

**Individual boundedness condition for
positive definite sesquilinear form valued kernels**

by

J. STOCHEL (Kraków)

Abstract. In the present paper we get some equivalent forms of the individual boundedness condition. We show that every positive definite kernel on a product of \ast -semigroups with dilatable sections is itself dilatable. The last part of the paper deals with the question when a positive definite operator function on a \ast -semigroup is simply a \ast -representation. Our result relates to that of [5].

1. In the sequel \mathbf{F} stands for either the real number field \mathbf{R} or the complex number field \mathbf{C} . Let X and Y be either vector spaces or topological vector spaces over \mathbf{F} . Denote by $L(X, Y)$ and $OL(X, Y)$, respectively, the space of all linear operators and the space of all continuous linear operators on X to Y . We write $OL(X) = OL(X, X)$. I_X stands for the identity operator on X . The space of all sesquilinear forms and the space of all jointly continuous sesquilinear forms on $X \times X$ are denoted by $L_2(X, \mathbf{F})$ and $OL_2(X, \mathbf{F})$, respectively. $\langle Bx, x' \rangle$ stands for the value of $B \in L_2(X, \mathbf{F})$ on $(x, x') \in X \times X$.

Let H be a Hilbert space over \mathbf{F} . Denote by $(h, h')_H$ the inner product of h and h' ; $h, h' \in H$. We write $\|h\|_H = (h, h)_H^{1/2}$ for the norm of $h, h \in H$. The norm of $A \in OL(H)$ is denoted by $\|A\|$, the adjoint of A by A^* . If $(H_t)_{t \in T}$ is a family of subsets of H , then $\bigvee_{t \in T} H_t$ stands for the smallest closed linear subspace which includes the union $\bigcup_{t \in T} H_t$.

2. Let T be a set. A kernel $B: T \times T \rightarrow L_2(X, \mathbf{F})$ is said to be *positive definite* (PD) if the following conditions hold true:⁽¹⁾

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle B(t_j, t_i) a_i, a_j \rangle \geq 0, \quad t_1, \dots, t_n \in T; a_1, \dots, a_n \in X; n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(2) \quad \langle B(t', t) a, a' \rangle = \overline{\langle B(t, t') a', a \rangle}, \quad t, t' \in T; a, a' \in X.$$

⁽¹⁾ When $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{C}$, (2) follows from (1) (see [2], [4]).

It is known (see [2], [7], [13]) that for every PD kernel $B: T \times T \rightarrow L_2(X, F)$ there exists a Hilbert space K over F and a function $D: T \rightarrow L(X, K)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \langle B(t', t)x, x' \rangle = (D(t)x, D(t')x')_K, \quad t, t' \in T; x, x' \in X,$$

$$(4) \quad K = \bigvee_{t \in T} D(t)X.$$

(K, D) is called the *minimal factorization* of B . Notice that a minimal factorization of B is determined up to a unitary isomorphism ([4]).

Now let S be a $*$ -semigroup of actions on T (we do not require S to have a unit). Write $s(t)$ for the action of $s \in S$ on $t \in T$. Suppose we are given a PD kernel $B: T \times T \rightarrow L_2(X, F)$ satisfying the following condition:

$$(5) \quad B(t, s(t')) = B(s^*(t), t'), \quad t, t' \in T; s \in S.$$

If (K, D) is a minimal factorization of B , then (see [2], [3]) there exists a family $\mathcal{O}(s), s \in S$, of closed, densely defined linear operators on K such that

$$(6) \quad \text{the set } K_0 = \bigcup_{t \in T} D(t)X \text{ is included in the domain of} \\ \text{each } \mathcal{O}(s), s \in S,$$

$$(7) \quad \mathcal{O}(s)D(t) = D(s(t)), \quad t \in T; s \in S,$$

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{O}(s^*) = \mathcal{O}(s)^* \quad \text{on } K_0.$$

The family $(\mathcal{O}(s))_{s \in S}$ is called the *propagator* of $(D(t))_{t \in T}$.

