

## Construction of invariant sets for Anosov diffeomorphisms and hyperbolic attractors

by

FELIKS PRZYTYCKI (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** For a class of hyperbolic attractors, in particular for any hyperbolic toral automorphism, we construct an invariant subset of an arbitrary (reasonable) topological dimension.

**§ 1. Introduction. Statement of results. Main idea.** In the paper we prove the following

**THEOREM A.** *For any Anosov diffeomorphism  $f$  of an  $n$ -dimensional torus  $T^n$  and for any integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and  $k \neq n-1$  there exists a compact  $f$ -invariant subset  $N^k$  of topological dimension  $k$ .*

**THEOREM A'.** *For any Anosov diffeomorphism  $f$  of an  $n$ -dimensional manifold  $M^n$  and for any integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n-2$  there exists a compact  $f$ -invariant subset  $N^k$  such that  $k \leq \dim N^k \leq \min\{k+s-1, k+u-1, [(k+n-1)/2]\}$  where  $s$  and  $u$  denote dimensions of stable and unstable manifolds, respectively.*

Theorem A answers positively the question of S. Smale (see [6]). It is known [6] that dimension  $n-1$  is not allowed for any compact invariant subset. The subsets  $N^k$  should be quite complicated, because it is known, for example, that for any hyperbolic toral automorphism  $f$  without a proper invariant toral subgroup no compact proper invariant subset (except fixed points) can be a connected  $C^1$ -submanifold [8], be connected and locally connected provided  $s = 1$  [6], or contain a  $C^2$ -arc [2] or even a nonconstant differentiable arc provided there is no proper toral subgroup invariant under a power of  $f$  [9].

In order to construct our invariant subsets we improve here the idea of S. G. Hancock [4], [5]. Hancock has constructed invariant subsets of dimension between 1 and  $n-2$  but has not computed dimension exactly.

Theorem A and Theorem A' will be proved by using invariant sets constructed in the more general situation:

**THEOREM B.** Let  $A \subset M^n$  be a compact hyperbolic attractor for a diffeomorphism  $f$  such that property (\*) (which will be defined below) is satisfied. Then for every integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k < u$  there exists a compact  $f$ -invariant subset  $N^k$  of  $A$  of topological dimension  $k$ .

Without assuming property (\*), we have

**THEOREM B'.** Let  $A \subset M^n$  be a compact hyperbolic attractor for a diffeomorphism  $f$ . Then for every integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq u$  there exists a compact  $f$ -invariant subset  $N^k$  of  $A$  such that  $k \leq \dim N^k \leq k + \sup_{p \in A} (\dim(W_{p,loc}^s \cap A))$ .

**COROLLARY.** (a) If  $A \subset M^n$  is a compact expanding hyperbolic attractor, then for every integer  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq u$  there exists a compact  $f$ -invariant subset  $N^k$  of  $A$  of topological dimension  $k$ .

(b) If  $A \subset T^m$  is a standard attractor for a DA-diffeomorphism  $f$  (see Definition 6 below or [11] for the description), then for every  $k$  such that  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$  there exists a compact  $f$ -invariant subset  $N^k$  of  $A$  of topological dimension  $k$ .

(If  $s = 1$ ,  $A$  is an expanding hyperbolic attractor, and then we have the situation from (a). Observe that if  $s > 1$ , then  $A$  is not hyperbolic. I owe the last remark to Anthony Manning.)

**Remark.** (a) In each theorem stated above the sets  $N^k$  can be constructed in such a way that  $N^k \subset N^l$  if  $k \leq l$ .

(b) In each theorem stated above for every reasonable  $k$  infinitely many different  $N^k$ 's can be constructed.

The technique used in this paper allows us to answer the question of Hancock [4], namely the following theorem holds:

**THEOREM C.** Let  $f: T^m \rightarrow T^m$  be an Anosov diffeomorphism. Then for  $k < \min(s, u)$ ,

$$\{g: D^k \rightarrow T^m: \dim(\text{cl } \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f^m(g(D^k))) = k\}$$

is dense in  $O(D^k, T^m)$ .

(Here  $D^k$  denotes a compact  $k$ -dimensional disc, and  $O(D^k, T^m)$  denotes the space of all continuous functions from  $D^k$  into  $T^m$  with topology of uniform convergence.)

In order to define property (\*) we introduce some notation. We shall also explain some terms used above.

**NOTATION AND DEFINITIONS** (see [7], [11], [12]).

1. A compact set  $A \subset M^n$  invariant under a diffeomorphism  $f$  defined on a neighbourhood of  $A$  is called a *hyperbolic set* if there exists a splitting  $TM = E^s \oplus E^u$  into subbundles of dimensions  $s$  and  $u$  respectively, invariant under  $Df$  and such that for some constants  $a, \mu, a > 0, 0 < \mu < 1$ ,

for every integer  $n \geq 0$ :

$$\|Df^n(v)\| \leq a\mu^n \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in E^s,$$

$$\|Df^{-n}(v)\| \leq a\mu^n \|v\| \quad \text{for } v \in E^u.$$

2. If  $A = M^n$ ,  $f$  is called an *Anosov diffeomorphism*.
3. By  $W_x^s, W_x^u$  ( $x \in A$ ) we denote global stable and unstable manifolds, respectively.
4. A hyperbolic set  $A$  is called an *attractor* if there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $A$  such that  $f(\text{cl } U) \subset U$  and  $A = \bigcap_{m \geq 0} f^m(U)$ . Notice that in this situation, for every  $x \in A$ ,  $W_x^u \subset A$ .
5. A hyperbolic attractor is called an *expanding hyperbolic attractor* if  $\dim W_x^u = \dim A$  for every  $x \in A$ .
6. Let  $f: T^m \rightarrow T^m$  be a hyperbolic toral (algebraic) automorphism. A DA-diffeomorphism  $f'$  is a diffeomorphism obtained from  $f$  by a perturbation along  $W^s$  in a small neighbourhood  $U$  of a finite number of periodic orbits such that these orbits become sources. A *standard attractor*  $A$  from Corollary (b) is defined as  $\bigcap_{m \geq 0} f'^m(T^m \setminus U)$ .

7. For any  $x \in A$  we denote by  $k_x: \mathbf{R}^u \rightarrow M$  an immersion such that  $k_x(\mathbf{R}^u) = W_x^u$ ,  $k_x(0) = x$ . We shall use the notion  $k_x^s: \mathbf{R}^s \rightarrow M$  for an embedding such that  $k_x^s(\mathbf{R}^s) = W_{x,loc}^s$ ,  $k_x^s(0) = x$ . (Such  $k_x, k_x^s$  exist, see [7], [11].)

