

## Eberlein compacts in $L_1(X)$

by

JÜRGEN BATT and GEORG SCHLÜCHTERMANN (München)

**Abstract.** We prove that every compact subset of the space  $L_1(X)$  of Bochner integrable functions with values in a Banach space  $X$ , endowed with the topology  $\sigma' := \sigma(L_1(X), L_\infty(X'))$ , is an Eberlein compact, and moreover that the space  $(L_1(X), \sigma')$  is angelic. For this purpose we show that the  $\sigma'$ -closure  $L$  of the span of a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset of  $L_1(X)$  can be continuously embedded into some  $c_0(I)$  with weak topology (in analogy to the well-known result of D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss for weakly compactly generated Banach spaces). The spaces  $L$  (the  $\sigma'$ -compactly generated subspaces) are further investigated and results concerning the norm and  $\sigma'$ -closures of convex subsets in  $L_1(X)$  are derived.

**1. Introduction.** If  $L_1(X)$  is the space of Bochner integrable functions on a positive finite measure space  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with values in the Banach space  $X$ ,  $\langle L_1(X), L_\infty(X') \rangle$  is a dual pairing and it is known that the topology  $\sigma' := \sigma(L_1(X), L_\infty(X'))$  is strictly coarser than the weak topology  $\sigma(L_1(X), L_1(X'))$  if and only if  $X'$  does not have the Radon-Nikodým property. The  $\sigma'$ -compact subsets of  $L_1(X)$  were investigated in [3]. In particular, it was proved that the notions “relatively compact”, “countably compact” and “sequentially compact” are equivalent in the topology  $\sigma'$ .

In the main theorem of the present paper we show that for every  $\sigma'$ -compact subset  $K$  of  $L_1(X)$  there exists a linear bounded injective mapping  $T$  from  $L := \text{span } \overline{K}^{\sigma'}$  into some  $c_0(I)$  which is  $\sigma'$ -weakly continuous (Section 3). This result implies that  $\sigma'$ -compact subsets of  $L_1(X)$  are even homeomorphic to weakly compact subsets of a Banach space, that is, they are Eberlein compacts. It implies further that the space  $L_1(X)$  endowed with the topology  $\sigma'$  has countably determined compactness [11, p. 30], i.e. (following D.H. Fremlin) is “angelic”. A separated topological space is called *angelic* if every countably compact subset  $A$  is relatively compact and each point in  $\bar{A}$  is the limit of a sequence in  $A$ . The rich structure of Eberlein compacts and angelic spaces was investigated in the work of D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss [1], of Y. Benyamini, T. Starbird, M.E. Rudin, M. Wage and E. Michael [5, 4, 16] and in the book of K. Floret [11] (see also the papers of W. Govaerts [12] and of R. J. Hunter and J. Lloyd [15] for more recent developments).

In [1] D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss have shown that the norm closed span of a weakly compact subset of a Banach space (a weakly compactly

generated space) can be mapped into some  $c_0(\Gamma)$  by some injective bounded linear mapping. This fact is used for the proof of our main result together with the construction of a “long” sequence of conditional expectation operators (similar to the one of a “long” sequence of projections in the proof of that result). There exists a relationship between the weakly compactly generated spaces and the subspaces  $L$  of  $L_1(X)$  in our main theorem (termed  $\sigma'$ -compactly generated), which is reflected in comparable characterizations (Section 4).

In Section 5 we show that for a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset  $K$  of  $L_1(X)$  the  $\sigma'$ - and norm closures of the (absolutely) convex hull coincide, but that these closures of the linear span are in general different. The equality of the closures of  $\text{aco } K$  makes it possible to show – in connection with a well-known result of M. Talagrand for weakly compactly generated spaces [18] – that the norm closed span of a  $\sigma'$ -compact set  $K$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -analytic and hence a Lindelöf space in the  $\sigma'$ -topology.

**2. Preliminaries.** Throughout the paper,  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  denotes a positive finite measure space and  $L_1(\Sigma, X) = L_1(X)$  and  $L_\infty(\Sigma, X) = L_\infty(X)$  are the corresponding spaces of Bochner integrable and essentially bounded measurable functions with values in a Banach space  $X$ . The symbol  $X$  will be omitted for the spaces of scalar functions. The topology

$$\sigma(L_1(\Sigma, X), L_\infty(\Sigma, X')) = \sigma(L_1(X), L_\infty(X'))$$

will be denoted by  $\sigma'$ . If for some index  $\beta$ ,  $\Sigma_\beta$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\Sigma$  we write

$$\sigma'_\beta := \sigma'(L_1(\Sigma_\beta, X), L_\infty(\Sigma_\beta, X')) = \sigma' \cap L_1(\Sigma_\beta, X)$$

(with the measure  $\mu|_{\Sigma_\beta}$ ) and let  $E_\beta: L_1(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow L_1(\Sigma_\beta, X)$  be the conditional expectation operator, which is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma'_\beta$ -continuous. If  $L$  is a linear subspace of  $L_1(\Sigma_\beta, X)$  we remark that  $L^{\sigma'_\beta} = L^{\sigma'}$  (in fact, if  $f \in L^{\sigma'}$ , then  $x' \cdot f \in L_1(\Sigma_\beta)$  for all  $x' \in X'$  because  $L_1(\Sigma_\beta)$  is weakly closed in  $L_1(\Sigma)$ , hence  $f \in L_1(\Sigma_\beta, X)$  by Pettis' measurability criterion [7, p. 42] and so  $f \in L^{\sigma'_\beta}$ ).

