

# **$s$ -numbers, eigenvalues and the trace theorem in Banach spaces**

by

HERMANN KÖNIG (Bonn)

**Abstract.** Any continuous linear operator  $T$  in a complex Banach space  $X$  with summable approximation numbers is of trace class. We prove that the trace formula

$$\operatorname{tr}(T) = \sum_i \lambda_i(T)$$

holds for any such  $T \in S^q_1(X)$ . Here  $\lambda_i(T)$  denote the eigenvalues of  $T$  which are absolutely summable. This answers affirmatively a problem of A. S. Markus and V. I. Macaev and generalizes Lidskij's theorem in Hilbert spaces. We show further that the eigenvalues of  $T$  belong to a Lorentz sequence space  $l_{p,q}$ , if the approximation numbers of  $T$  do so. In general, a similar result is not true for other types of sequence spaces and also false for other  $s$ -numbers (in the sense of A. Pietsch) the approximation numbers. The Lorentz space result contains for  $q = \infty$  [ $q = p$ ] a generalization of the weak [strong] form of Weyl's inequality in Hilbert spaces to Banach spaces, which yields results on the eigenvalue distribution of operators in  $L_r$ . We show next that for the special class of  $\mathcal{K}$ -operators more can be said: their  $s$ -numbers have the same asymptotic order as their eigenvalues, provided the  $s$ -numbers are larger than the Bernstein numbers. Moreover, some questions related to the isomorphism numbers are considered.

**1. Introduction.** A Pietsch introduced in [13] the concept of  $s$ -numbers  $s_n(T)$  which are associated to any continuous linear operator  $T$  between Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ . Examples are the approximation numbers

$$\alpha_n(T) = \inf \{ \|T - T_n\| : T_n \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y), \operatorname{rank} T_n < n \}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N};$$

the Gelfand numbers

$$\gamma_n(T) = \inf \{ \|T|_Z\| : Z \subset X, \operatorname{codim} Z < n \};$$

the Kolmogorov numbers

$$\delta_n(T) = \inf \{ \sup \{ \inf \{ \|Tx - y\| : y \in Z \subset Y; \|x\| = 1 \}; \dim Z < n \}$$

and the isomorphism numbers

$$i_n(T) = \sup \{ \|R\|^{-1} \|S\|^{-1} : \dim Z \geq n, R \in \mathcal{L}(Z, X), S \in \mathcal{L}(Y, Z) \\ \text{with } STR = \operatorname{Id}_Z \}.$$

In Hilbert spaces, all *s*-number sequences coincide with the singular numbers of the operator. In Banach spaces, the approximation numbers are the largest, the isomorphism numbers the smallest *s*-numbers. A *s*-number sequence is *injective*, if for each isometric imbedding  $I: Y \rightarrow L_\infty(\mu)$  one has  $s_n(T) = s_n(IT)$ .  $s_n$  is *additive*, if for all  $S, T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$s_{m+n-1}(S+T) \leq s_m(S) + s_n(T).$$

The previously defined sequences are additive with the exception of the isomorphism numbers. The Gelfand numbers are injective.

Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < r \leq \infty$  or  $p = r = \infty$  and  $s_n$  be a *s*-number sequence. Define for Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  the classes

$$S_{p,r}^s(X, Y) = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y) : (s_n(T)) \in l_{p,r}\}.$$

Here  $l_{p,r}$  is the Lorentz sequence space of all  $(x_n) \in l_\infty$  for which

$$\|(x_n)\|_{p,r} = \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n^{*r} n^{r/p-1} \right)^{1/r}, & r < \infty, \\ \sup \{x_n^* n^{1/p} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, & r = \infty, \end{cases}$$

$x_n^*$  being the monotone non-increasing non-negative rearrangement of  $(x_n)$ . Let  $\sigma_p^s(T) = \|(x_n)\|_{p,r}$ . If  $s_n$  is additive,  $(S_{p,r}^s, \sigma_{p,r}^s)$  is a complete quasi-normed operator ideal. The special case  $p = r$ , denoted by  $(S_p^s, \sigma_p^s)$ , extends the classes  $S_p(H)$  in Hilbert spaces  $H$ .

We denote the nuclear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$  by  $\mathcal{N}_1(X, Y)$ . Finite-dimensional  $l_p$ -spaces are written  $l_p^n$ ,  $l_p$ -direct sums of Banach spaces  $(X_n)$  denoted by  $l_p(X_n)$ .

Given two sequences of non-negative numbers,  $(\alpha_n)$  and  $(\beta_n)$ , we write  $\alpha_n \sim \beta_n$ , if there are constants  $c_1, c_2$  such that

$$c_1 \beta_n \leq \alpha_n \leq c_2 \beta_n \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We will also use the Landau symbols  $O(\cdot)$  and  $o(\cdot)$ .

Since we are mainly interested in the trace formula and relations between *s*-numbers and eigenvalues, we shall always assume all Banach spaces to be complex. The eigenvalues of a compact operator  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  are denoted by  $(\lambda_n(T))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and assumed to be ordered in non-increasing absolute value and counted according to their multiplicity.

In the next section, we consider questions of the type: If the *s*-numbers of a compact operator  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  belong to a sequence space like e.g.  $l_{p,r}$ , what can be said of its eigenvalues? These are generalizations of Weyl's inequality in Hilbert spaces. Section 3 contains the trace theorem for  $S_1^s$ -type operators, showing that the spectral trace coincides

with the matrix trace. The final section contains questions related to inequalities between single eigenvalues and single *s*-numbers and some examples concerning the isomorphism numbers.

