

References

- [0] H. Abels, *Distal affine transformation groups*, J. Reine Ang. Math. 299/300 (1978), 294–300.
 [1] I. D. Brown, *Dual topology of a nilpotent Lie group*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 6 (1973), 407–411.
 [2] A. L. Carey and W. Moran, *Some groups with T_1 primitive ideal spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 38 (1985), 55–64.
 [3] N. Conze-Berline et al., *Représentations des groupes de Lie résolubles*, Dunod, Paris 1972.
 [4] J. Dixmier, *Sur les C^* -algèbres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 88 (1960), 95–112.
 [5] —, *Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lie nilpotents*, V, ibid. 87 (1959), 65–79.
 [6] E. C. Gootman and J. Rosenberg, *The structure of crossed product C^* -algebras: a proof of the generalised Effros–Hahn conjecture*, Invent. Math. 52 (1979), 283–298.
 [7] P. Green, *The local structure of twisted covariance algebras*, Acta Math. 140 (1978), 191–250.
 [8] Y. Guivarc’h, M. Keane and B. Roynette, *Marches-aléatoires sur les groupes de Lie*, Lecture Notes in Math. 624, Springer, Berlin 1977.
 [9] R. Howe, *The Fourier transform for nilpotent locally compact groups*, Pacific J. Math. 73 (1977), 307–327.
 [10] G. W. Mackey, *Unitary representations of group extensions. I*, Acta Math. 99 (1958), 265–311.
 [11] D. Poguntke, *Discrete nilpotent groups have T_1 primitive ideal space*, Studia Math. 71 (1981–82), 271–275.
 [12] J.-P. Serre, *Lie Algebras and Lie Groups*, Benjamin, New York 1965.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDIES
 AUSTRALIAN NATIONAL UNIVERSITY
 G.P.O. Box 4, Canberra A.C.T. 2601, Australia

and

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF ADELAIDE
 Adelaide, South Australia

Received March 8, 1984
 Revised version November 5, 1984

(1960)

Egorov's type convergence in the Dedekind completion of a C^* -algebra

by

KAZUYUKI SAITÔ (Sendai)

Abstract. The concept of convergence in Egorov's sense for nets in an abelian AW^* -algebra is introduced. We say that an abelian AW^* -algebra A has property E if every order convergent net in A also converges in Egorov's sense to the same limit. It is shown that the Dedekind completion of the hermitian part B_h of a given separable unital C^* -algebra B (regarded as an order unit vector space) satisfies property E if and only if B is abelian and its spectrum contains a dense subset of isolated points.

C^* -algebras have very nice properties as ordered vector spaces, they have not, however, the order completeness property in general. Since the hermitian part of a C^* -algebra is an Archimedean partially ordered vector space, it can be embedded, with preservation of suprema and infima, in a bounded complete vector lattice (the hermitian part of an abelian AW^* -algebra) called the *Dedekind completion* (of the C^* -algebra) (see, for example, [7] and [13]).

Our claim in this note is that this completion is very badly behaved for almost all separable C^* -algebras as far as the order convergence is concerned, in the following sense:

THEOREM. *Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and let \mathcal{Q} be the Dedekind completion of the hermitian part of the C^* -algebra A_1 obtained from adjunction of a unit to A , regarded as an order unit vector space. Then any bounded net $\{a_\lambda\}$ in \mathcal{Q} which converges to a in the order sense also converges in Egorov's sense (see below) to the same limit a if and only if A is an abelian C^* -algebra whose spectrum contains a dense set of isolated points.*

This is, however, an easy consequence of the following

PROPOSITION. *Keeping the above notations and definitions in mind, \mathcal{Q} is atomic (in the sense that it has sufficiently many minimal projections) if and only if A is abelian and its spectrum has a dense set of isolated points.*

Let Z be an abelian AW^* -algebra and Ω the spectrum of Z . If we denote by Z_h the set of all hermitian elements in Z , then Z_h is $*$ -isomorphic to the set $C_r(\Omega)$ of all continuous real-valued functions on Ω . In its natural ordering, $C_r(\Omega)$ is a boundedly complete vector lattice ([2]).