Denote by S_B the set of all $s \in S$ such that $\mathcal{O}(s)$ is a bounded operator⁽²⁾. It is easy to see that $s \in S_B$ if and only if there exists a real number $c_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle B(s(t_j), s(t_i))x_i, x_j \rangle \leq c_1 \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle B(t_j, t_i)x_i, x_j \rangle$$

for each $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T; x_1, \dots, x_n \in X; n = 1, 2, \dots$

Therefore the definition of S_B does not depend on the choice of a minimal factorization of B . In the case of $S = S_B$ we say that B satisfies the *boundedness condition* (BC). As is known, there exist many equivalent forms of the boundedness condition (see [2], [3], [9], [10]). There arises a natural question if some of them can be used to describe the set S_B . The following lemma is a necessary preliminary to answering the question.

LEMMA 1. *Let S be a $*$ -semigroup of actions on T . If $B: T \times T \rightarrow L_2(X, F)$ is a PD kernel satisfying (5), then the set S'_B defined by*

⁽²⁾ It is possible that $S_B = \emptyset$; however, if S has a unit e then $e \in S_B$.

$s \in S'_B$ if and only if $s \in S$ and there exists a real number $c_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$(10) \quad \langle B(s(t), s(t))x, x \rangle \leq c_2 \langle B(t, t)x, x \rangle, \quad t \in T, x \in X,$$

is a $*$ -subsemigroup of S . Moreover, if $c_{2m}(s)$ stands for the minimal real number c_2 satisfying (10), then $c_{2m}: S'_B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is a submultiplicative function such that $c_{2m}(s^*) = c_{2m}(s), s \in S'_B$.

Proof. It is easy to see that S'_B is a subsemigroup of S and that $c_{2m}: S'_B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ is a submultiplicative function. We have only to prove that $s^* \in S'_B$ if $s \in S'_B$.

Suppose that $s \in S'_B$. Then, using (5), (10) and the Schwarz inequality (see [4], the inequality (4), p. 18; [9]), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B(s^*(t), s^*(t))x, x \rangle &= \langle B(s(s^*(t)), t)x, x \rangle \\ &\leq \langle B(s(s^*(t))), s(s^*(t))x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle B(t, t)x, x \rangle^{1/2} \\ &\leq c_{2m}(s)^{1/2} \langle B(t, t)x, x \rangle^{1/2} \langle B(s^*(t), s^*(t))x, x \rangle^{1/2}, \quad t \in T, x \in X. \end{aligned}$$

This leads to

$$\langle B(s^*(t), s^*(t))x, x \rangle \leq (c_{2m}(s) \langle B(t, t)x, x \rangle)^{1-2^{-n}} \langle B(s^*(t), s^*(t))x, x \rangle^{2^{-n}}, \\ n = 1, 2, \dots;$$

so by a limit passage we get

$$\langle B(s^*(t), s^*(t))x, x \rangle \leq c_{2m}(s) \langle B(t, t)x, x \rangle, \quad t \in T, x \in X.$$

This means that $s^* \in S'_B$ and $c_{2m}(s^*) \leq c_{2m}(s)$, which completes the proof.

In the following theorem we will describe the set S_B with the aid of some inequalities appearing in dilation theory.

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a $*$ -semigroup of actions on T . Suppose that $B: T \times T \rightarrow L_2(X, F)$ is a PD kernel satisfying (5). If $s \in S$, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *there exists a real number $c_1 \geq 0$ such that (9) holds true,*
- (ii) *there exists a real number $c_2 \geq 0$ such that (10) holds true,*
- (iii) *there exists a real number $c_3 \geq 0$ such that*

$$(11) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle B((s^*s)^{2^k}(t), (s^*s)^{2^k}(t))x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-1}} \leq c_3, \quad t \in T, x \in X,$$

- (iv) *there exists a real number $c_4 \geq 0$ such that*

$$(12) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle B((s^*s)^{2^k}(t_j), (s^*s)^{2^k}(t_i))x_i, x_j \rangle \right)^{2^{-k-1}} \leq c_4,$$

for each $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T; x_1, \dots, x_n \in X; n = 1, 2, \dots,$

- (v) $s \in S_B$.

