

Method of orthogonal projections and approximation of the spectrum of a bounded operator

by

ANDRZEJ POKRZYWA (Warszawa)

Abstract. For a given bounded operator A and a sequence $\{P_n\}$ of orthogonal projections converging strongly to the identity operator on a complex Hilbert space H we can define operators

$$A_n = P_n A|_{P_n H} : P_n H \rightarrow P_n H.$$

These operators are compressions of A and approximate it in some way. In this work the asymptotical behaviour of spectra of operators A_n is studied.

Notation. In the following H will denote a complex Hilbert space with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, $L(H)$ denotes the space of linear bounded operators on H , $F(H)$, $LC(H)$ denote the sets of finite-dimensional and compact linear operators on H . By a *projection* (not necessary orthogonal) is meant an operator $P \in L(H)$ with $P^2 = P$. Two projections are said to be *ordered in their natural order* $P < Q$ if $PQ = QP = P$. $P_f(H) = \{P \in F(H); P = P^2 = P^*\}$.

The spectrum, resolvent set of an operator A are denoted by $\Sigma(A)$, $\varrho(A)$ respectively. $\partial\Omega$ means a boundary of a set Ω , $\Omega_+(\varepsilon)$ means the ε -neighbourhood of the set Ω .

If $\lambda \in C$, $\Omega \subset C$ (C — the complex plane) then

$$d(\lambda, \Omega) = \inf_{\omega \in \Omega} |\omega - \lambda|,$$

$\text{dist}(\Omega', \Omega'')$ denotes the Hausdorff distance between the sets Ω', Ω'' .

We define (following [1], VII) a spectral set for the operator A to be any set $\Omega \subset C$ for which $\Omega \cap \Sigma(A)$ is open and closed in $\Sigma(A)$. For each spectral set Ω the projection $E(\Omega, A)$ is defined by the formula

$$E(\Omega, A) = \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} R(\lambda, A) d\lambda,$$

where $R(\lambda, A) = (A - \lambda)^{-1}$, and $\Gamma \subset \varrho(A)$ is any rectifiable Jordan curve containing $\Omega \cap \Sigma(A)$ but no other points of $\Sigma(A)$ in its interior. It is known

that

$$\begin{aligned} E(\Omega, A)A &= AE(\Omega, A), \\ E(\Omega', A)E(\Omega'', A) &= E(\Omega' \cap \Omega'', A), \\ \Sigma(A|_{E(\Omega, A)H}) &= \Omega \cap \Sigma(A), \end{aligned}$$

$E(\Sigma(A), A) = 1$, and if $\Omega \subset \varrho(A)$ then $E(\Omega, A) = 0$.

Basic lemmas. Two following lemmas are well known.

LEMMA 1 ([3], Lemma 3.7, p. 151). *If $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of projections in H , $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly, $K \in \text{LC}(H)$ then $\|P_n K - K\| \rightarrow 0$.*

LEMMA 2 ([3], 4.24, p. 131, [1], VII.6, p. 585). *If $A, B \in L(H)$, $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$, $\|A - B\| \|R(\lambda, A)\| < \frac{1}{2}$ then $\lambda \in \varrho(B)$ and the following inequalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \|R(\lambda, B)\| &\leq 2 \|R(\lambda, A)\|, \\ \|R(\lambda, B) - R(\lambda, A)\| &\leq 2 \|A - B\| \|R(\lambda, A)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is also known that $\|R(\lambda, A)\| \geq (d(\lambda, \Sigma(A)))^{-1}$ for $\lambda \in \varrho(A)$.

LEMMA 3. *Let $Q, P_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$, be projections in H such that $Q \in F(H)$, $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly, $P_n^* \rightarrow 1$ strongly, then there exists a sequence $\{Q_n\}$ of projections in H such that*

$$Q_n < P_n, \quad \|Q - Q_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Let $B_n = QP_n + (1 - Q)$, note that

$$\|B_n - 1\| = \|QP_n - Q\| = \|P_n^* Q^* - Q^*\|.$$

It follows from Lemmas 1 and 2 that for n large enough there exist operators B_n^{-1} and

$$(1) \quad \|1 - B_n^{-1}\| \leq 2 \|P_n^* Q^* - Q^*\|.$$

Note that

$$1 = B_n^{-1} B_n = B_n^{-1} QP_n + B_n^{-1} (1 - Q) = QP_n B_n^{-1} + (1 - Q) B_n^{-1}.$$

Multiplying this identity by $(1 - Q)$ we obtain that

$$1 - Q = (1 - Q) B_n^{-1}.$$

We shall show that the operators $Q_n = P_n B_n^{-1} QP_n$ satisfy the thesis of our lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_n - Q_n^2 &= P_n (1 - B_n^{-1} QP_n) B_n^{-1} QP_n = P_n B_n^{-1} (1 - Q) B_n^{-1} QP_n \\ &= P_n B_n^{-1} (1 - Q) QP_n = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus Q_n is a projection and obviously $Q_n < P_n$.

