

**A new group algebra and lacunary sets in
discrete noncommutative groups ⁽¹⁾**

by

MAREK BOŻEJKO (Wrocław)

Abstract. We define for a discrete group G the $*$ -Banach algebra $l^1[G]$, and the equality $l^1[G] = l^1(G)$ characterizes the amenability of a discrete group G . We consider the lacunary sets with respect to that algebra, which in the amenable case coincide with Sidon sets introduced by A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello [11]. We give the construction of unconditional Sidon sets.

Introduction. For a discrete group G we introduce the new $*$ -Banach algebra under convolution $l^1[G]$, which is equal to the closure of functions with finite support with respect to the norm $\|f\|_U = \|f\|_{VN(G)}$.

Using the results of A. Derighetti and A. Hulanicki concerning the characterization of an amenable group, we see that $l^1[G] = l^1(G)$ if and only if G is an amenable group.

M. Leinert discovered a new class of lacunary infinite sets which could not be Sidon sets. We propose a new class of lacunary sets, U -Sidon sets, which contains Sidon sets and Leinert sets. We also introduce another class of "lacunary" sets (amenable sets) and we show that that class is closed under finite union. When the group G is amenable, every set is an amenable set and every U -Sidon set is a Sidon set.

M. A. Picardello has shown that weak Sidon sets are $A(p)$ sets for every $p < \infty$. Using the same argument (the Rademacher functions), we prove that U -Sidon sets are $A(p)$ sets for every $p < \infty$. We also show that all infinite group G is not U -Sidon set or, which is the same, $l^1[G] \neq C_0^*(G)$.

The remainder of Section 3 shows that the union of a Leinert and a U -Sidon set is again a U -Sidon set. If we take the union of an infinite Sidon set and an infinite Leinert set, then we obtain a U -Sidon set which is not a Sidon set or a Leinert set.

The main result of Section 4 is Theorem 4.1, which gives a sufficient condition for a set to be U -Sidon.

⁽¹⁾ This work was done during the author's stay at the University of British Columbia, Canada.

0. Preliminaries. We establish some notations and definitions. For a discrete group G ,

$$l^p(G) = \left\{ f: \left\{ \sum_{x \in G} |f(x)|^p \right\}^{1/p} < \infty \right\}.$$

For a bounded function $f \in l^\infty(G)$, we denote $|f|(x) = |f(x)|$; $c_0(G)$ will denote the space of all functions on G which tend to zero at infinity. We refer to P. Eymard [9] for the basic definition, properties and theorems of $A(G)$, $B_c(G)$, $B(G)$, $C_c^*(G)$ and $VN(G)$.

We recall only that $C_c^*(G)$ and $VN(G)$ are, respectively, the C^* -algebra and the von Neumann algebra generated by the operators $\varrho(f)$ on $l^2(G)$, where ϱ is the left regular representation and $f \in l^1(G)$.

Since every $T \in VN(G)$ is of the form $\varrho(f)$ where $f = T(\delta_e)$, we shall identify T with $T(\delta_e)$, where, for $x \in G$, δ_x is the Dirac function at x . So for $f \in VN(G)$ the operator norm is

$$\|f\|_{VN(G)} = \sup \{ \|f * g\|_2 : \|g\|_2 = 1, g \in l^2(G) \}$$

where the convolution is defined as

$$(f * g)(x) = \sum_{y \in G} f(xy^{-1})g(y)$$

for every $f, g \in l^2(G)$.

For the function $f \in l^\infty(G)$ we define the involution by $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x^{-1})}$ and the left and right translation by $(xf)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$, $(fx)(y) = f(yx)$. Note that

$$\delta_x * f = xf \quad \text{and} \quad f * \delta_x = f_{x^{-1}}.$$

For $f, g \in l^\infty(G)$, $(f \cdot g)(x) = f(x)g(x)$ for every $x \in G$.

Let $L(G)$ denote the set of all functions on G with finite support.

For a subset X in $l^\infty(G)$ and $E \subset G$, we denote

$$X_E = \{ f \in X : \text{supp } f \subset E \}$$

and

$$X|_E = X(E) = \{ f|_E : f \in X \},$$

where $f|_E$ denote the restriction of f to the set E .