In $C_r(\Omega) (= Z_h)$, the concept of order convergence for bounded nets may be introduced ([8], [9]). A bounded net $\{a_\lambda\}$ in $C_r(\Omega)$ order converges to a (written $a_\lambda \rightarrow a$ (O)) if

$$a = \limsup a_\lambda = \liminf a_\lambda.$$

The following algebraic criterion for order convergence in Z_h was given by H. Widom ([9]).

LEMMA 1. A bounded net $\{a_\lambda\}$ of Z_h order converges to a if and only if given a nonzero projection e in Z and an $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a nonzero projection $f \leq e$ and a λ_0 such that $\lambda \geq \lambda_0$ implies $\|f(a_\lambda - a)\| < \varepsilon$.

We say that a bounded net $\{a_\lambda\}$ in Z_h converges in Egorov's sense (E-converges) to a (written $a_\lambda \rightarrow a$ (E)) if there is an orthogonal family of projections $\{e_\alpha\}$ in Z such that $\sum_\alpha e_\alpha = 1$ and $\|(a_\lambda - a)e_\alpha\| \rightarrow 0$ (λ) for each α .

Clearly, "E-convergence" implies "O-convergence".

(*) Does the converse implication hold?

Unfortunately, as the following example shows, the answer to the question (*) is negative in general.

Let \mathbf{R} be the real line and let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$ be the algebra of all bounded complex-valued Borel functions on \mathbf{R} . Let \mathfrak{M} be the elements of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$ vanishing outside meagre sets of \mathbf{R} . Then $Z = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})/\mathfrak{M}$ (the quotient algebra) is an abelian AW*-algebra whose spectrum has a dense meagre subset ([2]). Let q be the canonical quotient map from $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$ onto Z . We know that a category analogue of Egorov's theorem is false by the following example in $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbf{R})$ ([4], P. 38).

Let $\varphi(x)$ be the piecewise linear continuous function defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x) &= 2x && \text{on } [0, 1/2], \\ \varphi(x) &= 2-2x && \text{on } [1/2, 1], \\ \varphi(x) &= 0 && \text{on } \mathbf{R} - [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\{r_i\}$ be a dense sequence in \mathbf{R} (for example the set of all rational numbers) and let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{-i} \varphi(2^n(x-r_i)).$$

Define $a_n = q(f_n)$. Then $\{a_n\} \subset Z_h$ is a bounded ($\|a_n\| \leq 1$) sequence such that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ (O) and $a_n \not\rightarrow 0$ (E). In fact, since, for each n , $|f_n(x)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{-i} = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, $\|a_n\| \leq 1$ for all n .

Next we shall show that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ (O). Take any nonzero projection e in Z . Then there is an open interval (a, b) in \mathbf{R} such that $e \geq q(\chi_{(a,b)}) \neq 0$. For

any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an i_0 such that $\sum_{i=i_0}^\infty 2^{-i} < \varepsilon$. Let $r_{k_1}, r_{k_2}, \dots, r_{k_p}$ be $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_{i_0}\} \cap (a, b)$ (possibly $= \emptyset$). Then, if we take a sufficiently large n_0 , $\{[r_{k_j}, r_{k_j} + 2^{-n_0}]\}_{j=1}^p$ are mutually disjoint subsets of (a, b) . So there is an open interval $(a_1, b_1) \subset (a, b)$ which is disjoint from $\bigcup_{j=1}^p [r_{k_j}, r_{k_j} + 2^{-n_0}]$. Let $x \in (a_1, b_1)$. Then for all $n \geq n_0$,

$$f_n(x) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^n(x-r_i)) + \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon,$$

because $\sum_{i=1}^{i_0-1} 2^{-i} \varphi(2^n(x-r_i)) = 0$ for all $n \geq n_0$. So $\|f_n \chi_{(a_1, b_1)}\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. By applying q , there is a nonzero subprojection f of e and a positive integer n_0 such that $\|a_n f\| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq n_0$. By applying Lemma 1, $a_n \rightarrow 0$ (O).