For subspaces  $L \subset L_1(\Sigma, X)$  we let  $L^\times := L_\infty(\Sigma, X')/L^\circ$  (the polar  $L^\circ$  taken in  $L_\infty(\Sigma, X')$ ). If we let  $\sigma'_L := \sigma(L, L^\times)$ ,  $(L, \sigma'_L)$  is a subspace of  $(L_1(\Sigma, X), \sigma')$  [14, p. 163], that is,  $\sigma'_L = \sigma' \cap L$ . In general,  $L^\times$  is not the norm dual of  $L$ . We consider  $L^\times$  endowed with the quotient norm. It follows from the fact that  $L_\infty(\Sigma, X')$  is norming for  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$  [9, p. 232] that  $L$  is canonically isometrically embedded in  $L^{\times'}$  with the canonical norm. By  $B(Y)$  we denote the unit ball of a Banach space  $Y$ ;  $\sigma$  is always the weak topology of a Banach space, and  $\text{co } A$  [ $\text{aco } A$ ] the convex [absolutely convex] hull of a subset  $A$  in a linear space.

**3. The main result.**

**THEOREM.** *If  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a positive finite measure space, let  $K \subset L_1(\Sigma, X)$  be a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset and  $L := \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'}$ . Then there exists a set  $\Gamma$  and a linear bounded injective operator  $T: L \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$ ,  $\|T\| \leq 1$ , which is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuous.*

**Proof.** We shall first prove the theorem for countably generated  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\Sigma$  and then proceed by transfinite induction.

(1) Let there exist a countable algebra  $\Sigma_0 \subset \Sigma$  which generates  $\Sigma$ . Then there exists a countable subsystem  $\Delta \subset \Sigma$ , with  $\emptyset, S \in \Delta$  and closed under finite intersections, which generates  $\Sigma$  and which has the following property: For all  $\delta > 0$  the number of sets  $A \in \Delta$  for which  $\mu(A) \geq \delta$  is at most finite. In fact, this is clear if  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  is purely atomic or is the interval  $[0, 1]$  with Lebesgue measure; the case of a nonatomic separable positive finite measure space is reduced to the latter case by using the isomorphism established in [13, p. 173]. For all  $A \in \Delta$  the mapping

$$I_A: L_1(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow X, \quad f \mapsto \int_A f d\mu$$

is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuous, hence  $I_A(K)$  is a weakly compact subset of  $X$ . By the result of D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss [1, p. 35] there exists a set  $\Gamma_A$  and a linear bounded injective operator

$$T_A: \overline{\text{span } I_A(K)}^{\|\cdot\|} \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma_A), \quad \|T_A\| \leq 1.$$

Let  $\Gamma := \bigcup_{A \in \Delta} \Gamma_A$  (disjoint union). We can define

$$T: L \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma), \quad f \mapsto ((T_A \circ I_A f)_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \quad (\text{for } \gamma \in \Gamma_A).$$

In fact, the range of  $T$  lies in  $c_0(\Gamma)$ : Since for  $f \in L$  the measure  $m_f: \Sigma \rightarrow X$ ,  $A \mapsto I_A f$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for all  $A \in \Sigma$  with  $\mu(A) < \delta$  we have  $\|I_A f\| < \varepsilon$ . By the property of  $\Delta$ , the number of sets  $A \in \Delta$  for which  $\|I_A f\| \geq \varepsilon$  is at most finite and hence in view of  $\|T_A\| \leq 1$  the number of  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  for which  $\|(Tf)_\gamma\| \geq \varepsilon$  is at most finite.  $T$  is linear with  $\|T\| \leq 1$  and injective because all  $T_A$  are injective and  $\Delta$  generates  $\Sigma$ . For  $\xi \in l_1(\Gamma)$  and  $f \in L$  we have  $\xi|_{\Gamma_A} \in l_1(\Gamma_A)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \xi, Tf \rangle &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_\gamma \cdot (Tf)_\gamma = \sum_{A \in \Delta} \langle \xi|_{\Gamma_A}, T_A \circ I_A f \rangle \\ &= \sum_{A \in \Delta} \langle (T_A \circ I_A)'(\xi|_{\Gamma_A}), f \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{A \in \Delta} (T_A \circ I_A)'(\xi|_{\Gamma_A}), f \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where the first component of the last pair is an element in  $L_\infty(\Sigma, X')$

because  $T_A \circ I_A: L_1(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma_A)$  is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuous. This shows that  $T$  is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuous.