The convergence $\|Q_n - Q\| \rightarrow 0$ follows from Lemma 1, (1) and the computation below

$$\begin{aligned} \|Q - Q_n\| &= \|Q - P_n B_n^{-1} QP_n\| \\ &\leq \|Q - QP_n\| + \|QP_n - P_n QP_n\| + \|P_n QP_n - P_n B_n^{-1} QP_n\| \\ &\leq \|Q - QP_n\| + \|Q - P_n Q\| \|P_n\| + \|P_n\| \|1 - B_n^{-1}\| \|Q\| \|P_n\| \\ &\leq \|Q - P_n Q\| \|P_n\| + \|P_n^* Q^* - Q^*\| (1 + 2 \|P_n\|^2 \|Q\|) \rightarrow 0. \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma shows that the assumptions of Lemma 3 are necessary.

LEMMA 4. *Let $\{P_n\}$ be a sequence of projections in H such that $\|P_n\| \leq K$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. If for any projection $Q \in F(H)$ there exists a sequence $\{Q_n\}$ of projections in H such that $Q_n < P_n$, $\|Q_n - Q\| \rightarrow 0$ then*

$$P_n \rightarrow 1 \text{ strongly and } P_n^* \rightarrow 1 \text{ strongly.}$$

Proof. For a given $x^* \in H$ ($\|x^*\| = 1$) take $y \in H$ such that $\langle y, x^* \rangle = 1$. Define the projection Q by the formula $Qz = \langle z, x^* \rangle y$ then

$$Q^* z = \langle z, y \rangle x^* \quad \text{and} \quad Q^* x^* = x^*.$$

Let $\{Q_n\}$ be a sequence of projections such that $\|Q - Q_n\| \rightarrow 0$, $Q_n < P_n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n^* x^* - x^*\| &= \|P_n^* Q^* x^* - Q^* x^*\| \leq \|P_n^* Q^* - Q^*\| = \|QP_n - Q\| \\ &\leq \|QP_n - Q_n P_n\| + \|Q_n P_n - Q\| \leq \|Q - Q_n\| (K + 1) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $P_n^* \rightarrow 1$ strongly. Proof of the convergence $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly is alike so we omit it.

Lemmas 1–4 are also valid in any Banach space.

Spectra and numerical ranges. The set $\Sigma_a(A)$ of all those $\lambda \in \Sigma(A)$ such that λ is an isolated point of $\Sigma(A)$ and $E(\lambda, A) = E(\{\lambda\}, A) \in F(H)$ is called the *discrete spectrum* of the operator A .

The set $\Sigma_e(A) = \Sigma(A) \setminus \Sigma_a(A)$ is called (Browder) *essential spectrum*. N. Salinas proved in [6]:

LEMMA 5.

$$\Sigma_e(A) = \bigcap_{1-P \in P_f(H)} \Sigma(PA|_{PH}).$$

The *numerical range* $W(A)$ of an $A \in L(H)$ is defined as

$$W(A) = \{\langle Ax, x \rangle; \|x\| = 1\},$$

the *essential numerical range* is given by the formula

$$W_e(A) = \bigcap_{K \in \text{LC}(H)} \overline{W(A + K)}.$$

It is known that $W(A)$, $W_e(A)$ are convex sets, that $\Sigma(A) \subset \overline{W(A)}$ and

$$(2) \quad R(\lambda, A) \leq (\bar{d}(\lambda, W(A)))^{-1} \quad \text{for } \lambda \notin W(A)$$

([3], V, Th. 3.2). Then next lemma gives a useful characterization of $W_e(A)$.

LEMMA 6. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\lambda \in W_e(A)$,
- (ii) $\langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle \rightarrow \lambda$ for some sequence of unit vectors such that $x_n \rightarrow 0$ weakly,
- (iii) $\lambda \in \bigcap_{1-P \in \mathcal{P}_r(H)} \overline{W(PA|_{PH})}$.

For a proof we send reader to [2] and [5].

These lemmas imply that $\Sigma_e(A) \subset W_e(A)$ so

$$(3) \quad \Sigma(A) \setminus W_e(A) \subset \Sigma_a(A).$$

$W_e(A)$ is a convex set, so it is obvious that the convex hull of $\Sigma_e(A)$ is contained in $W_e(A)$. N. Salinas proved in [4] that if $A \in L(H)$ is a hypo-normal operator then $\text{conv } \Sigma_e(A) = W_e(A)$.