For an $f \in L(G)$ we define the L^{2n} -norm as

$$\|f\|_{L^{2n}} = \{ (f * f^{*n})(e) \}^{1/2n},$$

where the power is a convolution power. Let $L^{2n} = L^{2n}(\hat{G})$ denote the completion of $L(G)$ with respect to this L^{2n} -norm [see [19]].

Let $M(A(G)) = \{ f \in l^\infty(G) : f \cdot A(G) \subset A(G) \}$ with the operator norm. Note that if G is an amenable group, then $M(A(G)) = B(G)$. We always have $B(G) \subset M(A(G))$.

It is not difficult to verify the following lemma:

LEMMA 0.1. Let $f, g \in VN(G)$ and let G be a discrete group; then

- (a) $|f * g| \leq |f| * |g|$;
- (b) If $f \in VN(G)$, then $\|f\|_{VN(G)} \geq \|f\|_{VN(G)}$;
- (c) If $f \geq g \geq 0$, then $\|f\|_{VN} \geq \|g\|_{VN}$.

1. Group algebras of a discrete group G . We start with the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let G be a discrete group; then

$$U_c(G) = \{ f \in VN(G) : |f| \in VN(G) \}$$

with the norm $\|f\|_U = \| |f| \|_{VN}$ is a $*$ -Banach algebra under convolution.

Proof. Using Lemma 0.1, we have for $f, g \in U_c(G)$:

$$(a_1) \quad \|f * g\|_U = \| |f * g| \|_{VN} \leq \| |f| * |g| \|_{VN} \leq \|f\|_U \|g\|_U.$$

We also note of course that $\| \cdot \|_U$ is a norm. To prove that $U_c(G)$ is a Banach space, we observe that if $\{f_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $U_c(G)$, then $\{|f_n|\}$ is Cauchy in $VN(G)$. Hence there exists an $f \in VN(G)$ such that

$$(a_2) \quad \lim_n \| |f| - |f_n| \|_{VN} = 0.$$

So $f \in U_c(G)$ and we see that $\lim_n \|f - f_n\|_U = 0$. Since $|f^*| = |f|^*$, we infer that $U_c(G)$ is a $*$ -Banach algebra.

Remark. For $f \in U_c(G)$ and $x \in G$

$$(a_3) \quad \|xf\|_U = \|fx\|_U = \|f^*\|_U = \|f\|_U.$$

Now we consider another a $*$ -Banach algebra, which is vital for us. Since every function $f \in VN(G)$ has the "Fourier series"

$$(a_4) \quad f \sim \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \delta_x,$$

we can ask when this series is unconditionally convergent in the operator norm $\| \cdot \|_{VN}$, or, which is the same, when the set of Dirac functions $\{\delta_x\}_{x \in G}$ is an unconditional basic sequence.

Let $l^1[G] = \{ f \in VN(G) : \text{the "Fourier series" of } f \sim \sum_{x \in G} f(x) \delta_x \text{ is unconditionally convergent in } \| \cdot \|_{VN} \text{ norm} \}$. For $f \in l^1[G]$ let

$$(a_5) \quad \|f\|_S = \sup \{ \| \chi_F f \|_{VN} : F \in \mathfrak{F} \},$$

where χ_F denotes the characteristic function of the set F and \mathfrak{F} is the class of all finite subsets of G .

Let $\bar{\cdot}$ denote the closure of $L(G)$ with respect to the $\| \cdot \|_U$ norm. Now we can state our main result:

THEOREM 1.2. For every discrete group G , $l^1[G]$ is a $*$ -Banach algebra and the norms $\|\cdot\|_S$ and $\|\cdot\|_U$ are equivalent on $l^1[G]$.

Proof. Since \mathfrak{a} is always a $*$ -Banach algebra with the norm $\|\cdot\|_U$, it suffices to prove that $l^1[G] = \mathfrak{a}$. By the Orlicz–Pettis theorem $l^1[G] \subset \mathfrak{a}$. Let $f \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $\{E_n\}$ be any increasing sequence of finite sets contained in $\text{supp } f$. Since functions of finite support are dense in \mathfrak{a} , it is easy to see that $\{f_{X_{E_n}}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\text{VN}(G)$; therefore $f \in l^1[G]$. Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_S$ is equivalent to the norm

$$(\alpha_6) \quad \|f\|_{S1} = \sup\{\|\varphi f\|_{\text{VN}} : \|\varphi\|_\infty = 1\} = \|f\|_{\text{VN}},$$

the theorem follows.

