

Weak approximate identities and multipliers

by

DAVID L. JOHNSON and CHARLES D. LAHR (Hanover, N.H.)

Abstract. Let A be a Banach algebra and let Ω be a right Banach A -submodule of A^* . Then $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ is characterized in terms of dual spaces and approximate identities for certain choices of A and Ω having applications in harmonic analysis.

For example, let A be an L -algebra, let $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ (the space of all weakly almost periodic functionals in A^*) be a C^* -subalgebra of the commutative von Neumann algebra \mathfrak{A}^* , and suppose that Ω is a left A -module as well. Then $\Omega \cong C_0(I)$, where I is the maximal ideal space of Ω , and $\Omega^* \cong M(I)$. Now, if A has a right \mathfrak{B} -approximate identity (a.i.) bounded by one, then $\text{Hom}_A(A, M(I))$ is isometrically algebra anti-isomorphic to a closed subalgebra of $M(I)$ via the map $T \mapsto \mu_T$, where the action of T is by generalized convolution on the right with μ_T . Moreover, the map $T \mapsto \mu_T$ is onto if and only if Ω is an essential right Banach A -module if and only if A has a bounded two-sided Ω -a.i.

It is also proved that if A is a convolution measure algebra and Ω (as above) contains the identity of the von Neumann algebra \mathfrak{A}^* , then A has a two-sided Ω -a.i. bounded by one if and only if the compact semigroup I has an identity.

I. Introduction. Let A be an arbitrary Banach algebra and W a right Banach A -module. Then $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*) \cong (A \otimes_A W)^*$, where $A \otimes_A W$ is the (completed) A -tensor product of A and W ([16], [17]). Concrete realizations of $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$ have been given in terms of $(A \otimes_A \otimes_A W)^*$, $(A \circ W)^*$ (defined below), and W^* in cases where more information is available about A and/or W ([16], [17], [12], [10], [2], [21]). In this paper, we study $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$ primarily when $W = \Omega$ is a right Banach A -submodule of A^* and A possesses a right Ω -approximate identity.

In Section II, property $P2(\Omega)$ is defined ($P2(A^*)$ is Máté's property $P2$ ([11], [21])) and is shown to be equivalent to A possessing a certain kind of right Ω -approximate identity (Theorem 2.1). Applications of Theorem 2.1 are made to (noncommutative) Segal algebras, and to commutative Banach algebras A with $\Omega = \text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$. In the latter case, $P2(\Omega)$ is equivalent to $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$ (Theorem 2.5).

Section III is devoted to a discussion of $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ for algebras A possessing a bounded right Ω -approximate identity (when $\Omega \subsetneq A^*$, this assumption is weaker than assuming A has a bounded right approxi-

mate identity [7]). Such algebras have property P2(Ω) (Corollary 2.2). Typically in Section III, Ω is a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} , the space of weakly almost periodic functionals in A^* . If A has a bounded two-sided Ω -approximate identity, then Ω is essential (as a right Banach A -module) and $A \circ \Omega$ and Ω have equivalent norms; the converse holds provided A has property P2(Ω) (Theorem 3.4). This result (together with Theorem 2.5) for A commutative and $\Omega = \text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$ yields Birtol's representation of the multiplier algebra of A when A has a bounded ΔA -approximate identity [1].

Now, if A is an L -algebra [14], then A^* is a commutative von Neumann algebra. Suppose that the Banach A -subbimodule $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$ is a C^* -subalgebra of A^* with maximal ideal space Γ . Then $\text{Hom}_A(A, M(\Gamma))$ is represented (isometrically and algebra anti-isomorphically) in the Banach algebra (under generalized convolution) $M(\Gamma)$ for algebras A possessing a right \mathfrak{B} -approximate identity bounded by one (Theorem 3.8), thereby extending previous representations of the right multiplier algebra $M_R(A)$ of A ([13], [9]). A special instance of Theorem 3.8 (see also Corollary 3.3) is the following. Let G be an arbitrary locally compact group, let $A = L^1(G)$, and let Ω be the C^* -algebra of (continuous) almost periodic functions on G . Then

$$\text{Hom}_{L^1(G)}(L^1(G), M(\Gamma)) \cong M(\Gamma),$$

where Γ , the maximal ideal space of Ω , is the almost periodic (Bohr) compactification of G . The final result of Section III is another application of Theorem 3.4 and states that if A is a convolution measure algebra (CMA) and the C^* -algebra Ω contains the identity of A^* , then A has a two-sided Ω -approximate identity bounded by one if and only if the compact semigroup Γ has an identity. This result was proved in [8] for semisimple commutative CMA's A with $\Omega = \text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$.

We close the introduction with some definitions, notation, and basic facts. The projective tensor product $A \otimes W$ of A and W is the Banach space completion of the algebraic tensor product $A \otimes W$ with respect to the greatest cross-norm. Each tensor t in $A \otimes W$ has a representation of the form

$$t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes w_k,$$

where $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|w_k\| < +\infty$, and the norm of t in $A \otimes W$ is

$$\inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|w_k\| : t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes w_k \right\}.$$

If W is an arbitrary right Banach A -module, then W^* is a left Banach A -module under the adjoint action of A (i.e., for $a \in A$, $w^* \in W^*$, define

aw^* by $\langle w, aw^* \rangle = \langle wa, w^* \rangle$, all $w \in W$). The Banach space $\text{Hom}_A(A, \overline{w^*})$ of all continuous left A -module homomorphisms (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{B}(A, W^*)$ such that $T(ab) = a(Tb)$, all $a, b \in A$) is isometrically isomorphic to the dual space $((A \otimes W)/K)^*$, where

$$K = \text{cl}(\text{sp}\{ab \otimes w - b \otimes wa : a, b \in A, w \in W\})$$

in $A \otimes W$ ([17], p. 72). In fact, if $((A \otimes W)/K)^*$ is identified with the subspace K^\perp of $(A \otimes W)^*$, then this isometric isomorphism is simply the restriction to $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$ of the isometric isomorphism $T \mapsto g_T$ from $\mathcal{B}(A, W^*)$ onto $(A \otimes W)^*$, where $g_T(a \otimes w) = \langle w, Ta \rangle$, $a \in A$, $w \in W$.