If $c_{km}(s)$ stands for the minimal extended real number c_k satisfying $(8+k)c_k = c_{1m}(s) = c_{2m}(s) = c_{3m}(s) = c_{4m}(s)$ for all $s \in S$.

In the sequel $c_B(s)$ stands for one of the extended real numbers $c_{km}(s)$, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4, s \in S$.

Proof. The implications (iv) \Rightarrow (iii) and (i) \Rightarrow (ii) are obvious. It is plain that $c_{2m}(s) \leq c_{1m}(s)$ and $c_{3m}(s) \leq c_{4m}(s)$, $s \in S$. The Szafraniec inequality (see [4], Lemma 1, p. 28; [9]; [10]) shows that the implications (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) and (iv) \Rightarrow (i) hold true and that $c_{2m}(s) \leq c_{3m}(s)$, $c_{1m}(s) \leq c_{4m}(s)$, $s \in S$. Summing up, we have only to prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (iv) and the inequality $c_{4m}(s) \leq c_{2m}(s)$, $s \in S$.

Let (K, D) be a minimal factorization of B . Suppose that $s \in S'_B$. Then $(s^*s)^{2^k} \in S'_B$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ (by Lemma 1). It follows that

$$(13) \quad \|D((s^*s)^{2^k}(t))x\|_K \leq c_{2m}((s^*s)^{2^k})^{1/2} \|D(t)x\|_K \leq c_{2m}(s)^{2^k} \|D(t)x\|_K, \quad t \in T, x \in X, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Using (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle B((s^*s)^{2^k}(t_i), (s^*s)^{2^k}(t_j))x_i, x_j \rangle \right)^{2^{-k-1}} \\ &= \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle D((s^*s)^{2^k}(t_i))x_i, D((s^*s)^{2^k}(t_j))x_j \rangle_K \right)^{2^{-k-1}} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|D((s^*s)^{2^k}(t_i))x_i\|_K \right)^{2^{-k}} \leq c_{2m}(s) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \|D(t_i)x_i\| \right)^{2^{-k}}, \end{aligned}$$

for each $t_1, \dots, t_n \in T, x_1, \dots, x_n \in X, n, k = 1, 2, \dots$, so, by a limit passage, we get (iv) with $c_4 = c_{2m}(s)$. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 1. Let S, T, X, B be as in Theorem 1. Suppose that B is a PD kernel satisfying (5). Then S_B is a $*$ -subsemigroup of S with the following properties:

- (i) if there exists a natural number k such that $(s^*s)^{2^k} \in S_B$ then $s \in S_B$,
- (ii) $c_B(s) = (c_B((s^*s)^{2^k}))^{2^{-k-1}}$, $s \in S, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The proof of (i) and (ii) follows from the Szafraniec inequality (see [4], Lemma 1, p. 28; [9]; [10]).

COROLLARY 2. Let S be a $*$ -algebra. Suppose that $B: S \times S \rightarrow L_2(X, C)$ is a PD kernel satisfying (5). If, for every $s \in S$, the mapping $B(s, \cdot): S \rightarrow L_2(X, C)$ is linear, then S_B is a $*$ -subalgebra of S . Moreover, $c_B(\cdot)^{1/2}$ is a seminorm.

Notice that the Paschke dilation theorem ([1], Th. 1, p. 413) for completely positive linear maps on U^* -algebras can directly be obtained from Corollary 2 and the general dilation theorem by Sz.-Nagy ([12], Principal Theorem).

Notes. The inequality (10) belongs to Masani (see [2], [3]). The

inequality (12) is due to Szafraniec (see [9], [10]). The boundedness condition (BC) has been introduced by Sz.-Nagy ([12]).

3. Now we consider positive definite kernels on generalized direct products of $*$ -semigroups and $*$ -algebras. We show that if sections of such a kernel are dilatable (for this terminology see [4] and [7]) then the kernel is itself dilatable.