Let us observe that we have the following inclusions:

$$(\alpha_7) \quad l^1(G) \subseteq l^1[G] \subseteq C_0^*(G)$$

and we have the following natural question: When do these algebras coincide? In Section 3 we shall see that for an infinite discrete group G we have $l^1[G] \neq C_0^*(G)$.

The answer for the first inclusion is in the following.

PROPOSITION 1.3. If G is a discrete group, then $l^1(G) = l^1[G]$ if and only if G is an amenable group.

The proof results from the following characterization of an amenable groups due to A. Derighetti and A. Hulanicki [7], [14].

(*) G is an amenable group if and only if $\|f\|_1 = \|f\|_{\text{VN}}$ for every $f \in L(G)$ and $f \geq 0$.

Thus, since $L(G)$ is dense in $l^1(G)$ and $l^1[G]$, we conclude at once that if G is an amenable group, then $l^1(G) = l^1[G]$.

Conversely, let $l^1(G) = l^1[G]$; then by the Closed Graph Theorem we have

$$(\alpha_8) \quad \|f\|_1 \leq C \|f\|_U$$

for every $f \in L(G)$ for some fixed constant $C > 0$.

But then

$$(\alpha_9) \quad \|f^n\|_1 \leq C \|f^n\|_U,$$

where the power is the convolution power, for arbitrary integer $n > 0$.

Taking a positive f in (α_9) , we obtain

$$(\alpha_{10}) \quad \|f\|_1^n \leq C \|f\|_{\text{VN}}^n.$$

Hence

$$(\alpha_{11}) \quad \|f\|_1 \leq \|f\|_{\text{VN}}$$

for every $f \in L(G)$ and $f \geq 0$. But we always have

$$(\alpha_{12}) \quad \|f\|_1 \geq \|f\|_{\text{VN}} \quad \text{for } f \in l^1(G),$$

so the group G is an amenable by (*).

Remark 1.4. By the same argument as above we infer that G is amenable if and only if $U_c(G) = l^1(G)$.

It will be interesting to know when $l^1[G] = U_c(G)$.

2. Properties of $l^1[G]$. Let $l^\infty[G]$ denote the dual Banach space of $l^1[G]$, and for $f \in l^\infty[G]$ let

$$(\beta_1) \quad \|f\|_* = \sup\{|\langle f, g \rangle| : g \in L(G) \text{ and } \|g\|_U = 1\}.$$

Let $e_0[G]$ denote the closure of $L(G)$ in $l^\infty[G]$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|_*$. Note that, for every $f \in L(G)$,

$$(\beta_2) \quad \|f\|_* \leq \|f\|_{A(G)}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(\beta_3) \quad A(G) \subseteq e_0[G],$$

$$(\beta_4) \quad B_c(G) \subseteq l^\infty[G].$$

First we note the following

PROPOSITION 2.1. For a discrete group G , we have

- (a) $l^\infty[G] \subseteq l^\infty(G)$;
- (b) $l^\infty[G]$ is an invariant under the translation ideal in $l^\infty(G)$;
- (c) $e_0[G]$ is an invariant under the translation ideal in $e_0(G)$ and in $l^\infty(G)$;
- (d) $e_0[G]^* = U_c(G)$.

Proof. If $\varphi \in l^\infty[G]$, then $\varphi(x) = \langle \varphi, \delta_x \rangle$ so $|\varphi(x)| \leq \|\varphi\|_*$ for every $x \in G$.

The proof of (b) follows at once if we recall that for every $\varphi \in l^\infty(G)$ and $f \in l^1[G]$, $\varphi f, x f, f x \in l^1[G]$ for $x \in G$.

Now we show that $U_c(G)$ is a dual space. The inclusion $e_0[G]^* \subseteq U_c(G)$ follows immediately if we show that

$$(\beta_5) \quad e_0[G]^* \subseteq \text{VN}(G).$$

But since $A(G)$ is dense in $e_0[G]$ and (β_2) we get (β_5) . Now let $f \in e_0[G]^*$ and $\varphi \in l^\infty(G)$; then $\varphi f \in e_0[G]^* \subseteq \text{VN}(G)$. This implies that $f \in U_c(G)$.