To prove that $a_n \not\rightarrow 0$ (E), we argue as follows. If it were true that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ (E), then it would imply that for any nonzero projection e in Z there is a nonzero subprojection f in Z of e such that $\|a_n f\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). So, if we take a subsequence if necessary, we would get

$$\|a_n f\| \leq 2^{-n} \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

One can choose an open interval (a, b) in \mathbf{R} such that $q(\chi_{(a,b)}) \leq f$, and so $\|a_n q(\chi_{(a,b)})\| \leq 2^{-n}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$

Since $f_n \chi_{(a,b)}$ is lower semi-continuous on \mathbf{R} , this would imply that $\{x \mid f_n \chi_{(a,b)}(x) > 2^{-n}\}$ is not only meagre in \mathbf{R} but also open in \mathbf{R} for each n . Since \mathbf{R} is a Baire space, $\{x \mid f_n \chi_{(a,b)}(x) > 2^{-n}\} = \emptyset$ for each n , and so $\|f_n \chi_{(a,b)}\| \leq 2^{-n}$ for all n , that is, $\|f_n \chi_{(a,b)}\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$). Since $\{r_i\}$ is dense in \mathbf{R} , there is an $r_i \in (a, b)$, and hence

$$\sup_{a < x < b} f_n(x) \geq 2^{-i}$$

for sufficiently large n . Thus $\{f_n\}$ does not converge uniformly to 0 on (a, b) and this contradicts the claim that $f_n \chi_{(a,b)} \leq 2^{-n}$ for each n . Hence $a_n \not\rightarrow 0$ (E).

The next lemma gives, however, a partial positive answer to the question (*).

LEMMA 2. Suppose that either $Z = L^\infty(\Gamma, \mu)$ for a measure space (Γ, μ) or, more generally, the spectrum Ω of Z has the property that every meagre set is rare ([2]). (Note that, under the σ -chain condition on Ω , each meagre subset of Ω is rare if and only if Z is weakly (σ, ∞) -distributive; see [7], p. 131.) Then "O-convergence" implies "E-convergence".

Proof. If $Z = L^\infty(\Gamma, \mu)$, the proof is given by H. Widom ([8]). Next let Ω be a stoneman space with the property that every meagre set is rare. Let $\{a_\lambda\}$ be any bounded net in $C_r(\Omega)$ which converges to a in the order sense. If we consider $a_\lambda - a$, we may assume that $a = 0$, without loss of generality. This means, however, that

$$\sup_\lambda \inf_{\mu \geq \lambda} a_\mu = \inf_\lambda \sup_{\mu \geq \lambda} a_\mu = 0.$$

Let $b_\lambda = \sup_{\mu \geq \lambda} a_\mu$ (resp. $c_\lambda = \inf_{\mu \geq \lambda} a_\mu$). Then $b_\lambda \downarrow 0$ (O) (resp. $c_\lambda \uparrow 0$ (O)). To prove our assertion, we may assume that $a_\lambda \downarrow 0$ (O) (consider b_λ and $-c_\lambda$). Let $b(\omega) = \inf_\lambda a_\lambda(\omega)$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Then b is an upper semi-continuous function on Ω .

Let, for each n ,

$$\Omega_n = \{\omega \mid b(\omega) \geq n^{-1}\}.$$

Then Ω_n is a closed subset of Ω for each n . Suppose that $\Omega_n^0 \neq \emptyset$ for some n . Then, if e is the projection in $C_r(\Omega)$ corresponding to Ω_n^0 , it follows that

$$a_\lambda \geq b \geq n^{-1}e \quad \text{for all } \lambda.$$

This contradicts the fact that $a_\lambda \downarrow 0$ (O) in $C_r(\Omega)$, so $\Omega_n^0 = \emptyset$ for each n and $\Omega_0 = \{\omega \mid b(\omega) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \Omega_n$ is a meagre subset of Ω , which implies, by our assumption on Ω , that Ω_0 is a rare set. It follows that $(\bar{\Omega}_0)^0 = \emptyset$, and hence $(\bar{\Omega}_0)^c$ contains a nonempty open and closed subset E , which is also contained in $\{\omega \mid b(\omega) = 0\}$. This means that $a_\lambda \downarrow 0$ pointwise on E . So, by Dini's theorem, $\|a_\lambda \chi_E\| \rightarrow 0$ (λ). If we take a nonzero projection e in $C_r(\Omega)$ corresponding to E , $\|a_\lambda e\| \rightarrow 0$ (λ) and the proof is complete.

The rest of this note is devoted to the proof of our main theorem. To do this, we need a sequence of lemmas.