To begin with we introduce the following definition. We say that a $*$ -semigroup (resp. a $*$ -algebra) S is a *generalized direct (g.d.) product* of $*$ -semigroups (resp. $*$ -algebras) $(S_i)_{i \in I}$ if the following conditions hold true:

$$(14) \quad S_i \text{ is a } * \text{-subsemigroup (resp. a } * \text{-subalgebra) of } S, i \in I,$$

$$(15) \quad s_i s_j = s_j s_i, \quad s_i \in S_i, s_j \in S_j; i, j \in I, i \neq j,$$

$$(16) \quad S \text{ is a } * \text{-semigroup (resp. a } * \text{-algebra) generated by } \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i.$$

A few examples are now in order.

EXAMPLE 1. Suppose that S is a direct product of $*$ -semigroups $S_i, i \in I$, with units $e_i, i \in I$, respectively, i.e.

$$S = \{(s_i)_{i \in I} : \text{there exists a finite set } I_0 \subset I \text{ such that } s_i = e_i, i \in I \setminus I_0\}.$$

Denote by \hat{S}_i the $*$ -semigroup $\{s \in S : s_j = e_j, j \in I \setminus \{i\}\}, i \in I$. Then S is a g.d. product of $*$ -semigroups $\hat{S}_i, i \in I$.

EXAMPLE 2. Let S be a tensor product of $*$ -algebras $S_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, with units $e_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, respectively. Denote by \hat{S}_i the $*$ -algebra

$$e_1 \otimes \dots \otimes e_{i-1} \otimes S_i \otimes e_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes e_n, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Then S is a g.d. product of $*$ -algebras $\hat{S}_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Now we are able to prove the following

THEOREM 2. Let S be a g.d. product of $*$ -semigroups $S_i, i \in I$. Suppose that $B: S \times S \rightarrow L_2(X, F)$ is a PD kernel satisfying (5). If for every $i \in I$ the kernel $B_i = B|_{S_i \times S_i}$ satisfies (BC), then B itself satisfies (BC).

Proof. Let us fix $i \in I, s_i \in S_i$ and $t \in S$. The conditions (14), (15) and (16) imply that there exists a finite non-empty set $I_0 \subset I$ such that $t = \prod_{j \in I_0} t_j$, where $t_j \in S_j, j \in I_0$. Examine three cases:

Case 1. $i \notin I_0$. Then by the Schwarz inequality (see [4], the inequality (4), p. 18; [9]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(t, x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle B((s_i^* s_i)^{2^k} t, (s_i^* s_i)^{2^k} t)x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-1}} \\ &\leq \langle B(t^* t, t^* t)x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-2}} \langle B_i((s_i^* s_i)^{2^k+1-1} s_i^* s_i, (s_i^* s_i)^{2^k+1-1} s_i^* s_i)x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-2}} \\ &\leq \left(\langle B(t^* t, t^* t)x, x \rangle \langle B_i(s_i^* s_i, s_i^* s_i)x, x \rangle \right)^{2^{-k-2}} \left(c_{B_i}((s_i^* s_i)^{2^k+1-1}) \right)^{2^{-k-2}} \\ &\leq \left(\langle B(t^* t, t^* t)x, x \rangle \langle B_i(s_i^* s_i, s_i^* s_i)x, x \rangle \right)^{2^{-k-2}} c_{B_i}(s_i)^{1-2^{-k-1}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in X, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; so

$$(17) \quad \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_k(t, x) \leq c_{B_i}(s_i).$$

Case 2. $i \in I_0$ and the set $I_0 \setminus \{i\}$ is non-empty. Then $t = t_i t'$, where $t' = \prod_{j \in I_0 \setminus \{i\}} t_j$. Using (15) and the Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d_k(t, x) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \langle B((s_i^* s_i)^{2k} t, (s_i^* s_i)^{2k} t) x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-1}} \\ &= \langle B(t'^* t, (s_i^* s_i)^{2k+1} t_i) x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-1}} \\ &\leq \langle B(t'^* t, t'^* t) x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-2}} \langle B_i((s_i^* s_i)^{2k+1} t_i, (s_i^* s_i)^{2k+1} t_i) x, x \rangle^{2^{-k-2}} \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in X, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$; so, by Theorem 1, (17) holds true.

Case 3. $I_0 = \{i\}$. The assumptions of Theorem 2 immediately imply the condition (17).

Summing up, we have $\bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \subset S_B$, by Theorem 1. This completes the proof.

The following theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 2.