(2) Now we prove the following assertion  $P(\eta)$ :

For all positive finite measure spaces  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$  with the property that there exists a subsystem  $\Delta \subset \Sigma$  generating  $\Sigma$  and having the cardinal number  $|\Delta| = \eta$  and for all  $\sigma'$ -compact subsets  $K \subset L_1(\Sigma, X)$  there exist a set  $\Gamma = \Gamma_{\Sigma, K}$  and a linear bounded injective operator

$$T = T_{\Sigma, K}: L := \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'} \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma), \quad \|T\| \leq 1,$$

which is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuous,

by transfinite induction with respect to  $\eta \geq \omega$ .

$P(\omega)$  is true by part (1) of the proof. Now let  $\lambda > \omega$  be a cardinal number and assume that

(1)  $P(\eta)$  is true for all cardinal numbers  $\eta$  with  $\omega \leq \eta < \lambda$ .

Let  $(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ,  $\Delta$  and  $K$  be as in  $P(\lambda)$ . Let  $\Delta = \{A_\alpha, \alpha < \lambda\}$  and for all ordinals  $\beta$  with  $\omega \leq \beta < \lambda$  let  $\Delta_\beta := \{A_\alpha, \alpha < \beta\}$  and  $\Sigma_\beta$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\Delta_\beta$ . For an ordinal  $\beta$  with  $\omega \leq \beta < \lambda$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_{\beta+1}$  is generated by the system  $\Delta_{\beta+1}$  which has the cardinal number  $|\Delta_{\beta+1}| = |\beta+1| = |\beta| \leq \beta < \lambda$ ; furthermore,  $L_1(\Sigma_\beta, X) \cap \sigma'_{\beta+1} = \sigma'_\beta$ ,

$$E_{\beta+1} - E_\beta: L_1(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow L_1(\Sigma_{\beta+1}, X)$$

is  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma'_{\beta+1}$ -continuous and  $K_{\beta+1} := (E_{\beta+1} - E_\beta)K$  is  $\sigma'_{\beta+1}$ -compact. By (1),  $P(|\beta+1|)$  is true, and there exist a set  $\Gamma_{\beta+1} := \Gamma_{\Sigma_{\beta+1}, K_{\beta+1}}$  and a linear bounded injective operator

$$T_{\beta+1} := T_{\Sigma_{\beta+1}, K_{\beta+1}}: \overline{\text{span } K_{\beta+1}}^{\sigma'_{\beta+1}} \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma_{\beta+1}), \quad \|T_{\beta+1}\| \leq 1,$$

which is  $\sigma'_{\beta+1}$ - $\sigma$ -continuous. Similarly, there exist a set  $\Gamma_\omega := \Gamma_{\Sigma_\omega, E_\omega K}$  and a linear bounded injective operator

$$T_\omega := T_{\Sigma_\omega, E_\omega K}: \overline{\text{span } E_\omega K}^{\sigma'} \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma_\omega), \quad \|T_\omega\| \leq 1,$$

which is  $\sigma'_\omega$ - $\sigma$ -continuous. Let us define

$$\Gamma := \Gamma_\omega \cup \bigcup_{\omega \leq \beta < \lambda} \Gamma_{\beta+1} \quad (\text{disjoint union}),$$

$$T: \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'} \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma), \quad f \mapsto ((Tf)_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma},$$

where

$$(Tf)_\gamma := \begin{cases} (T_\omega E_\omega f)_\gamma, & \gamma \in \Gamma_\omega, \\ \frac{1}{2}(T_{\beta+1}(E_{\beta+1} - E_\beta)f)_\gamma, & \gamma \in \Gamma_{\beta+1} \end{cases}$$

(note that  $(E_{\beta+1} - E_\beta)\overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'} \subset (E_{\beta+1} - E_\beta)\overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'_{\beta+1}} \subset \overline{\text{span } K_{\beta+1}}^{\sigma'_{\beta+1}}$ ).

The range of  $T$  lies in  $c_0(\Gamma)$ ; in fact, let  $f \in \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . If the set of ordinals

$$(2) \quad \{\beta; \omega \leq \beta < \lambda; \|E_{\beta+1}f - E_\beta f\|_1 \geq \varepsilon\}$$

were not finite, there would exist a sequence  $(\beta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of ordinals such that

$$(3) \quad \omega \leq \beta_1 < \beta_2 < \dots < \lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \|E_{\beta_i+1}f - E_{\beta_i}f\|_1 \geq \varepsilon, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

For the limit ordinal  $\alpha := \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_i$  we have

$$\Delta_\alpha = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta_{\beta_i}.$$