Let $B: A \otimes W \rightarrow W$ be the norm-decreasing linear map defined by $B(a \otimes w) = wa$, $a \in A$, $w \in W$, and let $A \circ W = (A \otimes W)/\ker B$ with quotient norm. Then $(A \circ W)^* = (\ker B)^\perp$ in $(A \otimes W)^*$. Further, since K is clearly contained in $\ker B$, it follows that

$$(A \circ W)^* = (\ker B)^\perp \subseteq K^\perp \cong \text{Hom}_A(A, W^*).$$

II. Property P2(Ω). Let $A = (A, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach algebra, let Ω be a closed linear subspace of the dual $A^* = (A^*, \|\cdot\|)$ of A , and suppose that Ω is a right Banach A -submodule of A^* with respect to the pre-Arens product fa of $f \in A^*$, $a \in A$, defined by $\langle fa, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle$, $b \in A$. Then A is said to possess *property P2(Ω)* if whenever

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|f_k\| \|a_k\| < +\infty,$$

$f_k \in \Omega$, $a_k \in A$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k a_k = 0$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k \rangle = 0.$$

In terms of the norm-decreasing map $B: A \otimes \Omega \rightarrow \Omega \subseteq A^*$ defined above and the norm-decreasing evaluation map $\xi: A \otimes \Omega \rightarrow C$ defined by $\xi(a \otimes f) = \langle f, a \rangle$, property P2(Ω) states that $\ker B \subseteq \ker \xi$. Property P2(A^*) is simply property P2 of Máté [11] and will be denoted as such. Our first characterizations of property P2(Ω) involve right Ω -approximate identities and the space $M(A)$ of (continuous) double multipliers of A [4].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let Ω be a right Banach A -submodule of A^* . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *The algebra A has property P2(Ω).*
- (2) *There exists a net $\{u_\lambda\}$ in A such that, if*

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|f_k\| < +\infty,$$

$a_k \in A$, $f_k \in \Omega$, then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k \rangle = \lim_{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k u_{\lambda} \rangle.$$

(3) The map $(S, T) \mapsto g_T$ is a vector space homomorphism from $M(A)$ into $(A \circ \Omega)^*$.

(4) The evaluation map ξ is in $(A \circ \Omega)^* = (\ker B)^{\perp}$.

Proof. ((1) \Leftrightarrow (4)). Immediate.

((2) \Rightarrow (3)). The map $(S, T) \mapsto T$ from $M(A)$ into $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ is a vector space homomorphism, and the map $T \mapsto g_T$ from $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ into $(A \otimes \Omega)^*$ is an isometric isomorphism. Thus, it suffices to show that, if $(S, T) \in M(A)$, then $g_T \in (\ker B)^{\perp}$. However, if $t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes f_k \in \ker B$, then, assuming (2),

$$\begin{aligned} g_T(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, T a_k \rangle = \lim_{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, (T a_k) u_{\lambda} \rangle \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k (S u_{\lambda}) \rangle = \lim_{\lambda} \left\langle \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k a_k, S u_{\lambda} \right\rangle \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \langle B(t), S u_{\lambda} \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

establishing (3).

((3) \Rightarrow (4)). If $I: A \rightarrow A$ is the identity operator, then the image of the double multiplier (I, I) in $(A \circ \Omega)^* = (\ker B)^{\perp}$ is $g_I = \xi$, the evaluation map.

((4) \Rightarrow (2)). Since $B \in \mathbf{B}(A \otimes \Omega, A^*)$, $B^* \in \mathbf{B}(A^{**}, (A \otimes \Omega)^*)$; hence, $B^*(A) \subseteq (A \otimes \Omega)^*$. Now, if $t \in A \otimes \Omega$ and $a \in A$, then $\langle t, B^*(a) \rangle = \langle B(t), a \rangle$. Consequently, with respect to the dual pair $\langle A \otimes \Omega, (A \otimes \Omega)^* \rangle$ the polar (in $A \otimes \Omega$) of $B^*(A)$ is $\ker B$. Thus, the bipolar (in $(A \otimes \Omega)^*$) of $B^*(A)$ is $(\ker B)^{\perp}$, and so, by the bipolar theorem, $B^*(A)$ is weak*- (i.e., $\sigma((A \otimes \Omega)^*, (A \otimes \Omega))$) dense in $(\ker B)^{\perp}$. Therefore, since $\xi \in (\ker B)^{\perp}$ by hypothesis, there exists a net $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ in A such that $\xi = w k^* - \lim_{\lambda} B^*(u_{\lambda})$ in $(A \otimes \Omega)^*$, thereby proving (2). ■

It is readily verified that, if A has no right annihilators and if Ω separates the points of A , then the map in statement (3) of Theorem 2.1 is a vector space isomorphism. Further, for an arbitrary Banach algebra A , the net $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ in statement (2) is in particular, a right Ω -approximate identity; that is, if $a \in A$, $f \in \Omega$, then $\langle f, a u_{\lambda} \rangle \rightarrow \langle f, a \rangle$. However, the existence of a right Ω -approximate identity does not seem to imply property P2(Ω) without some boundedness assumption on the approximate identity. A right Ω -approximate identity $\{u_{\lambda}\}$ for A is said to be operator-

bounded if

$$\sup \{ \|a u_{\lambda}\| : a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1, \text{ all } \lambda \} < +\infty.$$

COROLLARY 2.2. Let A be a Banach algebra and let Ω be a right Banach A -submodule of A^* . If A has an operator-bounded right Ω -approximate identity $\{u_{\lambda}\}$, then A has property P2(Ω).

