequality for the Laplace transform.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let V be a homogeneous selfadjoint cone in R^n . Then for $\gamma < -\sigma p - 1$ we have

$$\int_V \left(\int_{\langle 0, x \rangle} f(y) dy \right)^p \Delta^{\gamma-p}(x) dx \leq C \int_V f^p(x) \Delta^{\gamma}(x) dx.$$

THEOREM 3. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let V be a homogeneous selfadjoint cone in R^n . Then for $\gamma < -\sigma p - 1$ we have

$$\int_V (L f(x))^p \Delta^{\gamma-p}(x) dx \leq C \int_V f^p(x) \Delta^{\gamma}(x) dx.$$

Note that for the cone R_+^n (where $\sigma = -1$) we have $\gamma < p - 1$ in Theorems 2 and 3, just as in (H_p).

Proof of Theorem 2. By Lemma 4 we see that the operator H is applicable to Δ^{α} for $\alpha > \sigma$. Also, by some previous remarks, its kernel is V -homogeneous of order 0 and $*$ -symmetric. Thus H satisfies all the conditions

of Theorem 1 and we have

$$(36) \quad \int_V \Delta^{-\alpha p - 1 - p}(x) (Hf(x))^p dx \leq C \int_V \Delta^{-\alpha p - 1}(x) f^p(x) dx$$

for $\alpha > \sigma$. Now we only have to put $\gamma = -\alpha p - 1$; then $\gamma < -\sigma p - 1$ and (36) gives the statement of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. The proof follows in a similar way from Lemma 5 and Theorem 1.

Note that we could easily obtain generalizations of Theorems 2 and 3 which would deal with some other operators. For example, the following Lemma 6 is proved along the same lines as Lemma 4, and similarly the proof of Lemma 7 can be obtained by imitating the proof of Lemma 5.

LEMMA 6. Let $\alpha > \sigma$. Let the kernel k of the operator K be V -homogeneous of order 0 and let there exist a function $h: \mathbf{R}_+ \times \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

$$k(x, y) \leq h(|x|, |y|)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty r^{\alpha n + n - 1} h(|x|, r) dr < \infty$$

for all $x \in V$. Then

$$K\Delta^\alpha(x) = C\Delta^{\alpha+1}(x).$$

LEMMA 7. Let $\alpha > \sigma$. Let the kernel of the operator K be of the form $k(x, y) = \varphi(x^* \cdot y)$, where φ is such that

$$\int_0^\infty \varphi(r) r^{\alpha n + n - 1} dr < \infty.$$

Then

$$K\Delta^\alpha(x) = C\Delta^{\alpha+1}(x).$$

Now it is easy to see that the operators from Lemmas 6 and 7 also satisfy weighted norm inequalities as in Theorems 2 and 3.

References

- [1] K. F. Andersen, *On Hardy's inequality and Laplace transforms in weighted rearrangement invariant spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 39 (1973), 295-299.
- [2] M. Koecher, *Positivitätsbereiche im \mathbf{R}^n* , Amer. J. Math. 79 (1957), 575-596.
- [3] B. Muckenhoupt, *Hardy's inequality with weights*, Studia Math. 44 (1972), 31-38.

- [4] B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for classical operators*, in: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 35, part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I. 1979, 69-83.
- [5] O. Rothaus, *Domains of positivity*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 24 (1960), 189-235.
- [6] E. B. Vinberg, *Theory of homogeneous convex cones*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obshch. 12 (1963), 303-358 (in Russian).
- [7] V. S. Vladimirov, *A multidimensional generalization of Hardy and Littlewood's Tauberian theorem*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. 40 (1976), 1084-1101 (in Russian).
- [8] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric Series*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1979.

MATEMATIČKI INSTITUT
Knez Mihailova 35, 11000 Beograd, Yugoslavia

Received September 30, 1986

(2097)