**2. Generalized Weyl inequalities.** The singular numbers  $s_n(T)$  of a compact operator  $T$  in a Hilbert space  $H$  are the eigenvalues of  $(T^*T)^{1/2}$ . H. Weyl [16] proved the following inequality between the eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $(T^*T)^{1/2}$ :

$$\sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j(T)|^p \leq \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)^p$$

for any compact  $T$ , any  $0 < p < \infty$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In [7] we derive the following generalization of Weyl's inequality to Banach spaces which we will need in the following:

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $s_n$  denote either the approximation- or the Gelfand- or the Kolmogorov-numbers. For any  $0 < p < \infty$  there is  $c_p > 0$  such that for any Banach space  $X$ , any compact operator  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j(T)|^p \right)^{1/p} \leq c_p \left( \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

We extend this result to Lorentz sequence spaces.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $0 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $s_n$  be as in the proposition. Then there is a constant  $c_{p,q}$  such that for all Banach spaces  $X$  and any operator  $T \in S_{p,q}^s(X)$  we have

$$\|(\lambda_n(T))\|_{p,q} \leq c_{p,q} \| (s_n(T)) \|_{p,q} = c_{p,q} \cdot \sigma_{p,q}^s(T).$$

The main part of the proof is contained in

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $0 < r < p < \infty$  and  $0 < q \leq \infty$ . Then there is a constant  $c = c(p/r, q/r)$  depending only on  $p/r$  and  $q/r$ , such that for all monotone non-increasing sequences  $(\alpha_n)$  of positive numbers

$$\|(\alpha_n)\|_{p,q} \leq \left\| \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^r \right) / n \right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \right\|_{p/r, q/r}^{1/r} \leq c \|(\alpha_n)\|_{p,q}.$$

**Proof.** The "average" operator

$$A: (\xi_n)_n \mapsto \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j \right) / n \right)_n$$

has norm one when considered as a map  $A: l_\infty \rightarrow l_\infty$ . But  $A$  also is an operator from  $l_1$  into  $l_{1,\infty}$ , again with norm one. To see this, note that  $0 < x_n$

$\leq y_n$  implies  $x_n^* \leq y_n^*$  and

$$x_n = \left| \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j / n \right| \leq y_n := \sum_{j=1}^n \xi_j^* / n, \quad x_n^* \leq y_n^* = y_n.$$

Hence

$$\|A(\xi_n)_n\|_{1,\infty} = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n x_n^* = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n y_n^* \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \xi_n^* = \|(\xi_n)_n\|_1.$$

By the (generalized) Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem,  $A$  also induces a continuous linear map  $A: l_{p/r, q/r} \rightarrow l_{p/r, q/r}$ , see [1]. Note here  $r < p$ . Writing this as an inequality for sequences, with  $\|A\| = c(p/r, q/r)$ , and applying it to  $\xi_n = \alpha_n^*$ , we get the right inequality in Lemma 1. The left one is trivial.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Choose  $r$  with  $0 < r < p$ . The proposition implies in view of the ordering of the eigenvalues for any  $T \in S_{p,q}^s(X)$

$$(2.1) \quad |\lambda_n(T)| n^{1/r} \leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j(T)|^r \right)^{1/r} \leq c_r \left( \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)^r \right)^{1/r},$$

$$|\lambda_n(T)| \leq c_r \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)^r \right) / n \right)^{1/r}$$

so that by Lemma 1

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\lambda_n(T))_n\|_{p,q} &\leq c_r \left\| \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)^r \right) / n \right)^{1/r} \right\|_{p,q} \\ &\leq c_r \left( \left\| \left( \sum_{j=1}^n s_j(T)^r \right) / n \right\|_{p/r, q/r} \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq c_{p,q,r} \| (s_n(T))_n \|_{p,q} = c_{p,q,r} \cdot \sigma_{p,q}^s(T). \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = q$ , Lemma 1 is just Hardy's inequality and the statement of Theorem 1 is just the proposition. A case of special interest is also  $q = \infty$ :

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $s_n$  be as before,  $0 < \alpha, p < \infty$  and  $T \in \mathcal{H}(X)$ .

- (a) If  $s_n(T) = O(n^{-\alpha})$ , also  $|\lambda_n(T)| = O(n^{-\alpha})$ .
- (b) If  $s_n(T) = o(n^{-\alpha})$ , also  $|\lambda_n(T)| = o(n^{-\alpha})$ .
- (c) If  $s_n(T) = O(n^{-1/p}(\ln n)^\alpha)$ , also  $|\lambda_n(T)| = O(n^{-1/p}(\ln n)^\alpha)$ .
- (d) The eigenvalues  $\lambda_n(T)$  are rapidly decreasing if the  $s_n(T)$  are.

**Proof.** (a) is the case  $q = \infty$  of Theorem 1. Choose  $r < 1/\alpha$ . A direct  $\varepsilon - \delta$ -argument together with (2.1) yields (b); (2.1) for  $r < p$  also implies (c) if one uses that for  $\lambda < 1$ ,  $\mu > 0$

$$\sum_{j=1}^n j^{-\lambda} (\ln j)^\mu \sim n^{1-\lambda} (\ln n)^\mu.$$

Statement (d) follows from Weyl's inequality, applied to all  $p > 0$ .

**EXAMPLE 1.** There are Banach sequence spaces for which results analogous to those of Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are false. Let  $R > 1$  and  $l(R) = \{(\xi_n) \in l_\infty : \sup \{\xi_n^* R^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} < \infty\}$ . Then there are operators  $T \in \mathcal{H}(l_1)$  such that the approximation numbers  $a_j(T)$  belong to  $l(R)$  whereas the eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(T)$  do not. For simplicity, let  $R = 2$ . Denote by  $A_{2^n}$  the Littlewood-matrices of order  $2^n$ ,

$$A_{2^0} = (1), \quad A_{2^{n+1}} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{2^n} & A_{2^n} \\ A_{2^n} & -A_{2^n} \end{bmatrix}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

and define  $T: l_1 \rightarrow l_1$  as a direct sum of multiples of Littlewood matrices:

$$T = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-2^{n+1}} A_{2^n}: l_1(l_1^{2^n}) \rightarrow l_1(l_1^{2^n}).$$