Let A be a separable C^* -algebra and let A_1 be the C^* -algebra obtained from adjunction of a unit to A if A is nonunital (if A is unital, we denote A by A_1). Then the hermitian part of A_1 , $(A_1)_h$, is an order unit vector space. Let V be the Dedekind (cut) completion of $(A_1)_h$ as an order unit vector space. Then V is the hermitian part of an abelian AW^* -algebra \mathfrak{A} (see, for example, [13]). In fact, let X be the state space of A_1 and let $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded complex-valued Borel functions on X . Let $\mathfrak{M}_A = \{f \in \mathfrak{B}(X) \mid \{x \in \partial X \mid f(x) \neq 0\} \text{ is a meagre subset of } \partial X \text{ (the set of pure states of } A_1)\}$, in the relative topology of ∂X .

Then $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}_A$ satisfies all the requirements (see [13]).

LEMMA 3. \mathfrak{A} is a Kaplansky-Rickart (KR-) algebra in the sense that \mathfrak{A} has a countable order dense subset of $\mathfrak{A}_h (= V)$.

Proof. Since A_1 is norm separable, we can find a countable (norm)

dense subset A_0 of $(A_1)_h$ such that for any $a \in (A_1)_h$ there is an increasing subsequence $\{a_n\}$ in A_0 such that $\|a_n - a\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) (see Theorem 4.3 in [10]). Thus $(A_1)_h$ has a countable order dense subset. Since $(A_1)_h$ is order dense in V , V has also a countable order dense subset A_0 . Thus \mathfrak{A} is a KR-algebra.

LEMMA 4 ([12]). Let \mathfrak{E} be an abelian KR-algebra. If \mathfrak{E} is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{R})/\mathfrak{M}$ or l^∞ or l_n^∞ (for any natural number n), then there is a projection $e \in \mathfrak{E}$, with $0 < e < 1$, such that $e\mathfrak{E} \cong \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{R})/\mathfrak{M}$ and $(1-e)\mathfrak{E} \cong l^\infty$ or l_n^∞ for some n .

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the fact that every atomless abelian KR-algebra is $*$ -isomorphic to $\mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{R})/\mathfrak{M}$ (see [7], p. 155 and [12]).

LEMMA 5. Let \hat{A} be the regular completion of A ([6], [10]). Then \hat{A}_h is a σ -closed subspace of V regarded as an order unit vector space, that is, we have the following σ -normal injection from \hat{A}_h into V :

$$(A_1)_h \hookrightarrow \hat{A}_h \hookrightarrow V.$$

Proof. Let W be the Dedekind completion of \hat{A}_h as an order unit vector space. Since $(A_1)_h$ is order dense in \hat{A}_h , the unicity of Dedekind completion tells us that W is also the Dedekind completion of $(A_1)_h$ and so $W = V$.

Next we shall show that the injection of \hat{A}_h into V is σ -normal. We have $V = \mathfrak{B}(X_\lambda)/\mathfrak{M}_\lambda$, where X_λ is the state space of \hat{A} . Let $\{a_n\}$ be any increasing sequence in \hat{A}_h which tends to a in \hat{A}_h (in the order sense). Then $a_n \uparrow a$ in \mathfrak{B}_λ (the Borel envelope of \hat{A} , which is canonically embedded in $\mathfrak{B}(X_\lambda)$) almost everywhere, that is, $\{x \in \partial X_\lambda \mid a_n(x) \uparrow a(x)\}$ is a meagre subset of ∂X_λ and so $q_\lambda(a_n) \uparrow q_\lambda(a)$ in V ([5], [14]).

Next we shall show that the Proposition implies the Theorem. Since the "if" part of the Theorem is clear, we have only to check the converse. Suppose that $\mathfrak{A}_h = V$ has the property that every bounded O-convergent net is also E-convergent to the same limit. Then, by Lemma 4 above and the counterexample cited before Lemma 2, it follows that \mathfrak{A} is an atomic abelian W^* -algebra and so the Proposition implies the Theorem.

Proof of the Proposition. Suppose that V is atomic. The fact that \hat{A}_h is a σ -subspace of V tells us that \hat{A} has a faithful normal state and so \hat{A} has a faithful representation as a von Neumann algebra ([5]). Since ∂X_λ is $\sigma(\hat{A}^*, \hat{A})$ -separable, this means that \hat{A} is an atomic von Neumann algebra ([11]), so there is a sequence of Hilbert spaces $\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$ such that

$$\hat{A} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_n).$$

Hence there is an essential ideal I of A which is a dual C^* -algebra ([6]).