Proof. Let

$$M = \sup \{ \|a u_{\lambda}\| : a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1, \text{ all } \lambda \} < +\infty,$$

and suppose that

$$t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes f_k \in \ker B \subseteq A \otimes \Omega.$$

Then, for each $N > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(t)| &= |\xi(t) - \langle B(t), u_{\lambda} \rangle| = \left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k - a_k u_{\lambda} \rangle \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{k=1}^N \langle f_k, a_k - a_k u_{\lambda} \rangle \right| + (M+1) \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \|f_k\| \|a_k\|, \end{aligned}$$

for every λ ; hence, it follows that

$$|\xi(t)| \leq (M+1) \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} \|f_k\| \|a_k\|.$$

Letting $N \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\xi(t) = 0$. Thus, $\xi \in (\ker B)^{\perp}$. ■

If G is a compact group and $A = L^p(G)$, where $1 \leq p < +\infty$, then, since A has an operator-bounded (by 1) two-sided approximate identity, it follows from Corollary 2.2 that A has property P2 (and so P2(Ω), for every right Banach A -submodule Ω of A^*). Some of the strength of property P2 is revealed in its characterizations below.

COROLLARY 2.3. For a Banach algebra A , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) A has property P2.
- (2) $\text{Hom}_A(A, A^{**}) \cong (A \circ A^*)^*$.
- (3) $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*) \cong (A \circ W)^*$, for every right Banach A -module W .

Proof. The equivalence (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) is proved in ([21], Thm. 2.3), and (3) \Rightarrow (2) is clear. To prove that (1) \Rightarrow (3), we first observe that statement (3) holds if and only if, for every $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$, the corresponding g_T in $(A \otimes W)^*$ is contained in $(\ker B)^{\perp}$. However, if $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$, then $T^* \in \mathbf{B}(W^{**}, A^*)$. Thus, for each $t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes w_k \in \ker B$,

$$g_T(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle w_k, T a_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* w_k, a_k \rangle;$$

hence, since A has property P2 (see Theorem 2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} g_T(t) &= \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* w_k, a_k u_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle w_k, a_k (Tu_\lambda) \rangle \\ &= \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle w_k a_k, Tu_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle B(t), Tu_\lambda \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $g_T \in (\ker B)^\perp$ and (3) obtains. ■

It is clear from the remarks made in the introduction that $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*) \cong (A \circ W)^*$ if and only if $K = \ker B$. Thus, A possesses property P2 if and only if $K = \ker B$ for the right Banach A -module A^* (equivalently, $K = \ker B$ for every right Banach A -module W). Similarly, if Ω is a right Banach A -submodule of A^* , then $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$ if and only if $K = \ker B$ and, in this case, A has property P2(Ω) (by Theorem 2.1, since ξ is clearly contained in K^\perp). However, the fact that A has property P2(Ω) does not, in general, seem to imply that $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$, although this implication does hold whenever $T^* \Omega \subseteq \Omega$, for all T in $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ (see the proof of Theorem 2.5 below).

If A is a symmetric Segal algebra in the sense of Reiter ([15], Sec. 4) with auxiliary norm $\|\cdot\|_g$, then Corollary 2.3 yields our next result, from which the noncommutative versions of several factorization theorems for (symmetric) Segal algebras (c.f. [10], Thm.'s 1, 2, 3) follow readily.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let G be a locally compact group, let A be a symmetric Segal algebra in $L^1(G)$, and let W be a right Banach $L^1(G)$ -module. Then*

$$\text{Hom}_{L^1(G)}(A, W^*) = \text{Hom}_A(A, W^*) \quad \text{and} \quad A \otimes_{L^1(G)} W = A \circ W = A \otimes_A W.$$

Proof. It is immediate that W is a right Banach A -module and that $\text{Hom}_{L^1(G)}(A, W^*) \subseteq \text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$. On the other hand, since A is dense in $L^1(G)$ and W^* is a left Banach $L^1(G)$ -module, $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*) \subseteq \text{Hom}_{L^1(G)}(A, W^*)$. Thus, $\text{Hom}_{L^1(G)}(A, W^*) = \text{Hom}_A(A, W^*)$ and so $A \otimes_{L^1(G)} W = A \otimes_A W$.

Finally, since A is a symmetric Segal algebra, it follows from [15], p. 34, that A possesses an operator-bounded approximate identity. Hence, A has property P2 by Corollary 2.2, and by Corollary 2.3 $\text{Hom}_A(A, W^*) = (A \circ W)^*$, implying that $A \circ W = A \otimes_A W$. ■

If A is a commutative Banach algebra, then one Ω of particular interest is $\text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$, the closure in A^* of the linear span of the maximal ideal space ΔA of A . Note that Ω separates the points of A if and only if A is semisimple.

THEOREM 2.5. *Let A be a commutative Banach algebra with maximal ideal space ΔA and let $\Omega = \text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$. Then A has property P2(Ω) if and only if $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$.*

Proof. (\Leftarrow). This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1, since $M(A) = M_R(A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ is a vector space homomorphism.

(\Rightarrow). It suffices to show that, if $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ then g_T in K^\perp is also in $(\ker B)^\perp$. Toward this end, fix T in $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$. Now given χ in ΔA , $\chi a = \langle \chi, a \rangle \chi$, for every a in A . Choose e in A so that $\langle \chi, e \rangle = 1$; then $\chi e = \chi$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T^* \chi, a \rangle &= \langle \chi, Ta \rangle = \langle \chi e, Ta \rangle = \langle \chi, e(Ta) \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi, T(ea) \rangle = \langle \chi, T(ae) \rangle = \langle \chi, a(Te) \rangle \\ &= \langle \chi a, Te \rangle = \langle \chi, a \rangle \langle \chi, Te \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for all a in A . Hence, $T^* \chi = \langle \chi, Te \rangle \chi \in \text{sp}(\Delta A)$, and so $T^*(\Delta A) \subseteq \text{sp}(\Delta A)$; whence, by the linearity and continuity of T^* , $T^*(\Omega) \subseteq \Omega$. Next, suppose

that $t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes f_k \in \ker B$; then

$$g_T(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, Ta_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* f_k, a_k \rangle,$$

and since A has P2(Ω) (see Theorem 2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} g_T(t) &= \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^* f_k, a_k u_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k (Tu_\lambda) \rangle \\ &= \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k a_k, Tu_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle B(t), Tu_\lambda \rangle = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $g_T \in (\ker B)^\perp$ as desired. ■

In the setting of Theorem 2.5, it is more convenient to work with ΔA rather than Ω . Indeed, every commutative Banach algebra A possesses a ΔA -approximate identity, directed by the finite subsets of ΔA , and composed of the quasi-product of elements e_i , where $\langle \chi_i, e_i \rangle = 1$, and $\{\chi_i: i = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a finite subset of ΔA . Using standard estimates, it follows that an operator-bounded ΔA -approximate identity is also an operator-bounded Ω -approximate identity, where $\Omega = \text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$. Hence, a commutative Banach algebra possessing an operator-bounded ΔA -approximate identity has property P2(Ω).