Since  $A_{2^n}^2 = 2^n \text{Id}$ , the eigenvalues of  $A_{2^n}$  are  $\{\pm 2^{n/2}\}$ , both with multiplicity  $2^{n-1}$ . Hence

$$|\lambda_{2^n+i}(T)| = 2^{n/2} / 2^{2^{n+1}} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore

$$\sup_{n,i} |\lambda_{2^n+i}(T)| 2^{2^n+i} = \sup_{n,i} 2^{n/2-1} = \infty, \quad (\lambda_j(T))_j \notin l(2).$$

We show that nevertheless  $(a_j(T))_j \in l(2)$ . Let  $T_{2^n+i}: l_1 \rightarrow l_1$  be the operator defined by the same first  $(2^n - 1 + i)$  rows as  $T$  and otherwise zero. Since  $\text{rank } T_{2^n+i} < 2^n + i$ , we conclude

$$a_{2^n+i}(T) \leq \|T - T_n\|_1 = (2^n - i) / 2^{2^{n+1}}.$$

Note here that the matrix elements in  $T - T_n$  are either zero or  $\pm 1 / 2^{2^{j+1}}$ . This implies

$$\sup_{n,i} a_{2^n+i}(T) 2^{2^n+i} \leq \sup_{n,i} (2^n - i) 2^{2^n+i} / 2^{2^{n+1}}.$$

It is easy to see that the last supremum is attained for  $i = 2^n - 1$ , therefore

$$\sup_{n,i} a_{2^n+i}(T) 2^{2^n+i} \leq 1/2, \quad (a_j(T))_j \in l(2).$$

However, a weaker positive result is available:

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $R > 1$  and  $s_n$  as above. Assume  $T \in \mathcal{L}(X)$  is compact with  $(s_n(T)) \in l(R)$ . Then for any  $1 < S < \sqrt{R}$ ,  $(\lambda_n(T)) \in l(S)$ .

**Proof.** By a "perturbation argument, we may assume all eigenvalues to have multiplicity one. Let  $X_n$  be the space spanned by the first  $n$  eigenvectors associated to  $\lambda_1(T), \dots, \lambda_n(T)$ . Since  $\dim X_n = n$ , there are operators  $A_n: X_n \rightarrow l_2^n$  with  $\|A_n\| \|A_n^{-1}\| \leq \sqrt{n}$ , cf. F. John [6]. Let  $S_n = A_n T A_n^{-1}: l_2^n \rightarrow l_2^n$ . Then  $\lambda_j(S_n) = \lambda_j(T)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . By H. Weyl [16], for the Hilbert space operator  $S_n$ ,

$$|\lambda_1(S_n) \dots \lambda_n(S_n)| \leq s_1(S_n) \dots s_n^*(S_n).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_n(T)| &= |\lambda_n(S_n)| \leq |\lambda_1(S_n) \dots \lambda_n(S_n)|^{1/n} \\ &\leq (s_1(S_n) \dots s_n(S_n))^{1/n} \\ &\leq \|A_n\| \|A_n^{-1}\| (s_1(T) \dots s_n(T))^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(s_n(T)) \in l(R)$ , for some constant  $c > 0$

$$|\lambda_n(T)| = c \sqrt[n]{n} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n R^{-i} \right)^{1/n} = c \sqrt[n]{n/R} \sqrt[n]{R^{-n}}.$$

This implies for any  $S < \sqrt{R}$

$$|\lambda_n(T)| \leq dS^{-n}, \quad (\lambda_n(T)) \in l(S).$$

We now give some applications of the previous results to operators in  $L_p$ -spaces.

**PROPOSITION 1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded domain and  $T: L_p(\Omega) \rightarrow L_p(\Omega)$  an operator with image contained in a Sobolev space  $W_p^k(\Omega)$ . Here  $k \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . Then  $|\lambda_n(T)| = O(n^{-k/N})$ . If the image of the unit ball of  $L_p(\Omega)$  is relatively compact in  $W_p^k(\Omega)$ , one even has  $|\lambda_n(T)| = o(n^{-k/N})$ .

**Proof.** The map  $T: L_p(\Omega) \rightarrow W_p^k(\Omega)$  has a closed graph and hence is continuous with respect to the Sobolev norm. Hence

$$\alpha_{2n-1}(T: L_p \rightarrow L_p) \leq \alpha_n(T: L_p \rightarrow W_p^k) \cdot \alpha_n(\text{Id}: W_p^k \rightarrow L_p).$$

But the approximation numbers  $\alpha_n(\text{Id})$  of the Sobolev imbedding tend to zero of order  $O(n^{-k/N})$ , see e.g. R. S. Ismagilov [5], which gives  $\alpha_n(T) = O(n^{-k/N})$  and  $|\lambda_n(T)| = O(n^{-k/N})$  by Corollary 1. If  $T(\text{ball } L_p)$  is relatively compact in  $W_p^k$ ,  $\alpha_n(T: L_p \rightarrow W_p^k)$  tends to zero for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $\alpha_n(T) = o(n^{-k/N})$ . Apply again Corollary 1.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $K: [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a measurable kernel, which is  $(n-1)$  times continuously differentiable with respect to the second variable  $y$  and absolutely continuous  $(n-1)$ -st derivative. Assume

$$K_j f(x) = \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \right)^j K(x, y) f(y) dy$$

defines continuous linear operators  $K_j: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  for  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . Let further  $0 < q \leq \infty$  and  $K_j \in S_q^a(L_p)$ , for  $q = \infty$  no extra condition. Then the eigenvalues of  $K = K_0$  in  $L_p$  obey

$$\left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} k^{nq} |\lambda_k(K)|^q \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

which for  $q < \infty$  means especially  $|\lambda_k(K)| = o(k^{-(n+1/q)})$  and for  $q = \infty$  should read  $|\lambda_k(K)| = O(k^{-n})$ .

This generalizes a result in I. Z. Gohberg–M.G. Krein [3], p. 120 for  $p = q = 2$ .

