

$= P \vee P_G^-, P \in B(G)$. This question has a positive answer if $h(G) = 0$, because in this case every perfect partition is the partition into points and it is sufficient to use Corollary 2 and Proposition 2. We have been unable to decide whether this question has a positive answer in the general case.

References

- [1] J. P. Conze, *Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 25 (1972), 11–30.
- [2] B. Kamiński and E. Świąda, *Spectrum of abelian groups of transformations with completely positive entropy*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 24 (1976), 683–689.
- [3] B. Kamiński, *The theory of invariant partitions for Z^d -actions*, ibid. 29 (1981), 349–362.
- [4] B. Kamiński and M. Kobus, *Regular generators for multidimensional dynamical systems*, Colloq. Math. (to appear).
- [5] Y. Katznelson and B. Weiss, *Commuting measure-preserving transformations*, Israel J. Math. 12 (1972), 161–173.
- [6] V. A. Rokhlin, *Generators in ergodic theory*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. Ser. Mat. Mekh. Astronom. 1963, no. 1, 26–32 (in Russian).
- [7] —, *Generators in ergodic theory. II*, ibid. 1965, no. 3, 68–72 (in Russian).
- [8] —, *Lectures on the entropy theory of transformations with invariant measure*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 22 (5) (1967), 3–56 (in Russian).
- [9] V. A. Rokhlin and Ya. G. Sinai, *Construction and properties of invariant measurable partitions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 141 (1961), 1038–1041 (in Russian).
- [10] J. P. Thouvenot, *Une classe de systèmes pour lesquels la conjecture de Pinsker est vraie*, Israel J. Math. 21 (1975), 208–214.
- [11] H. Weizsäcker, *Exchanging the order of taking suprema and countable intersections of σ -algebras*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Sect. B 19 (1) (1983), 91–100.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UNIwersYTETU MIKOŁAJA KOPERNIKA
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NICHOLAS COPERNICUS UNIVERSITY
Chopin 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

Received January 28, 1985
Revised version September 16, 1985

(2029)

On drop property

by

S. ROLEWICZ (Warszawa)

Abstract. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. We say that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property if for each closed set C disjoint with the closed unit ball $B = \{x: \|x\| \leq 1\}$, there is a point $a \in C$ such that $\text{conv}(a \cup B) \cap C = \{a\}$.

We say that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has the drop property if there is a norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ equivalent to the given one such that $\|\cdot\|_1$ has the drop property.

In the paper it is shown that each superreflexive space has the drop property and each space X which has the drop property is reflexive.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Let B denote the unit ball in X . By a drop induced by a point $a \notin B$ we mean the set

$$(1) \quad D(a, B) = \text{conv}(a, B).$$

Daneš [3] proved the following

THEOREM 1. (Drop theorem). *Let C be a closed set such that*

$$(2) \quad \inf \{\|x\|: x \in C\} = R > 1.$$

Then there is a point $a \in C$ such that

$$(3) \quad D(a, B) \cap C = \{a\}.$$

The drop theorem was used in various situations (see [1], [2], [4], [5], [10]).

Recently Penot [9] discussed the relations between the drop theorem and Ekeland's variational principle [7].

It is a natural question to ask when we can replace in the drop theorem assumption (2) by the weaker assumption that C is disjoint with B .

We shall say that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property if the drop theorem holds under this weaker assumption. If there is a norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ and having the drop property, then we say that the space X has the drop property.

In this paper we shall show that the uniformly convex norms have the drop property and that the spaces X with the drop property are reflexive.

Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. We recall that the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called uniformly convex if there is an increasing positive function $\delta(\varepsilon)$ defined

for positive ε , such that $\|x\| = 1 = \|y\|$, $\|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon$ implies

$$(4) \quad \left\| \frac{x+y}{2} \right\| \leq 1 - \delta(\varepsilon).$$

PROPOSITION 1. A Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is uniformly convex if and only if there is a positive increasing function $f(r)$ defined for positive r , such that

$$(5) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0+0} f(r) = 0$$

and the diameter of the set $D(a, B) \setminus B$ is not greater than $f(\|a\| - 1)$,

$$(6) \quad \text{diam}(D(a, B) \setminus B) \leq f(\|a\| - 1).$$

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that the space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is uniformly convex, i.e. (4) holds.

