

As an application of this inequality we assume, without loss, that φ is also in $U(\Omega; \zeta)$, as in (6.1). Then in view of (4.5) and (6.2), the coefficient $a_\varphi(\zeta)$ is $-S_\varphi(\zeta, \zeta)$, and thus

$$|a_\varphi(\zeta) - I_\Omega^{(b)}(\zeta, \zeta)| \leq \frac{\sqrt{b} + \kappa}{1 + \kappa\sqrt{b}} K_\Omega^{(b)}(\zeta, \zeta).$$

References

- [1] A. F. Beardon and F. W. Gehring, *Schwarzian derivatives, the Poincaré metric and the kernel function*, Comm. Math. Helv. 55 (1980), 50–64.
- [2] S. Bergman and M. Schiffer, *Kernel functions and conformal mapping*, Compositio Math. 8 (1951), 205–249.
- [3] J. Burbea, *The Schwarzian derivative and the Poincaré metric*, Pacific J. Math. 85 (1979), 345–354.
- [4] —, *The Bergman projection over plane regions*, Ark. Mat. 18 (1980), 207–221.
- [5] C. H. Fitzgerald, *Quadratic inequalities and analytic continuation*, J. Analyse Math. 31 (1977), 19–47.
- [6] R. Harmelin, *Bergman kernel function and univalence criteria*, ibid. 41 (1982), 249–258.
- [7] R. Kühnau, *Verzerrungssätze und Koeffizientenbedingungen vom Grunskyschen Typ für quasikonforme Abbildungen*, Math. Nachr. 48 (1971), 77–105.
- [8] M. Ozawa, *Fredholm eigen value problem for general domains*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 12 (1960), 38–44.
- [9] Ch. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen 1975.
- [10] M. Sakai, *On basic domains of extremal functions*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 24 (1972), 251–258.
- [11] L. Sario and K. Oikawa, *Capacity Functions*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1969.
- [12] M. Schiffer, *Fredholm eigen values of multiply-connected domains*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), 211–269.
- [13] —, *Fredholm eigenvalues and Grunsky matrices*, Ann. Polon. Math. 39 (1981), 149–164.
- [14] M. Schiffer and G. Schober, *Coefficient problems and generalized Grunsky inequalities for schlicht functions with quasiconformal extensions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 60 (1976), 205–228.
- [15] G. Springer, *Fredholm eigenvalues and quasiconformal mapping*, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 121–142.
- [16] N. Suita, *On Fredholm eigen value problem for plane domains*, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 13 (1961), 109–112.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15260, U.S.A.

and

MATHEMATIC 1 SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, IBM T. J. WATSON RESEARCH CENTER
Yorktown Heights, New York 10598, U.S.A.

Received November 23, 1984

(2015)

A note on the spectral mapping theorem

by

CARLOS HERNÁNDEZ-GARCIADIEGO (México, D. F.)

Abstract. We prove that if σ is a semispectrum defined on the commutative subsets of a Banach algebra that satisfies one inclusion of the spectral mapping theorem $P(\sigma(A)) = \sigma(P(A))$ then it also satisfies the other.

1. Introduction. Let \mathcal{A} be a complex unital Banach algebra with unit e . The family of all nonvoid subsets of \mathcal{A} consisting of pairwise commuting elements will be designated by $c(\mathcal{A})$. We shall write $c_0(\mathcal{A})$ for the family of all finite elements of $c(\mathcal{A})$. The elements of $c(\mathcal{A})$ will be denoted by A_I , where I is a nonvoid set of indices, so $A_I = \{a_i\}_{i \in I}$. If the set I is finite, we can identify A_I with $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{A}^n$ and $B = (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathcal{A}^m$, then (A, B) will denote the element $(a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathcal{A}^{n+m}$. If I, J are nonvoid sets of indices, then $P_J(T_I)$ will stand for a family of polynomials $\{p_j(T_i)\}_{j \in J}$, with complex coefficients, in indeterminates $T_i = \{t_i\}_{i \in I}$. Of course, each p_j depends only upon a finite number of indeterminates t_{i_1}, \dots, t_{i_n} .