**Proof.** We indicate only the case  $n = 1$ . Partial integration yields

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 K(x, y) f(y) dy &= \left[ K(x, y) \int_0^t f(t) dt \right]_{y=0}^1 - \\ &\quad - \int_0^1 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} K(x, y) \right) \left( \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $K_0 = L - K_1 J_1$ , where  $J_1: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  is the integration operator  $J_1 f(x) = \int_0^x f(t) dt$  and  $L: L_p \rightarrow L_p$  is the rank one operator  $Lf = \left( \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right) \times K(\cdot, 1)$ . Since  $L$  does not influence the asymptotic order of the approximation numbers, we have

$$a_{2j-1}(K_0) \sim a_{2j-1}(K_1 J_1) \leq a_j(K_1) \cdot a_j(J_1) \leq c a_j(K_1) / j,$$

where  $a_j(J_1) \leq c/j$  by the previous proposition. Since by assumption  $K_1 \in S_q^a(L_p)$ , we get

$$\left( \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (j a_{2j-1}(K_0))^{1/q} \right)^{1/q} < \infty,$$

i.e.  $(a_j(K_0)) \in l_{r,q}$  with  $1/r = 1 + 1/q$ . The result now follows directly from Theorem 1.

**3. The trace formula for  $S_1$ -type operators.** V. B. Lidskij [10] proved for operators of trace class in Hilbert spaces  $T = \mathcal{N}_1(H) = S_1(H)$  the trace formula

$$(3.1) \quad \text{tr}(T) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(T).$$

A. Grothendieck [4] showed that the notion of “trace” can be defined for nuclear operators in Banach spaces with the approximation property. However, formula (3.1) no longer makes sense for these operators, since the eigenvalues of nuclear operators in Banach spaces are only square summable in general. On the other side, A.S. Markus and V. I. Macaev [12] proved (3.1) for any operator  $T$  of type  $S_p^a(X)$  with  $p < 1$  in general Banach spaces, without requiring the approximation property. We show in the following that (3.1) remains valid for any  $T$  in the larger class  $S_1^a(X)$  and thus answer a problem of A. S. Markus and V. I. Macaev affirmatively.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $T \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  a finite rank operator

$$(3.2) \quad T = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} x'_i \otimes y_i, \quad x'_i \in X', \quad y_i \in X.$$

Then  $x'_i(y_i)$  is independent of the special representation of  $T$  and called the trace of  $T$ , denoted  $\text{tr}(T)$ . One has  $\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(T)$ . Any such  $T \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  has a representation (3.2) with

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \|\omega'_i\| \|y_i\| \leq 8 \sigma_1^a(T),$$

cf. A. Pietsch [14]. Hence the linear functional trace can be uniquely and continuously extended to  $(\mathcal{F}(X), \sigma_1^a) = S_1^a(X)$ , again denoted by  $\text{tr}$ .

LEMMA 3. Let  $(\lambda_i)$  and  $(\gamma_i)$  be two sequences of positive, monotone non-increasing numbers with  $\prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq \prod_{i=1}^n \gamma_i$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then for all  $r \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + r \lambda_j) \leq \prod_{j=1}^n (1 + r \gamma_j).$$

This was shown by H. Weyl [16], cf. also [3].

THEOREM 2 (Trace formula). Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $T \in S_1^a(X)$ . Then the previously defined trace coincides with the sum of the eigenvalues,

$$\text{tr}(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_n(T).$$

Proof. The sum exists by Theorem 1. We will use the fundamental idea of A. S. Markus and V. I. Macaev [12]. However, the central estimate of the characteristic polynomials defined below proceeds differently. First, there are operators  $T_n \in \mathcal{F}(X)$  of finite rank with  $\sigma_1^a(T - T_n) \rightarrow 0$ , since  $\mathcal{F}(X)$  is  $\sigma_1^a$ -dense. For these  $T_n$ , the characteristic polynomials

$$D_n(\lambda) = \prod_j (1 - \lambda \lambda_j(T_n)) = \det(I - \lambda T_n)$$

are well-defined, since only finitely many eigenvalues  $\lambda_j(T_n)$  are non-zero. Let  $r < 1$  and  $n_0$  such that  $\sigma_1^a(T - T_n) \leq 1$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . By (2.1) there is  $c_r$  such that for all  $S \in \mathcal{H}(X)$

$$(3.3) \quad |\lambda_j(S)| \leq c_r \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i(S)^r / j \right)^{1/r} =: \beta_j(S).$$

Lemma 1 implies for  $r < p = q = 1$  that the  $(\beta_j(S))$  are summable for any  $S \in S_1^a(X)$  with

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_j(S) \leq d \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_j(S),$$

$d$  independent of  $S$ . Let  $|\lambda| = r$ . Weyl's Lemma 3 yields

$$|D_n(\lambda)| = \prod_j (1 + r |\lambda_j(T_n)|) \leq \prod_j (1 + r \beta_j(T_n)),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \ln |D_n(\lambda)| &\leq \sum_j \ln(1 + r \beta_j(T_n)) \\ &\leq \sum_j r \beta_j(T_n) \leq dr \sum_j \alpha_j(T_n) \leq dr (\sigma_1^a(T) + 1). \end{aligned}$$

By Montel's theorem, this implies as in [12] that the sequence of polynomials  $D_n$  converges compactly to an entire function  $D$ . We will show now that  $D$  is a function of order one, i.e.

$$\max_{|\lambda|=r} \ln |D(\lambda)| = o(r) \quad \text{for} \quad r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By (3.4) there are  $r_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $r \geq r_0$  and  $n \geq n_0$

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \beta_j(T - T_n) < \varepsilon, \quad \sum_{j > [\sqrt{r}/2]} \beta_j(T) < \varepsilon, \quad \ln(1 + c_r(\|T\| + 1)r) / \sqrt{r} < \varepsilon.$$