Let $a \notin B$. Let $x \in D(a, B) \setminus B$, $x \neq a$. Of course

$$(7) \quad 1 < \|x\| < \|a\|.$$

Moreover,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &< \left\| \frac{x+a}{2} \right\| = \|a\| \left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} + \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right) \right\| \\ &\leq \|a\| \left(\left\| \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{\|x\|} + \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right) \right\| + \frac{\|x\|}{2} \left| \frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right| \right) \\ &\leq \|a\| \left(1 - \delta \left(\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right\| \right) + \|a\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{\|a\|} \leq 1 - \delta \left(\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right\| \right) + \|a\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right)$$

and

$$(10) \quad \delta \left(\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right\| \right) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right) (1 + \|a\|) = (\|a\| - 1) \left(1 + \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right) \leq 2(\|a\| - 1).$$

Therefore

$$(11) \quad \left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right\| \leq \delta^{-1}(2(\|a\| - 1)).$$

On the other hand,

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \|x - a\| &= \|a\| \left\| \frac{x}{\|a\|} - \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right\| \\ &\leq \|a\| \left(\left\| \frac{x}{\|x\|} - \frac{a}{\|a\|} \right\| + \|x\| \left(\frac{1}{\|x\|} - \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|a\| \left(\delta^{-1}(2(\|a\| - 1)) + \|a\| \left(1 - \frac{1}{\|a\|} \right) \right) \\ &= \|a\| (\delta^{-1}(2(\|a\| - 1)) + \|a\| - 1) \end{aligned}$$

and $f(r) = 2(1+r)(\delta^{-1}(2r)+r)$ is the required function.

Sufficiency. Suppose that a Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is not uniformly convex. This implies that there are $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences of elements $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$, $\|x_n\| = 1 = \|y_n\|$ such that

$$(13) \quad \|x_n - y_n\| \geq \varepsilon,$$

$$(14) \quad \left\| \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} \right\| > 1 - \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

Let $z_n = \frac{x_n + y_n}{2}$, $a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)z_n$, $b_n = \frac{a_n + x_n}{2}$, $c_n = \frac{a_n + y_n}{2}$. Observe that

$$(15) \quad \frac{b_n + c_n}{2} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{x_n + y_n}{4} = \left(\frac{1 + 1/n}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) z_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n} \right) z_n$$

and by (14)

$$(16) \quad \left\| \frac{b_n + c_n}{2} \right\| > \left(1 + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right).$$

Therefore for $n \geq 3$, $\|(b_n + c_n)/2\| > 1$, and this implies that either $\|b_n\| > 1$ or $\|c_n\| > 1$. Say $\|b_n\| > 1$.

Observe that $b_n \in D(a_n, B) \setminus B$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_n - b_n\| &= \left\| \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \frac{x_n + y_n}{2} - \frac{(3 + 1/n)x_n + (1 + 1/n)y_n}{4} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \frac{1 + 1/n}{4} y_n - \frac{1 - 1/n}{4} x_n \right\| \geq \frac{1}{4} \|y_n - x_n\| - \frac{1}{n} \|x_n + y_n\| > \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow \frac{\varepsilon}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the diameter of $D(a_n, B) \setminus B$ does not tend to 0.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property if and only if each sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$(17) \quad x_{n+1} \in D(x_n, B) \setminus B$$

contains a convergent subsequence.