Therefore  $\Sigma_\alpha$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all  $\Sigma_{\beta_i}$ , and  $E_{\beta_i}f \rightarrow E_\alpha f$  by the martingale convergence theorem. This contradicts (3) and the set (2) is at most finite. Hence the subset

$$\{\beta; \omega \leq \beta < \lambda; \frac{1}{2}\|T_{\beta+1}(E_{\beta+1} - E_\beta)f\| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is at most finite and  $|(Tf)_\gamma| \geq \varepsilon$  for at most finitely many  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .  $T$  being linear with  $\|T\| \leq 1$ , we have to show that  $T$  is injective. Let  $f \in \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'}$  and  $Tf = 0$ . By transfinite induction with respect to the ordinals  $\beta$  satisfying  $\omega \leq \beta < \lambda$  we prove

$$(4) \quad E_\beta f = 0.$$

$Tf = 0$  implies  $T_\omega(E_\omega f) = 0$  in  $c_0(\Gamma_\omega)$  and  $E_\omega f = 0$  by the injectivity of  $T_\omega$ . Now let  $\alpha, \omega \leq \alpha < \lambda$ , be an ordinal and assume  $E_\beta f = 0$  for  $\omega \leq \beta < \alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal, then  $\Delta_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \Delta_\beta$  and

$$E_\alpha f = \lim_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta f = 0.$$

If  $\alpha = \beta+1$  for some  $\beta$  with  $\omega \leq \beta < \alpha$  then  $Tf = 0$  implies

$$T_{\beta+1}(E_{\beta+1}f - E_\beta f) = 0 \quad \text{in } c_0(\Gamma_{\beta+1})$$

and hence by the injectivity of  $T_{\beta+1}$

$$E_\alpha f = E_{\beta+1}f = E_\beta f = 0.$$

This shows (4). Since  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal it follows that

$$f = \lim_{\beta < \lambda} E_\beta f = 0,$$

hence  $T$  is injective. By using the  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuity of the components of  $T$  with values in  $c_0(\Gamma_\omega)$  and  $c_0(\Gamma_{\beta+1})$ , the  $\sigma'$ - $\sigma$ -continuity of  $T$  follows as in part (1) of the proof. This shows that  $P(\lambda)$  is true, and the proof of the theorem is complete.

**4. Corollaries of the main result.**

**COROLLARY 1.** Every  $\sigma'$ -compact subset of  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$  is an Eberlein compact.

**COROLLARY 2.**  $(L_1(\Sigma, X), \sigma')$  is angelic.

Let us call a linear subspace  $L \subset L_1(\Sigma, X)$   $\sigma'$ -compactly generated if there exists a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset  $K \subset L_1(\Sigma, X)$  such that  $L = \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'}$ . The result of D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss and our main result show that weakly compactly generated spaces and  $\sigma'$ -compactly generated subspaces of  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$  have an important property in common. We now show that they can be similarly characterized.

**COROLLARY 3.** For a  $\sigma'$ -closed linear subspace  $L$  of  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $L$  is  $\sigma'$ -compactly generated.
- (ii) There exist a set  $\Gamma$  and a linear bounded operator  $U: l_1(\Gamma) \rightarrow L$  which is  $\sigma(l_1(\Gamma), c_0(\Gamma))$ - $\sigma'$ -continuous and whose range is  $\sigma'$ -dense in  $L$ .
- (iii) There exist a set  $\Gamma$  and a linear bounded injective operator  $V: L^* \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  which is  $\sigma(L^*, L)$ - $\sigma$ -continuous.
- (iv) There exists a norm bounded set  $\{f_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  in  $L$  such that

$$L = \overline{\text{span } \{f_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}}^{\sigma'} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle F, f_\gamma \rangle \in c_0(\Gamma) \quad \text{for all } F \in L^*.$$

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $L = \overline{\text{span } K}^{\sigma'}$  for a  $\sigma'$ -compact set  $K$ . Corollary 1 implies that  $K$  is an Eberlein compact. Hence [1, p. 37]  $C(K, \sigma')$  is weakly compactly generated and there exists a linear bounded injective operator  $T: C(K, \sigma') \rightarrow c_0(\Gamma)$  for some set  $\Gamma$ .  $V$  is obtained as the composition  $T \circ R$ , where  $R$  is the restriction operator  $R: L^* \rightarrow C(K, \sigma')$ . To get the  $\sigma(L^*, L)$ - $\sigma'$ -continuity of  $R$  from Choquet's theorem one has to know that one can assume  $K$  to be convex. But this follows from the fact proved in [3, p. 416] that  $\overline{\text{aco } K}^{\sigma'}$  is  $\sigma'$ -compact if  $K$  is.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii):  $U$  is obtained as the adjoint of  $V$  with respect to the dual systems  $\langle L^*, L \rangle$  and  $\langle c_0(\Gamma), l_1(\Gamma) \rangle$ ; the boundedness of  $U$  follows from the fact that  $L$  is isometrically embedded in  $L^*$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv): If  $\{e_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  are the unit vectors in  $l_1(\Gamma)$ , the set  $\{Ue_\gamma, \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  has the desired properties.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii):  $V$  is obtained as the operator  $F \mapsto \langle F, f_\gamma \rangle, F \in L^*$ . If  $\xi \in l_1(\Gamma)$  and  $F \in L^*$  then by Lebesgue's theorem