III. Norm-bounded Ω -approximate identities. The presence of an operator-bounded right Ω -approximate identity guarantees that A has property P2(Ω) (Corollary 2.2) and, therefore, that $M(A)$ can be represented in $(A \circ \Omega)^*$. In this section, we investigate $(A \circ \Omega)^*$ as a Banach algebra (under Arens product) for certain right Banach A -submodules Ω of A^* when A possesses a norm-bounded right Ω -approximate identity. Arens products on A^{**} are defined in the following way. If $a \in A$, $f \in A^*$, and $\varphi \in A^{**}$, then $f^a, f^\varphi, f_\varphi$ are those elements of A^* defined by: $\langle f^a, b \rangle$

$= \langle f, ba \rangle, b \in A; \langle f^\varphi, a \rangle = \langle fa, \varphi \rangle, a \in A; \langle f_\varphi, a \rangle = \langle f^a, \varphi \rangle, a \in A$. Finally, if $\varphi, \psi \in A^{**}$, then the Arens products $\varphi \circ \psi$ and $\varphi\psi$ are those elements of A^{**} defined by: $\langle f, \varphi \circ \psi \rangle = \langle f_\varphi, \psi \rangle$, and $\langle f, \varphi\psi \rangle = \langle f^\varphi, \psi \rangle, f \in A^*$. The above definitions for Arens products are taken from [14], as are the following definitions. An element f in A^* is *weakly almost periodic* (resp., *almost periodic*) if the set $\{fa: a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1\}$ (equiv., the set $\{fa: a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1\}$) is relatively compact in the weak (resp., norm) topology on A^* . The linear subspace \mathfrak{B} (resp., \mathfrak{A}) of all weakly almost periodic (resp., almost periodic) functionals in A^* is norm-closed.

Throughout this section, unless expressly stated otherwise, Ω will be assumed to be a closed subspace of \mathfrak{B} . Further, in the spirit of [14], it is assumed that Ω is a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} under the two pre-Arens products fa and $f^a, a \in A, f \in \Omega$; this is equivalent ([14], Thm. 3.1) to f_φ and f^φ belonging to Ω , for all $f \in \Omega, \varphi \in A^{**}$. Under these assumptions, Ω^\perp is a two-sided ideal in A^{**} in each of the Arens products, and the two Arens products coincide on $\Omega^* = A^{**}/\Omega^\perp$ ([14], Thm.'s 3.2, 3.4). Thus the product of two elements in Ω^* is obtained by extending them to A^* , computing either Arens product of the extensions in A^{**} , and then restricting to Ω . For notational convenience, the same symbol will sometimes be used for a functional in Ω^* and an extension of it in A^{**} .

If Ω is an arbitrary right Banach A -submodule of A^* , then $\Omega_e = \text{cl}(\text{sp}\{fa: f \in \Omega, a \in A\})$ is called the *essential part* of Ω and again a right Banach A -submodule of A^* ([16], Def. 3.5). If $\Omega = \Omega_e$, then Ω is said to be an *essential right Banach A -module*. The following proposition and corollaries interpret this concept in our setting.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let Ω be an arbitrary right Banach A -submodule of A^* which is also a left A^{**} -module (i.e., $f^\varphi \in \Omega$, all $f \in \Omega, \varphi \in A^{**}$). If A possesses a bounded right Ω -approximate identity $\{u_\lambda\}$, then Ω is essential if and only if $fu_\lambda \rightarrow f$ weakly, for all f in Ω .*

Proof. (\Leftarrow). This is clear, since $\{fu_\lambda\} \in \Omega_e$, and Ω_e is norm (hence, weakly) closed.

(\Rightarrow). First, if $f \in \Omega, a \in A$, then

$$\langle (fa)u_\lambda, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, (au_\lambda)\varphi \rangle = \langle f^\varphi, au_\lambda \rangle \rightarrow \langle f^\varphi, a \rangle = \langle fa, \varphi \rangle,$$

for all $\varphi \in A^{**}$; thus, $(fa)u_\lambda \rightarrow fa$ weakly. It follows immediately that $gu_\lambda \rightarrow g$ weakly, for every $g \in \text{sp}\{fa: f \in \Omega, a \in A\}$. For an arbitrary f in $\Omega = \Omega_e$, we argue as follows. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\varphi \in A^{**}$ be given, and let

$$M = (\sup\{\|u_\lambda\|: \lambda\} + 1)(\|\varphi\| + 1) < +\infty.]$$

There exists a g in $\text{sp}\{fa: f \in \Omega, a \in A\}$ such that $\|f - g\| < \varepsilon/2M$ and, for this g , there exists an index λ_e such that $\lambda > \lambda_e$ implies that $|\langle gu_\lambda -$

$-g, \varphi \rangle| < \varepsilon/2$. For each such λ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle fu_\lambda - f, \varphi \rangle| &\leq |\langle (f-g)u_\lambda - (f-g), \varphi \rangle| + |\langle gu_\lambda - g, \varphi \rangle| \\ &< \|f-g\|(\|u_\lambda\| + 1)\|\varphi\| + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon/2 + \varepsilon/2 = \varepsilon. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.2. *Under the hypotheses of Proposition 3.1, if $\Omega = \Omega_e$, then $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a (bounded) left Ω -approximate identity as well.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let Ω be a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} . Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(1) *A has a bounded right Ω -approximate identity $\{u_\lambda\}$, and Ω is essential.*

(2) *A has a bounded two-sided Ω -approximate identity $\{u_\lambda\}$.*

Proof. ((1) \Rightarrow (2)). Corollary 3.2.

