

W^* -algebras on Banach spaces

by

PETER LEGIŠA (Ljubljana)

Abstract. The linear span of hermitian operators on any complex Banach space X with a hyperorthogonal basis is a type I W^* -algebra. Moreover, the group of all linear isometries on X is a Banach-Lie subgroup of $GL(X)$. A slight generalization of the first statement is also proved.

Introduction. Let X be a complex Banach space and denote by $\mathcal{L}(X)$ the algebra of all linear bounded operators on X . An operator $H \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is called *hermitian* if $\|\exp(itH)\| = 1$ for all real t , or equivalently, if $\|I + itH\| \leq 1 + o(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \rightarrow 0$. Hermitian operators on Banach spaces (and, more generally, hermitian elements of Banach algebras) were defined in hope that Hilbert space (resp. C^* -algebra) techniques could be applied at least in some cases, and this article is based on the same motivation. Denote by $\mathcal{H}(X)$ the real Banach space of all hermitian operators on X . The elements of $i\mathcal{H}(X)$ are called *skew-hermitian* operators. If $H \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ implies $H^2 \in \mathcal{H}(X)$, then $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ is an algebra. In this case we can define an involution on $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ by $(H + iK)^* = H - iK$ ($H, K \in \mathcal{H}(X)$) and in this way $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ becomes a C^* -algebra (Vidav-Palmer; see [1]).

But even if $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ is a C^* -algebra, it need not be a W^* -algebra.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = C[0, 1]$. Then $T \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ if and only if there exists $h_T \in X$ with $h_T = \overline{h_T}$ such that $Tf = h_T f$ for all $f \in X$ ([2], p. 91). Clearly $T \mapsto h_T$ defines a $*$ -isomorphism between the C^* -algebras $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ and the C^* -algebra $C[0, 1]$. But $C[0, 1]$ is not a W^* -algebra.

Our purpose is to present a class of complex Banach spaces for which $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ is a W^* -algebra. This class includes all spaces with a hyperorthogonal basis (i.e., a Schauder basis such that the norm of any vector depends only on the absolute values of its coefficients relative to this basis).

Finite dimensional complex Banach spaces with a hyperorthogonal basis were studied independently by Schneider and Turner [9] and Vidav [10]. Fleming and Jamison pursued this work to obtain nice results on the structure of such spaces in the infinite dimensional case, too ([4],

[5]). A certain generalization was published by Kalton and Wood [7]. Actually we will only make use of the fact that, for a finite dimensional complex Banach space X with a hyperorthogonal basis, $\mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$ is an algebra. (The properties of infinite dimensional spaces with such basis will come out as a side product.) An important tool will be the characterization of W^* -algebras due to Kadison [6]. As a consequence we will be able to prove that for every complex Banach space X with a hyperorthogonal basis the group \mathcal{G} of all isometries in $\text{GL}(X)$ is a Lie subgroup in $\text{GL}(X)$.

First of all we will prove some theorems on isometric representations of type I factors on Banach spaces.

1. Isometric representations of type I factors on Banach spaces.

Throughout this section let X denote a complex Banach space, K a complex Hilbert space and $r: \mathcal{L}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X)$ an isometric algebra homomorphism such that $r(I_K) = I_X$.

1.1. LEMMA. Let $\{P_i; i \in I\}$ be a family of mutually orthogonal equivalent non-zero projections in $\mathcal{L}(K)$. Choose $j \in I$, denote $P_j = P$ and let $V_i \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ be such that $V_i^* V_i = P$ and $V_i V_i^* = P_i$ ($i \neq j$). Let f be a vector of norm 1 in $r(P)X$ and let $f_i = r(V_i)f$ ($i \neq j$), $f_j = f$. If $\{t_i; i \in I\}$ is a set of scalars such that $\sum |t_i|^2$ ($i \in I$) converges, then $\sum t_i f_i$ ($i \in I$) converges.

Denote $Z_f = \{\sum t_i f_i; \sum |t_i|^2 < \infty\}$. Then Z_f is a Hilbert subspace of X (meaning that Z_f is a Hilbert space in the norm it inherits from X) and $\{f_i; i \in I\}$ is a Hilbert basis of X . If $f, g \in r(P)X$ are linearly independent, then $Z_f \cap Z_g = \{0\}$.