THEOREM 2'. *Let S be a g.d. product of $*$ -algebras $(S_i)_{i \in I}$. Suppose that $B: S \times S \rightarrow L_2(X, C)$ is a PD kernel satisfying (5). If for every $s \in S$ the mapping $B(s, \cdot): S \rightarrow L_2(X, C)$ is linear and for every $i \in I$ the kernel $B_i = B|_{S_i \times S_i}$ satisfies (BC), then B itself satisfies (BC).*

4. This part of the paper deals with the question when a positive definite operator function on a $*$ -semigroup is simply a $*$ -representation.

The following theorem is discussed under stronger assumptions by Mlak ([4], Prop. 2, p. 12). The first formulation in the context of U^* -algebras is due to Paschke (see [1], Th. 2, p. 414; [8]).

THEOREM 3. *Let S be a $*$ -semigroup (resp. a $*$ -algebra) and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is an operator function (resp. a linear operator function) satisfying the following conditions:*

(18) *there exists a positive real number $q \leq 1$ such that*

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n B(s_i) h_i \right\|_H^2 \leq q \sum_{i,j=1}^n (B(s_i^* s_j) h_i, h_j)_H,$$

for each $s_1, \dots, s_n \in S, h_1, \dots, h_n \in H, n = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(19) \quad B(s^*) = B(s)^*, \quad s \in S.$$

Then the sets

$$S_0 = \{s \in S: B(s^* s) = B(s)^* B(s)\}$$

and

$$S_0^* = \{s \in S: s^* \in S_0\}^{(3)}$$

(3) It is possible that $S_0 = \emptyset$. If S has a unit e then $e \in S_0$ if and only if $B(e)$ is an orthogonal projection.

are subsemigroups (resp. subalgebras) of S and

$$(20) \quad B(ss_0) = B(s)B(s_0), \quad s \in S, s_0 \in S_0,$$

$$(21) \quad B(s_0 s) = B(s_0)B(s), \quad s \in S, s_0 \in S_0^*.$$

Proof. Let S_1 be a unitization of S . Denote by 1 the adjoined unit (if S has a unit e then we require $1 \neq e$). Then by (18) and (19) B can be extended to a PD function(*) $B_1: S_1 \rightarrow CL(H)$ such that $B_1(1) = I_H$ (see [11], Prop. 1). Therefore without loss of generality we may assume that S has a unit e and $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is a PD function such that $B(e) = I_H$.

Let (K, D) be a minimal factorization of B , and let $C(s), s \in S$, be a propagator of $D(s), s \in S$. Since $B(s) \in CL(H) = CL_2(H, C), s \in S$, we have $D(s) \in CL(H, K), s \in S$; so

$$B(s)h = B(e^* s)h = D(e)^* D(s)h = D(e)^* C(s)D(e)h, \quad h \in H, s \in S.$$

In particular, $I_H = B(e) = D(e)^* D(e)$. This means that $V \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} D(e)$ is an isometry. If $s_0 \in S_0$ and $h \in H$, then by (8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|VB(s_0)h - C(s_0)Vh\|_K^2 &= \|VB(s_0)h\|_K^2 + \|C(s_0)Vh\|_K^2 - \\ &\quad - 2\text{re}(VB(s_0)h, C(s_0)Vh)_K \\ &= \|B(s_0)h\|_H^2 + (B(s_0^* s_0)h, h)_H - 2\text{re}(B(s_0)h, B(s_0)h)_H = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $s_0 \in S_0, s \in S$ and $h \in H$. Then, since $VB(s_0)h = C(s_0)Vh$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(ss_0)h &= V^* C(ss_0) Vh = V^* C(s) C(s_0) Vh = V^* C(s) VB(s_0)h \\ &= B(s)B(s_0)h. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that S_0 is a subsemigroup we take $s, s' \in S_0$ and then, by the previous property, we successively obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B((ss')^* s s') &= B(((ss')^* s) s') = B((ss')^* s) B(s') \\ &= B((ss')^*) B(s) B(s') = B(ss')^* B(ss'). \end{aligned}$$

The equality (21) is a simple consequence of (20). This completes the proof

Remark 1. Notice that under the assumptions of Theorem 3 the set $S_0 \cap S_0^*$ is a $*$ -subsemigroup (resp. a $*$ -subalgebra) of S .