8. A Riemannian metric on  $M$  induces Riemannian metrics on  $W_x^s$  and  $W_x^u$  which induce metrics  $\rho^s$  and  $\rho^u$  along  $W_x^s$  and  $W_x^u$ , respectively.

9.  $W_{x,a}^{s(u)} = \{y \in W_x^{s(u)}: \rho^{s(u)}(x, y) < a\}$ . Sometimes we use the notion of local stable (unstable) manifolds  $W_{x,loc}^{s(u)}$ .

10. We shall use the following definition of *topological dimension* of a separable metric space  $(X, \rho)$  (see [1]):

- (i)  $\dim X = -1$  if and only if  $X = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii)  $\dim X \leq n$  if for every  $x \in X$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U \subset X$  of  $x$  such that  $\dim \text{Fr}(U) \leq n-1$  and  $\text{diam } U \leq \varepsilon$ ;
- (iii)  $\dim X = n$  if  $\dim X \leq n$  and the inequality  $\dim X \leq n-1$  does not hold;
- (iv)  $\dim X = \infty$  if the inequality  $\dim X \leq n$  does not hold for any  $n$ .

11. By the order of a family  $\mathcal{A}$  (ord  $\mathcal{A}$ ) of subsets of  $X$  we mean the largest integer  $n$  such that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $n$  sets with a non-empty intersection, or  $\infty$  if no such number exists. We shall use also the notion of *diameter* of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\text{diam } \mathcal{A} = \sup \{\text{diameter } A: A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

The following fact will be useful, see [1], p. 492 (it is connected with the so called *covering dimension*):

$\dim X \leq n$  iff there exists a sequence  $\mathcal{W}_1, \mathcal{W}_2, \dots$  of open covers of the space  $X$  such that  $\text{ord } \mathcal{W}_i \leq n+1$ ,  $\text{diam } \mathcal{W}_i \leq 1/i$  and  $\mathcal{W}_{i+1}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{W}_i$ .

**DEFINITION OF PROPERTY (\*).** We say that a hyperbolic attractor  $A \subset M$  satisfies property (\*) if for a point  $p \in A$  the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) For every  $x \in A$ ,  $W_x^s$  is dense in  $A$ ;
- (b) There exists a local homeomorphism  $h: A_p \times \mathbf{R}^u \rightarrow A$  (we write  $A_p = (k_p^s)^{-1}(A) \subset \mathbf{R}^s$ ) which satisfies the following conditions:  $h(0, 0) = p$ ,  $h|_{A_p \times \{0\}} = k_p^s|_{A_p}$ ,  $h|\{0\} \times \mathbf{R}^u = k_p^u$ ; for every  $q \in A_p$ ,  $h(\{q\} \times \mathbf{R}^u) = W_{h(q)}^u$ ; for every  $q \in \mathbf{R}^u$ ,  $h(A_p \times \{q\}) \subset W_{h(q)}^s$ ; for every  $l_1 > 0$  there exists an  $l_2 > 0$  such that if  $q \in B(0, l_1) \subset \mathbf{R}^u$ , then  $\text{diam}_{g^s} h(A_p \times \{q\}) < l_2$ .
- (c) There are compact sets  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_J \subset W_{p, \text{loc}}^s$  such that  $\bigcup_{j=1}^J Q_j$  disconnects  $W_{p, \text{loc}}^s$  and, for every  $j = 1, \dots, J$  and  $q \in A$ , the intersection  $W_q^s \cap Q_j$  consists of at most one point.

Conditions (b) and (c) are considered only if  $s > 1$  and  $\dim A > u$ . It would be a good thing to check property (\*) for Anosov diffeomorphisms of inframanifolds (in this paper we check it only for hyperbolic toral automorphisms). This would give the proof that  $\dim N^k = k$  in this situation. It is also interesting to know whether  $N^k$  can be locally maximal<sup>(1)</sup>, whether the equality  $\Omega(f|N^k) = N^k$  can hold or what periodic points in  $N^k$  can occur.

In order to explain the main idea of the proofs in this paper without getting into technical difficulties we give first the proof of Theorem A assuming  $f$  to be a hyperbolic toral automorphism with  $s = \dim W_x^s = 1$ .

**Proof.** Denote by  $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n/\mathbf{Z}^n$  the standard covering projection. We may lift  $f$  to  $\tilde{f}: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $\tilde{f}(0) = 0$ . Denote by  $E^u$  the expanding eigenspace covering  $W_0^u$ . One may assume that the orthogonal projection  $P: E^u \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: x_n = 0\}$  is an isomorphism. Fix  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n-2$ ). One may consider  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  as a union of  $(n-1)$ -dimensional cubes

$$\{x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}): m_1 \leq x_1 \leq m_1+1, \dots, m_{n-1} \leq x_{n-1} \leq m_{n-1}+1; m_i \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

with edges of length 1. Denote by  $\mathcal{X}$  the union of  $(n-k-2)$ -dimensional skeletons of our cubes. Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -dimensional disc embedded by  $g$  into  $E^u$ . There exists a continuous mapping  $g_0: D \rightarrow E^u$  such that  $P \circ g_0$  is  $C \cdot \varepsilon$  close to  $P \circ g$  and  $P \circ g_0(D)$  is disjoint with  $B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon)$ , where

$$B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon) = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}: \varrho(\mathcal{X}, x) < \varepsilon\}$$

<sup>(1)</sup> In the case of a hyperbolic toral automorphism if there is no proper invariant toral subgroup, the answer is negative. It follows from the paper by R. Mañé [3].

and  $C$  is a constant coefficient. Let  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  satisfy the condition  $\alpha \|f^{nq}(v)\| \geq \lambda^\alpha \|v\|$ ,  $v \in E^u$ . There exists a positive integer  $q$  which satisfies the inequality

$$(1) \quad 1 - \|P^{-1}\| \cdot \alpha \cdot C \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/\lambda^{\alpha})^i = \delta > 0.$$

Assume that a continuous mapping  $g_i: D \rightarrow E^u$  such that  $P \circ \tilde{f}^{\alpha i} \circ g_i(D) \cap B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$  is defined. We define  $g_{i+1} = \tilde{f}^{-\alpha(i+1)} \circ P^{-1} \circ h$ , where  $h$  is an arbitrary continuous mapping  $h: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$  such that  $h(D) \cap B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$  and  $h$  is  $C \cdot \varepsilon$  close to  $P \circ \tilde{f}^{\alpha(i+1)} \circ g_i$ .