Each such system of polynomials induces a map, denoted by the same symbol $P_J: C^I \rightarrow C^J$, given by $Z_I \rightarrow (p_j(Z_i))_{j \in J} \in C^J$, where $Z_I = (z_i)_{i \in I}$. Such a map is called a *polynomial map*. Also if $A_I \in c(\mathcal{A})$, we can evaluate P_J on A_I obtaining an element $P_J(A_I) \in c(\mathcal{A})$.

In [4], Żelazko gave the following axioms and definitions.

Suppose that to each $A_I \in c(\mathcal{A})$ there corresponds a nonvoid compact subset of C^I :

$$(I) \quad A_I \rightarrow \sigma(A_I) \subset C^I.$$

1.1. AXIOMS.

$$(II) \quad \sigma(A_I) \subset \prod_{i \in I} \sigma(a_i)$$

where $A_I = \{a_i\}_{i \in I} \in c(\mathcal{A})$ and $\sigma(a_i)$ is the usual spectrum of an element $a_i \in \mathcal{A}$.

$$(III) \quad \sigma(\{a\}) \text{ is the usual spectrum, } \sigma(a), \text{ of } a \text{ for } \{a\} \in c(\mathcal{A}).$$

(III) *Spectral mapping property:*

$$\sigma(P_J(A_I)) = P_J(\sigma(A_I))$$

where $A_I \in c(\mathcal{A})$, P_J is a polynomial map.

(IV) *Projection property:*

$$\sigma(A_J) = \pi(\sigma(A_I))$$

where $A_I \in c(\mathcal{A})$, J is a nonvoid subset of I and π is the projection of C^I onto C^J given by $\pi(Z_I) = Z_J$.

(V)
$$\sigma(A_I + A_I e) = \sigma(A_I) + A_I$$

where $A_I \in c(\mathcal{A})$, $A_I = \{\lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\lambda_i \in C$, $A_I + A_I e = \{a_i + \lambda_i e\}_{i \in I}$.

1.2. DEFINITIONS. A map (1) is called:

a *spectroid* if it satisfies (I) and (V);

a *semispectrum* if it satisfies (I), (IV), (V);

a *subspectrum* if it satisfies (I), (III);

a *spectrum* if it satisfies (II), (III).

Let us note that (II), (III) \Rightarrow (I), (III) \Rightarrow (IV) and (III) \Rightarrow (V), so every spectrum is a subspectrum, every subspectrum is a semispectrum and every semispectrum is a spectroid.

In [3], Słodkowski and Żelazko prove that if σ is a semispectrum defined on elements of $c_0(\mathcal{A})$, there exists a unique semispectrum on $c(\mathcal{A})$ which, restricted to $c_0(\mathcal{A})$, equals σ , and if σ is a subspectrum or a spectrum, then its extension is also a subspectrum or a spectrum, respectively (see also [4, 3.4]). Therefore, from now on we will work only with semispectra defined on $c_0(\mathcal{A})$.

1.3. DEFINITION [1]. Let $A \in \mathcal{A}^n$, $B \in \mathcal{A}^m$, and $\lambda \in C^n$. We shall write

(2)
$$\sigma_{A=\lambda}(B) = \{\mu \in C^m : (\lambda, \mu) \in \sigma(A, B)\}.$$

In [1, 4.2], Harte proves that the joint spectrum has the following property.

Let $A \in \mathcal{A}^n$ be a commuting system that commutes with $B \in \mathcal{A}^m$. Then

(3)
$$\sigma(B) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in C^n} \sigma_{A=\lambda}(B).$$

Harte uses this result to prove that the joint spectrum of a commuting system of elements of \mathcal{A} satisfies the spectral mapping property.

On the other hand, in [3] Słodkowski and Żelazko prove that if X is a Banach space and σ is a semispectrum defined on $c(\mathcal{L}(X))$, then the spectral mapping property (III) is equivalent to

(4)
$$\sigma(P_J(A_I)) \subset P_J(\sigma(A_I)).$$

The principal goal of this note is to prove, for a semispectrum, that

(5)
$$P_J(\sigma(A_I)) \subset \sigma(P_J(A_I))$$

is also equivalent to the spectral mapping theorem (III).

2. Spectral mapping property.

2.1. LEMMA. If σ is a map (1) defined on $c_0(\mathcal{A})$ which satisfies axiom (I), then the following properties are equivalent:

(i)
$$\sigma(\pi(S)) \subset \pi(\sigma(S))$$

where $S \in \mathcal{A}^r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and π is a projection.