Conversely, let $f \in U_c(G)$ and $u \in L(G)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \text{supp}(u)$, then

$$|\langle f, u \rangle| = |\langle f_{X_{\mathcal{B}}}, u \rangle| \leq \|f_{X_{\mathcal{B}}}\|_U \|u\|_* \leq \|f\|_U \|u\|_*.$$

Hence $f \in e_0[G]^*$ and the proposition follows.

Remark 2.2. Let \mathcal{B} be a subset of G and let $l^1[G]_{\mathcal{B}}$ denote the quotient Banach space $l^1[G]/J_{\mathcal{B}}$, where $J_{\mathcal{B}} = \{f \in l^1[G] : f = 0 \text{ on } \mathcal{B}\}$ and

$$l^1[G]_{\mathcal{B}} = \{f \in l^1[G] : \text{supp } f \subseteq \mathcal{B}\},$$

then the mapping $l^1[G] \ni \varphi \rightarrow \varphi_{X_{\mathcal{B}}} \in l^1[G]_{\mathcal{B}}$ gives the isomorphism of $l^1[G]_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $l^1[G]_{\mathcal{B}}$ and this space will be denoted by $l^1[\mathcal{B}]$.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let G be a discrete group. G is amenable group if and only if there exists a non-zero continuous functional m on $l^\infty[G]$ invariant under translation of $x \in G$ (i.e. $m(xf) = m(f)$ for $f \in l^\infty[G]$ and $x \in G$).*

Proof. If G is an amenable, then by Proposition 1.3 $l^1(G) = l^1[G]$; hence $l^\infty(G) = l^\infty[G]$ and from the definition of amenability we have the existence of an invariant mean on $l^\infty[G] = l^\infty(G)$.

Conversely, let $m \in l^\infty[G]^*$, $m \neq 0$, m being invariant; then

$$(\beta_6) \quad m\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i f\right) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i\right) m(f).$$

But observe that

$$(\beta_7) \quad \left| m\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i f\right) \right| \leq \|m\| \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i} \right\|_U \|f\|_*$$

So if $\alpha_i \geq 0$ and if $m(f) \neq 0$, we get

$$(\beta_8) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \right| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i} \right\|_1 \leq A \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \delta_{x_i} \right\|_U,$$

where $A = \|m\| \cdot \|f\|_* \cdot |m(f)|^{-1}$. The last inequality implies that $l^1(G) = l^1[G]$ and this, by Proposition 1.3, is equivalent to the amenability of G .

3. Lacunary sets. Let E be a subset of a discrete group G ; then we have the following increasing sequence of Banach spaces:

$$(\gamma_1) \quad l^1(E) \subseteq l^1[G] \subseteq C_0^*(G)_E \subseteq l^2(E).$$

We recall the definitions of special lacunary sets which were introduced by A. Figà-Talamanca and M. A. Picardello [11], [19].

E is called a *Sidon set* if $l^1(E) = C_0^*(G)_E$,

and

E is called a *Leinert set* if $l^2(E) = C_0^*(G)_E$.

Now we would like to propose the following two classes of sets:

E is called an *unconditional Sidon set* or a *U-Sidon set*, if $l^1[E] = C_0^*(G)_E$

and

E is an *amenable set* if $l^1[E] = l^1(E)$.

By Proposition 1.3 we see that if the group G is an amenable, then every set in G is an amenable set and every unconditional Sidon set is a Sidon set.

Now we present the following characterization theorems:

THEOREM 3.1. *Let E be a subset of a discrete group G ; then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) E is an unconditional Sidon set;
- (b) Given $\varphi \in l^\infty(E)$, there is a $\psi \in M(A(G))$ such that $\varphi(x) = \psi(x)$

for $x \in E$ and $\|\psi\|_{M(A(G))} \leq \kappa \|\varphi\|_\infty$ for some positive κ ;

- (c) $l^\infty[E] = B_e(E)$;
- (d) $e_0[E] = A(E)$;
- (e) $l^1[E] = VN(G)_E$;
- (f) There is a constant $\kappa > 0$ such that for every $f \in L(G)_E$

$$\|f\|_U \leq \kappa \|f\|_{VN};$$

(g) For each function $d: E \rightarrow \{1, -1\}$, there exists a $u \in M(A(G))$ such that $\|u\|_{M(A(G))} \leq K$ and

$$\sup_{x \in G} |u(x) - d(x)| \leq 1 - \delta \quad (\delta > 0)$$

where K and δ depend only on E ;

The proof follows by the standard considerations. For amenable groups the proof was given by J. Cygan [5] and M. A. Picardello [19].