$$\langle \xi, VF \rangle = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_\gamma \cdot \langle F, f_\gamma \rangle = \langle F, \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_\gamma f_\gamma \rangle,$$

where  $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \xi_\gamma f_\gamma \in L$  (because  $L$  is  $\sigma'$ -closed and hence norm closed). Hence  $V$  is  $\sigma(L^*, L)$ - $\sigma$ -continuous. For further details, we refer the reader to the theory of weakly compactly generated Banach spaces (e.g., [8, p. 147–153]).

**5. Norm closure and  $\sigma'$ -closure in  $L_1(X)$ .**

**PROPOSITION 1.** If  $K$  is a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset of  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$ , then

$$\overline{\text{co } K}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{co } K}^{\sigma'} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\text{aco } K}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{aco } K}^{\sigma'}.$$

*Proof.* For the first equality, we only need to show

$$\overline{\text{co } K}^{\sigma'} \subset \overline{\text{co } K}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

(1) We assume there exists a norm separable subspace  $L \subset L_1(\Sigma, X)$  such that  $C := \overline{\text{co } K}^{\sigma'} \subset L$ . In this case we show that the  $\sigma'$ -compact set  $(C, \sigma')$  [3, p. 416] is metrizable. There exists a countable subalgebra  $\mathcal{A} \subset \Sigma$  and a closed separable subspace  $X_0 \subset X$  such that  $L \subset L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0)$ , where  $\Sigma_0$  is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{A}$  [10, p. 168]. We construct a countable set  $F \subset L_\infty(\Sigma_0, X_0)$ , which is  $\sigma(L_\infty(\Sigma_0, X_0), L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0))$ -dense in  $L_\infty(\Sigma_0, X_0)$ , as follows. The unit ball  $B(X_0)$  is compact and metrizable [10, p. 426] and hence separable in  $\sigma(X_0, X_0)$ , and the same holds for all scalar multiples of  $B(X_0)$ . Hence there exists a countable  $\sigma(X_0, X_0)$ -dense subset  $H_0$  of  $X_0$ . Furthermore, let  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots\}$  be an enumeration of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\pi_n := \{E_1^{(n)}, \dots, E_{k_n}^{(n)}\}$  a finite partition of  $S$  which contains  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ , and  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the algebra generated by  $\pi_n$ . We let

$$F := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} z'_i \cdot \chi_{E_i^{(n)}}, z'_1, \dots, z'_{k_n} \in H_0, E_1^{(n)}, \dots, E_{k_n}^{(n)} \in \pi_n \right\}.$$

To show that this countable set is dense, let  $g \in L_\infty(\Sigma_0, X_0)$ ,  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. There exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for the conditional expectation operator  $E_{\pi_n}^{X_0}: L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0) \rightarrow L_1(\mathcal{A}_n, X_0)$  we have

$$\|E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j - f_j\|_1 \leq \varepsilon / [4(\|g\|_\infty + 1)], \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

We note that

$$\langle g, E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j \rangle = \langle g, E_{\pi_n}^{X_0}(E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j) \rangle = \langle E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} g, E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j \rangle.$$

There exist elements  $x'_1, \dots, x'_{k_n} \in X_0$  and  $x_{1,j}, \dots, x_{k_n,j} \in X_0$  such that

$$E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} g = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} x'_i \cdot \chi_{E_i^{(n)}}, \quad E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j = \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} x_{i,j} \cdot \chi_{E_i^{(n)}}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

For  $i = 1, \dots, k_n$  there exist elements  $y'_i \in H_0$ ,  $\|y'_i\| \leq \|g\|_\infty$ , such that

$$|x'_i - y'_i, x_{i,j}| \leq \varepsilon / [2(\mu(E_i^{(n)}) + 1)], \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

For  $g_0 := \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} y'_i \cdot \chi_{E_i^{(n)}} \in F$  it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle g - g_0, f_j \rangle| &\leq |\langle g - g_0, E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j \rangle| + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \\ &\leq |\langle E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} g - g_0, E_{\pi_n}^{X_0} f_j \rangle| + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $F$  is dense. If  $F = \{g_1, g_2, \dots\}$  is an enumeration of  $F$ , then

$$d(f, h) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{|\langle g_n, f-h \rangle|}{1+|\langle g_n, f-h \rangle|}$$

is a metric on  $C$  which defines a topology equivalent to  $\sigma'$ . Now let  $f_0 \in \overline{\text{co } K}^{\sigma'}$ . We want to show  $f_0 \in \overline{\text{co } K}^{\|\cdot\|}$ . According to Choquet's theorem [6 II, p. 140] there exists a nonnegative Borel measure  $\nu$  on the set  $\text{ex } C$  of extreme points of  $C$  with  $\nu(\text{ex } C) = 1$  such that for all

$$g \in (L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0), \sigma(L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0), L_{\infty}(\Sigma_0, X'_0)))' = L_{\infty}(\Sigma_0, X'_0)$$

we have

$$(5) \quad \langle g, f_0 \rangle = \int_{\text{ex } C} \langle g, f \rangle d\nu(f).$$