Proof. Remember that $P_i V_i P = V_i$ and $V_i^* V_k = 0$ for $i \neq k$. Consequently $r(P_i)f_i = r(P_i V_i)f = f_i$. Let $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that $1 = \sum |t_i|_2$ ($i \in I$). If J is a finite subset of I and $T_J = \sum t_i V_i$ ($i \in J$), $a_J = \sum |t_i|^2$ ($i \in J$), then $T_J^* T_J = a_J P$. It follows that $\sum t_i V_i$ ($i \in I$) converges to some $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and that $T^* T = P$. So T is a partial isometry. Since r is isometric, $r(T) = \sum t_i r(V_i)$ ($i \in I$). Now

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = \|f\| &= \|r(P)f\| = \|r(T^*)r(T)f\| \leq \|r(T^*)\| \|r(T)f\| \\ &= \|T^*\| \|r(T)f\| = \|r(T)f\| \leq \|r(T)\| \|f\| = 1. \end{aligned}$$

But $r(T)f = \sum t_i r(V_i)f = \sum t_i f_i$. It follows that $\sum t_i f_i$ converges and that $\|\sum t_i f_i\| = 1 = \sum |t_i|^2$. So $Z_f = \{\sum t_i f_i; \sum |t_i|^2 < \infty\}$ is isometrically isomorphic to the space ℓ^2 over I .

Let $f, g \in r(P)X$ be linearly independent. If $x \in Z_f \cap Z_g$, then $x = \sum s_i f_i = \sum t_i g_i$ and so $r(P_i)x = s_i f_i = t_i g_i = s_i r(V_i)f = t_i r(V_i)g$. We apply $r(V_i^*)$ on both sides to find $s_i f = t_i g$. Hence $s_i = t_i = 0$ for all i and $x = 0$.

1.2. PROPOSITION. Let $\{P_i; i \in I\}$ be a family of mutually orthogonal one-dimensional projections in $\mathcal{L}(K)$ with $I_K = \sum P_i$ ($i \in I$). Suppose that

$r(P_i)$ is a one-dimensional projection for each $i \in I$ and that $x = \sum r(P_i)x$ ($i \in I$) for all $x \in X$ (unordered convergence). Then X is a Hilbert space, isometrically isomorphic to K , and r is unitarily equivalent to the identity representation.

Proof. Let $e_i \in K$ be such that $P_i e_i = e_i$ and $\|e_i\| = 1$, so that $\{e_i; i \in I\}$ is a Hilbert basis of K . Now let j, f, f_i, V_i, Z_f be as in Lemma 1.1. If $x \in X$, then $r(P_i)x = t_i f_i$ ($t_i \in \mathbb{C}$). It follows that $x = \sum r(P_i)x = \sum t_i f_i$ ($i \in I$). Since Z_f is complete, $x \in Z_f$. So $X = Z_f$ is a Hilbert space and $\{f_i; i \in I\}$ is a Hilbert basis of X .

Let $U: K \rightarrow X$ be the isometric isomorphism defined by $Ue_i = f_i$. Now $r(P_i)Ue_k = r(P_i)f_k = \delta_{ik}f_i = \delta_{ik}Ue_i$, hence $U^{-1}r(P_i)Ue_k = \delta_{ik}e_i$. It follows that $U^{-1}r(P_i)U = P_i$, or equivalently

$$r(P_i) = UP_iU^{-1}.$$

Denote $e_j = e$. We may assume that $V_i e = e_i$ ($i \neq j$). (V_i was any partial isometry giving the equivalence between P and P_i .) Now $V_i((PK)^{\perp}) = 0$, hence $V_i P_k = 0$ for $k \neq j$. It follows that $r(V_i)r(P_k) = r(V_i)UP_kU^{-1} = 0$ and so $(U^{-1}r(V_i)U)P_k = 0$ for $i, k \neq j$. Also $r(V_i)Ue = r(V_i)f = f_i = Ue_i$, hence $U^{-1}r(V_i)Ue = e_i$. This implies that $U^{-1}r(V_i)U = V_i$, or equivalently,

$$r(V_i) = UV_iU^{-1}.$$

In the same fashion we can prove that

$$r(V_i^*) = UV_i^*U^{-1}.$$

Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(K)$. Since $P_i V_i P = V_i$ for all V_i , it follows that $V_k^* A V_i = P V_k^* A V_i P$. Now, P being one-dimensional, we see that for some $t_{ki} \in \mathbb{C}$

$$V_k^* A V_i = t_{ki} P$$

and $r(V_k^*)r(A)r(V_i) = t_{ki}r(P)$. Thus $UV_k^*U^{-1}r(A)UV_iU^{-1} = t_{ki}UPU^{-1}$, hence

$$V_k^*(U^{-1}r(A)U)V_i = t_{ki}P.$$

But $V_k^* A V_i e = V_k^* A e_i = t_{ki}e$. Thus $P_k A e_i = V_k V_k^* A V_i e = t_{ki} V_k e = t_{ki} e_k$. Therefore the numbers $\{t_{ki}; i, k \in I\}$ determine A completely. Consequently $U^{-1}r(A)U = A$ or

$$r(A) = UAU^{-1}$$

and U gives us the desired equivalence.