Proof. Sufficiency. Suppose that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not have the drop property. This means that there is a closed set C disjoint with the unit ball B such that for each $a \in C$

$$(18) \quad \inf \{\|x\| : x \in C \cap D(a, B)\} = 1.$$

Indeed, if (18) does not hold, i.e.

$$(19) \quad \inf \{ \|x\| : x \in C \cap D(a, B) \} = r > 1,$$

then using the classical drop theorem (Theorem 1) we deduce that there is $a_0 \in C \cap D(a, B)$ such that

$$(20) \quad C \cap D(a_0, B) = (C \cap D(a, B)) \cap D(a_0, B) = \{a_0\}.$$

Basing on (18) we can choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that (17) holds and moreover

$$(21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 1.$$

Then by our assumption the sequence $\{x_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$. We denote

$$(22) \quad x_0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}.$$

By (21), $\|x_0\| = 1$. Hence $x_0 \in B$. On the other hand, the set C is closed and $x_0 \in C$. This is a contradiction since B and C are disjoint.

Necessity. Suppose that there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that (17) holds and the sequence $\{x_n\}$ does not contain any convergent subsequence. This means that the set C of all elements of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is closed. By (17) the norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not have the drop property.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. The norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property if and only if for each separable subspace X_0 the norm $\|\cdot\|$ restricted to the subspace X_0 has the drop property.*

Proof. Suppose that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property. Let C be a closed subset contained in the subspace X_0 disjoint with $B \cap X_0$. Then C is also disjoint with B and there is a point $a \in C$ such that

$$D(a, B) \cap C = D(a, B \cap X_0) \cap C = \{a\}.$$

On the other hand, if the norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not have the drop property, then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying (17) which does not contain any convergent subsequence. Let $X_0 = \overline{\text{lin}} \{x_n\}$. The subspace X_0 is separable and the norm $\|\cdot\|$ restricted to the subspace X_0 does not have the drop property by Proposition 2.

Propositions 1 and 2 trivially imply

THEOREM 2. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space. Let the norm $\|\cdot\|$ be uniformly convex. Then the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be an arbitrary sequence satisfying (17). Of course $\|x_n\| \geq \|x_{n+1}\|$. We have two possibilities: either

$$(23) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = r > 1,$$

or

$$(24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 1.$$

In the first case using arguments similar to those given in the proof of Daneš' theorem [3] we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is convergent. In the second case $\{x_n\}$ is convergent by Proposition 1.

There are Banach spaces $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ having the drop property which are not superreflexive, i.e., such that there is no uniformly convex norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$. This follows from the following example given by P. Wojtaszczyk.

EXAMPLE 1. Let l_n^∞ denote the n -dimensional space \mathbb{R}^n with the sup norm

$$\|y\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |y_i|$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$. Let $X = (l_n^\infty)_{n=1}^\infty$ be the space of sequences $u = (u_n)$ where $u_n \in l_n^\infty$ and the norm in X is given by the formula

$$\|u\| = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|u_n\|_\infty^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

It is known that X is a reflexive space which is not superreflexive.

We shall show that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property. Suppose that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not have the drop property. Then by Proposition 2, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ satisfying (17) which does not contain any convergent subsequence. Thus there is a $\delta > 0$ and a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that

$$(25) \quad \|x_{n_k} - x_{n_m}\| > 2\delta \quad \text{for } k \neq m.$$

Without loss of generality we may assume

$$(24) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k}\| = 1$$

(compare the proof of Theorem 2).

The sequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is bounded and the space X is reflexive. Thus there is a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_m}}\}$ tending weakly to some $x_0 \in B$. Let

$$(26) \quad y_m = x_{n_{k_m}} - x_0.$$

Of course the sequence $\{y_m\}$ tends weakly to 0. Observe that by (25), $\|y_m\| > \delta$ for all m except at most one.

