

Our complement says that, in spite of the above example, we can prove that the function y given by (14) is n -times continuously differentiable so that it is the unique solution of (11).

Proof. Multiplying both sides of (11)' by h^n we obtain

$$\alpha_n y + \alpha_{n-1} h y + \dots + \alpha_0 h^n y = h^n f + \beta_{n-1} h + \dots + \beta_0 h^n.$$

Then $F(t) = h^n f + \beta_{n-1} h + \dots + \beta_0 h^n$ is surely n -times continuously differentiable. Thus, by $y \in \mathcal{C}'$ and by (2), we have the result:

$$y = -\alpha_n^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1} h y + \alpha_{n-2} h^2 y + \dots + \alpha_0 h^n y) + \alpha_n^{-1}\{F(t)\}$$

is once continuously differentiable and its derivative satisfies

$$(15) \quad y' = -\alpha_n^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1} h y' + \alpha_{n-2} h^2 y' + \dots + \alpha_0 h^n y') + \alpha_n^{-1}\{F'(t)\} + \text{a polynomial in } t,$$

because, e.g.,

$$(h^2 y)' = h^2 y' = h^2 (h y' + y(0)) = h^2 y' + h^2 y(0)$$

by (9). Thus y' given by (15) is continuously differentiable in t and satisfies

$$y'' = -\alpha_n^{-1}(\alpha_{n-1} h y'' + \alpha_{n-2} h^2 y'' + \dots + \alpha_0 h^n y'') + \alpha_n^{-1}\{F''(t)\} + \text{a polynomial in } t$$

and so forth.

References

- [1] J. Mikusiński, *Sur les fondements de calcul opératoire*, *Studia Math.* 11 (1949), 41-70.
 [2] K. Yosida and S. Okamoto, *A note on Mikusiński's operational calculus*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 56 A (1) (1980), 1-3.

Received April 15, 1982

(1750)

A remark on Yosida's complement to Mikusiński's operational calculus

by

SHUICHI OKAMOTO* (Tokyo)

Dedicated to Profesor J. Mikusiński on his 70th birthday

Abstract. According to the Mikusiński theory of operational calculus, the Cauchy problem for the n th order ordinary differential equation with complex coefficients and with inhomogeneous term $f \in \mathcal{C}[0, \infty)$ is transformed into the operational equation:

$$(\alpha_n s^n + \alpha_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_0) y = f + c_{n-1} s^{n-1} + c_{n-2} s^{n-2} + \dots + c_0.$$

As a complement to the theory, Prof. K. Yosida showed the fact which states that the solution y of the above operational equation is n -times continuously differentiable so that y is the true solution of the original equation. In this paper, a remark on the above complement is made by giving a direct proof.

It is well known, in the Mikusiński theory of operational calculus, that the Cauchy problem:

$$\alpha_n y^{(n)} + \alpha_{n-1} y^{(n-1)} + \dots + \alpha_0 y = f,$$

$$(1) \quad y(0) = b_0, \quad y'(0) = b_1, \dots, y^{(n-1)}(0) = b_{n-1},$$

$$\alpha_i \in \mathcal{C}, \quad i = 0, \dots, n, \quad b_j \in \mathcal{C}, \quad j = 0, \dots, n-1 \quad \text{and} \quad f \in \mathcal{C}[0, \infty)$$

is transformed into the operational equation:

$$(2) \quad (\alpha_n s^n + \alpha_{n-1} s^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_0) y = f + c_{n-1} s^{n-1} + c_{n-2} s^{n-2} + \dots + c_0,$$

$$c_m = \alpha_{m+1} b_0 + \alpha_{m+2} b_1 + \dots + \alpha_n b_{n-m-1}, \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, n-1,$$

where $s = 1/h$ ($= 1/\{1\}$) (cf. [1], [2] and [3]). Therefore we have

$$(3) \quad y = \frac{f}{p(s)} + \frac{q(s)}{p(s)}$$

with $p(s) = \alpha_n s^n + \dots + \alpha_0 = \alpha_n (s - \alpha_1)(s - \alpha_2) \dots (s - \alpha_n)$

* Supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists, the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.



and

$$q(s) = c_{n-1}s^{n-1} + \dots + c_0 = c_{n-1}(s - \gamma_1)(s - \gamma_2) \dots (s - \gamma_{n-1}).$$

In [4], K. Yosida proved, in the framework of Mikusiński's operational calculus, that the solution of equation (1) or (2) has the n -times continuous differentiability.

In the present paper we shall give another proof to it by making the following

Remark. Let f be continuous and g_i continuously differentiable with $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the n -times iterated convolution $g_1 g_2 \dots g_n f$ is an exactly n -times continuously differentiable function, where the product is taken in the sense of convolution, and we have

$$(4) \quad (g_1 g_2 \dots g_n f)^{(n)} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (g'_i + g_i(0)) \right) f$$

and more generally

$$(5) \quad (g_1 g_2 \dots g_n f)^{(m)} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^m (g'_{\sigma(i)} + g_{\sigma(i)}(0)) \right) \left(\prod_{i=m+1}^n g_{\sigma(i)} \right) f$$

for $m \leq n$ and any permutation σ . Here in (4) and (5), $g_i(0)$ is identified with the constant operator $\{g_i(0)\}h$ and it is to be noted that $g_i(0)f = \{g_i(0)f(t)\}$.

Proof. The case $n = 1$. By an elementary calculus,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 f)' &= \left\{ \int_0^t g_1(t-u)f(u)du \right\}' = \left\{ \int_0^t g'_1(t-u)f(u)du + g(0)f(t) \right\} \\ &= (g'_1 + g_1(0))f. \end{aligned}$$

The general case. Recalling the commutativity of the convolution product, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (g_1 g_2 \dots g_n f)^{(n)} &= (g_1(g_2 g_3 \dots g_n f))^{(n)} \\ &= ((g'_1 + g_1(0))(g_2 g_3 \dots g_n f))^{(n-1)} \\ &= (g_2((g'_1 + g_1(0))g_3 \dots g_n f))^{(n-1)} \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^n (g'_i + g_i(0)) \right) f. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of general formula (5) is omitted.

Hence we can show that $(1/p(s))f$ of (3) is n -times continuously differentiable on account of $1/(s - a_i) = \{\exp(a_i t)\}$, and so is the solution of equation (1) or (2), because $q(s)$ is only a differential operator.

References

[1] J. Mikusiński, *Sur les fondements du calcul opératoire*, *Studia Math.* 11 (1949), 41-70.
 [2] S. Okamoto, *A simplified derivation of Mikusiński's operational calculus*, *Proc. Japan Acad.* 56 A (1) (1979), 1-5.
 [3] K. Yosida and S. Okamoto, *A note on Mikusiński's operational calculus*, *ibid.* 56 A (1) (1980), 1-3.
 [4] K. Yosida, *A simple complement to Mikusiński's operational calculus*, this volume pp. 95-98.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 GAKUSHUIN UNIVERSITY
 Mejiro, Tokyo, Japan

Received April 15, 1982

(1751)