1.3. THEOREM. Let $\{P_i; i \in I\}$ be a family of mutually orthogonal equivalent one-dimensional projections in $\mathcal{L}(K)$ with $I_K = \sum P_i$ ($i \in I$). Let $I_X = \sum r(P_i)$ ($i \in I$) in the strong operator topology. If $P \in \{P_i; i \in I\}$

and $\{f_c; c \in O\}$ is any algebraic basis of the space $r(P)X$, then there exists a family $\{Z_c; c \in O\}$ of Hilbert subspaces of X , all isometrically isomorphic to K and invariant for r , so that their algebraic direct sum is dense in X . For each c , $r|_{Z_c}$ is unitarily equivalent to the identity representation of the algebra $\mathcal{L}(K)$.

Proof. Once more we use Lemma 1. If $i, k \in I$, then clearly $r(P_i)X$ and $r(P_k)X$ are isometrically isomorphic. First we prove that the spaces Z_f are r -invariant. In fact it suffices to prove that, for any $A \in \mathcal{L}(K)$, $r(A)f_i \in Z_f$ for each $i \in I$. Since for $i \neq j$, $f_i = r(V_i)f$, it is even enough to prove that $x = r(A)f \in Z_f$.

Since $V_i P = V_i$, $r(V_i^*)x = r(PV_i^*)r(A)r(P)f = r(PV_i^*AP)f$; P being one-dimensional, $PV_i^*AP = t_i P$ for some $t_i \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $r(V_i^*)x = t_i r(P)f$. Now $r(P_i)x = r(V_i V_i^*)x = r(V_i)r(V_i^*)x = t_i r(V_i P)f = t_i r(V_i)f = t_i f_i \in Z_f$. Since $x = \sum r(P_i)x$ and Z_f is complete, $x \in Z_f$. We observe that the action of r on Z_f is such as in 1.2. Hence, on Z_f , r is equivalent to the identity representation.

Suppose $\{f_c; c \in O\}$ is a Hamel basis of the space $r(P)X$. Denote $Z_c = Z_{f_c}$. From 1.2 we learn that for $c \neq d$, $Z_c \cap Z_d = \{0\}$. Since for each $i \in I$, $r(V_i)$ maps $r(P)X$ isometrically onto $r(P_i)X$, $\{r(V_i)f_c; c \in O\}$ is a Hamel basis for $r(P_i)X$. Thus if $x \in X$, $r(P_i)x = \sum_c s_{ic} r(V_i)f_c$. But $r(V_i)f_c \in Z_c$, hence $r(P_i)x \in \bigoplus \sum Z_c$.

2. W^* -algebras on certain Banach spaces. Let A be a W^* -algebra. Then there exists a Banach space A_* (called the *predual* of A and uniquely determined up to isometric isomorphism) such that $A_*^* = A$. We will make no difference between A_* and its canonical image in $A^{**} = A^*$. By σ we will denote the weak $*$ -topology on A . Let T denote the set of all normal positive functionals on A . For $f \in T$ define $a_f(x) = f(x^*x)^{1/2}$. Then the seminorms $\{a_f; f \in T\}$ define a locally convex topology on A , called the s -topology. The properties of the σ -topology (also called *weak topology*) and the s -topology are presented in [8].

We will need some facts on hermitian operators. The reference is [1]. If X is a complex Banach space and $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$, then the spatial numerical range of T is denoted by $V(T)$ and defined as

$$V(T) = \{f(Tx); f \in X^*, x \in X, \|f\| = \|x\| = f(x) = 1\}.$$

An operator $H \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ is hermitian if and only if $V(H) \subset \mathbb{R}$. Also $V(H) = \{0\}$ implies $H = 0$. If P is a hermitian projection (i.e., a non-zero hermitian idempotent), then $\|P\| = 1$. We call an operator $A \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ *positive* if $V(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ or, equivalently, if $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Clearly $\mathcal{H}(X)$ and the set of all positive operators are closed in the weak operator topology.