THEOREM 3'. *Let S be a topological $*$ -semigroup⁽⁵⁾ (resp. a topological $*$ -algebra⁽⁶⁾) and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is a strongly continuous (resp. strongly continuous and linear) operator function satisfying (18) and (19). Then the sets S_0 and S_0^* defined as in Theorem 3 are closed subsemigroups (resp. closed subalgebras) of S .*

(4) I.e. a kernel $B_1(s^* s')$, $(s, s') \in S_1 \times S_1$ is PD.

(5) I.e. S is a topological semigroup with a continuous involution*.

(6) I.e. S is a topological algebra with a continuous involut on*.

COROLLARY 3. *Let S be a $*$ -semigroup (resp. a $*$ -algebra) with a unit e . Suppose that $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is a PD operator function (resp. a linear PD operator function) such that $\|B(e)\| \leq 1$. Then the sets S_0 and S_0^* defined as in Theorem 3 are subsemigroups (resp. subalgebras) of S .*

Proof. Let (K, D) be a minimal factorization of B . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n B(s_i) h_i \right\|_H^2 &= \left\| D(e)^* \sum_{i=1}^n D(s_i) h_i \right\|_H^2 \leq \|D(e)^* D(e)\|_H \sum_{i,j=1}^n (B(s_j^* s_i) h_i, h_j)_H \\ &= \|B(e)\| \sum_{i,j=1}^n (B(s_j^* s_i) h_i, h_j)_H, \\ &\quad h_1, \dots, h_n \in H, s_1, \dots, s_n \in S, n = 1, 2, \dots; \end{aligned}$$

so the condition (18) holds true. This completes the proof.

COROLLARY 4. *Let S be a topological $*$ -semigroup (resp. a topological $*$ -algebra) and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is a strongly continuous operator function (resp. a strongly continuous linear operator function) satisfying (18) and (19). If there exists a subset \tilde{S} of the set S with the following properties:*

- (i) S is the smallest closed subsemigroup (resp. the smallest closed subalgebra) of S which includes \tilde{S} ,
 - (ii) $B(ss^*) = B(s)^* B(s)$, $s \in \tilde{S}$,
- then B is a $*$ -representation, i.e. an involution preserving semigroup homomorphism (resp. an involution preserving algebra homomorphism).

Proof. By Theorem 3', $S_0 = S$. This means that

$$B(ss^*) = B(s)^* B(s), \quad s \in S;$$

so Corollary 4 is a consequence of Theorem 3 of [6].

COROLLARY 5. *Let S be an abelian topological $*$ -semigroup (resp. an abelian topological $*$ -algebra) and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is a strongly continuous (resp. strongly continuous, linear) operator function satisfying (18) and (19). If there exists a subset \tilde{S} of the set S with the following properties:*

- (i) S is the smallest closed $*$ -subsemigroup (resp. the smallest closed $*$ -subalgebra) of S , which includes \tilde{S} ,
 - (ii) $B(ss^*) = B(s)^* B(s)$, $s \in \tilde{S}$,
 - (iii) $B(s)$ is a normal operator, $s \in \tilde{S}$,
- then B is a $*$ -representation.

Proof. The conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) imply that $\tilde{S} \subset S_0 \cap S_0^*$; so by Theorem 3', $S_0 \cap S_0^* = S$, which completes the proof ([6], Th. 3).

Remark 2. Theorem 3 remains true after removing the condition (19). The proof will be published in another paper (we will use a new general unbounded dilation theorem).

5. The last theorem relates to Theorem B of [5]. We show that the boundedness condition implicitly contained in its assumptions can be omitted.

THEOREM 4. *Let S be a g.d. product of $*$ -semigroups (resp. a g.d. product of $*$ -algebras) $S_i, i \in I$, and let H be a complex Hilbert space. Suppose that $B: S \rightarrow CL(H)$ is an operator function (resp. a linear operator function) satisfying (18) and (19). If, for every $i \in I$, the function $B_i = B|_{S_i}$ satisfies the following condition:*

$$(i) \quad B_i(s^*s) = B_i(s)^* B_i(s), \quad s \in S_i,$$

then B is a $*$ -representation.