By (1) there exists a continuous mapping  $G = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} g_i$  and for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots$ ,  $\tilde{f}^{\alpha i} G(D) \cap P^{-1} B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon \cdot \delta) = \emptyset$ . If  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, then  $G(D)$  (and so  $\text{cl} \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \pi(\tilde{f}^{\alpha i} G(D))$ ) is at least  $k$ -dimensional. (This follows easily from the definition of covering dimension.) Denote  $L = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} \tilde{f}^{-\alpha i} P^{-1} B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon \cdot \delta)$ . Observe that

$$(2) \quad \dim(E^u \setminus L) \leq k.$$

Indeed, closed  $(n-1)$ -dimensional cubes in  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ , with edges of length  $2^{n-2}$ , of the form

$$2^{n-2} \cdot \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}): p_i \leq x_i \leq p_i+1, i = 1, \dots, n-1\} + y_{(p_i)}$$

where  $p_i$  are integers and  $y_{(p_i)}$  is the vector  $(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1})$ ,

$$y_i = \sum_{j=i+1}^{n-1} (1/2)^{j-i} p_j, \text{ intersected with } \mathbf{R}^{n-1} \setminus P(L) \text{ and slightly thickened}$$

give us an open cover  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\mathbf{R}^{n-1} \setminus P(L)$  of order  $\leq k+1$  with a nonzero Lebesgue number. Covers  $f^{-\alpha i}(P^{-1} \mathcal{A})$  (for  $i \geq 0$ ) also have orders  $\leq k+1$  and their diameters converge to zero. Now (2) follows from the fact 1.1.

Denote

$$D' = \bigcup_{i \geq 0} f^{\alpha i} \pi G(D).$$

$D' \cap \pi(B(\mathcal{X}, \varepsilon \cdot \delta) \times \mathbf{R}) = \emptyset$ ; hence, for sufficiently small  $\xi > 0$ ,  $\zeta > 0$ ,  $D' \cap \bigcup \{W_{x, \xi}^u: x \in \pi P^{-1} B(\mathcal{X}, \xi)\} = \emptyset$ . This implies  $D' \cap \bigcup \{W_{x, \zeta}^s: x \in \bigcup_{i \geq 0} f^{-\alpha i}(\pi P^{-1} B(\mathcal{X}, \xi))\} = \emptyset$ ;  $\text{cl } D' = D' \cup \omega$ , where

$$\omega = \{x \in T^n: \text{there exists a sequence of points } (x_i), x_i \in f^{\alpha m_i}(\pi G(D)),$$

$$\text{such that } m_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} \infty \text{ and } x_i \xrightarrow{i \rightarrow \infty} x\}.$$

By the construction the set  $\omega$  is disjoint from a neighbourhood of  $\pi(0) \in T^n$ ; hence  $\omega$  is disjoint from  $W_{\pi(0)}^u$ . Since  $W_{\pi(0)}^u$  is dense in  $T^n$ , we know that  $\omega$

is contained locally in the product of 0-dimensional (along  $W^s$ ) and  $k$ -dimensional (along  $W^u$ ) sets. Thus  $\dim \omega \leq k$ . The dimension of a union of a countable family of compact sets in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is equal to the maximum of their dimensions, and so the dimension of  $\text{cl}D'$  (and hence the dimension of the compact  $f$ -invariant set  $\bigcup_{i=0}^{q-1} f^i(\text{cl}D') \cup \bigcup_{i \geq 0} f^{-i}\pi(G(D))$ ) is equal to  $k$ .

**§ 2. Proofs.** If one deals with a mapping of an arbitrary manifold, one should replace the skeleton  $\mathcal{K}$  (see Proof in § 1) connected with the global structure of the torus by one constructed in a local manner. The Topological Lemma which follows will be used in future for the estimation of the topological dimension of  $W^u \setminus \bigcup_{i \geq 0} f^{-i}(\mathcal{K})$ . But first let us introduce some

ADDITIONAL NOTATION.

12. If  $x \in A$  where  $A$  is a hyperbolic attractor, then for  $A \subset W_{x,\text{loc}}^s \cap A$ ,  $B \subset W_{x,\text{loc}}^u \cap A$  we denote

$$A \times_{\text{rect}} B = \{y \in A : W_{y,\text{loc}}^u \cap A \neq \emptyset \ \& \ W_{y,\text{loc}}^s \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$$

and call it a *rectangle product*.

For a small number  $\mathcal{A} > 0$  there exists a continuous strictly increasing function  $L$  defined on the interval  $(0, 2\mathcal{A})$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} L(t) = 0$  and

$$L(t) > \sup \{ \varrho^u(W_{y,\text{loc}}^s \cap W_{q,\text{loc}}^u, W_{z,\text{loc}}^s \cap W_{q,\text{loc}}^u) : y, z \in W_{x,\mathcal{A}}^u, \varrho^u(y, z) \leq t, q \in W_{x,\mathcal{A}}^s, w, y, z, q \in A \}.$$

13. Let  $\mathcal{A} = (A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a cover of a metric space  $(X, \varrho)$ . We denote

$$C_{k,\mathcal{A}} = \inf \{ a : a = \max_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \varrho(x_i, x_j), x_i \in A_{t_i} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \ \& \ t_i \neq t_j \text{ if } i \neq j \}, \quad k > 1.$$

Observe that  $C_{k,\mathcal{A}} > 0$  implies  $\text{ord} \mathcal{A} \leq k-1$ .

14. Let  $Y \subset X$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$  we denote  $B_\varepsilon(Y, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X : \varrho(x, Y) < \varepsilon\}$ ,  $B_\varepsilon(Y, -\varepsilon) = X \setminus B_\varepsilon(X \setminus Y, \varepsilon)$ , if  $Y = \emptyset$  we assume that  $B_\varepsilon(Y, \varepsilon) = \emptyset$ . Sometimes we omit the index  $\varrho$ .