2.1. THEOREM. Let X be a complex Banach space and $\{P_i; i \in I\}$ an increasing net of hermitian projections on X such that $x = \sum P_i x$ ($i \in I$)

for all $x \in X$. For each $i \in I$ let $\mathcal{H}(P_i X) + i\mathcal{H}(P_i X)$ be a finite dimensional algebra. Denote $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$. Then the following are true:

(a) \mathcal{A} is a type I W^* -algebra. On the unit ball of \mathcal{A} the strong operator topology (s.o. topology) and the s -topology coincide.

(b) (Decomposition of \mathcal{A} .) There exists a family $\{G_z; z \in Z\}$ of mutually orthogonal central projections in \mathcal{A} such that $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$ is a type I factor for each z and such that \mathcal{A} is a l^∞ -direct sum of the ideals $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$.

(c) If $G \in \{G_z; z \in Z\}$ and $S, S' \in G \mathcal{A} G$ are minimal projections, then the spaces $SX, S'X$ are isometrically isomorphic. If n is the dimension of the vector space SX , then there exists a family $\{Z_c; c \in O\}$ of Hilbert subspaces of $G \mathcal{A} G$ such that $n = \text{card } O$, $Z_c \cap Z_d = \{0\}$ for $c \neq d$, the algebraic direct sum $\bigoplus \sum Z$ is dense in $G \mathcal{A} G$, and such that each Z_c is invariant for $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$ and $A \mapsto A|_{Z_c}$ is a $*$ -isomorphism of $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$ onto $\mathcal{L}(Z_c)$.

(d) If $V: X \rightarrow X$ is a surjective linear isometry, then V permutes the subspaces $G_z X = X_z$. In case $VX_z = X_z$ we can write $V|_{X_z} = UW$, where U is a unitary element of $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z|_{X_z}$ and W commutes with every element of $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z|_{X_z}$. (Thus W is completely determined by $W|_{QX}$ where Q is any minimal projection in $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$.)

Proof. Our first step will be to prove that \mathcal{A} is an algebra. Let $A \in \mathcal{H}(X)$. We choose arbitrary $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X$ and claim that $\|\exp(itA^2)x\| = \|x\|$. First we must see that $A_i = P_i A P_i|_{P_i X} \in \mathcal{H}(P_i X)$. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_{P_i X} + itA_i\| &= \|P_i + itP_i A P_i\| = \|P_i(I + itA)P_i\| \\ &\leq \|I + itA\| = 1 + o(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{R}, t \rightarrow 0). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(P_i X) + i\mathcal{H}(P_i X)$ is an algebra, it is a O^* -algebra (Vidav–Palmer) and thus $A_i^2 \in \mathcal{H}(P_i X)$. The fact that $\|P_i\| = 1$ for all i implies that $\exp(it(P_i A P_i)^2)$ converges to $\exp(itA^2)$ in the strong operator (s.o.) topology. But

$$\begin{aligned} \|\exp(it(P_i A P_i)^2)x\| &= \|\exp(it(P_i A P_i)^2)P_i x + (I - P_i)x\| \\ &= \|\exp(itA_i^2)P_i x + (I - P_i)x\| \leq \|P_i x\| + \|(I - P_i)x\| \\ &\leq \|x\| + \|x - P_i x\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\|\exp(itA^2)x\| \leq \|x\|$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ which implies that $A^2 \in \mathcal{H}(X)$.

As we remarked in the introduction this suffices to prove that \mathcal{A} is an algebra and thus a O^* -algebra by the Vidav–Palmer theorem. We also observe that $B \mapsto B|_{P_i X}$ defines a $*$ -isomorphism of the O^* -algebra $P_i \mathcal{A} P_i$ into $\mathcal{H}(P_i X) + i\mathcal{H}(P_i X)$. Since the latter algebra is finite dimensional, $P_i \mathcal{A} P_i$ is a finite dimensional W^* -algebra.

SUBLEMMA 1. Every increasing bounded net $\{A(b); b \in B\}$ of positive elements in \mathcal{A} converges in the s.o. topology to its l.u.b.

Proof. We may assume that $A(b) \leq I_X$ for all $b \in B$. Since for $b' \geq b$

$$P_i(A(b') - A(b))P_i = (P_i(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2})(P_i(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2})^*,$$

$\{P_i A(b)P_i; b \in B\}$ is an increasing net bounded by P_i . But this net is contained in the finite dimensional algebra $P_i \mathcal{A} P_i$. Hence its l.u.b. exists and is equal to the weak limit and also to the norm limit.