Since $(I_1)_h$ is order dense in $(A_1)_h$, V is also the Dedekind completion of $(I_1)_h$. To prove our assertion, we may assume that $A = I$. Let

$$A = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_n)$$

where \sum' is the restricted direct sum of $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_n)$ and $\{\mathcal{X}_n\}$ is a sequence of Hilbert spaces.

Next we shall show that each nonzero $\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_n)$ is one-dimensional. Since A is separable, ∂X_A is a Baire subset of X , and $\{0\}$ is a rare subset of X if A is nonunital, it follows that $\mathfrak{B}(\partial X_A)/\mathfrak{M}_A$ is a direct summand of $\mathfrak{B}(X)/\mathfrak{M}_A$ and so $\mathfrak{B}(\partial X_A)/\mathfrak{M}_A$ is also atomic (see [6], Proposition 2.1).

Let $C_b(\partial X_A)$ be the C^* -algebra of all bounded continuous functions on the completely regular space ∂X_A . Then $(C_b(\partial X_A))_h$ is order dense in $\mathfrak{B}(\partial X_A)/\mathfrak{M}_A$, because $\mathfrak{B}(\partial X_A)/\mathfrak{M}_A$ is the regular completion of $C_b(\partial X_A)$ (see [2], p. 25).

Thus the Stone-Čech compactification $\beta(\partial X_A)$ has a dense set of isolated points and so ∂X_A also has a dense set of isolated points.

Let $J_1 = \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_1)$ and $J_2 = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{C}(\mathcal{X}_n)$. Then J_1 and J_2 are closed two-sided ideals of A such that $J_1 \oplus J_2 = A$. Let $(\partial X_A)^{J_1} = \{\varphi \in X_A \mid \varphi(J_1) \neq 0\}$. This is a nonempty open subset of ∂X_A which is homeomorphic to ∂X_{J_1} via the natural mapping $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi|_{J_1}$. Thus it follows that ∂X_{J_1} also has a dense set of isolated points.

Note that $\partial X_{J_1} = \{\omega_\xi \mid \|\xi\| = 1, \xi \in \mathcal{X}_1\}$. Let φ be the mapping from $U = \{\xi \in \mathcal{X}_1 \mid \|\xi\| = 1\}$ onto ∂X_{J_1} which is defined by $\varphi(\xi) = \omega_\xi$. Then φ is a continuous mapping from U (with norm topology) onto ∂X_{J_1} (with weak*-topology). Take any isolated point ω_ξ of ∂X_{J_1} and $O = \varphi^{-1}(\{\omega_\xi\})$. Then O is a nonempty open subset of U .

Suppose that $\dim \mathcal{X}_1 \geq 2$. Then there is a unit vector η_0 which is orthogonal to ξ . Let

$$\xi_n = (1 + 10^{-n})^{-1/2} (10^{-n/2} \eta_0 + \xi).$$

Then $\|\xi_n\| = 1$ for each n and

$$(\xi_n, \xi) = (1 + 10^{-n})^{-1/2} \rightarrow 1 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty)$$

so $\|\xi_n - \xi\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), that is, $\omega_{\xi_n} \rightarrow \omega_\xi$ in ∂X_{J_1} . Moreover, $\xi_n \notin O$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Since O^c is norm-closed and $\|\xi_n - \xi\| \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), this implies that $\xi \in O$. This is a contradiction, that is, $\dim \mathcal{X}_1 \leq 1$. It follows that $\dim \mathcal{X}_n \leq 1$ for all n , and so I is abelian. Since $\hat{I} = \hat{A}$ (because I is an essential ideal of A), this shows that A is abelian and its spectrum contains a

dense set of isolated points. The converse assertion is clear. This completes the proof.

Remark. Let $A = M_2(C)$ (the algebra of all 2 by 2 matrices over C). Then it is classical that X_A is affinely topologically isomorphic to the 3-dimensional Euclidean ball $S: \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + \gamma^2 \leq 1/4$ ([1]). This implies that the Dedekind completion of A_h is $\mathfrak{B}(\partial S)/\mathfrak{M}_A$ which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{B}(R)/\mathfrak{M}$ by Lemma 4.