Projectional methods. For a given operator $A \in L(H)$, and a given sequence $\{P_n\}$ of projections in H , we define operators

$$\bar{A}_n = P_n A|_{H_n} \in L(H_n) \quad \text{where } H_n = P_n H.$$

The following theorem holds:

THEOREM 1. *If $A \in L(H)$, Ω is a subset of the complex plane such that $\Omega \cap W_e(A) = \emptyset = \partial\Omega \cap \Sigma(A)$, $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of orthogonal projections in H , $P_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly then*

$$\|E(\Omega, A) - E(\Omega, \bar{A}_n)P_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

It is known, that if P, Q are projections such that $\|P - Q\| < 1$ then $\dim PH = \dim QH$ ([3], p. 33).

Using this result to projections $E(\Omega, A)$ and $E(\Omega, \bar{A}_n)P_n$, we obtain under the assumptions of Theorem 1 the following

COROLLARY 1. (i) $\text{dist}(\Omega \cap \Sigma(A), \Omega \cap \Sigma(\bar{A}_n)) \rightarrow 0$.

(ii) *If $\lambda \notin W_e(A)$ then $\lambda \in \Sigma(A)$ if and only if $\bar{d}(\lambda, \Sigma(\bar{A}_n)) \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof of Theorem 1.

I. We can choose a number $\varepsilon > 0$ in such a way that

$$\inf\{\|x - y\| : x \in \Omega, y \in W_e(A)\} > \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \Sigma(A) \cap \partial(W_e(A) + (\varepsilon)) = \emptyset.$$

Now put $G = W_e(A) + (\varepsilon)$, note that the set $\Sigma(A) \setminus G$ is a finite subset of $\Sigma_a(A)$ thus the projection $Q = E(G \setminus \Sigma(A))$ is of a finite dimension. Because $G \cap \Omega = \emptyset$ so $E(\Omega, A) < Q$, Lemma 3 implies that there exists a sequence $\{Q_n\}$ of projections in H such that $Q_n < P_n$, $\|Q_n - Q\| \rightarrow 0$.

Using the projections Q, Q_n we define some new operators:

$$C = A|_{(1-Q)H} : (1-Q)H \rightarrow (1-Q)H \subset H,$$

$$D = A|_{QH} : QH \rightarrow QH \subset H,$$

$$C_n = (1 - Q_n)A|_{(1-Q_n)H} : (1 - Q_n)H \rightarrow (1 - Q_n)H \subset H,$$

$$D_n = Q_n A|_{Q_n H} : Q_n H \rightarrow Q_n H \subset H_n \subset H,$$

$$\bar{C}_n = P_n C_n|_{(1-Q_n)H_n} : (1-Q_n)H_n \rightarrow (1-Q_n)H_n \subset H_n \subset H,$$

$$B_n = C_n(1 - Q_n) + D_n Q_n : H \rightarrow H,$$

$$\bar{B}_n = P_n B_n|_{H_n} : H_n \rightarrow H_n \subset H.$$

I have written the inclusions such as for example $H_n \subset H$ because the operators from $L(H_n)$ are sometimes understood as the operators from $L(H_n, H)$.

Note that

$$A = C(1 - Q) + DQ, \quad \bar{B}_n = (\bar{C}_n(1 - Q_n) + D_n Q_n)|_{H_n},$$

$$A - B_n = (Q_n - Q)A(1 - Q) + (1 - Q_n)A(Q_n - Q) + QA(Q - Q_n) + (Q - Q_n)AQ_n;$$

this implies that

$$\|A - B_n\| \leq \|Q_n - Q\| \|A\| (\|1 - Q\| + \|Q\| + \|Q_n\| + \|1 - Q_n\|)$$

so

$$(4) \quad \|A - B_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

This with the definitions of \bar{A}_n and \bar{B}_n implies

$$(5) \quad \|\bar{A}_n - \bar{B}_n\| \leq \|A - B_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Note also that

$$\Sigma(C) = \Sigma(A) \cap (G \setminus \partial G), \quad \Sigma(D) = \Sigma(A) \setminus G.$$

II. In this part I shall show that for n large enough $\Sigma(\bar{C}_n) \subset G$ and that there exists such number M that for n large enough

$$\|R(\lambda, \bar{C}_n)\| \leq M \quad \text{for } \lambda \notin G.$$

If this is not true we could find sequences $x_n \in H_n$, $\lambda_n \in G$ such that: $\|x_n\| = 1$, $\lambda_n \notin G$, and zero is a cluster point of the sequence $\|(\bar{C}_n - \lambda_n)x_n\|$.