Since $\|A(b') - A(b)\| \leq \|A(b')\| \leq 1$, it follows that

$$\|(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2}\| \leq 1.$$

Now observe that

$$\|P_i A(b')P_i - P_i A(b)P_i\| = \|(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2}P_i\|^2.$$

The inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|(A(b') - A(b))P_i\| &\leq \|(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2}\| \|(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2}P_i\| \\ &\leq \|(A(b') - A(b))^{1/2}P_i\|, \end{aligned}$$

therefore shows that $\{A(b)P_i; b \in B\}$ is a Cauchy net in \mathcal{A} and as such convergent. Since $\{P_i x; i \in I, x \in X\}$ is dense in X and $\{A(b)P_i x; b \in B\}$ converges, it follows from the Banach-Steinhaus theorem that $\lim A(b)x$ exists for every $x \in X$. Denote this limit by Ax . Then A is a bounded linear operator. Since the cone \mathcal{A}^+ of positive elements of \mathcal{A} is closed in the weak operator topology, $A \in \mathcal{A}^+$. It remains to show that $A = \text{l.u.b. } \{A(b); b \in B\}$.

Clearly $P_i A(b)P_i \rightarrow P_i A P_i$ in the s.o. topology, which implies that

$$P_i A P_i = \text{l.u.b. } \{P_i A(b)P_i; b \in B\}.$$

Thus $P_i(A - A(b))P_i = P_i A P_i - P_i A(b)P_i \in \mathcal{A}^+$ for all $i \in I$. Since the strong limit of the net $\{P_i(A - A(b))P_i; i \in I\}$ is equal to $A - A(b)$, A is an upper bound for $\{A(b); b \in B\}$. If $A(b) \leq D \in \mathcal{A}^+$ for all $b \in B$, then for each $i \in I$, $P_i A(b)P_i \leq P_i D P_i$. It follows that $P_i D P_i \geq P_i A P_i$, and, as before, $D \geq A$. ■

Now we are ready to prove that \mathcal{A} is a W^* -algebra. Denote

$$\Pi(X) = \{(x, f) \in X \times X^*; \|x\| = \|f\| = f(x) = 1\}.$$

For $a = (x, f) \in \Pi(X)$ let $g_a \in \mathcal{A}^*$ be defined by $g_a(A) = f(Ax)$. Since $\{g_a(A); a \in \Pi(X)\} = V(A)$, the spatial numerical range of a , each g_a is a positive linear functional on \mathcal{A} . If $V(A) = \{0\}$, then $A = 0$. Hence $\{g_a; a \in \Pi(X)\}$ is a total family on \mathcal{A} .

Suppose now that $\{A(b); b \in B\}$ is an increasing bounded net of positive elements in \mathcal{A} and A its l.u.b. Let $a = (x, f) \in \Pi(X)$ and $g = g_a$. By Sublemma 1, $Ax = \lim A(b)x$. Hence

$$g(A) = f(Ax) = \lim g(A(b)) = \text{l.u.b. } \{g(A(b))\}.$$

We conclude that on \mathcal{A} every increasing bounded net of positive elements

has the l.u.b. and that there exists a total family of positive linear functionals on \mathcal{A} which "preserve" such suprema. By a theorem of Kadison [6] \mathcal{A} is a W^* -algebra.

As we remarked, $P_i \mathcal{A} P_i$ is a finite dimensional algebra for each $i \in I$. We choose a family $S_{i,1}, \dots, S_{i,n(i)}$ of minimal mutually orthogonal projections in $P_i \mathcal{A} P_i$ such that $S_{i,1} + \dots + S_{i,n(i)} = P_i$. Let $\{S_i; i \in I\}$ be the set of all $S_{i,k}$ ($i \in I, k = 1, \dots, n(i)$). Clearly each S_i is a minimal projection in \mathcal{A} and thus $S_i \mathcal{A} S_i$ is a one-dimensional algebra. Also, $x = \sum S_i x$ ($i \in I$) for all $x \in X$.

By G_i we denote the central support of S_i . If $G \in \{G_i; i \in I\}$, we claim that $G \mathcal{A} G$ is a type I factor. Indeed, let Q be a non-zero central projection in $G \mathcal{A} G$. Then Q is central in \mathcal{A} , too. Now there exists $t \in I$ such that $Q S_t \neq 0$. Since S_t is minimal, $Q S_t = S_t$. But $Q \leq G$, hence $G = G$.