Since the sequence $\{y_m\}$ tends weakly to zero, by the specific form of the norm and by (24) for each $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find two elements of the sequence, say y_{m_0}, y_{n_0} , $m_0 > n_0$, such that

$$(27) \quad \|x_0\|^2 + \|y_{m_0}\|^2 \geq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$(28) \quad \|x_0\|^2 + \|y_{n_0}\|^2 \geq 1 + \varepsilon,$$

$$(29) \quad \|y_{n_0} + y_{m_0}\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(\|y_{n_0}\|^2 + \|y_{m_0}\|^2),$$

$$(30) \quad \left\|x_0 + \frac{y_{n_0} + y_{m_0}}{2}\right\|^2 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left(\|x_0\|^2 + \left\| \frac{y_{n_0} + y_{m_0}}{2} \right\|^2 \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\|x_0 + \frac{y_{n_0} + y_{m_0}}{2}\right\|^2 &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) [\|x_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \varepsilon)(\|y_{n_0}\|^2 + \|y_{m_0}\|^2)] \\ &= (1 + \varepsilon) [\|x_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)(\|y_{n_0}\|^2 + \|y_{m_0}\|^2) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4}(1 + \varepsilon)^2(\|y_{n_0}\|^2 + \|y_{m_0}\|^2)] \\ &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) [\|x_0\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon)(\|y_{n_0}\|^2 + \|y_{m_0}\|^2)] - \frac{1}{4}\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

The arbitrariness of ε implies that for some m_0, n_0

$$\left\|x_0 + \frac{y_{n_0} + y_{m_0}}{2}\right\|^2 < 1 - \frac{\delta^2}{5}.$$

This leads to a contradiction since $x_0 + y_{m_0} \in D(x_0 + y_{n_0}, B) \setminus B$ and thus

$$\frac{(x_0 + y_{m_0}) + (x_0 + y_{n_0})}{2} \notin B.$$

Theorem 2 can be generalized in the following way. We recall that Kuratowski's index of noncompactness of a set A is

$$\alpha(A) = \inf \{r: \text{there is a finite system of sets}$$

$$A_1, \dots, A_n \text{ such that } A \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i \text{ and the diameter of } A_i \text{ is less than } r\}.$$

THEOREM 3. *If there is a continuous increasing function $f(r)$ such that $f(0) = 0$ and*

$$(31) \quad \alpha(D(a, B) \setminus B) \leq f(\|a\| - 1),$$

then the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence such that (17) holds. Then either $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| > 1$ and by the Daneš theorem the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is convergent or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = 1$ and by (31) it contains a convergent subsequence.

THEOREM 4. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Suppose that there is a continuous linear functional f of norm one, $\|f\| = 1$, such that Kuratowski's index of noncompactness of the set*

$$G_\varepsilon = \{x: \|x\| \leq 1, f(x) \geq 1 - \varepsilon\}$$

does not tend to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(32) \quad \inf \alpha(G_\varepsilon) > 0.$$

Then the norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not have the drop property.

Proof. It is easy to observe that for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each finite-dimensional subspace L

$$(33) \quad \sup_{x \in G_\varepsilon} (\inf_{y \in L} \|x - y\|) \geq \frac{1}{2} \inf \alpha(G_\varepsilon).$$

Let δ be an arbitrary positive number smaller than $\frac{1}{2} \inf \alpha(G_\varepsilon)$. Now we shall construct by induction a sequence $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$ such that

$$(34)_{(n)} \quad f(x_n) > 1,$$

$$(35)_{(n)} \quad \inf \{\|x_n - z\|: z \in \text{lin}\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}\}\} > \delta/2.$$

Let x_0 be an arbitrary element such that $f(x_0) > 1$. Suppose that the elements $\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}$ satisfying (34)_(i) and (35)_(i), $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, have been constructed. Take $\varepsilon < f(x_n) - 1$. Let \bar{x}_{n+1} be an arbitrary element of G_ε such that

$$(36) \quad \inf \{\|\bar{x}_{n+1} - z\|: z \in \text{lin}\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}\} > \delta.$$

Such an element exists by (33) and the definition of δ . Let

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + \bar{x}_{n+1}}{2}.$$

Then by the definition of ε

$$(34)_{(n+1)} \quad f(x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{2}f(x_n) + \frac{1}{2}f(\bar{x}_{n+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2}f(x_n) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon) > 1.$$

Moreover,

$$(35)_{(n+1)} \quad \inf \{\|x_{n+1} - z\|: z \in \text{lin}\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}\} = \frac{1}{2} \inf \{\|\bar{x}_{n+1} - z\|: z \in \text{lin}\{x_0, \dots, x_n\}\} > \delta/2$$

by the definition of δ .