COROLLARY. Any separable simple C^* -algebra whose dimension is greater than one has the Dedekind completion which is isomorphic to $(\mathfrak{B}(R)/\mathfrak{M})_h$.

Proof. Let A be any separable simple C^* -algebra whose dimension is greater than one and let V be the Dedekind completion of A_h as an order unit vector space. If V has a nontrivial atomic direct summand V_e (for some projection e in V), then, if we take $\varphi(x) = \psi(xe)$ ($x \in \hat{A}$), where ψ is any normal state on V_e , φ is a normal state on \hat{A} . By [12], if A were infinite-dimensional, \hat{A} would not have a normal state; this implies that A is finite-dimensional and so $A \cong M_n(C)$ ($n \geq 2$). If V has an atom, then $\partial X_A (= \{\omega_\xi \mid \xi \in C^n, \|\xi\| = 1\})$ has an isolated point and V is the hermitian part of the regular completion of $C(\partial X_A)$, which tells us that the arguments in the proof of the Proposition can apply to deduce a contradiction. Thus V has no atoms. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] E. M. Alfsen and F. W. Shultz, *State spaces of C^* -algebras*, Acta Math. 144 (1980), 267–305.
- [2] J. Dixmier, *Sur certains espaces considérés par M. H. Stone*, Summa Brasil. 11 (1951), 151–182.
- [3] I. Kaplansky, *Projections in Banach algebras*, Ann. of Math. 53 (1951), 235–249.
- [4] J. C. Oxtoby, *Measure and Category*, Springer, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin 1970.
- [5] G. K. Pedersen, *C^* -Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, Academic Press, London–New York–San Francisco 1979.
- [6] K. Saitō, *A structure theory in the regular σ -completion of C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 22 (1980), 549–558.
- [7] R. Sikorski, *Boolean Algebras*, 2nd ed., Springer, New York–Heidelberg–Berlin 1964.
- [8] H. Widom, *Embedding in AW^* -algebras*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Chicago, 1955.
- [9] —, *Embedding in algebras of type 1*, Duke Math. J. 23 (1956), 309–324.
- [10] J. D. M. Wright, *Regular σ -completions of C^* -algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. 12 (1976), 299–309.
- [11] —, *On von Neumann algebras whose pure states are separable*, ibid. 12 (1976), 385–388.
- [12] —, *Wild AW^* -factors and Kaplansky–Rickart algebras*, ibid. 13 (1976), 83–89.

- [13] J. D. M. Wright, *Embedding in vector lattices*, *ibid.* 8 (1974), 699–706.
 [14] —, *Every monotone σ -complete C^* -algebra is the quotient of its Baire* envelope by a two-sided σ -ideal*, *ibid.* 6 (1973), 210–214.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
 TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY
 Sendai 980, Japan

Received May 2, 1984
 Revised version January 4, 1985

(1975)

A cheaper Swiss cheese

by

T. W. KÖRNER (Cambridge)

Abstract. We simplify some of the computations required for McKissick's example of a normal uniform algebra.

§ 1. Introduction. McKissick [1] has constructed an elegant "Swiss cheese" K with the following property.

THEOREM 1.1. *There is a compact subset K of C such that $R(K)$ is normal but is not equal to $C(K)$.*

His proof depends on the following preliminary lemma.

LEMMA 1.2. *Given any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a sequence of open discs $\{\Delta_k\}$ and a sequence of rational functions $\{f_n\}$ such that:*

(a) *If r_k is the radius of Δ_k then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_k < \varepsilon$.*

(b) *The poles of the f_n lie in $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k$.*

(c) *The sequence f_n tends uniformly to zero on $\{z: |z| \geq 1\} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k$ and uniformly to some nowhere zero function on $\{z: |z| < 1\} \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k$.*

The standard proof of McKissick's lemma relies on a construction of Beurling and in the textbook detail of [3] fills 7 pages. The object of this note is to give a computationally simpler derivation of the lemma. Our method will have the further minor advantage of fulfilling two further conditions.

LEMMA 1.2'. *In addition to conditions (a), (b), and (c) of Lemma 1.2 we can demand:*

(d) $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta_k \subseteq \{z: 1 - \varepsilon < |z| < 1\}$.

(e) $\Delta_1, \Delta_2, \dots$ are disjoint.

(Condition (d) is required for [2] but can also be obtained by modifying the original construction.)