If $G_i G_s \neq 0$, we can find $w \in T$ such that $G_i G_s S_w \neq 0$. As before, $G_s S_w = S_w$ and $G_i S_w = S_w$, hence $G_s = G_i$. Let $\{G_z; z \in Z\}$ be a subset of $\{G_i; i \in I\}$ such that

$$G_z G_y = 0 \quad (y \neq z; y, z \in Z),$$

$$x = \sum G_z x \quad (z \in Z) \quad (x \in X).$$

SUBLEMMA 2. For each $z \in Z$ let $A_z \in G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$. If l.u.b. $\{\|A_z\|; z \in Z\} < \infty$, there exists one and only one $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z = A_z$ for all $z \in Z$. In this case $\|A\| = \text{l.u.b. } \{\|A_z\|; z \in Z\}$ and A is hermitian if and only if all of A_z are hermitian.

Proof. Let $K = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ be a finite subset of Z . We denote $G_{z_i} = G_i$ and $A_{z_i} = A_i$, $A_K = \sum A_i$, $G_K = \sum G_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$). Since $A_i^* A_j = 0$ for $i \neq j$,

$$\|A_K\|^2 = \|A_K^* A_K\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i^* A_i \right\|.$$

Let $M = \text{l.u.b. } \{\|A_z\|; z \in Z\}$ and $Q_K = A_K^* A_K$. For any $m \in N$

$$Q_K^m = \sum_{i=1}^n (A_i^* A_i)^m$$

and therefore $\|Q_K^m\| \leq n M^{2m}$. Hence $\|Q_K^m\| \leq M^{2m} n^{1/m}$ and it follows that $\|A_K\| \leq M$.

For each $z \in Z$ let $A_z = B_z + i C_z$ where B_z, C_z are hermitian elements of $G_z \mathcal{A} G_z$. Moreover, let

$$B_z = B_z^+ - B_z^- \quad (B_z^+, B_z^- \geq 0, B_z^+ + B_z^- = |B_z|).$$

Clearly $\{B_z^+; z \in Z\}$ satisfies the requirements of the Sublemma. For every finite subset K of Z let $B_K^+ = \sum B_z^+ (z \in K)$ as before. If we order

the finite subsets of Z by inclusion, $\{B_K^+\}$ becomes an increasing bounded net. By Sublemma 1 there exists $B^+ \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $B^+ = \text{l.u.b. } B_K^+$. Also, $B^+x = \lim B_K^+x$ ($x \in X$), in particular

$$B^+G_zx = \lim_{K} B_K^+G_zx \quad (x \in X).$$

If $z \in K$, then $B^+G_zx = B_z^+x$. Therefore $B^+G_z = B_z^+$.

Let $A = \lim_{K} A_K$ in the s.o. topology (or equivalently, in the σ -topology). Then $AG_z = A_z$ ($z \in Z$). If $A' \in \mathcal{A}$ and $A'G_z = AG_z$ for all $z \in Z$, then clearly $B = A$. Also the facts that $\|A_K\| \leq M$ for all K and that the unit ball of \mathcal{A} is closed in the σ -topology imply that $\|A\| \leq M$. It follows that $\|A\| = M$. As for the last statement, observe that $\mathcal{H}(X)$ is closed in the s.o. topology. This completes the proof of (b).

We proceed with the proof of the theorem. Choose an arbitrary $G \in \{G_z; z \in Z\}$. Let $S, S' \in \mathcal{GAG}$ be any minimal projections. Since \mathcal{GAG} is a type I factor, S and S' are equivalent, i.e., there exists $V \in \mathcal{GAG}$ such that $V^*V = S$ and $VV^* = S'$. It is easy to see that V maps SX isometrically onto $S'X$.

Let $J = \{j \in T; S_j \leq G\}$. Then $x = \sum S_jx$ ($j \in J$) for all $x \in GX$. We can apply Theorem 1.3 to end the proof of (c).

Now let us return to (a) and prove that on the unit ball of \mathcal{A} the s.o. topology and the s -topology coincide. Let $\{A_\alpha; \alpha \in B\}$ be a bounded net in \mathcal{A} , converging to 0 in the s -topology. Then $\{P_i A_\alpha^* A_\alpha P_i; \alpha \in B\}$ also converges to 0 in the s -topology. Since $P_i A_\alpha^* A_\alpha P_i$ is a finite dimensional algebra, this amounts to the fact that $P_i A_\alpha^* A_\alpha P_i \rightarrow 0$ in the norm topology, or equivalently, that $A_\alpha P_i \rightarrow 0$ in the norm topology. It follows that $A_\alpha x \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in X$.