Let $C = \{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots\}$. It is a closed set. By (34)_(n), C is disjoint from B . Moreover, by the construction, $x_{n+1} \in D(x_n, B)$, hence the norm $\|\cdot\|$ does not have the drop property.

COROLLARY 2. Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ such that

$$\|x\| \leq \|x\|_\varepsilon \leq (1+\varepsilon)\|x\|$$

and the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ does not have the drop property.

Proof. Let f_0 be an arbitrary linear continuous functional of norm $\|f_0\| = 1$. Let

$$\|x\|_\varepsilon = \max(\|x\|, (1+\varepsilon)f_0(x)).$$

The unit ball B_ε contains on its boundary a flat face

$$H_0 = B \cap \{x: f_0(x) = 1/(1+\varepsilon)\}.$$

Since H_0 is an open set in an infinite-dimensional linear manifold, its index of noncompactness is positive. Taking $f = (1+\varepsilon)f_0$ and constructing the sets G_ε we observe that $H_0 \subset G_\varepsilon$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$, and therefore $\inf \alpha(G_\varepsilon) > 0$. Thus by Theorem 3 the norm $\|\cdot\|_\varepsilon$ does not have the drop property.

As a consequence of Theorem 4 we obtain

THEOREM 5. If a real Banach space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ has the drop property, then X is reflexive.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that the norm $\|\cdot\|$ has the drop property. By Theorem 4 for each linear continuous functional f the index of noncompactness of the set

$$G_\varepsilon = \{x: \|x\| \leq 1, f(x) \geq 1-\varepsilon\}$$

tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

By the properties of the index of noncompactness the set $G_0 = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} G_\varepsilon$ is a compact nonempty set. Observe that $G_0 = \{x \in B: f(x) = 1\}$. Thus each linear continuous functional f of norm one has a point at which it attains its supremum. Therefore by James' theorem [8] the space X is reflexive.

Theorems 4 and 5 and Corollary 2 are also valid for complex Banach spaces provided we define the sets G_ε in the following way:

$$G_\varepsilon = \{x: \|x\| \leq 1, \operatorname{Re} f(x) \geq 1-\varepsilon\}.$$

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor P. Wojtaszczyk for valuable discussions and the construction of Example 1.

References

- [1] H. Brézis and F. E. Browder, *A general principle on ordered sets in nonlinear functional analysis*, Adv. in Math. 21 (3) (1976), 355–364.
- [2] F. Browder, *Normal solvability and the Fredholm alternative for mappings into infinite dimensional manifolds*, J. Funct. Anal. 8 (1971), 250–274.

- [3] J. Daneš, *A geometric theorem useful in nonlinear functional analysis*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 6 (1972), 369–372.
- [4] M. M. Day, *Normed Linear Spaces*, Springer, 1985.
- [5] S. Dolecki and J. P. Penot, *The Clarke's tangent cone and limits of tangent cones*, Publ. Math. Pau (1983), II-1-11.
- [6] W. A. Eberlein, *Weak compactness in Banach space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 33 (1947), 51–53.
- [7] I. Ekeland, *On the variational principle*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974), 324–353.
- [8] R. C. James, *Reflexivity and the sup of linear functionals*, Israel J. Math. 13 (1972), 289–300.
- [9] J. P. Penot, *The drop theorem, the petal theorem and Ekeland's variational principle* (in preparation).
- [10] M. Turcini, *Mapping theorems via variable drops in Banach spaces*, Rend. Istit. Lombardo Cl. Sci. A 114 (1980), 164–168.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland

Received May 13, 1985

(2057)

Revised version September 16, 1985