THEOREM 3.2. *Let E be a subset of a discrete group G ; then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) E is an amenable set;
- (b) The restriction algebra $A(E)$ has a bounded approximate unit;
- (c) The Leptin constant $\Omega(E)$ is finite, where

$$\Omega(E) = \sup\{\omega(K): K \subseteq E \text{ and } K \text{ is finite}\}$$

and $\omega(K) = \inf\{|K \setminus V|/|V|: V \text{ is finite and } V \neq \emptyset\}$.

- (d) $B(E) = B_e(E)$.
- (e) There is a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\|f\|_1 \leq \alpha \|f\|_U \quad \text{for } f \in L(G)_E.$$

For the case where $E = G$ the above statements are well known (see [12], [17], [18]). The proof of our theorem follows by the adoption of the corresponding theorems in the amenable case, and so we omit it.

We recall that the set E in a discrete group G is a *weak Sidon set* if $l^\infty(E) = B(E)$. Now we can state

PROPOSITION 3.3. (a) *Every weak Sidon set is a U-Sidon set.*

(b) *Every Leinert set is a U-Sidon set.*

Proof. (a) This follows from Theorem 3.1 (b) and the fact that $B(G) \subseteq M(A(G))$.

(b) is a consequence of Theorem 3.1 (f) and the following argument: Let f have a finite support in E ; then, since E is a Leinert set, we obtain for $f \in L(G)_E$

$$(\gamma_2) \quad \|f\|_{VN} \leq C \|f\|_2$$

for a fixed constant $C > 0$. But also $\text{supp } |f| \subseteq E$, and so we get

$$(\gamma_3) \quad \|f\|_U = \| |f| \|_{VN} \leq C \|f\|_2 = C \|f\|_2.$$

Since for every $f \in VN(G)$ we have

$$(\gamma_4) \quad \|f\|_2 \leq \|f\|_{VN},$$

this implies that

$$(\gamma_5) \quad \|f\|_U \leq C \|f\|_{VN(G)};$$

so E is a U -Sidon set.

Now we show some simple facts about the union:

PROPOSITION 3.4. *Let G be a discrete group and $E, F \subseteq G$. Then:*

(a) *If E is a Leinert set and F is a U -Sidon set, then $E \cup F$ is a U -Sidon set;*

(b) *If E and F are amenable sets, then $E \cup F$ is also an amenable set.*

Proof. (a) By [11], if E is a Leinert set, then $l^\infty(E) \subseteq M(A(G))$. Now take a U -Sidon set F ; without loss of generality, we can assume that $E \cap F = \emptyset$. Let

$$(\gamma_6) \quad \varphi \in l^\infty(E \cup F), \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_1 = \chi_E \varphi, \quad \varphi_2 = \chi_F \varphi.$$

Since F is a U -Sidon set, by Theorem 3.1 there exists a $\psi_1 \in M(A(G))$ such that $\psi_1 = \varphi_1$ on F . On the other hand, since E is a Leinert set, we can see that, by the strong property of a Leinert set, if we take $\psi_2 = \varphi_2 - \chi_E \varphi_1$, then $\psi_2 \in M(A(G))$. Therefore $\psi = \psi_1 + \psi_2 \in M(A(G))$, but $\psi = \varphi$ on $E \cup F$.

(b) Now let E and F be amenable sets, and let $f \in l^1[E \cup F]$; then we can decompose $f = f_1 + f_2$, where $f_1 \in l^1[E]$, $f_2 \in l^1[F]$. The above decomposition follows from the well-known fact that, if the series is unconditionally convergent in a Banach space, then every subseries of it is also unconditionally convergent. Since $f_1 \in l^1(E)$ and $f_2 \in l^1(F)$, we have $f \in l^1(E \cup F)$ and this implies that $E \cup F$ is an amenable set.