We consider the function

$$\Phi: \text{ex } C \rightarrow L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0), \quad f \mapsto f.$$

Since  $\Phi$  takes its values in the separable subspace  $L$  and since  $g \circ \Phi$  is continuous on  $C$  and hence  $\nu$ -measurable for all  $g \in L_{\infty}(\Sigma_0, X'_0)$  (which is a norming subspace of  $(L_1(\Sigma_0, X_0), \|\cdot\|)$ ),  $\Phi$  is  $\nu$ -measurable by Pettis' measurability criterion [7, p. 42]. Since  $\Phi$  is also bounded, it is Bochner integrable and we have from (5)

$$f_0 = \int_{\text{ex } C} \Phi(f) d\nu(f).$$

This implies [7, p. 48]

$$f_0 = \frac{1}{\nu(\text{ex } C)} \int_{\text{ex } C} \Phi(f) d\nu(f) \in \overline{\text{co}(\Phi(\text{ex } C))}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{co}(\text{ex } C)}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

On the other hand, we have  $\text{ex } C \subset K$  [10, p. 440]. Hence  $f_0 \in \overline{\text{co } K}^{\|\cdot\|}$ .

(2) The general case is reduced to case (1) as follows. Let  $f_0 \in \overline{\text{co } K}^{\sigma'}$ . By Corollary 2, there exists a sequence  $(f_n) \subset \text{co } K$  such that  $f_n \rightarrow f_0$  in  $\sigma'$ . Let  $K_0$  be the countable set of those elements in  $K$  which are used for the convex representation of the  $f_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We note that  $f_0 \in \overline{\text{co } K_0}^{\sigma'}$ . There exists a closed separable subspace  $X_1 \subset X$  and a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma_1 \subset \Sigma$  such that  $L_0 := L_1(\Sigma_1, X_1)$  is separable and  $K_0 \subset L_0$ . Hence also  $\text{co } K_0 \subset L_0$  and  $C_0 := \overline{\text{co } K_0}^{\sigma'}$  is  $\sigma'$ -compact. We show  $C_0 \subset L_0$ . For each  $h \in C_0$  there exists again a sequence  $(h_n) \subset \text{co } K_0$  with  $h_n \rightarrow h$  in  $\sigma'$ . Since the closed subspace  $X_1$  is also weakly closed, we have  $\int h d\mu \in X_1$  for all  $A \in \Sigma$  and hence

$h \in L_1(\Sigma, X_1)$ . Similarly, since  $L_1(\Sigma_1)$  is weakly closed in  $L_1(\Sigma)$  we have  $\sigma' \circ h \in L_1(\Sigma_1)$ . By Pettis' measurability criterion it follows that

$h \in L_1(\Sigma_1, X_1) = L_0$ . Hence  $C_0 \subset L_0$  and by case (1)

$$\overline{\text{co } K_0}^{\sigma'} = \overline{\text{co } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|} \subset \overline{\text{co } K}^{\|\cdot\|}.$$

The equality of the closures of the absolutely convex hull is proved in a similar way.

**An example.** Let  $X = l_1$  and  $S = [0, 1]$  with Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ . There exist norm compact, absolutely convex sets  $K_0$  and  $K_1$  in  $L_1(X)$  such that

$$\overline{\text{span } K_1}^{\|\cdot\|} \not\equiv \overline{\text{span } K_1}^{\sigma'} = \overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|} = \overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\sigma'}.$$

In fact, let  $(e_n)$  be the unit vector basis in  $l_1$  and  $(r_n)$  the sequence of Rademacher functions on  $[0, 1]$ . For a sequence  $(z_k)$  with  $z_k > 0, k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k = 1$  consider the sequence  $(f_n)$  in  $L_1(X)$  given by

$$f_n := \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=1}^n z_k \cdot e_{2k-1} + r_n \cdot e_{2n} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $f_n \rightarrow 0$  in norm and since  $r_n \cdot e_{2n} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\sigma'$  [2, p. 300] we have

$$n \cdot f_n \rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z_k \cdot e_{2k-1} =: f_0 \quad \text{in } \sigma'.$$