Using that the approximation numbers are monotone non-increasing and additive, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{2j}(T_n) &\leq c_r \left( \sum_{k=1}^{2j} \alpha_k(T_n)^r / 2j \right)^{1/r} \leq c_r \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_{2i-1}(T_n)^r / j \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq c_r \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^j \alpha_i(T)^r + \alpha_i(T - T_n)^r \right) / j \right)^{1/r} \leq d_r (\beta_j(T) + \beta_j(T - T_n)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking sums

$$\sum_{k > [\sqrt{r}]} \beta_k(T_n) \leq 2 \sum_{k > [\sqrt{r}/2]} \beta_{2k}(T_n) \leq 2d_r \sum_{k > [\sqrt{r}/2]} (\beta_k(T) + \beta_k(T - T_n)) \leq 4d_r \varepsilon.$$

This enables a better estimate for  $|D_n(\lambda)|$ ,  $|\lambda| = r$ . Using (3.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (1/r) \ln |D_n(\lambda)| &\leq (1/r) \ln \prod_{j=1}^{[\sqrt{r}]} (1 + r \beta_j(T_n)) + (1/r) \ln \prod_{j > [\sqrt{r}]} (1 + r \beta_j(T_n)) \\ &\leq (1/r) \ln(1 + rc_r(\|T\| + 1))^{[\sqrt{r}]} + (1/r) \sum_{j > [\sqrt{r}]} \ln(1 + r \beta_j(T_n)) \\ &\leq (1/\sqrt{r}) \ln(1 + rc_r(\|T\| + 1)) + \sum_{j > [\sqrt{r}]} \beta_j(T_n) \leq (1 + 4d_r) \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since this holds for all  $n \geq n_0$  and  $r \geq r_0$ , we conclude for  $r \geq r_0$

$$\ln |D(\lambda)| \leq (1 + 4d_r) \varepsilon r,$$

i.e.  $D(\lambda)$  is an entire function of order one. The last part in the proof is now the same as in [12]: By a theorem of Hurwitz the zeros of  $D(\lambda)$  coincide with the limits of the zeros of the polynomials  $D_n(\lambda)$ , i.e. with  $(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_j(T_n))^{-1}$ , which is equal to  $\lambda_j(T)^{-1}$  for an appropriate order of the  $\lambda_j(T_n)$ . Since  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda_j(T)| < \infty$  by Weyl's generalized inequality, one gets for the order one function  $D(\lambda)$

$$D(\lambda) = \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N}} (1 - \lambda \lambda_j(T))$$

and

$$-\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(T) = D'(0)/D(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D'_n(0)/D_n(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (-\operatorname{tr}(T_n)) = -\operatorname{tr}(T).$$

This proves Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 2. Let  $S, T \in S_1^a(X)$ . Then we have the eigenvalue equality

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(S+T) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(S) + \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(T).$$

PROPOSITION ([12]). Assume the Banach space  $X$  has an unconditional basis  $\{e_j\}$  with biorthogonal coefficient functionals  $\{f_j\}$ . Let  $T \in \mathcal{N}_1(X)$  be a nuclear operator. Then  $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j(Te_j)$  converges absolutely and the sum is equal to the trace of  $T$ .

In view of  $S_1^a(X) \subset \mathcal{N}_1(X)$ , this result and Theorem 2 imply

COROLLARY 3. Let  $X$  be a Banach space with an unconditional basis  $\{e_j\}$  with biorthogonal coefficient functionals  $\{f_j\}$ . Assume  $T$  is in  $S_1^a(X)$ . Then the "matrix trace" is equal to the "spectral trace",

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} f_j(Te_j) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda_j(T).$$

**4. *s*-numbers and eigenvalues.** In Section 2 we considered estimates for sequence space norms of all eigenvalues of a compact operator against all *s*-numbers. Sometimes it may be more interesting to have an estimate for single eigenvalues against single *s*-numbers. In [9] it was shown that for any compact operator  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  in a Banach space  $X$  and  $j \in \mathbb{N}$

$$|\lambda_j(T)| = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} s_j(T^m)^{1/m},$$

where  $s_j$  is an arbitrary *s*-number sequence. But as the Littlewood matrices show easily, an estimate of the type

$$|\lambda_j(T)| \leq c \alpha_j(T),$$

independent of  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , is false in general. This is true, however, for a certain class of operators in Banach spaces which were studied by A. S. Markus [11]:

A compact operator in a Banach space  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  is called  *$\mathcal{H}$ -operator*, if the spectrum of  $T$  is real and if the resolvent of  $T$  fulfils

$$\|(\lambda I - T)^{-1}\| \leq c/|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \sim \mathbb{R}.$$

Any selfadjoint operator in a Hilbert space is an  $\mathcal{H}$ -operator with  $c = 1$ . For selfadjoint operators,  $|\lambda_n(T)| = s_n(T)$ . The following result generalizes this. For the definition of the Bernstein- and Mitjagin-numbers we refer to [13].

PROPOSITION 3. Let  $s_n$  be a *s*-number sequence which is larger than or equal to the Bernstein- or Mitjagin-numbers. Then for any compact  $\mathcal{H}$ -operator  $T$  with constant  $c$  in a Banach space  $X$

$$(4.1) \quad (2c)^{-1} s_n(T) \leq |\lambda_n(T)| \leq 2(c+1) s_n(T).$$

Especially,  $\alpha_n(T) \leq 4c(c+1) s_n(T)$ : all *s*-numbers considered have the same asymptotic order as the eigenvalues.

Proof. A. S. Markus [11] showed this for the Kolmogorov and approximation numbers. Hence the left inequality is clear in view of  $s_n(T) \leq \alpha_n(T)$ ; instead of  $(2\sqrt{2}c)^{-1}$  as in [11],  $(2c)^{-1}$  is sufficient. If  $T \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -operator with constant  $c$ , the same is true for  $T' \in \mathcal{K}(X')$ . By A. Pietsch [13] the Mitjagin and Bernstein-numbers are dual,  $v_n(T) = u_n(T')$ . Therefore it is enough to prove the right inequality for the Bernstein-numbers  $u_n$ . We will use similar ideas as in [11].