M.A. Picardello has considered $\Lambda(2n)$ sets, i.e. $L^{2n}(G)_E = l^2(E)$ and he has proved that every weak Sidon set is a $\Lambda(2n)$ set (see [19]). We have slightly improved this theorem.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *If E is a U -Sidon set, then E is a $\Lambda(2n)$ set for every $n < \infty$.*

The proof is similar to the corresponding proof of an analogous theorem of M. A. Picardello [19], and so we omit it.

Now we present an application of the above proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Let G be a discrete group, then $l^1[G] = O_c^*(G)$ if and only if G is a finite group.*

Proof. We use the following theorem of N.W. Rickert [21]:

If a group G is discrete and $\Lambda(G) \subseteq l^2(G)$, then G is a finite group.

In our case G is a U -Sidon set, so by Proposition 3.5 G is a $\Lambda(4)$ set and this implies that $\Lambda(G) = l^2(G)$, and so by the theorem of N. W. Rickert G is a finite group.

When the group G is finite, it is obvious that $l^1[G] = O_c^*(G)$.

Remark 3.7. If G is a free group on two generators a and b , let $E = \{a^n b^n, a^{3n}: n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, then by Proposition 3.4 (a) E is a U -Sidon set but it is not either Sidon set nor a Leinert set.

4. Construction of an unconditional Sidon set. In this section we give a sufficient condition for a set to be a U -Sidon set. We use the pseudo-norms introduced by C. Akemann and Ph. Ostrand [1].

DEFINITION. Let $\text{supp } f = E$ be a finite set, for $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(\delta_1) \quad N_k(f) = \sup \{ \|f * g\|_2 : \text{supp } g \subseteq (E^{-1}E)^k \}.$$

For a set $E \subseteq G$, let $E^{(n)} = E(E^{-1}E)^{2n}$. Note that

$$(\delta_2) \quad N_k(f) \leq N_{k+1}(f) \leq \|f\|_{VN(G)}.$$

Let us first prove

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a fixed countable set in a discrete group G and let $E_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and take $\varepsilon_n \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varepsilon_n < \infty$, then there exists a sequence of integers $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that*

$$(\delta_3) \quad N_{k_n}(f) \geq (1 - \varepsilon_n) \|f\|_{VN}$$

for every function f with support in E_n .

Proof. It was observed by C. Akemann and Ph. Ostrand [1] that for every $g \in L(G)$ we have

$$(\delta_4) \quad \|g\|_{VN} = \lim_k N_k(g).$$

But if we consider the set $P_n = \{f \in L(G)_{E_n} : \|f\|_{VN} = 1\}$, then P_n is a compact set, hence by the classical Dini theorem the limit in formula (δ_4) is uniform on P_n . But this is our lemma.

Now we prove the following simple lemma which gives the construction of a U -Sidon set.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let F be a finite set and let $w \notin F(F^{-1}F)^{2k}$; then, for every function f such that $\text{supp } f \subseteq F$ and an arbitrary complex α , we have*

$$(\delta_5) \quad N_k(f + \alpha \delta_w) \geq N_k(f).$$

Proof. First we observe that if $\text{supp } f \subseteq F$, and $\text{supp } g \subseteq (F^{-1}F)^k$ and $x \notin F(F^{-1}F)^{2k}$, then by the Pythagorean theorem we have

$$(\delta_6) \quad \|(f + a\delta_x) * g\|_2^2 = \|f * g\|_2^2 + \|ag\|_2^2 \geq \|f * g\|_2^2.$$

But

$$(\delta_7) \quad N_k^2(f + a\delta_x) \geq \sup \{\|f * g + a\delta_x * g\|_2^2 : \|g\|_2^2 = 1, g \in L(G), \text{supp } g \subseteq (F^{-1}F)^k\}.$$

Hence by the inequality (δ_6) we get our lemma.

DEFINITION. Let G be a discrete group; a countable set $E = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ in G is said to be *strong dissociate* if

$$(\delta_8) \quad x_m \notin (E_n \setminus \{x_m\})^{(k_n)}$$

for every $0 < m \leq n$ and every $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ($E_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$) and $\{k_n\}$ is a sequence from Lemma 4.1 for $\varepsilon_n = 1/n^2$.