((2) \Rightarrow (1)). If $f \in \Omega$, then, since $\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$, the net $\{fu_\lambda\}$ has a weakly convergent subnet with limit g in Ω_e . However, the fact that $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a left Ω -approximate identity implies that $\{fu_\lambda\}$ converges weak* to f . Thus, $f = g \in \Omega_e$. \blacksquare

Now, $A \circ \Omega = (A \otimes \Omega)/\ker B$ is isometrically isomorphic to the image Z of B in Ω equipped with the quotient norm $|\cdot|$; more precisely,

$$Z = \left\{ h \in \Omega: h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k a_k = B(t), t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes f_k \in A \otimes \Omega \right\}$$

and

$$|h| = \inf \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|a_k\| \|f_k\|: h = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k a_k \in Z \right\},$$

for h in Z . With respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ that Z inherits from Ω (or A^*), $\|h\| \leq |h|$, for all $h \in Z$.

If A possesses a bounded right approximate identity $\{u_\lambda\}$ ($\|u_\lambda\| \leq M$, for all λ) and if $\Omega = \Omega_e^* = \text{cl}(\text{sp}\{fa: a \in A, f \in A^*\})$, then by the Hewitt-Cohen factorization theorem, $\Omega = \{fa: a \in A, f \in \Omega\}$; in particular, Ω is essential. Hence, $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a (bounded) two-sided Ω -approximate identity (Corollary 3.2). Moreover, since $|fau_\lambda - fa| \leq \|f\| \|au_\lambda - a\| \xrightarrow{\lambda} 0$ and $|fau_\lambda| \leq M \|fa\|, f \in \Omega, a \in A$, it follows that $\|fa\| \leq |fa| \leq M \|fa\|$, for all $f \in \Omega, a \in A$; thus, $A \circ \Omega \cong (Z, |\cdot|)$ is topologically isomorphic to Ω . At the same time, it is easily seen [12] that $A \circ A^*$ is topologically isomorphic to Ω . Hence, by Corollaries 2.2 and 2.3, $\text{Hom}_A(A, A^{**}) \cong (A \circ A^*)^*$ and $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$ are topologically isomorphic to each other and to Ω^* .

It is a natural problem then to consider the equivalence of the two norms $\|\cdot\|$ and $|\cdot|$ on Z for other choices of Ω .

THEOREM 3.4. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let Ω be a Banach A -sub-bimodule of \mathfrak{B} . Then the statements:*

(1a) *A possesses a bounded two-sided Ω -approximate identity.*

(1b) *Ω^* has a two-sided identity.*

are equivalent, as are the statements:

(2a) *$Z = \Omega$, as sets.*

(2b) *Ω is essential, and $A \circ \Omega$ and Ω have equivalent norms.*

Moreover, (1) \Rightarrow (2) and, if A has property P2(Ω), then (2) \Rightarrow (1).

Proof. ((1a) \Rightarrow (1b)). Let $\{u_\lambda\}$ be a bounded two-sided Ω -approximate identity for A , and let $\|u_\lambda\| \leq M$, for all λ . Then $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a bounded net in A^{**} and, as such, has a $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -convergent subnet (still written $\{u_\lambda\}$) with $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -limit E in A^{**} , $\|E\| \leq M$. Since $\Omega \subseteq A^*$, $E \in \Omega^*$ and $\|E\|_{\Omega^*} \leq \|E\| \leq M$. Further, if $\varphi \in \Omega^*$, then

$$\langle f, E\varphi \rangle = \langle f^E, E \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle f^E, u_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle fu_\lambda, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle,$$

for all f in Ω (see Proposition 3.1), so $E\varphi = \varphi$ in Ω^* . On the other hand, if $f \in \Omega$, then

$$\langle f^E, a \rangle = \langle fa, E \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle fa, u_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \langle f, au_\lambda \rangle = \langle f, a \rangle,$$

for all a in A ; hence, $f^E = f$, and so

$$\langle f, \varphi E \rangle = \langle f^E, \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle,$$

for all φ in Ω^* .

((1b) \Rightarrow (1a)). Let E be a two-sided identity in Ω^* with $\|E\|_{\Omega^*} = M$, and let E' be a norm-preserving extension of E to A^* . Then there exists a net $\{u_\lambda\}$ in A , $\|u_\lambda\| \leq M$, for all λ , such that E' is the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ -limit of $\{u_\lambda\}$. It follows immediately that $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a two-sided Ω -approximate identity for A .

((2a) \Rightarrow (2b)). Since $Z \subseteq \Omega_e$ always, (2a) implies that Ω is essential. In addition, the map $A \circ \Omega \hookrightarrow \Omega$ is a norm-decreasing vector space isomorphism which is onto by (2a); hence, $A \circ \Omega$ and Ω have equivalent norms by the open mapping theorem.

((2b) \Rightarrow (2a)). Since $A \circ \Omega$ and Ω have equivalent norms, Z is closed in Ω and, since Z is dense in Ω_e , $Z = \Omega$.