Assume without loss of generality  $\lambda_n(T) \neq 0$  and let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be minimal with  $|\lambda_{n+k}(T)| < |\lambda_n(T)|$ . Let  $P_n$  be the spectral projection of  $T$  relative to  $\Delta = \{\lambda_1(T), \dots, \lambda_{n+k-1}(T)\}$ . Then  $\dim P_n(X) \geq n$  and the restriction  $T_n: P_n(X) \rightarrow P_n(X)$  of  $T$  to  $P_n(X)$  is an isomorphism. Choose  $r > 0$  with

$$|\lambda_n(T)|^{-1} < r < |\lambda_{n+k}(T)|^{-1}.$$

The spectrum of  $T_n^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(P_n(X))$  is equal to  $\{\lambda_1(T)^{-1}, \dots, \lambda_{n+k-1}(T)^{-1}\}$  and contained in the interior of the circle  $\Gamma = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| = r\}$ . Hence the identity on  $P_n(X)$  has a representation by the Dunford integral

$$I_n = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} (\lambda I_n - T_n^{-1})^{-1} d\lambda,$$

with  $(\lambda I_n - T_n^{-1})^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}(P_n(X))$ , cf. [2], chap. 7. Since

$$I_n = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} I_n / \lambda d\lambda,$$



we get by subtraction

$$0 = -T_n^{-1}/(2\pi i) \int_{\Gamma} (1/\lambda) (\lambda I_n - T_n^{-1})^{-1} d\lambda.$$

We multiply this by  $r^2 T_n$  and take the sum with

$$T_n^{-1} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \lambda (\lambda I_n - T_n^{-1})^{-1} d\lambda,$$

which yields

$$(4.2) \quad T_n^{-1} = (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\lambda^2 - r^2}{\lambda} (\lambda I_n - T_n^{-1})^{-1} d\lambda.$$

The equality

$$(\lambda I_n - T_n^{-1})^{-1} = \lambda^{-1} I_n - \lambda^{-2} (\lambda^{-1} I_n - T_n)^{-1}$$

implies that  $T_n^{-1}$  is a  $\mathcal{H}$ -operator with constant  $(c+1)$ . Hence (4.2) enables the estimate

$$\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq (c+1)r \max_{|\lambda|=r} \left| \frac{\lambda^2 - r^2}{\lambda(\operatorname{Im} \lambda)} \right| = 2(c+1)r.$$

By [13], the homomorphism numbers are the smallest and the Bernstein numbers the smallest injective *s*-numbers. Factoring the identity on  $P_n(X)$  as  $I_n = T_n^{-1} T_n I_n$ , we conclude by the definition of the isomorphism numbers

$$(2(c+1)r)^{-1} \leq \|T_n^{-1}\|^{-1} \leq i_n(T_n: P_n(X) \rightarrow P_n(X)) \leq u_n(T_n: P_n(X) \rightarrow P_n(X)) \leq u_n(T: X \rightarrow X),$$

using the injectivity of the  $u_n$ 's. Since  $r > |\lambda_n(T)|^{-1}$  was arbitrary, this shows  $|\lambda_n(T)| \leq 2(c+1)u_n(T)$ .

Weyl's inequality in Banach spaces

$$\sum_{j \in N} |\lambda_j(T)|^p \leq c_p \sum_{j \in N} s_j(T)^p, \quad 0 < p < \infty$$

was formulated in Section 2 for the Gelfand, Kolmogorov or approximation numbers. We want to show now that in general this inequality does not hold for other *s*-number sequences. To do so, we need a fact on the isomorphism numbers which were considered in the previous proof.

**LEMMA 4.** For  $1 \leq n \leq m$ ,  $(1/4)(m/n) \leq i_n(\operatorname{Id}: l_\infty^m \rightarrow l_1^n) \leq m/n$ .

**Proof.** (a) Let  $\dim X_n \geq n$  and take a factorization of the identity on  $X_n$ ,

$$X_n \xrightarrow{P} l_\infty^m \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Id}} l_1^n \xrightarrow{Q} X_n.$$

Then  $\|P\|^{-1} \|Q\|^{-1} \leq \|PQ: l_1^n \rightarrow l_\infty^m\|^{-1}$ . The norm of  $PQ$  is the maximum of the absolute values of the elements in the matrix representation, hence

larger than or equal to  $1/m$  times the trace,

$$\|P\|^{-1} \|Q\|^{-1} \leq |m/\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} PQ)|.$$

$\operatorname{Id} PQ: l_1^n \rightarrow l_1^n$  is a projection of rank  $\geq n$ . Therefore

$$\operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{Id} PQ) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i(\operatorname{Id} PQ) \geq n.$$

Taking the supremum over all factorizations, we get

$$i_n(\operatorname{Id}: l_\infty^m \rightarrow l_1^n) \leq m/n.$$

(b) Let  $m = 2^M$ ,  $n = 2^N$ ,  $M, N \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\tilde{A}$  be the  $(n \times m)$ -matrix of the first  $n$  rows of the Littlewood matrices  $A_{2^M}$  of order  $m = 2^M$  and  $Q: l_1^n \rightarrow l_\infty^m$  the operator defined by  $1/\sqrt{m} \tilde{A}$ . Let  $P: l_\infty^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m$  be defined by  $1/\sqrt{m} \tilde{A}^t$ . Then we have a factorization of the identity on  $l_\infty^n$  as

$$l_\infty^n \xrightarrow{P} l_\infty^m \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Id}} l_1^m \xrightarrow{Q} l_\infty^n,$$

implying

$$i_n(\operatorname{Id}: l_\infty^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m) \geq \|P\|^{-1} \|Q\|^{-1} = m/n.$$

The left inequality of Lemma 4 is now an easy consequence.