Conversely, suppose that $\{A_\alpha; \alpha \in B\}$ is a bounded net in \mathcal{A} , converging to 0 in the s.o. topology. Let $f \in \mathcal{A}^*$ be a normal positive functional. We are to show that $f(A_\alpha^* A_\alpha) \rightarrow 0$. First we observe that $f \in \mathcal{A}_*$, the predual of \mathcal{A} . But \mathcal{A}_* is the l^1 -direct sum of the spaces $(G_z \mathcal{AG}_z)_*$. Thus we have a decomposition

$$f = (f_z)_{z \in Z}$$

in the sense that

$$f(A) = \sum f_z(G_z A G_z) \quad (z \in Z)$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$, where $f_z \in (G_z \mathcal{AG}_z)_*$ and $\|f\| = \sum \|f_z\|$ ($z \in Z$). Apparently it suffices to prove that $f_z(G_z A_\alpha^* A_\alpha G_z) \rightarrow 0$ for every $z \in Z$. Let $Z_0 \subset G_z X$ be as in statement (c) of the theorem. Since f_z is a normal positive functional on $G_z \mathcal{AG}_z$, there exist vectors $x_i \in Z_0$ ($i \in N$) with $\sum \|x_i\|^2 < \infty$ and such that

$$f_z(G_z A_\alpha^* A_\alpha G_z) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \|A_\alpha x_i\|^2.$$

Since $A_\alpha x \rightarrow 0$ for every $x \in X$, this concludes the proof of our statement and thus the proof of (a).

We pass to (d). Let $V: X \rightarrow X$ be a surjective linear isometry. If A is a hermitian operator on X , then VAV^{-1} is hermitian, too. Thus $A \mapsto VAV^{-1}$ is a *-automorphism on \mathcal{A} . Let $G \in \{G_z; z \in Z\}$. It is easy to see that $VGV^{-1} = G'$ where $G' \in \{G_z; z \in Z\}$. Thus $V(GX) = G'(VX) = G'X$.

Suppose now that $G' = G$, i.e. $VG = GV$. Then $A \mapsto VAV^{-1}$ is a *-automorphism of \mathcal{GAG} , which is a type I factor. Hence this is an inner automorphism and there exists a unitary operator U in $\mathcal{GAG}|GX$ such that $VAV^{-1} = UAU^{-1}$ for all $A \in \mathcal{GAG}|GX$. Let $W = U^*(V|GX)$. Then $WA = AW$ for all $A \in \mathcal{GAG}|GX$, as required.

If $Q \in \mathcal{GAG}$ is a minimal projection, there exists a family $\{Q_j; j \in J\}$ of mutually orthogonal equivalent projections in \mathcal{GAG} , with $Q_k = Q$ for some $k \in J$, and such that $G = \sum Q_j$ ($j \in J$). By Sublemma 1, $Gx = \sum Q_jx$ for all $x \in GX$. Let $V_j \in \mathcal{GAG}$ be such that $V_j^*V_j = Q$ and $V_jV_j^* = Q_j$ ($j \neq k$). If $x \in Q_jX$, then $Wx = WQ_jx = WV_jV_j^*x = V_jWV_j^*x$. Since $V_j^*x \in QX$, we see that W is completely determined by $W|QX$. ■

Let $\{e_i; i \in N\}$ be a hyperorthogonal basis of a complex Banach space X (i.e., $\{e_i\}$ is a Schauder basis of X and $\|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_i\| = \|\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_i e_i\|$ if $|a_i| = |b_i|$ for all $i \in N$). Define $E_i \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ in the following way: if $x = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i e_i$, then $E_i x = a_i e_i$. Clearly E_i is a hermitian projection on X . The space $Y = (E_1 + \dots + E_n)X$ is a finite dimensional subspace with a hyperorthogonal basis. It follows from [9] or ([10], Theorem 9) that $\mathcal{H}(Y) + i\mathcal{H}(Y)$ is an algebra. Therefore Theorem 2.1 applies. In fact (since E_i are one-dimensional) we can improve the results as follows:

2.2. THEOREM. Let X be a complex Banach space with a hyperorthogonal basis. Denote $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{H}(X) + i\mathcal{H}(X)$. Then

(a) \mathcal{A} is a type I W*-algebra and on the unit ball of \mathcal{A} the s -topology and the strong operator topology coincide.

(b) There exists a family $\{G_z; z \in Z\}$ of mutually orthogonal central projections in \mathcal{A} such that $G_z X = X_z$ is a Hilbert space for each $z \in Z$ and such that $A \mapsto \{G_z A G_z|X_z; z \in Z\}$ is a *-isomorphism of \mathcal{A} onto the direct sum of the algebras $\mathcal{L}(X_z)$.