((1) \Rightarrow (2)). Let E be an identity for Ω^* , $\|E\| = M$, and let E' be a norm-preserving extension of E to A^* . Now, if $T \in \mathcal{B}(A, \Omega^*)$, then $T^{**}(E') \in \Omega^{***}$ and, by restriction to $\Omega \subseteq \Omega^{**}$, defines a unique element φ_T in Ω^* . The resulting map $T \mapsto \varphi_T$ is linear and continuous with $\|\varphi_T\| \leq \|T^{**}(E')\| \leq M\|T\|$. Moreover, if $\varphi \in \Omega^*$ and R_φ in $\mathcal{B}(A, \Omega^*)$ is defined by $R_\varphi(a) = a\varphi$, then $\varphi_{R_\varphi} = \varphi$. Indeed, it is immediate that $R_\varphi^*(f) = f^E$, for $f \in \Omega$, and so $\langle f, R_\varphi^*(E') \rangle = \langle f, E\varphi \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$, for all f in Ω . Therefore, the map $R: \Omega^* \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(A, \Omega^*)$ defined by $\varphi \mapsto R_\varphi$ is a norm-decreasing

linear isomorphism with $\|R_\varphi\| \leq \|\varphi\| = \|\varphi_{R_\varphi}\| \leq M\|R_\varphi\|$; hence, R imbeds Ω^* in $\mathcal{B}(A, \Omega^*)$ as a closed subspace with an equivalent norm.

Now, since $A \circ \Omega \cong (Z, |\cdot|) \subseteq (\Omega, \|\cdot\|)$ and $\|h\| \leq |h|$, for all $h \in Z$, statement (2) holds if $(A \circ \Omega)^*$ and Ω^* are topologically isomorphic. However, if $\varphi \in \Omega^*$, then it is routine to verify that $g_{R_\varphi} = B^*(\varphi)$ in $(\ker B)^\perp = (A \circ \Omega)^*$. Further, B^* is a norm-decreasing vector space isomorphism (if $B^*(\varphi) = B^*(\psi)$, then $\varphi = \psi$ on Z , and Z is dense in Ω by Corollary 3.3) from Ω^* onto a $\sigma = \sigma((A \circ \Omega)^*, A \circ \Omega)$ -dense subspace $B^*(\Omega^*)$ of $(\ker B)^\perp = (A \circ \Omega)^*$. Thus, if $B^*(\Omega^*)$ is σ -closed, then B^* is onto, and $(A \circ \Omega)^*$ and Ω^* are topologically isomorphic by the open mapping theorem.

By the Krein-Smulian theorem ([18], Cor. to Thm. 6.4, p. 152), $B^*(\Omega^*)$ is σ -closed in $(A \circ \Omega)^*$ if $B^*(\Omega^*) \cap S_1$ is σ -closed, where S_1 is the closed unit ball in $(A \circ \Omega)^*$. But, if $\{\varphi_\gamma\}_\gamma$ is a σ -Cauchy net in $B^*(\Omega^*) \cap S_1$, then, for each $f \in \Omega$, $a \in A$, the net $\{\langle fa, \varphi_\gamma \rangle\}_\gamma$ converges. Since $\{\varphi_\gamma\}_\gamma$ is bounded in Ω^* , with $\|\varphi_\gamma\| \leq M$ for all γ , the formula: $\langle fa, \varphi \rangle = \lim_\gamma \langle fa, \varphi_\gamma \rangle$, $f \in \Omega$, $a \in A$, defines an element φ of Ω^* with $\|\varphi\| \leq M$. It follows immediately that $B^*(\varphi) = \sigma\text{-}\lim_\gamma B^*(\varphi_\gamma)$ in $(A \circ \Omega)^*$ and, since S_1 is σ -closed, $B^*(\varphi) \in B^*(\Omega^*) \cap S_1$. Thus, the proof of ((1) \Rightarrow (2)) is complete.

((2) \Rightarrow (1); A has P2(Ω)). Since A has property P2(Ω), the evaluation map $\xi \in (A \circ \Omega)^*$; hence, by (2), there is a unique element E in Ω^* such that $\xi = B^*(E)$. Because $\langle f^E, a \rangle = \langle fa, E \rangle = \xi(a \circ f) = \langle f, a \rangle$, for all a in A , $f^E = f$, for all f in Ω ; consequently, $\varphi E = \varphi$, for all φ in Ω^* . On the other hand, if $\varphi \in \Omega^*$, then $\langle fa, E\varphi \rangle = \langle f^E, aE \rangle = \langle f^E, a \rangle = \langle fa, \varphi \rangle$, for all $f \in \Omega$, $a \in A$; thus, since Ω is essential, $E\varphi = \varphi$. ■

Theorem 3.4 provides an interpretation of a well-known multiplier representation theorem. If A is a commutative semisimple Banach algebra with a bounded ΔA -approximate identity $\{u_\lambda\}$, then $\{u_\lambda\}$ is a (bounded) approximate identity for $\Omega = \text{cl}(\text{sp}(\Delta A))$. Hence, Theorem 3.4, together with Theorem 2.5, implies that $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ is topologically isomorphic to Ω^* . Further, since A is semi-simple, the canonical map $M(A) \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ is a norm-decreasing vector space isomorphism. Thus, $M(A) \hookrightarrow \Omega^*$ and this map is the continuous algebra isomorphism (Ω^* is a commutative Banach algebra) described by Birtel ([1], Sec. 3). In addition, Theorem 3.4 implies that such a representation of $M(A)$ exists, if and only if A has a bounded ΔA -approximate identity, showing Birtel's assumption is best possible.

If Ω satisfies statement (1) of Theorem 3.4, then A has property P2(Ω) (Corollary 2.2), and by Theorem 3.4, $(\ker B)^\perp = (A \circ \Omega)^*$ is topologically isomorphic to Ω^* . In the case discussed above, $(\ker B)^\perp = K^\perp$; thus, $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$ and a representation of $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ in Ω^* follows. In general, however, it seems that only the containment $(\ker B)^\perp \subseteq K^\perp$ obtains. Consequently, a description of the elements of

$\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ which are represented by elements of Ω^* or, equivalently, of the subspace of $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ corresponding to $(A \circ \Omega)^*$ is in order.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *Let A be a Banach algebra, and let Ω be a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} . If A possesses a bounded right Ω -approximate identity, then an element T in $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ is of the form R_φ , for some φ in Ω^* , if and only if $T^*\Omega \subseteq \Omega$.*