**EXAMPLE 2.** We prove that there is no constant  $c_p$  such that for all  $T \in S_p^i(l_1)$  one has

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_{j \in N} |\lambda_j(T)|^p \leq c_p \sum_{j \in N} i_j(T)^p, \quad p < 2.$$

Let  $n = 2^N$  and  $T_n: l_1^n \rightarrow l_1^n$  the operator defined by the Littlewood matrices  $A_{2^N}$  (or by imbedding,  $T_n: l_1 \rightarrow l_1$ ). Both eigenvalues  $\pm \sqrt{n}$  are of order  $n/2$ . Hence

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j(T_n)|^p = n^{1+p/2}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n i_j(T_n: l_1^n \rightarrow l_1^n)^p \leq \|T_n: l_1^n \rightarrow l_\infty^n\|^p \sum_{j=1}^n i_j(\operatorname{Id}: l_\infty^n \rightarrow l_1^n)^p.$$

But  $\|T_n: l_1^n \rightarrow l_\infty^n\| = 1$ . Hence by Lemma 4

$$\sum_{j=1}^n i_j(T_n)^p \leq n^p \sum_{j=1}^n j^{-p} \sim \begin{cases} n, & p < 1, \\ n \ln n, & p = 1, \\ n^p, & p > 1. \end{cases}$$

For large  $n$ , this and (4.4) contradict (4.3). We remark that it is not known whether the classes  $S_p^i$  are operator ideals.

EXAMPLE 3. By A. Pietsch [13] one has for any invertible operator  $T$  in  $\mathcal{L}(X, Y)$  with  $\dim X = \dim Y = m$

$$(4.5) \quad i_n(T) \cdot \alpha_{m-n+1}(T^{-1}) \leq 1.$$

In general, however, there may be a strict inequality " $<$ ", as will follow from Lemma 4. This answers a question in [13] negatively and seems a bit surprising, since for other corresponding pairs of *s*-numbers like Bernstein and Gelfand numbers there is an equality of type (4.5). Let  $m = 2^M$ ,  $n = m/2$ . As is well known,

$$\alpha_{n+1}(\text{Id}: l_1^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m) \leq (\sqrt{n} + 1)^{-1},$$

cf. B. S. Kasin [8]. This and Lemma 4 imply for  $T = \text{Id}: l_\infty^m \rightarrow l_1^m$  and  $n = m/2 > 1$  that

$$i_n(T) \cdot \alpha_{m-n+1}(T^{-1}) \leq 2/(\sqrt{n} + 1) < 1.$$

This shows also that the estimate  $u_n(T) \leq n^{1/2} i_n(T)$  in [13] is of optimal order, since

$$u_n(T) = \gamma_{n+1}(T^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha_{n+1}(T^{-1})^{-1} \geq \sqrt{n} \geq \sqrt{n}/2 i_n(T).$$

Any  $T \in S_1^a(X, Y)$  is nuclear. On the other hand, one can ask whether the nuclear operators belong to some *p*-summability classes of *s*-numbers and whether  $\sigma_p^s(T) \leq c \cdot \nu_1(T)$  holds. This is false in general for  $p = 1$ , as the identity  $T = \text{Id}: l_\infty^m \rightarrow l_1^m$  shows:

$$\ln \ln m \sim \sigma_1^1(T) \leq \sigma_1^s(T) \leq c \cdot \nu_1(T) = c \cdot m.$$

A weaker positive result is true for  $p > 2$ :

PROPOSITION 4. For all Banach spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_1(X, Y) \subset S_{2,\infty}^1(X, Y)$ ; i.e. the isomorphism numbers  $i_n$  of nuclear operators are of order  $n^{-1/2}$ .

Proof. The factorization diagram for nuclear operators [14] and the properties of the *s*-numbers imply that it is enough to consider diagonal operators  $D_\sigma: l_\infty \rightarrow l_1$ ,  $(x_n) \mapsto (\sigma_n x_n)$ ,  $\sigma \in l_1$  and show

$$\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} n^{1/2} \cdot i_n(D_\sigma) \leq \|\sigma\|_1 = \nu_1(D_\sigma).$$

We will assume without loss of generality that the  $\sigma_i$  are positive, non-increasing. Let  $\mu_i = \sqrt{\sigma_i}$ . Then  $\mu \in l_2$  and

$$i_n(D_\sigma) \leq i_n(D_\mu: l_\infty \rightarrow l_2) \cdot \|D_\mu: l_2 \rightarrow l_1\| = \|\sigma\|_1^{1/2} \cdot i_n(D_\mu: l_\infty \rightarrow l_2).$$

Let  $D_\mu^m$  be the "restriction" of  $D_\mu$  to  $l_\infty^m$ . Then

$$i_n(D_\mu) = \sup \{i_n(D_\mu^m): m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

since

$$|i_n(D_\mu) - i_n(D_\mu^m)| \leq \|D_\mu - D_\mu^m: l_\infty \rightarrow l_2\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{for } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Using the relation between the isomorphism and approximation numbers considered in Example 3 and the results of M. Z. Solomjak and V. M. Tichomirow [15], one gets

$$\begin{aligned} i_n(D_\mu^m) &\leq \alpha_{m-n+1}((D_\mu^m)^{-1}: l_2^m \rightarrow l_\infty^m)^{-1} \\ &= \min_{m-n+1 \leq k \leq m} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{i=m-k+1}^m \mu_i^2\right) / (k-m+n)} \leq (\|\sigma\|_1/n)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$i_n(D_\sigma: l_\infty \rightarrow l_1) \leq \|\sigma\|_1^{1/2} \cdot i_n(D_\mu) \leq \|\sigma\|_1 / \sqrt{n}.$$