Remark 3. Notice that the condition (ii) in Corollary 4 (resp. the condition (i) in Theorem 4) can be replaced by the following one:

$$B(ss^*) = B(s)B(s)^*, \quad s \in \tilde{S}$$

(resp. $B_i(ss^*) = B_i(s)B_i(s)^*$, $s \in S_i$).

Remark 4. Theorem 4 can also be formulated for topological tensor products of topological $*$ -algebras.

Remark to Corollary 1. To prove that S_B is a $*$ -subsemigroup of S , we do not need Theorem 1. Indeed, if $s \in S_B$ then $C(s) \in CL(K)$. Since $C(s)^* \in CL(K)$, we have, by (4), $C(s)^* D(t) = D(s^*(t))$, for all $t \in T$. This means that $C(s^*) \in CL(K)$ and $s^* \in S_B$.

Remark to Theorem 2. If X is a Banach space over F , S is a g.d. product of $*$ -semigroups $S_i, i = 1, 2, S, S_1$ and S_2 have a common unit e and $B(s, s') = B'(s^*s')$, where $B': S \rightarrow CL_2(X, F)$, the proof of Theorem 2 can be simplified. Indeed, let (K, D) be a minimal factorization of B and let $s = s_1 s_2$, where $s_i \in S_i, i = 1, 2$, be a number of S . Then, by Proposition 1 of [10], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|B'(s)\| &= \|B'((s_1^*)^* s_2)\| = \|D(s_1^*)^* D(s_2)\| \leq \|B'_1(s_1 s_1^*)\|^{1/2} \|B'_2(s_2^* s_2)\|^{1/2} \\ &\leq A_1^{1/2} A_2^{1/2} (c_{B_1}(s_1) c_{B_2}(s_2))^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where A_1, A_2 are positive real numbers. Since $c_{B_1} \otimes c_{B_2}$ is submultiplicative, the kernel B satisfies (BC) (see [10], Prop. 1).

References

- [1] W. J. Paschke, *Completely positive maps on U^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 34 (2) (1972), 412–416.
- [2] P. Masani, *Dilations as propagators of hilbertian varieties*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 9 (1978), 414–456.
- [3] — *Propagators and dilations*, in: *Probability theory on vector spaces*, Proceedings, Trzebiezowice, Poland, 1977 (Ed.: A. Weron), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 656, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1978.

- [4] W. Mlak, *Dilations of Hilbert space operators (General theory)*, Diss. Math. 153 (1978).
- [5] W. Mlak, C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *Positive definite operator functions which are representations*, Bull. Polon. Acad. Sci. 22 (1974), 1111-1115.
- [6] J. Stochel, *A note on general operator dilations over *-semigroups*, Bull. Polon. Acad. Sci., Sér. Math. Astronom. Phys. 28 (1980), 149-153.
- [7] — *The dilatability of sesquilinear form-valued kernels*, to appear.
- [8] E. Størmer, *Positive linear maps of C^* -algebras*, Lect. Notes Phys., Springer-Verlag, 1973.
- [9] F. H. Szafraniec, *A propos of professor Masani's talk*, in: *Probability theory on vector spaces*, Proceedings, Trzebieszowice, Poland 1977 (Ed.: A. Weron), Lecture Notes in Mathematics 656, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1978, 245-249.
- [10] — *Dilations on involution semigroups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 66 (1977), 30-32.
- [11] — *A note on a general dilation theorem*, Ann. Polon. Math. 36 (1979), 43-47.
- [12] B. Sz.-Nagy, *Prolongement des transformations de l'espace de Hilbert qui sortent de cet espace*, Appendix ad F. Riesz and B. Sz.-Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Budapest 1955.
- [13] A. Weron, *Prediction theory in Banach spaces*, in: *Winter School on Probability, Karpacz 1975*, Lecture Notes in Math. 472 (1975), 207-228.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
Oddział w Krakowie, Solskiego 30
31-127 Kraków, Poland

Received February 24, 1981

(1668)