For any scalar sequence  $\lambda := (\lambda_k)$  with  $\|\lambda\|_{l_1(\omega)} := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|/k < \infty$  and any scalar  $\lambda_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_0| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \lambda_0 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \right| z_k + \|\lambda\|_{l_1(\omega)} = \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n \right\| \\ &= \left\| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \lambda_0 + \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_n}{n} \right) z_k \cdot e_{2k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k} \cdot r_k \cdot e_{2k} \right\| \\ &\leq |\lambda_0| + 2 \|\lambda\|_{l_1(\omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows

$$\begin{aligned} K_i &:= \overline{\text{aco} \{f_n, n = i, i+1, \dots\}}^{\|\cdot\|} = \left\{ \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n; \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \leq 1 \right\}, \\ \overline{\text{span } K_i}^{\|\cdot\|} &= \left\{ \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} \lambda_n f_n; (1-i)|\lambda_0| + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_n|}{n} < \infty \right\}, \quad i = 0, 1, \end{aligned}$$

and hence  $f_0 \notin \overline{\text{span } K_1}^{\|\cdot\|}$ . To prove  $\overline{\text{span } K_1}^{\sigma'} = \overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$  it is sufficient to show that  $\overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$  is  $\sigma'$ -closed. Any function  $g$  in the  $\sigma'$ -closure of

$\overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$  has the form

$$g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\alpha_k z_k) \cdot e_{2k-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_k}{k} \cdot r_k \cdot e_{2k}$$

with  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_k|/k < \infty$  and

$$\alpha_k = \frac{\lambda_1}{1} + \dots + \frac{\lambda_{k-1}}{k-1} + \alpha_k, \quad k = 2, 3, \dots,$$

because this holds for any element in  $\overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$ . If we let  $\lambda_0 := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g - \sum_{n=0}^m \lambda_n f_n\|_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left| \alpha_k - \sum_{n=k}^m \frac{\lambda_n}{n} - \lambda_0 \right| \cdot z_k + \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_k|}{k} \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{k=m+1}^{\infty} \frac{|\lambda_k|}{k} \rightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

so that  $g \in \overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $K$  is a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset of  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$ , then  $L := \overline{\text{span } K}^{\|\cdot\|}$  is  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic (and hence Lindelöf) in its  $\sigma'$ -topology.*

**Proof.** Since  $\overline{\text{aco } K}^{\sigma'}$  is  $\sigma'$ -compact [3, p. 416] and coincides with  $\overline{\text{aco } K}^{\|\cdot\|}$  by Proposition 1, we may assume that  $K$  is absolutely convex; so

$$L = \overline{\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} n \cdot K}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

Let  $\varkappa: L \rightarrow L^{x'}$  be the canonical isometric embedding. Since  $\varkappa(L)$  is norm closed in  $L^{x'}$  we have

$$\varkappa(L) = \bigcap_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left( n \cdot \varkappa(K) + \frac{1}{m} B(L^{x'}) \right).$$

Since the set  $n \cdot \varkappa(K) + (1/m)B(L^{x'})$  is compact,  $\varkappa(L)$  is  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic in the topology  $\sigma(L^{x'}, L)$  and hence  $L$  is  $\mathcal{K}$ -analytic in the topology  $\sigma(L, L^x)$  [6 I, p. 142] and a Lindelöf space [17].

**6. Remarks.**

1. In case  $\Sigma$  is generated by a countable algebra  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$  let  $\mathcal{T}$  be the locally convex topology of  $L_1(\Sigma, X)$  generated by the base of 0-neighbourhoods

$$\left\{ \frac{1}{m} \bigcap_{i=1}^n G_i^{\circ}, n \in \mathbb{N}, m \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

with the  $(L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X'), L_1(\Sigma, X))$ -compact sets

$$G_i := \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^i x_j \cdot \chi_{A_j}; x_1, \dots, x_i \in B(X') \right\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $(L_1(\Sigma, X), \mathcal{T}) = E(\mathcal{A}, X')$  (space of  $X'$ -valued  $\mathcal{A}$ -simple functions),  $\mathcal{T}$  is metrizable and  $\mathcal{T} \subset \tau(L_1(\Sigma, X), E(\mathcal{A}, X'))$ . By a theorem of J. Dieudonné and L. Schwartz [11, p. 39 (2)],  $(L_1(\Sigma, X), \sigma(L_1(\Sigma, X), E(\mathcal{A}, X')))$  is angelic and hence [11, p. 31 (2)]  $(L_1(\Sigma, X), \sigma')$  is angelic. This follows also from the representation

$$L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X') = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sigma(L_{\infty}(\Sigma, X'), L_1(\Sigma, X))}^{\|\cdot\|}$$

and a theorem of W. F. Eberlein and Yu. L. Shmul'yan [11, p. 38]. These arguments, however, seem to be restricted to the case of a countably generated  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$ .