Proof. Since $R_\varphi^*(f) = f^\varphi$, for all $f \in \Omega$, the implication (\Rightarrow) is clear. For the reverse implication (\Leftarrow) , let $\varphi = \varphi_T$, where φ_T is as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.4 $((1) \Rightarrow (2))$. Then for each $a \in A$, $f \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, R_\varphi(a) \rangle &= \langle fa, T^{**}(E') \rangle = \langle T^*(fa), E' \rangle = \langle (T^*f)a, E' \rangle \\ &= \lim_\lambda \langle T^*f, au_\lambda \rangle = \langle T^*f, a \rangle = \langle f, Ta \rangle; \end{aligned}$$

hence, $T = R_\varphi$. ■

If Ω is an arbitrary Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} , then it is not to be expected that $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$, even when A has property P2(Ω). However, for the special cases $\Omega = \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}$, property P2(Ω) does suffice.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let A be a Banach algebra and let $\Omega = \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{A}$. Then A has property P2(Ω) if and only if $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove that $T^*\Omega \subseteq \Omega$, for all $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$. Let $f \in \Omega$ and let $O_L(f) = \{fa : a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} O_L(T^*f) &= \{(T^*f)a : a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1\} = \{T^*(fa) : a \in A, \|a\| \leq 1\} \\ &= T^*(O_L(f)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $f \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$, then $T^*f \in \mathfrak{B}(\mathfrak{A})$ ([14], Thm.'s 2.1, 2.2). ■

COROLLARY 3.7. *If A is a Banach algebra possessing P2(\mathfrak{B}), then $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^*$, for every Banach A -subbimodule Ω of \mathfrak{B} .*

Proof. Let $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$, and let g_T be the corresponding element of K^\perp . It suffices to show that $g_T \in (\ker B)^\perp$. Now, from the previous proposition, $T^*\Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{B}$. Hence, if $t = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \otimes f_k \in \ker B \subseteq A \otimes \Omega$, then, since A has P2(\mathfrak{B}),

$$\begin{aligned} g_T(t) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, Ta_k \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^*f_k, a \rangle \\ &= \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle T^*f_k, a_k u_\lambda \rangle = \lim_\lambda \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_k, a_k(Tu_\lambda) \rangle \\ &= \lim_\lambda \langle B(t), Tu_\lambda \rangle = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $\{u_\lambda\}$ is the net of Theorem 2.1. ■

If $T^*\Omega \subseteq \Omega$, for all $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$, then $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ becomes a Banach algebra under the product

$$\langle f, (ST)a \rangle = \langle S^*f, Ta \rangle, \quad f \in \Omega, a \in A,$$

where $S, T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ (see [6] for more details). Using this fact, we obtain a generalization of ([9], Thm. 3.1) and ([13], Thm. 2.1) for L -algebras (i.e., Banach algebras which are complex L -spaces ([14], [20])). Now, the dual A^* of an L -algebra A is a commutative von Neumann algebra and, in the following theorem, Ω will be a \mathcal{O}^* -subalgebra of A^* ; hence, $\Omega \cong \mathcal{C}_0(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the maximal ideal space of Ω equipped with the usual Gelfand topology, and so $\Omega^* \cong M(\Gamma)$. The Banach space $M(\Gamma)$ is a Banach algebra under a generalized convolution product ([14], Eqn. 5.2). Let $\pi : A \rightarrow M(\Gamma)$ be the canonical map given in ([14], Thm. 5.1).

THEOREM 3.8. *Let A be an L -algebra and let Ω be a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} which is a $*$ -subalgebra of A^* . Suppose that A possesses a right \mathfrak{F} -approximate identity bounded by one, where $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{A}$ if $\Omega = \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{B}$ if $\Omega \neq \mathfrak{A}$.*

Then $\text{Hom}_A(A, M(\Gamma))$ is isometrically algebra anti-isomorphic to closed subalgebra of $M(\Gamma) \cong \Omega^$ via the map $T \mapsto \mu_T$, where T and μ_T satisfy $Ta = \pi^*(a) * \mu_T$, $a \in A$. The map $T \mapsto \mu_T$ is onto $M(\Gamma)$ if and only if Ω is an essential right Banach A -module.*

Proof. Let $\{u_\lambda\}$ be a right \mathfrak{F} -approximate identity, $\|u_\lambda\| \leq 1$ for all λ , and let E be the $\sigma(A^{**}, A^*)$ limit of a subnet (still written $\{u_\lambda\}$) of $\{u_\lambda\}$ ($\|E\| \leq 1$). Then it is routine to show that $fE = f$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$ and so $\langle f, \varphi E \rangle = \langle f, \varphi \rangle$ for all $f \in \mathfrak{F}$, $\varphi \in A^{**}$. Let $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$, and let φ_T be the restriction $T^{**}(E)$ to Ω . Clearly, $\|\varphi_T\| \leq \|T^{**}(E)\| \leq \|T\|$. Moreover, if $f \in \Omega \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$, then, since $T^*\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ by Proposition 3.6,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, a\varphi_T \rangle &= \langle fa, T^{**}(E) \rangle = \langle T^*(fa), E \rangle \\ &= \langle T^*f, aE \rangle = \langle T^*f, a \rangle = \langle f, Ta \rangle, \quad a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Ta = a\varphi_T$ for all $a \in A$, whence $\|T\| \leq \|\varphi_T\|$ and $T = R_{\varphi_T}$; so by Proposition 3.5, $T^*\Omega \subseteq \Omega$ for all $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$. It follows that the isometry $T \mapsto \varphi_T$ from $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ into Ω^* is an algebra anti-isomorphism. For if $S, T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$, then for all $f \in \Omega$, $a \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (ST)^*f, a \rangle &= \langle f, (ST)a \rangle = \langle S^*f, Ta \rangle \\ &= \langle S^*f, a\varphi_T \rangle = \langle (S^*f)^{\varphi_T}, a \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\langle S^*f, a \rangle = \langle f, Sa \rangle = \langle f, a\varphi_S \rangle = \langle f^{\varphi_S}, a \rangle;$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, a\varphi_{ST} \rangle &= \langle fa, (ST)^{**}(E) \rangle = \langle (ST)^*f, aE \rangle \\ &= \langle (ST)^*f, a \rangle = \langle (S^*f)^{\sigma T}, a \rangle = \langle (f^{\sigma S})^{\sigma T}, a \rangle = \langle f, a\varphi_T\varphi_S \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Further, the map $\varphi_T \mapsto \mu_T$ from Ω^* into $M(\Gamma)$ is an isometric algebra isomorphism by ([14], Thm. 5.1) and hence the composite map $T \mapsto \varphi_T \mapsto \mu_T$ is an isometric algebra anti-isomorphism of $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ into $M(\Gamma)$ with $Ta = a\varphi_T \mapsto \pi'(a)*\mu_T$, $a \in A$.