(c) If $V: X \rightarrow X$ is a surjective linear isometry, then V permutes the subspaces X_z ($z \in Z$). If V preserves X_z , then $V|X_z = U$ where U is a unitary element of $\mathcal{L}(X_z)$.

(d) The group \mathcal{G} of all isometries in $\text{GL}(X)$ is a real Banach-Lie subgroup of $\text{GL}(X)$. The principal component \mathcal{G}_0 of \mathcal{G} is exactly the unitary group of \mathcal{A} .

Proof. (d): Denote by \mathcal{U} the unitary group of \mathcal{A} . Let $V \in \mathcal{G}$ and suppose that $VX_z = X_z$ for all $z \in Z$. Then $V|_{X_z} = V_z$ is a unitary element in $\mathcal{L}(X_z)$. There exists a selfadjoint operator $A_z \in \mathcal{L}(X_z)$ such that $\|A_z\| \leq 2\pi$ and $\exp(iA_z) = V_z$. Now there exists $A \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ such that $A|_{X_z} = A_z$ for all z . Thus $\exp(iA) = V$. But V lies on the one-parameter group $\{\exp(itA); t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$. This implies that \mathcal{U} is connected and thus $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{G}_0$.

Suppose now that $V \in \mathcal{U}$ and $W \in \mathcal{G} - \mathcal{U}$. Then there exist $y, z \in Z$ such that $y \neq z$ and $WX_y = X_z$. If $x \in X_y$, then

$$\|G_y(V-W)x\| = \|G_yVx - G_yG_zWx\| = \|G_yVx\| = \|Vx\| = \|x\|.$$

This implies that $\|V-W\| \geq 1$ and proves that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{G}_0$. If

$$\mathcal{O} = \{A \in \text{GL}(X); \|A - I\| < 1\},$$

then clearly $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{U}$. Let

$$\mathcal{K} = \{A \in \mathcal{L}(X); G_zAG_z|_{X_z} \in \mathcal{H}(X_z) \text{ for all } z \in Z\}.$$

Clearly \mathcal{K} is a real linear subspace of $\mathcal{L}(X)$. Since $\mathcal{H}(X_z)$ are closed subspaces, \mathcal{K} is a (norm-) closed subspace in $\mathcal{L}(X)$. The real subspace $\mathcal{L} = i\mathcal{K}(X)$ is also closed in $\mathcal{L}(X)$ and apparently $\mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{K} \oplus \mathcal{L}$.

Since $(\mathcal{O}, \log, \mathcal{L}(X))$ is a chart for $\text{GL}(X)$ at the identity and $\exp(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{U} \supset \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G}$ as we have seen above,

$$\log|_{\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G}}: \mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \log(\mathcal{O}) \cap \mathcal{L}$$

is a homeomorphism. Hence $\mathcal{O} \cap \mathcal{G}$ is a submanifold in $\text{GL}(X)$. By ([3], p. 101) \mathcal{G} is a Lie subgroup in $\text{GL}(X)$.

The author would like to thank professor Ivan Vidav for much help during the research. Also he would like to acknowledge the financial support by the Boris Kidrič Fund.

References

- [1] F. F. Bonsall and J. Duncan, *Numerical ranges of operators on normed spaces and of elements of normed algebras*, Vol. I, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1971.
- [2] —, — *Numerical ranges*, Vol. II, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1973.
- [3] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap. 2 & 3, Hermann, Paris 1972.
- [4] R. J. Fleming and J. E. Jamison, *Hermitian and adjoint abelian operators on certain Banach spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 52 (1974), 67–85.
- [5] —, — *Isometries on certain Banach spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 9 (1974), 121–127.
- [6] R. V. Kadison, *Operator algebras with a faithful weakly closed representation*, Ann. of Math. 54 (1956), 175–181.
- [7] N. J. Kalton and G. V. Wood, *Orthonormal systems in Banach spaces and their applications*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 79 (1976), 493–510.

- [8] S. Sakai, *O^* -algebras and W^* -algebras*, Springer, Erg. d. Math. 60, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1971.
- [9] H. Schneider and R. E. L. Turner, *Matrices Hermitian for an absolute norm*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 1 (1973), 9–31.
- [10] I. Vidav, *The group of isometries and the structure of a finite dimensional normed space*, Linear Algebra and Appl. 14 (1976), 227–236.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
Jadranska 19
LJUBLJANA, YUGOSLAVIA

Received December 27, 1978

(1494)