15. We define the property  $P(k)$  (or  $P(k, (d_i)_{i=1}^I, (c_i)_{i=1}^I)$ ) for a metric space  $X$  as follows:

There exist a finite cover of  $X$  by nonempty sets  $U_i, i = 1, \dots, I$  and a family  $\mathcal{K}_i = \{K_{i,t}\}_{t \in T_i}$  of subsets of  $U_i$  covering  $U_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, I$ , such that  $c_i = C_{k+2, \mathcal{K}_i} > 0, d_i \geq \text{diam } \mathcal{K}_i$  and the following conditions hold:

$$(i) \min(c_i, d_i) > \beta^k \sum_{j=i+1}^I d_j;$$

$$(ii) X = \bigcup_{i=1}^I B(U_i, -2(k+1)d_i).$$

16. If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a mapping between metric spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , then we denote

$$\lambda(f) = \sup \{ \lambda : \varrho(f(x), f(y)) \geq \lambda \varrho(x, y) \text{ for every } x, y \in X \}.$$

TOPOLOGICAL LEMMA. Let  $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$  be a sequence of metric spaces which satisfy the properties  $P(k, (d_n)_{i=1}^{I_n}, (c_n)_{i=1}^{I_n})$ , respectively, where the set  $\{d_{n,i} : n \geq 0, 1 \leq i \leq I_n\}$  is upper bounded by  $\gamma$ . Let  $\min(c_n, d_n) \geq \beta \sum_{j=1}^{I_n} d_{n,j}$  for every  $0 \leq n < s$ , where  $\beta > 0$  is a constant number.

If there exists a sequence of continuous mappings  $f_n: X_n \rightarrow X_{n+1}, n = 0, 1, \dots$  such that  $\lambda(f_n) \geq \lambda > 1, \lambda$  is a constant, then  $\dim X_0 \leq k$ .

Proof. One may assume that  $\lambda$  is arbitrarily large using compositions of mappings  $f_i$ . We proceed inductively. If  $k = 0$ , the lemma is trivial.

Assume that the lemma holds for an arbitrary integer  $k \geq 0$ . Take spaces  $(X_n)$  which satisfy the assumptions of the lemma for  $k+1$ . Let  $x$  be an arbitrary point in  $X_0$ . If we show for any  $n \geq 0$  a neighbourhood  $V_n$  of  $f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0(x)$  in  $X_n$  such that  $\dim \text{Fr}(V_n) \leq k$  and diameters of  $V_n$  are bounded, then  $\text{diam}((f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0)^{-1}(V_n)) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$  and  $\dim \text{Fr}((f_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0)^{-1}(V_n)) \leq k$ , which implies  $\dim X_0 \leq k+1$ . But for every  $n_0 \geq 0$  the sequence  $(X_n)_{n \geq n_0}$  satisfies the assumptions of the lemma. Thus it suffices to show only how to find  $V = V_0$  with its diameter upper bounded by a constant depending only on the  $\gamma$ .

We define inductively sets  $A_{n,i} \subset X_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  and  $i = 1, \dots, I_n$ . Every object assumed to exist for  $X_n$  by the property  $P(k+1)$  is additionally indexed by  $n$  as a first index. Fix numbers  $\eta_{n,i} > 0$  such that  $\eta_{n,i} \leq a \cdot d_{n,i}$  for a small constant  $a$  (further it will be clear how small the  $a$  should be)

$$A_{0,1} = \bigcup \{K_{0,1,t} \in \mathcal{K}_{0,1} : t \in T_{0,1} \ \& \ x \in K_{0,1,t}\}.$$

Let  $A_{n,i}$ , for  $i < I_n$  be already defined. Define

$$A_{n,i+1} = \begin{cases} \left( \bigcup \{K_{n,i+1,t} \in \mathcal{K}_{n,i+1} : t \in T_{n,i+1} \ \& \ K_{n,i+1,t} \cap B(A_{n,i}, \eta_{n,i+1}) \neq \emptyset\} \right) \cup B(A_{n,i}, \eta_{n,i+1}) & \text{if } A_{n,i} \neq \emptyset, \\ \bigcup \{K_{n,i+1,t} \in \mathcal{K}_{n,i+1} : t \in T_{n,i+1} \ \& \ x \in K_{n,i+1,t}\} & \text{if } n = 0 \ \& \ A_{n,i} = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

Let  $A_{n,I_n} \subset X_n$  be already defined. Define  $A_{n+1,1} \subset X_{n+1}$  as follows:

$$A_{n+1,1} = \bigcup \{K_{n+1,1,t} \in \mathcal{K}_{n+1,1} : t \in T_{n+1,1} \ \& \ K_{n+1,1,t} \cap B(f_n(A_{n,I_n}), \eta_{n+1,1}) \neq \emptyset\} \cup B(f_n(A_{n,I_n}), \eta_{n+1,1}).$$

Define  $Y_n \subset X_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$  as follows:

$$Y_n = \text{Fr} \bigcup_{m>n} (f_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ f_n)^{-1}(A_{m,I_m}).$$

$\bigcup_{m>0} (f_{m-1} \circ \dots \circ f_0)^{-1}(A_{m,I_m})$  is our set  $V$  and we want to show that  $\dim Y_0 = \dim \text{Fr} V \leq k$ . It is obvious that  $f_n(Y_n) \subset Y_{n+1}$ . Thus we have the sequence  $Y_0 \xrightarrow{f_0|X_0} Y_1 \xrightarrow{f_1|X_1} \dots$ , for which we hope to be able to use the induction hypothesis. Define

$$\mathcal{K}'_{n,i} = \{K_{n,i,t} \cap Y_n : t \in T_{n,i} \text{ \& } K_{n,i,t} \subset B(U_{n,i}, -d_{n,i})\}.$$

Define  $U'_{n,i} = \bigcup \mathcal{K}'_{n,i}$ . (We consider further only nonempty sets  $U'_{n,i}$ , and so formally we ought to reindex them. However, we will not do it in order not to complicate our notation.)