2. One of us (G. S.) has recently constructed a  $\sigma'$ -compactly generated space  $L$  for which there does not exist a  $\sigma'$ -compact subset  $K_0$  such that  $L = \overline{\text{span } K_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$

**References**

- [1] D. Amir and J. Lindenstrauss, *The structure of weakly compact sets in Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. 88 (1968), 34–46.
- [2] J. Batt, *On weak compactness in spaces of vector-valued measures and Bochner integrable functions in connection with the Radon–Nikodým property of Banach spaces*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 19 (1974), 285–304.
- [3] J. Batt and W. Hiermeyer, *On compactness in  $L_p(\mu, X)$  in the weak topology and in the topology  $\sigma(L_p(\mu, X), L_q(\mu, X'))$* , Math. Z. 182 (1983), 409–423.
- [4] Y. Benyamini, M. E. Rudin and M. Wage, *Continuous images of weakly compact subsets of Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 70 (1977), 309–324.
- [5] Y. Benyamini and T. Starbird, *Embedding weakly compact sets into Hilbert space*, Israel J. Math. 23 (1976), 137–141.
- [6] G. Choquet, *Lectures on Analysis I, II*, W. A. Benjamin, Amsterdam 1969.
- [7] J. Diestel and J. Uhl jr., *Vector Measures*, Math. Surveys 15, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. 1977.
- [8] J. Diestel, *Geometry of Banach Spaces – Selected Topics*, Lecture Notes in Math. 485, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg New York 1975.
- [9] N. Dinculeanu, *Vector Measures*, Pergamon, New York 1967.
- [10] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, Part I: General Theory*, Interscience, New York 1966.
- [11] K. Floret, *Weakly Compact Sets*, Lecture Notes in Math. 801, Springer, Berlin–Heidelberg–New York 1980.
- [12] W. Govaerts, *A productive class of angelic spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 22 (1980), 355–364.
- [13] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, Van Nostrand, New York 1950.
- [14] H. Jarchow, *Locally Convex Spaces*, B. G. Teubner, Stuttgart 1981.

- [15] R. J. Hunter and J. Lloyd, *Weakly compactly generated locally convex spaces*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 82 (1977), 85–98.
- [16] E. Michael and M. E. Rudin, *A note on Eberlein compacts*, Pacific J. Math. 72 (1977), 487–495.
- [17] M. Sion, *On analytic sets in topological spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 96 (1960), 341–353.
- [18] M. Talagrand, *Sur une conjecture de H. H. Corson*, Bull. Sci. Math. 99 (1975), 211–212.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN  
Theresienstr. 39, D 8000 München 2, Federal Republic of Germany

Received August 13, 1984

(1992)

### Good $\lambda$ inequalities for the area integral and the nontangential maximal function

by

TAKAFUMI MURAI (Nagoya) and AKIHITO UCHIYAMA\* (Sendai)

**Abstract.** We refine the constants of the good  $\lambda$  inequalities for the area integral  $A(x)$  and the nontangential maximal function  $N(x)$ . As an application we refine the inequalities concerning  $A(x)/N(x)$  and  $N(x)/A(x)$  which were obtained by R. Fefferman, Gundy, Silverstein and Stein.

**1. Introduction.** Throughout the paper, functions considered are real-valued. Let  $d \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $u(y, t)$  be a harmonic function in the  $(d+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean half-space

$$\mathbf{R}_+^{d+1} = \{(y, t): y \in \mathbf{R}^d, t > 0\}.$$

For  $\alpha > 0$  and  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$ , let

$$N(x, \alpha) = \sup \{|u(y, t)|: (y, t) \in \Gamma(x, \alpha)\},$$

$$A(x, \alpha) = \left\{ \iint_{\Gamma(x, \alpha)} |\nabla u(y, t)|^2 t^{1-d} dy dt \right\}^{1/2},$$

where

$$\Gamma(x, \alpha) = \{(y, t) \in \mathbf{R}_+^{d+1}: |x - y| < \alpha t\}.$$

These functions  $N$  and  $A$  are usually called the *nontangential maximal function* and the *area integral*, respectively.

In [4], R. Fefferman, Gundy, Silverstein and Stein showed that if  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\gamma > 2$ ,  $k > 1$  and if  $\beta$  is sufficiently large, then

$$(1.1) \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d: A(x, 1) > \gamma\lambda, N(x, \beta) \leq \lambda\} \leq C_1 \gamma^{-k} |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d: A(x, 1) > \lambda\}|,$$

$$(1.2) \{x \in \mathbf{R}^d: N(x, 1) > \gamma\lambda, A(x, \beta) \leq \lambda\} \leq C_1 \gamma^{-k} |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^d: N(x, 1) > \lambda\}|,$$

where  $C_1$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\beta$ ,  $k$  and  $d$  and where  $|\{\cdot\}|$  denotes the Lebesgue measure of the set  $\{\cdot\}$ . Their argument is a refinement of Burkholder and Gundy [1]. Distribution function inequalities of this kind are called *good  $\lambda$  inequalities*.

\* Both authors supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 59540109, No. 59740063 and No. 59740056), Japan.

AMS (MOS) subject classification (1980): 42 B 25.