(ii) If $A \in \mathcal{A}^n$, $B \in \mathcal{A}^m$ for some $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, are commuting systems, and the elements of A commute with the elements of B then

$$\sigma(B) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in C^n} \sigma_{A=\lambda}(B).$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $S = (A, B) \in \mathcal{A}^{n+m}$, and let π be the projection with $\pi(S) = B$. Then

$$\sigma(B) = \sigma(\pi(A, B)) \subset \pi(\sigma(A, B)).$$

Let $\mu \in \sigma(B)$. Then there exists $\lambda \in C^n$ such that

$$(\lambda, \mu) \in \sigma(A, B) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi(\lambda, \mu) = \mu$$

so $\mu \in \sigma_{A=\lambda}(B)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i). Let $S \in \mathcal{A}^r$ and let π be a projection. Reordering the coordinates, we can assume that π is the projection onto the last m coordinates. We take $n = r - m$ and write

$$S = (A, B) \quad \text{with} \quad A \in \mathcal{A}^n, B \in \mathcal{A}^m \quad \text{and} \quad B = \pi(S).$$

If $\mu \in \sigma(\pi(S)) = \sigma(B)$, then by hypothesis there exists $\lambda \in C^n$ such that $\mu \in \sigma_{A=\lambda}(B)$. Thus,

$$(\lambda, \mu) \in \sigma(A, B) = \sigma(S),$$

so $\mu = \pi(\lambda, \mu) \in \pi(\sigma(S))$.

In order to prove our main theorem, we need the following result due to Harte [1, 3.3]:

2.2. LEMMA. If

$$P(\sigma(A)) \subseteq \sigma(P(A))$$

for every polynomial map $P: \mathcal{A}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^m$, then

$$P(\sigma(A)) = \sigma(P(A))$$

for every polynomial map of the form $P(z) = (z, Q(z))$ where Q is a polynomial map from \mathcal{A}^n to \mathcal{A}^{m-n} .

2.3. THEOREM. If σ is a semispectrum defined on $c_0(\mathcal{A})$ then the following properties are equivalent:

(i) For every $A \in c_0(\mathcal{A})$ and every polynomial map P

$$P(\sigma(A)) \subset \sigma(P(A)).$$

(ii) For every $A \in c_0(\mathcal{A})$ and every polynomial map P

$$P(\sigma(A)) \supset \sigma(P(A)).$$

(iii) For every $A \in c_0(\mathcal{A})$ and every polynomial map P

$$P(\sigma(A)) = \sigma(P(A)).$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) and (i) \Rightarrow (iii). Let $\mu \in \sigma(P(A))$. By hypothesis, σ satisfies the projection property (IV), so by Lemma 2.1 there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\mu \in \sigma_{A=\lambda}(P(A))$. Thus, $(\lambda, \mu) \in \sigma(A, P(A)) = \sigma(\tilde{P}(A))$ where $\tilde{P}(z) = (z, P(z))$.

By Lemma 2.2, $(\lambda, \mu) \in \tilde{P}(\sigma(A)) = \{(\lambda, P(\lambda)) : \lambda \in \sigma(A)\}$ so $\mu \in P(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$. Hence

$$\sigma(P(A)) \subset P(\sigma(A))$$

and thus

$$\sigma(P(A)) = P(\sigma(A)).$$

On the other hand, (ii) \Rightarrow (i) and (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) are part of Theorem 3.3 of [3].

Note. There is a misprint in formula (3.4) of [3]: it must be read as $\sigma^*(PS) \subset P\sigma^*(S)$.

References

- [1] R. E. Harte, *Spectral mapping theorems*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 72 (1972), 89–107.
- [2] —, *Tensor products, multiplication operators and the spectral mapping theorem*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 73 (1973), 285–302.
- [3] Z. Słodkowski and W. Żelazko, *On joint spectra of commuting families of operators*, Studia Math. 50 (1974), 127–148.
- [4] W. Żelazko, *An axiomatic approach to joint spectra I*, Studia Math. 64 (1979), 249–261.

INSTITUTO DE MATEMATICAS UNAM
CIRCUITO EXTERIOR
Ciudad Universitaria
04510 México 20 D. F., México

Received November 26, 1984

(2016)