Now we claim that the numbers  $c'_{n,i} = C_{k+2} \mathcal{K}'_{n,i}$  satisfy the inequalities

$$(1) \quad c'_{n,i} \geq c_{n,i}/3.$$

Fix, for the time being, the indexes  $n$  and  $i$ . Suppose on the contrary that there are points  $z_1, \dots, z_{k+2}$  such that  $z_j \in K'_{n,i,t_j} \in \mathcal{K}'_{n,i}$  where  $t_{j_1} \neq t_{j_2}$  if  $j_1 \neq j_2$  and  $\max_{j_1, j_2} \varrho(z_{j_1}, z_{j_2}) < c_{n,i}/3$ . There exists a point  $w_i \in A_{n,i}$  such that

$$\varrho(w_i, z_1) < \min(d_{n,i}, c_{n,i}/2).$$

This follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=i+1}^{I_n} \eta_{n,j} + \sum_{j=i+1}^{I_n} d_{n,j} + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{I_m} (d_{m,j} + \eta_{m,j}) \right) \\ & \leq (a+1) \left( \sum_{j=i+1}^{I_n} d_{n,j} + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{I_m} d_{m,j} \right) \right) < \min(d_{n,i}, c_{n,i}/2). \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds provided the appropriate  $a$  and  $\lambda$  have been set. Thus  $w_i \in K_{n,i,t}$  for an index  $t \in T_{n,i}$ . We know also that this  $K_{n,i,t}$  is disjoint from  $Y_n$  (due to our thickening the set  $A_{n,i}$  by  $\eta_{n,i+1}$  or the set  $f_n(A_{n,i})$  by  $\eta_{n+1,i}$  if  $i = I_n$ ). So we have the points  $w_i, z_1, \dots, z_{k+2}$  belonging to different sets of the family  $\mathcal{K}'_{n,i}$  and

$$\max_{j_1, j_2} (\varrho(z_{j_1}, z_{j_2}), \varrho(w_i, z_{j_1})) \leq c_{n,i}/3 + c_{n,i}/2 < c_{n,i}.$$

This gives a contradiction with the definition of  $c_{n,i}$ .

Set  $d'_{n,i} = d_{n,i}$ . Now it is clear that the inequality from the statement of the lemma for the numbers  $d'_{n,i}$  and  $c'_{n,i}$  holds (with, possibly, another coefficient  $\beta$ ). Also the properties  $P(k, (d'_{n,i}), (c'_{n,i}))$  are obviously satisfied (in view of (1) and the definition of  $\mathcal{K}'_{n,i}$ ). So, by the induction hypothesis,  $\dim Y_0 \leq k$ . This ends our proof.

Proof of Theorem B. Recall that since  $A$  is an attractor the manifolds  $W_x^u$  are contained in  $A$  for  $x \in A$ . Since there exists an  $\omega$ -limit point in  $A$ , there exists also a periodic point  $p \in A$  (this follows from the theorem on  $\varepsilon$ -trajectories [7]). We may assume  $p$  to be fixed because it suffices to find an  $f^q$ -invariant compact set  $Y$  ( $\dim \bigcup_{i=0}^{q-1} f^i(Y) = \dim Y$ ).

Let  $R > r > 0$  be some numbers and  $R < \mathcal{A}$  (see Notation 12). Assume additionally that for every  $y, z \in A$  diameter in the metric  $\varrho^u$  of every component of  $W_y^u \cap ((W_{y,R}^s \cap A) \times_{\text{rect}} W_{y,R}^u)$  is less than  $\mathcal{A}$ . We can find a finite cover of  $A$  by open (in  $A$ ) sets  $(W_{y_i,r}^s \cap A) \times_{\text{rect}} W_{y_i,r}^u$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is sufficiently small, then there are some standard smooth mappings  $h_i: W_{y_i,r}^u \rightarrow E_{y_i}^u$  such that the Lipschitz constants of  $h_i$  and  $h_i^{-1}$  are less than 2. (We consider here the metric  $\varrho^u$  on  $W_{y_i,r}^u$  and a Euclidean metric on  $E_{y_i}^u$ .) Denote  $h_i^\wedge = h_i|W_{y_i,r}^u$ .

Similarly to the manner in the proof in § 1, we construct the cover  $\mathcal{A}_i$  of  $E_{y_i}^u$  for  $i = 1, \dots, I$ . Each  $\mathcal{A}_i$  consists of cubes  $A_{i,\tau}$  with edges of length  $2^{u-1} \cdot \alpha_i$ . (The numbers  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ , which will appear in a moment, will be defined later.) The cubes  $A_{i,\tau}$  are clusters of  $u$ -dimensional cubes with edges of length  $\alpha_i$  of the form

$$(1) \quad \{w = (x_1, \dots, x_u) \in E_{y_i}^u : \alpha_i \cdot m_j \leq x_j \leq \alpha_i(m_j + 1)\},$$

where  $m_j$ 's are integers.

After removing from  $E_{y_i}^u$  the set  $B(S_{\alpha_i}, \beta_i)$  which is the  $(u-k-1)$ -dimensional skeleton of the partition into cubes of form (1) thickened by  $\beta_i$ , we obtain from the cover  $\mathcal{A}_i$  the cover  $\mathcal{A}'_i$  (for every  $i = 1, \dots, I$ ). We have  $\text{diam} \mathcal{A}'_i < \sqrt{u} \cdot \alpha_i \cdot 2^{u-1}$  and  $C_{k+2} \mathcal{A}'_i > H \cdot \beta_i$  for a constant  $H$ .

There exists a smooth immersion  $k_p: \mathbf{R}^u \rightarrow M$  and a diffeomorphism  $g: \mathbf{R}^u \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^u$  such that  $k_p(\mathbf{R}^u) = W_p^u$  and  $k_p \circ g = (f|W_p^u) \circ k_p$  (see Definition 7). Consider  $\mathbf{R}^u$  as a metric space with the metric induced by  $k_p$  from the metric  $\varrho^u$  on  $W_p^u$ . Let  $(K_{t,i})_{t \in T_i}$  be defined as a family of connected components of the sets  $k_p^{-1}((W_{y_i,r}^s \cap A) \times_{\text{rect}} h_i^\wedge^{-1}(A_{i,\tau}))$  for  $i = 1, \dots, I$ . Define  $X \subset \mathbf{R}^u$  as follows:

$$X = \bigcap_{j=0}^{\infty} g^{-j} \left( \mathbf{R}^u \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^I k_p^{-1} \left( (W_{y_i,r}^s \cap A) \times_{\text{rect}} h_i^\wedge^{-1}(B(S_{\alpha_i}, \beta_i)) \right) \right).$$

We check that  $\dim X \leq k$ . We use the Topological Lemma. We set  $X_n = X$  and  $f_n = g|X$  for every  $n \geq 0$ . To check property  $P(k)$  one can put

$$U_i = \bigcup_{t \in T_i} K_{t,i} \cap X, \quad K_{t,i} = K_{t,i} \cap X \quad \text{for } t \in T_i,$$

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \{K_{t,i}\}_{t \in T_i} \quad \text{and} \quad d_i = I_i(\sqrt{u} \cdot 2^u \cdot \alpha_i)$$

(see Notation 12). We have  $c_i = C_{k+2}(\mathcal{K}_i) \geq L^{-1}(H\beta_i/2)$ . Now one can see

that if we have taken the  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  such that

$$(2) \quad I \cdot 3^k \cdot L(\sqrt{u} \cdot 2^u \cdot \alpha_i) \leq \min(L(\sqrt{u} \cdot 2^u \cdot \alpha_{i-1}), L^{-1}(H\beta_{i-1}/2))$$

for  $i = 2, \dots, I$  and  $4(k+1) \cdot L(\sqrt{u} \cdot 2^u \cdot \alpha_i)$  is less than the Lebesgue number of the cover  $(W_{y_j, r}^s \cap A) \times_{\text{rect}} W_{y_j, r}^u$  for  $j=1, \dots, J$ , then property  $P(k)$  is satisfied.