Now, if Ω is an essential right Banach A -module, then the hypotheses imply that $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong (A \circ \Omega)^* \cong \Omega^* \cong M(\Gamma)$ (the fact that the \mathfrak{F} -approximate identity is bounded by one yields $A \circ \Omega \cong \Omega$) as Banach spaces, with the isometry implemented as above (for $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$, the φ_T defined above is the φ_T defined in the proof of Theorem 3.4 ((1) \mapsto (2))). Hence, the mapping $T \mapsto \mu_T$ from $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$ to $M(\Gamma)$ is onto. Conversely, if $\text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*) \cong M(\Gamma) \cong \Omega^*$, then $(A \circ \Omega)^* \cong \Omega^*$, and $Z = \Omega$, as sets (see proof of Theorem 3.4 ((1) \Rightarrow (2))). Thus, Ω is essential by Theorem 3.4. ■

If, in addition to satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.8, Ω separates the points of A , then A is isometrically imbedded in $M(\Gamma)$ via the canonical map $\pi': A \rightarrow M(\Gamma)$ ([14], Thm. 5.1). Hence, the norm-decreasing algebra homomorphism from $M_R(A)$ into $\text{Hom}_A(A, M(\Gamma))$ is an isometry, and so the map $T \mapsto \mu_T$ from $M_R(A)$ into $M(\Gamma)$ is also an isometry. In this manner, Theorem 3.8 is seen to be an extension of ([13], Thm. 2.1, Cor. 2.2) in which A is assumed to possess a right norm approximate identity bounded by one; moreover, $\{u_i\}$ is a left Ω -approximate identity as well if and only if Ω is essential and $\text{Hom}_A(A, M(\Gamma)) \cong M(\Gamma)$.

It should be noted that if it is known that $T^*\Omega \subseteq \Omega$, for all $T \in \text{Hom}_A(A, \Omega^*)$, then the \mathfrak{F} -approximate identity assumption can be weakened to assuming only an Ω -approximate identity.

When A is a convolution measure algebra (CMA), both \mathfrak{B} and \mathcal{A} are *-subalgebras of A^* containing the identity ([20], Lemma 3.2). In addition, if Ω is a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} which is a C^* -subalgebra of \mathfrak{B} containing the identity of A^* , then Γ is a compact semigroup ([14], Cor. 5.3). As an easy consequence of Theorem 3.4, the existence of an identity in Γ is characterized (cf. [20], Sec. 4, Remark and [8], Cor. 3.2).

PROPOSITION 3.9. *Let A be a convolution measure algebra and Ω be a Banach A -subbimodule of \mathfrak{B} which is a C^* -subalgebra of A^* containing the identity of A^* . Then A possesses a two-sided Ω -approximate identity bounded by one if and only if Γ has a two-sided identity.*

Proof. From Theorem 3.4, A has a two-sided Ω -approximate identity bounded by one if and only if $\Omega^* = M(\Gamma)$ has a two-sided identity of norm one, which is equivalent to Γ having an identity ([18], Prop. 1.6.6, [3], Lemma V.8.6). ■

References

- [1] F. T. Birtel, *On the commutative extension of a Banach algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (1962), 815–822.
- [2] C. V. Comisky, *Multipliers of Banach modules*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A 74 (1971), 32–38.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators I*, Pure and Applied Mathematics Series, vol. VII, Interscience, New York 1958.
- [4] B. E. Johnson, *An introduction to the theory of centralizers*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 (1964), 299–320.
- [5] B. E. Johnson and A. M. Sinclair, *Continuity of derivations and a problem of Kaplansky*, Amer. J. Math. 90 (1968), 1067–1073.
- [6] C. A. Jones, *Approximate identities and multipliers of Banach algebras*, Dissertation, Dartmouth College, 1978.
- [7] C. A. Jones and C. D. Lahr, *Weak and norm approximate identities are different*, Pacific J. Math. 72 (1977), 99–104.
- [8] C. D. Lahr, *Approximate identities for convolution measure algebras*, ibid. 47 (1973), 147–159.
- [9] — *Multipliers for certain convolution measure algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 185 (1973), 165–181.
- [10] R. Larsen, *Factorization and multipliers of Segal algebras*, preprint, University of Oslo, 1974.
- [11] L. Mátyé, *The Arens product and multiplier operations*, Studia Math. 28 (1967), 227–234.
- [12] — *On representation of module-homomorphisms (multipliers)*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 8 (1973), 187–192.
- [13] S. A. McKilligan, *On the representation of the multiplier algebras of some Banach algebras*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 6 (1973), 399–402.
- [14] S. A. McKilligan and A. J. White, *Representations of L -algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 25 (1972), 655–674.
- [15] H. Reiter, *L^1 -algebras and Segal algebras*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1971.
- [16] M. A. Rieffel, *Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups*, J. Functional Analysis 1 (1967), 443–491.
- [17] — *Multipliers and tensor products of L^p -spaces of locally compact groups*, Studia Math. 33 (1969), 71–82.
- [18] S. Sakai, *C^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin 1971.
- [19] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin 1971.
- [20] J. L. Taylor, *Noncommutative convolution measure algebras*, Pacific J. Math. 31 (1969), 809–826.
- [21] B. J. Tomiuk, *Multipliers on dual A^* -algebras*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 62 (1977), 259–265.

Received May 10, 1979

(1548)