## References

- [1] J. Bergh and J. Löfström, *Interpolation spaces, An introduction*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators I*, Interscience, New York 1967.
- [3] I. Z. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the theory of linear non-selfadjoint operators*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence 1969.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires*, Mém. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1955).
- [5] R. S. Ismagilov, *Diameters of sets in normed linear spaces and the approximation of functions by trigonometric polynomials*, Russ. Math. Surveys 29 (1974), pp. 169-186.
- [6] F. John, *Extremum problems with inequalities as subsidiary conditions*, Courant Anniv. Vol., pp. 187-204, Interscience, New York 1948.
- [7] W. B. Johnson, H. König, B. Maurey, and J. R. Retherford, *Eigenvalues of *p*-summing and  $l_p$ -type operators in Banach spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. 32 (1979), pp. 353-380.
- [8] B. S. Kasin, *On Kolmogorov diameters of octahedra*, Soviet Math. Doklady 15 (1974), pp. 304-307.
- [9] H. König, *A formula for the eigenvalues of a compact operator*, Studia Math. 65 (1979), pp. 141-146.
- [10] V. B. Lidskij, *Non-selfadjoint operators with a trace*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 47 (1965), pp. 43-46.
- [11] A. S. Markus, *Some criteria for the completeness of a system of root vectors of a linear operator in a Banach space*, ibid. 85 (1969), pp. 51-91.
- [12] A. S. Markus and V. I. Macaev, *Analogs of Weyl inequalities and the trace theorem in Banach space*, Math. USSR Sbornik 15 (1971), pp. 299-312.
- [13] A. Pietsch, *s-numbers of operators in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 51 (1974), pp. 201-223.



- [14] — *Nukleare lokalkonvexe Räume*, Akad. der Wiss., Berlin 1969.  
 [15] M. Z. Solomjak and V. M. Tichomirov, *On geometric characteristics of the imbedding of classes  $W_p^\alpha$  into  $C$*  (in Russian), *Izv. Vyss. Včebn. Zaved. Mat.* 10 (1967), pp. 76–82.  
 [16] H. Weyl, *Inequalities between the two kinds of eigenvalues of a linear transformation*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 25 (1949), pp. 408–411.

Received July 15, 1977

(1332)

## ERRATA

Page, line	For	Read
112 <sup>12</sup>	$\hat{\otimes}$	$\tilde{\otimes}$
116 <sup>15</sup>	$(G \hat{\otimes} G), (E \hat{\otimes} E)$	$(G \tilde{\otimes} G), (F \tilde{\otimes} F)$
120 <sup>24</sup>	Let $\bar{N} = \{\text{co}(N):$	Let $\hat{N} = \{\text{co}(N):$
120 <sup>25</sup>	Then $\bar{N}$	Then $\hat{N}$
120 <sub>2</sub>	$(x_{n_j})_{n=1}^\infty$	$(x_{n_j})_{j=1}^\infty$
122 <sup>2</sup>	$\sum_{n=1}^\infty t_j y_{n_j}$	$\sum_{j=1}^\infty t_j y_{n_j}$
123 <sup>3</sup>	unit-vectors	unit-vectors basis
125 <sup>5</sup>	$\{ f_i(z_n)  =$	$\{ f_i(z_n) :$
128 <sup>10</sup>	$\sup_{\ x\ _1 < 1} \sum_{n_j} a_i e_i$	$\sup_{\ x\ _1 < 1} \sum_{n_j} a_i e_i$
128 <sub>3</sub>	$z_j = \sum_{i=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} a_i e_i$	$z_j = \sum_{i=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} a_i e_i$
141 <sub>2</sub>	$C = c(E(S) \cup D)$	$\tilde{C} = c(\tilde{c}(E(S)) \cup D)$
141 <sub>1</sub>	$\tilde{c}(E(S))$	$\tilde{c}(E(S))$
204 <sup>13</sup>	$(x - ty, \xi(t)(y)) $	$(x - ty, \xi(t)\varphi(y)) $
211 <sub>4</sub>	$a > 0.$	$a > 0. \Gamma_a^h(x_0) =$ $= \{(x, t):  x - x_0  < at, t < h\}.$

## Differentiability of distributions at a single point

by

B. MARSHALL (Princeton, N. J.)

**Abstract.** We develop tools needed to study the differentiability of distributions at a point of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ .

The purpose of this paper is to develop tools to study distributions at a point  $x_0$ . The integral expressions that we will be examining are closely related to the area integral of Lusin and the Littlewood–Paley  $g_\lambda^*$  functions. Rather than use the theory of harmonic functions we will consider analogous expressions that are independent of the mollifier that is used. The results of this paper are used to study tempered nontangential boundedness and convergence in [3].

Let  $\varphi$  be a Schwartz function with  $\int \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . Using this as a mollifier, form

$$u(x, t) = f * \varphi_t(x) \quad \text{where} \quad \varphi_t(x) = t^{-n} \varphi\left(\frac{x}{t}\right).$$

If  $f$  is a continuous function then  $u(x, t)$  approaches  $f(x)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ . It seems reasonable then that by examining  $u(x, t)$  in the set  $\Omega = \{(x, t): x \in \mathbf{R}^n, 0 < t < 1\}$  we should be able to understand the behavior of  $f$  at a point, say  $x_0$ . We form a certain integral  $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}(f)(x_0)$  of  $u(x, t)$  over the set  $\Omega$ . Of the various parameters involved the most important is  $\gamma \in \mathbf{R}$ . We will see that if  $\mathcal{G}_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}(f)(x_0) < \infty$  then  $\gamma$  gives the order of differentiability of  $f$  at  $x_0$ .

If we add certain harmless terms to these  $\mathcal{G}$  functions we can form norms  $N_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}$ . Thus

$$N_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}(f)(x_0) = \mathcal{G}_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}(f)(x_0) + \text{"other terms"}.$$

In the second and third sections we show that both the  $\mathcal{G}$  functions and the norm  $N$  are essentially independent of the mollifier  $\varphi$ . In addition, using a different  $k$  gives an equivalent norm.

With these norms we can define Banach spaces

$$A_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}(x_0) = \{f \in \mathcal{S}': N_{\gamma, k}^{p, \lambda}(f)(x_0) < \infty \text{ for some } k > \gamma + n/p\}.$$

Contrast these with the Sobolev spaces  $L_k^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$  of functions that have