Now we want to define a disc similar to the disc  $G(D)$  in the proof in § 1. But first we ought to show a set from which the disc  $D$  should be removed.

For any  $\beta > 0$  define the set  $Y_i^\beta \subset \mathbf{R}^u$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, I$ , as follows:

$$Y_i^\beta = h_p^{-1}(W_{y_i, R}^s \cap A \times_{\text{rect}} h_i^{-1}(B(S_{\alpha_i} \cap h_i W_{y_i, r}^u, \beta))).$$

Omitting the sets  $Y_i^{\beta_i}$  with images of a disc under forward iterations of  $g$  will allow us to estimate the dimension of a final  $N^k$  along  $W^u$ .

Now we use property (\*) (see the definition of property (\*) in § 1). We want to find a set the omitting of which with a forward  $f$ -orbit of a disc will allow us to estimate the dimension of  $N^k$  along  $W^s$ . Let a point  $p' \in A$  play the role of  $p$  from property (\*). Fix compact subsets  $Q_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$ , of  $W_{p', \text{loc}}^s$  and a mapping  $h: A_{p'} \times \mathbf{R}^u \rightarrow A$  which satisfy the properties described in property (\*). Denote by  $V$  the bounded (interior) component of  $W_{p', \text{loc}}^s \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^J Q_j$ . Using (\*) (a) one can check that there exists  $l_1 > 0$  such that

$$h(((h_{p'}^s)^{-1}(V) \cap A_{p'}) \times B(0, l_1/2)) = A.$$

By (\*) (b) there exists a number  $l_2 > 0$  such that

$$\text{diam}_{g^s} h(A_{p'} \times \{q\}) < l_2$$

for every  $q \in B(0, l_1)$ . One can assume that  $l_2$  is arbitrarily small because one can iterate forward the whole structure by  $f$ . Take a number  $l_3$  such that

$$l_3 > \sup \{ \text{diam}_{g^u} h(B(q, l_1)) : h_{p'}^s(q) \in (V \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^J Q_j) \cap A \}.$$

Let exist such numbers  $\varepsilon_j > 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$  that for every  $q \in \mathbf{R}^u$  the intersection  $h_p(B(q, 2^{J+2} \cdot l_3)) \cap B_{g^s}(Q_j, \varepsilon_j)$  consists of at most one point (by (\*) (c)).

Define the sets  $Z_j^\beta \subset \mathbf{R}^u$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, J$  as follows:

$$Z_j^\beta = h_p^{-1}(\bigcup \{ W_{q, \beta}^u : q \in B_{g^s}(Q_j, \varepsilon_j) \}).$$

Let  $G_{-1}: D^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^u$  be a compact  $k$ -dimensional disc embedded into  $\mathbf{R}^u$ . Assume that a mapping  $G_m: D^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^u$  for an index  $m \geq -1$  is defined. We define  $G_{m+1}$  as follows:  $G_{m+1} = g^{-(m+1)} \circ \mathcal{H}$  where  $\mathcal{H}$  is a perturbed

$g^{m+1} \circ G_m$ . Now we shall describe it more carefully. We start with the mapping  $g^{m+1} \circ G_m$ . For an arbitrary small  $\eta > 0$ , by successive perturbations of sizes not bigger than  $2^J \cdot l_3 + \eta, 2^{J-1} \cdot l_3 + \eta, \dots, 2 \cdot l_3 + \eta, L(2^{(k+1)^{+1}} \times \beta_1) + \eta, \dots, L(2^{(k-1)^{+1}} \cdot \beta_J) + \eta$  we obtain images of the disc disjoint from the sets  $Z_1^{l_3}, \dots, Z_J^{l_3}, Y_1^{2 \cdot l_1}, \dots, Y_J^{2 \cdot l_1}$ , respectively. The above is possible provided

$$(3) \quad \alpha_i > 2^{(k+1)^{+1}} \cdot \beta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}^{-r} > 3 \cdot \alpha_i.$$

$\mathcal{H}$  is defined as a mapping after the last  $((J+I)$ th) perturbation. This requires some explanation. The removing from every  $Y_i^\beta$  can be made successively as well, by using the formula

$$S_{\alpha_i} = \bigcup \{ S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})} : 1 \leq m_t \leq u \text{ for } t = 1, \dots, k+1 \text{ \& } m_{t_1} \neq m_{t_2} \text{ if } t_1 \neq t_2 \} \setminus \text{where}$$

$$S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})} = \bigcup_{(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbf{Z}^u} (\{ w \in \mathbf{R}^u : x_m = 0 \text{ if } m \in \{m_1, \dots, m_{k+1}\} \} + \alpha_i \cdot (n_1, \dots, n_k)).$$

In order to remove a  $k$ -dimensional disc from  $B(S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})}, \beta)$  we smooth it, remove from  $S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})}$  by Thom's Lemma and eventually compose with the orthogonal projection of

$$B(S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})}, \beta) \setminus S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})} \quad \text{onto} \quad \text{Fr} B(S_{\alpha_i}^{(m_1, \dots, m_{k+1})}, \beta).$$

If we assume about  $\beta_i$  additionally that for a number  $\delta > 0$

$$(4) \quad l_3 - 2(I+J)\eta - \sum_{i=1}^I L(2^{(k+1)^{+1}} \cdot \beta_i) > \delta \quad \text{and}$$

$$L^{-1}(\beta_i/2) - I\eta - \sum_{s=i+1}^I L(2^{(k+1)^{+1}} \cdot \beta_s) > L(2\delta),$$

then no step spoils the previous steps. This means at the end that  $\mathcal{H}(D^k)$  is disjoint from the sets

$$Z_1^{l_3 + \delta}, \dots, Z_J^{l_3 + \delta}, Y_1^{2 \cdot l_1 + \delta}, \dots, Y_J^{2 \cdot l_1 + \delta}.$$

The numbers  $\alpha_i, \beta_i$  satisfying the conditions (2), (3), (4), can be found successively (i.e.  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2$ , etc.).