THEOREM 4.3. Every strong dissociate set in a discrete group G is an *U-Sidon set*.

Proof. Since the norm $\|\cdot\|_U$ is equivalent to the norm $\|\cdot\|_S$ on $L(G)$ by Theorem 1.2, we would like to show that for a strong dissociate set E

$$(\delta_9) \quad \|f\|_S \leq C \|f\|_{VN}$$

for every $f \in L(G)_E$.

Let $\text{supp } f = E_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq E$ and let $F = \{x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_r}\}$ be an arbitrary subset of E_n . Then by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 we have

$$(\delta_{10}) \quad \|f\|_{VN} \geq N_{k_n}(f) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_{i_1})(1 - \varepsilon_{i_2}) \dots (1 - \varepsilon_{i_r}) \|X_F f\|_{VN}.$$

Since $\varepsilon_n = 1/n^2$ and $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - \varepsilon_n) = 2$, we get $\|f\|_S \leq 2 \|f\|_{VN(G)}$ for every $f \in L(G)_E$.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to express his thanks to Professor Edmond E. Granirer for stimulating discussions on topics related to this work. I am grateful to the Department of Mathematics of the University of British Columbia for the congenial working atmosphere and warm hospitality.

I also would like to thank the Referee for interesting remarks.

References

- [1] C. A. Akemann and Ph. Ostrand, *Computing norms in group C^* -algebra*, Amer. J. Math. 98(4) (1976), pp. 1015–1047.
- [2] G. F. Bachelis, *On the ideal of unconditionally convergent Fourier series in $L_p(G)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27(2), pp. 309–312.
- [3] M. Bożejko, *The existence of $A(p)$ sets in discrete non-commutative groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 4(8) (1973), pp. 579–582.

- [4] — *On $A(p)$ sets with minimal constant in discrete noncommutative groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 51(2) (1975), pp. 407–412.
- [5] J. Cygan, *Riesz products on non-commutative groups*, Studia Math. 51 (1974), pp. 115–123.
- [6] L. de Michele and P. M. Soardi, *Existence of Sidon sets in discrete FC-groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 56 (1976).
- [7] A. Derighetti, *Sur certaines propriétés des représentations unitaires des groupes localement compacts*, Comm. Math. Helvetici 48(3) (1973), pp. 328–339.
- [8] J. Dixmier, *Les C^* -algebres et leurs représentations*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1964.
- [9] P. Eymard, *L'algebre de Fourier d'un groupe localement compact*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), pp. 181–236.
- [10] — *Initiation à la théorie des groupes moyennables*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 497 (1975), pp. 89–107.
- [11] A. Figà-Talamanca et M. A. Picardello, *Les multiplicateurs de $A(G)$ qui ne sont pas dans $B(G)$* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. A 277 (1973), pp. 117–119.
- [12] F. P. Greenleaf, *Invariant means on topological groups*, Van Nostrand, New York 1969.
- [13] E. Hewitt, K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis, II*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1970.
- [14] A. Hulanicki, *Groups whose regular representations weakly contains all unitary representations*, Studia Math. 24 (1964), pp. 37–59.
- [15] R. A. Kunze, *L_1 Fourier transforms on locally compact unimodular group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 89 (1958), pp. 519–540.
- [16] M. Leinert, *Multiplicatoren gewisser diskreter Gruppen*, Studia Math. 52 (1975), pp. 149–158.
- [17] H. Leptin, *On a certain invariant of a locally compact group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), pp. 870–874.
- [18] — *On locally compact groups with invariant means*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), pp. 483–494.
- [19] M. A. Picardello, *Lacunary sets in discrete non-commutative groups*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. 4(8) (1973), pp. 494–508.
- [20] R. T. Powers, *Simplicity of the C^* -algebra associated with the free group on two generators*, Duke Math. J. 7 (1976).
- [21] N. W. Rickart, *Convolution of L^2 functions*, Colloq. Math. 19 (1968), pp. 301–303.
- [22] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York 1970.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, WROCLAW
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE
WROCLAW UNIVERSITY, WROCLAW

Received January 5, 1978
Revised version February 17, 1979

(1391)