

- [3] B. S. Mitiagin, *Approximative dimension and bases in nuclear spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 16 (1961), pp. 63-132 (in Russian).
- [4] B. S. Mitiagin, G. M. Henkin, *Linear problems of complex analysis*, ibid. 26 (1971), pp. 93-152 (in Russian).
- [5] V. P. Palamodov, *Linear differential operators with constant coefficients*, Nauka, Moskva 1967 (in Russian).
- [6] A. Pietsch, *Nukleare lokalkompakte Räume*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin 1965.
- [7] W. Rudin, E. L. Stout, *Modules over polydisc algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (1969), pp. 327-342.
- [8] J.-P. Serre, *Géométrie algébrique et géométrie analytique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 6 (1955-1956), pp. 1-42.
- [9] V. P. Zaharjuta, *Spaces of analytic functions on algebraic varieties in \mathbb{C}^n* , Izvest. Severo-Kavkazskovo Nauch. Zentra Vish. Skoli, to appear (in Russian).
- [10] K. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J. 1958.
- [11] P. B. Djakov, B. S. Mitiagin, *Dividing by an ideal in the space of entire functions in \mathbb{C}^n and its applications*, Proceeding of the Functional Analysis Symposium in Silivri 1976, to appear.

SOFIA UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
Sofia, Bulgaria
and

THE OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
Columbus, Ohio 43210, USA

Received December 10, 1977

(1381)

Corrigendum and addendum to the paper

"A simple diophantine condition in harmonic analysis"

Studia Math. 52 (1975), pp. 195-202

by

RON C. BLEI

1. Lemma 2.3 in [1] is misstated and should be replaced by:

LEMMA 2.3. Let Γ be a discrete (not necessarily countable) abelian group. Let $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a family of finite and mutually independent sets ($0 \notin F_j$), i.e., $\text{gp}(F_i) \cap \text{gp}(F_j) = \{0\}$ whenever $i \neq j$. Then, $\{F_j\}$ is a sup-norm partition for $\bigcup_j F_j$.

Victimized by the misstatement of Lemma 2.3, the proof of Theorem C contains an error: We can conclude only that the S_N 's are independent in the sense that whenever $\gamma_i \in S_N$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, $N_i \neq N_{i'}$, if $i \neq i'$, then $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^k$ is an independent set. But, we cannot conclude that $\text{gp}(S_N) \cap \text{gp}(S_M) = \{0\}$ whenever $N \neq M$, and therefore we are unable to apply the (correctly stated) Lemma 2.3. We are unable to supply a correct proof of Theorem C. The above error does not affect the main results of the paper.

2. Our diophantine condition is necessarily satisfied by $E = \bigcup F_j$, where $\{F_j\}$ is as in Lemma 2.3: Without loss of generality, we assume that $\bigcup F_j \subset \bigoplus I_j = I$, where $I_j = \text{gp}(F_j)$ and $I_j^\wedge = G_j$. Let D_j , as usual be a dense countable subgroup of G_j , and write $D = \bigoplus D_j$, which is, then, a dense countable subgroup of $\otimes G_j = I^\wedge$. The proof of the following proposition is a routine verification.

PROPOSITION. $\Phi_D(\bigcup F_j)$ accumulates precisely at 0 ($\Phi_D: (\bigoplus I_j \rightarrow \otimes D_j^\wedge)$).

Again, as at the end of [1], we note that the independence condition in the above proposition is sharp in the following sense: A sequence of disjoint and mutually lacunary blocks of integers, $\{I_j\}$, can be constructed so that $\Phi_D(\bigcup I_j)$ is dense in \hat{D} , for all $D \leq I$. To see this, we mimic the construction at the end of [1], and add the requirement that $\|h_j\|_{\mathcal{A}} = 1$. It then follows (see Lemma 1.2 in [2]) that $\bigcup \text{spect } h_j$ is dense in \hat{Z} , the Bohr compactification of \mathbb{Z} . Our claim now follows from the observation that if $E \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is dense in \hat{Z} , then $\overline{\Phi_D(E)} = \hat{D}$, for all $D \leq I$.

Finally, we remark that the examples $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{Z}$ such that $L_{\mathcal{B}}^{\infty} = C_{\mathcal{B}} \cong A_{\mathcal{B}}$ constructed by Rosenthal in [3] follow from our Theorem B in [1]. It is proved in [3], via the notion of sup-norm partitions, that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (19)^n n! \mathcal{E}_{n+1} = \mathcal{E}^1$ and $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n)! \mathcal{E}_{2n} = \mathcal{E}^2$ are R -sets: Let $\mathcal{Y}: \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{(2n)!} \rightarrow [0, 2\pi)$ be the map that carries $a \in \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{Z}_{(2n)!}$ into $\sum \frac{2\pi a(n)}{(2n)!} \pmod{2\pi}$. Set $\mathcal{D} = \bigoplus \mathcal{Z}_{(2n)!} / \ker \mathcal{Y}$. Since, for $N \in \mathcal{Z}$ $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(N)(n)$ is $N \pmod{(2n)!}$, it follows that $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{E}^1)$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{E}^2)$ accumulate only at 0 in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ (= closed subgroup of $\otimes \mathcal{Z}_{(2n)!}$). Now apply Theorem B.

References

- [1] R. C. Blei, *A simple diophantine condition in harmonic analysis*, Studia Math. 52 (1975), pp. 195-202.
- [2] Y. Katznelson, *Sequences of integers dense in the Bohr group*, Proc. Roy. Inst. of Tech. (June 1973), pp. 79-86.
- [3] H. P. Rosenthal, *On trigonometric series associated with weak* closed subspaces of continuous functions*, J. Math. Mech. 17 (1967), pp. 485-490.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT
STORRS, CONNECTICUT

Received December 20, 1977