Now if  $\lambda(g)$ , which is the expanding coefficient of  $g$ , is sufficiently large, the sequence  $(G_m)$  converges to a mapping  $G$  and for every  $m \geq 0$   $g^m G(D^k)$  is disjoint from the sets

$$Z_1^{l_3}, \dots, Z_J^{l_3}, Y_1^{2 \cdot l_1}, \dots, Y_J^{2 \cdot l_1}.$$

Now our invariant set  $N^k$  may be defined as follows:

$$N^k = \text{cl} \left( \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} k_p g^m G(D^k) \right).$$

We prove that  $\dim N^k = k$ . First of all observe that

$$N^k = \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f^m(k_p G(D^k)) \cup \omega(k_p G(D^k), f) \cup \{p\}$$

where for any mapping  $F$  of a metric  $\mathcal{X}$  into itself and for any subset  $A \subset \mathcal{X}$  we denote

(5)  $\omega(A, F) = \{x \in \mathcal{X} : \text{there exists a sequence of points}$

$$x_i \in F^{m_i}(A) \text{ such that } m_i \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \infty \ \& \ x_i \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} x\}.$$

Therefore it suffices to study the dimension of our  $f$ -invariant set  $\omega = \omega(k_p G(D^k), f)$ . By the construction we obtain

(6)  $\omega \cap \bigcup \{W_{a, \mathcal{X}^{-1}(R-r)}^s : q \in W_p^u \setminus k_p(X)\} = \emptyset.$

Recall that  $X$  has been defined on p. 207. Function  $\mathcal{L}$  is assumed to have similar properties to those of function  $L$  but with interchanged roles of  $W^s$  and  $W^u$ . Disjointness in (6) follows from the fact that

$$\left( \bigcup_{m \geq 0} k_p g^m G(D^k) \right) \cap \left( \bigcup \{W_{a, \mathcal{X}^{-1}(R-r)}^s : q \in W_p^u \setminus k_p(X)\} \right) = \emptyset.$$

$\omega$  is also disjoint from  $f^m \left( h \left( (k_p^s)^{-1} \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^J Q_j \cap A \right) \times B(0, l_1) \right) \right)$  for every inte-

ger  $m$ . We are especially interested in large positive integers here because the thicknesses of our pipes  $f^m \left( h \left( (k_p^s)^{-1} (V \cap A) \times B(0, l_1) \right) \right)$  converge to 0 if  $m$ 's converge to  $\infty$ . Recall that the thickness of the 0th pipe,  $m = 0$ , is less than  $l_2$ . These pipes, solid in  $A$ , are spread onto the whole  $A$  and their walls are disjoint from  $\omega$ . Thus, locally,  $\omega$  is contained in a rectangle product of 0-dimensional and  $k$ -dimensional sets.

$$\dim N^k = \max \left( (\dim k_p g^m G(D^k))_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}, \dim \omega \right) = k.$$

**Proof of Theorem B'.** This is a subproof of the proof of Theorem B.

Part (a) of the Corollary follows immediately from Theorem B, as well as from Theorem B'.

**Proof of Theorem A.** Since  $f$  is topologically conjugate with a hyperbolic toral automorphism, we may assume  $f$  to be algebraic (see [3], [10]). We ought to check property (\*) (c) ((\*) (a) and (\*) (b) are obviously satisfied). As in the proof in § 1 denote by  $\pi: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n = \mathbf{T}^n$  the standard covering projection. Denote by  $E_0^s$  and  $E_0^u \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ , respectively, the stable, and unstable spaces at  $0 \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . We take  $\pi(0)$  as  $p'$  in property (\*).

Denote

$$V = \{v \in E_0^s : \pi(v) \in \pi(E_0^u) = W_{\pi(0)}^u\}.$$

The set  $V$  is countable and hence there exist  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s \in E_0^s$  such that no  $w_i$  is orthogonal to any element of  $V$ ,  $\text{span}(w_1, \dots, w_s) = E_0^s$ . Denote by  $L_1, \dots, L_s$  the subspaces of  $E_0^s$  orthogonal to  $w_1, \dots, w_s$ , respectively. Now, the subspaces  $L_1, L_1 + cw_1, L_2, L_2 + cw_2, \dots, L_s, L_s + cw_s$  for a small number  $c > 0$  bound a small  $s$ -dimensional parallelepiped. The sets  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{2s}$  may be defined as its walls projected by  $\pi$  into  $\mathbf{T}^n$ .

If  $k < \max(s, n)$ , then the set  $N^k$  may be obtained directly from the proof of Theorem B by using a disc  $D^k$  embedded into  $W^s$  or  $W^u$ . If  $2 \leq k \leq n-2$  we write  $k = k_1 + k_2$  where  $1 \leq k_1 < s$  and  $1 \leq k_2 < u$ . By the proof of Theorem B there exist sets  $D^{k_1}, D^{k_2} \subset \mathbf{T}^n$  which are continuous images of  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ -dimensional discs, respectively,

$$D^{k_1} \subset W_{\pi(0), \text{loc}}^s, \quad D^{k_2} \subset W_{\pi(0), \text{loc}}^u,$$

$$\dim \omega(D^{k_1}, f^{-1}) \leq \dim D^{k_1} = k_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \dim \omega(D^{k_2}, f) \leq \dim D^{k_2} = k_2$$

(see (5) in the proof of Theorem B for the definition of  $\omega$ ). Define

(1) 
$$N^{(k_1, k_2)} = \text{cl} \left( \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f^m(D^{k_1} \times_{\text{rect}} D^{k_2}) \right).$$

We have  $\dim N^{(k_1, k_2)} = k_1 + k_2 = k$  because

$$N^{(k_1, k_2)} = \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f^m(D^{k_1} \times_{\text{rect}} D^{k_2}) \cup \omega(D^{k_1}, f^{-1}) \cup \omega(D^{k_2}, f).$$

**Proof of part (b) of the Corollary.** For any hyperbolic toral automorphism  $f$  and its periodic orbits  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m$  we can find the sets  $N^k$  in Theorem A in such a way that they are disjoint from  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \gamma_j$ . The mapping  $f|N^k$  does not change after a perturbation of  $f$  in a sufficiently small neighbourhood of  $\bigcup_{j=1}^m \gamma_j$ . So after changing  $f$  into a DA-diffeomorphism  $g$  the same  $N^k$  are  $g$ -invariant sets.

**Proof of Theorem A'.** Proceeding as in the proof of Theorem B (but not using property (\*)), one obtains a set  $D^k \subset W_p^u$ , where  $p \in \text{Per} f$ , which is a continuous image of a  $k$ -dimensional disc,  $\dim D^k = k$  and  $\dim \omega(D^k, f) \leq k + s$ .

(a) If  $\Omega(f) = M^n$ , then there exists a number  $a > 0$  such that for every  $q \in M^n$   $W_{q, a}^s \cap B(x, r) \neq \emptyset$ , where  $B(x, 2r)$  is a ball in  $M^n$  disjoint from  $\omega(D^k, f)$ . So  $\omega(D^k, f)$ , by its  $f$ -invariance, omits a dense subset of  $W_{q, \text{loc}}^s$  thickened by  $r$  in the direction of  $W^u$ , for every  $q \in M^n$ . So  $\omega(D^k, f)$  is contained locally in a rectangle product of  $s-1$  and  $k$ -dimensional sets.

(We used here the fact that  $\dim(W_{g, \text{loc}}^s \setminus \{\text{a dense subset}\}) \leq s-1$ . Observe that for attractors the analogous inequality  $\dim((W_{g, \text{loc}}^s \cap A) \setminus \{\text{a dense subset}\}) \leq \dim(W_{g, \text{loc}}^s \cap A) - 1$  can be false.) Thus we have the inequality

$$(1) \quad \dim \omega(D^k, f) \leq k + s - 1.$$

(b) If  $\Omega(f) \neq M^n$  (it is an open problem whether that is possible), in order to obtain inequality (1) it is necessary to assume something additional about the construction of  $D^k$ . It suffices to know that, for each basic set  $\Omega(f)_i$  which is a repeller,  $\omega(D^k, f)$  is disjoint from an open non-empty subset of  $\Omega(f)_i$ . However, the necessity of omitting additionally a finite number of small open sets with forward  $f$ -images of a disc in the proof of Theorem B does not spoil this proof.

Let  $u \geq s$ . For  $k < u$  we have constructed the sets  $N^k$  such that  $k \leq \dim N^k \leq k + s - 1$ . If  $k > u - s$ , then one can set as  $N^k$  the sets  $N^{(k_1, k_2)}$  which were constructed in the proof of Theorem A, where

$$k_1 = \frac{k - (u - s)}{2}, \quad k_2 = \frac{k + u - s}{2} \quad \text{if } k + n \text{ is an even number}$$

or

$$k_1 = \left[ \frac{k - (u - s)}{2} \right] + 1, \quad k_2 = \left[ \frac{k + u - s}{2} \right] \quad \text{if } k + n \text{ is odd.}$$

Then

$$k \leq \dim N^k \leq \max(k_1 + k_2, k_1 + u - 1, k_2 + s - 1) = \left[ \frac{k + n - 1}{2} \right].$$

Proof of statement (a) in the Remark. In the proof of Theorem B one can start with a  $(u-1)$ -dimensional cube as a disc  $D^{u-1}$  and choose a sequence of its  $k$ -dimensional walls ( $k=1, \dots, u-1$ ) such that  $D^1 \subset D^2 \subset \dots \subset D^{u-1}$ . Now, each perturbation should be done as a composition of removing successively the discs  $D^1, \dots, D^{u-1}$  from skeletons of dimensions  $u-2, \dots, 0$ , respectively (one must remember to prolong mappings defined on  $D^k$  to the whole cube  $D^{u-1}$  at each step). In order to construct  $N^k$  for  $k \geq u$  one can use the sets  $N^{(k_1, k_2)}$ .

The proof of statement (b) in the Remark is straightforward and will be omitted.

Proof of Theorem C. Assume that  $f$  is algebraic. We start with an arbitrary mapping  $g: D^k \rightarrow T^n$  and lift it to  $\tilde{g}: D^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . Let  $P^s, P^u$  denote the projections of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  onto  $E_0^s, E_0^u$  along  $E_0^u, E_0^s$ , respectively. We perturb  $P^s \circ \tilde{g}$  and  $P^u \circ \tilde{g}$  to such mappings which are embeddings into  $E_0^s, E_0^u$  on a smooth subdisc of  $D^k$  and after that to mappings  $g_s: D^k \rightarrow E_0^s$  and

$g_u: D^k \rightarrow E_0^u$  such that  $\dim(\text{cl } \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f^m \pi g_s D^k) = k$  and  $\dim(\text{cl } \bigcup_{m=-\infty}^{+\infty} f^m \pi g_u D^k) = k$ . Now  $\pi$  composed with the diagonal product of  $g_s$  and  $g_u$ ,  $g_s \Delta g_u: D^k \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  (where  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is considered as a Cartesian product of  $E_0^s$  and  $E_0^u$ ) gives us the required perturbation.

Added in proof. Now I am able to prove Theorem B for Anosov diffeomorphisms assuming property (\*) without the item (c). This gives Theorem A for inframannifolds.

#### References

- [1] R. Engelking, *General topology*, PWN, 1977.
- [2] J. Franks, *Invariant sets of hyperbolic toral automorphisms*, Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), pp. 1089-1095.
- [3] — *Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (1969), pp. 117-124.
- [4] S. G. Hancock, *Construction of invariant sets for Anosov diffeomorphisms*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 18 (1978), pp. 339-348.
- [5] — *Orbits of paths under hyperbolic toral automorphisms*, Asterisque 49 (1977), pp. 93-96.
- [6] M. W. Hirsch, *On invariant subsets of hyperbolic sets*, in: *Essays on topology and related topics*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [7] A. B. Katok, *Dynamical systems with a hyperbolic structure*, IX Mathematical Summer School, Kiev 1972 (in Russian).
- [8] R. Mañé, *Invariant sets of Anosov diffeomorphisms*, to appear.
- [9] — *Orbits of rectifiable paths under hyperbolic toral automorphisms*, to appear.
- [10] A. Manning, *There are no new Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori*, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), pp. 422-429.
- [11] S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), pp. 747-817.
- [12] R. F. Williams, *Expanding attractors*, Publications Mathematiques 43, IHES, 1973.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY  
POLSKA AKADEMIA NAUK  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Received March 10, 1978

(1377)