The norms of the operators \bar{C}_n are bounded by a constant r independent of n , thus

$$\|(\bar{C}_n - \lambda_n)x_n\| \geq \|\lambda_n\| - \|\bar{C}_n\| \geq \|\lambda_n\| - r > 1 \quad \text{when } \|\lambda_n\| > r + 1.$$

This shows that the sequence λ_n is bounded. Choosing a subsequence and changing indices we can assume that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda_0$, $x_n \rightarrow x_0$ weakly, $\|(\bar{C}_n - \lambda_n)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$. Because $\lambda_n \notin G$ so

$$(6) \quad \lambda_0 \notin G \setminus \partial G.$$

An easy computation shows that

$$\|(\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Because $Q_n x_n = 0$ so $\|Qx_n\| = \|(Q - Q_n)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and for any $y \in H$

$$\langle Qx_0, y \rangle = \lim_n \langle Qx_n, y \rangle = 0;$$

hence

$$(7) \quad Qx_0 = 0.$$

The following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle - \lambda_0 &= \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle - \langle P_n(1 - Q_n)Ax_n, x_n \rangle + \langle (\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n, x_n \rangle \\ &= \langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle - \langle (1 - Q_n)Ax_n, x_n \rangle + \langle (\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n, x_n \rangle \\ &= \langle (Q_n - Q)Ax_n, x_n \rangle + \langle A(Q - Q_n)x_n, x_n \rangle + \langle (\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n, x_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain the last equality we use the relations $Q_n x_n = 0$ and $AQ = QA$. This identity implies that

$$|\langle Ax_n, x_n \rangle - \lambda_0| \leq 2\|A\|\|Q - Q_n\| + \|(\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n\| \rightarrow 0$$

but $\lambda_0 \notin W_e(A)$, this and Lemma 6 imply that $x_0 \neq 0$.

For any $y \in H$ the following identity holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (1 - Q)(A - \lambda_0)x_n, y \rangle &= \langle (1 - Q_n)(A - \lambda_0)x_n, P_n y \rangle + \\ &+ \langle (Q_n - Q)(A - \lambda_0)x_n, P_n y \rangle + \langle (1 - Q)(A - \lambda_0)x_n, y - P_n y \rangle \end{aligned}$$

and because

$$\langle (1 - Q_n)(A - \lambda_0)x_n, P_n y \rangle = \langle (\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n, y \rangle$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle (1 - Q)(A - \lambda_0)x_n, y \rangle| \\ &\leq \|(\bar{C}_n - \lambda_0)x_n\|\|y\| + \|Q_n - Q\|\|A - \lambda_0\|\|y\| + \|1 - Q\|\|A - \lambda_0\|\|y - P_n y\|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence in the limit we obtain that

$$\langle (1 - Q)(A - \lambda_0)x_0, y \rangle = 0,$$

but $y \in H$ is arbitrary, $x_0 \in (1 - Q)H$ so $Cx_0 = \lambda_0 x_0$. But $x_0 \neq 0$ so $\lambda_0 \in \Sigma(\mathcal{O}) \subset \mathcal{G} \setminus \partial\mathcal{G}$, this contradicts (6) and proves the statement of part II.

III. For n large enough $\Sigma(C_n) \subset \mathcal{G}$. This statement may be proved like part II, but note that in the special case when $P_n \equiv 1$, the projections Q_n may be defined to be the previous ones. Then $C_n = \bar{C}_n$, so this part is a simple corollary from part II.

IV. It is enough to prove the theorem in the case when the boundary $\partial\Omega$ of the set Ω is a regular Jordan curve with a finite length $|\partial\Omega|$.

Let $M_1 = \sup_{\lambda \in \partial\Omega} \|R(\lambda, A)\|$. (4) implies that for n large enough

$$\|A - B_n\| \|R(\lambda, A)\| \leq \|A - B_n\| M_1 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \partial\Omega.$$

Using Lemma 2 we see that for such n $\partial\Omega \subset \varrho(B_n)$ and for $\lambda \in \partial\Omega$ $\|R(\lambda, B_n)\| \leq 2M_1$, $\|R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, B_n)\| \leq 2M_1^2 \|A - B_n\|$. Hence

$$(8) \quad \|E(\Omega, A) - E(\Omega, B_n)\| = \left\| \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} (R(\lambda, A) - R(\lambda, B_n)) d\lambda \right\| \leq \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{2\pi} 2M_1^2 \|A - B_n\|.$$

Because $R(\lambda, B_n) = R(\lambda, C_n)(1 - Q_n) + R(\lambda, D_n)Q_n$ so

$$(9) \quad E(\Omega, B_n) = E(\Omega, C_n)(1 - Q_n) + E(\Omega, D_n)Q_n.$$

We have shown in part III that for n large enough $\Omega \subset C \setminus \mathcal{G} \subset \varrho(C_n)$ then $E(\Omega, C_n) = 0$, this with (8) and (9) imply that for n sufficiently large

$$(10) \quad \|E(\Omega, A) - E(\Omega, D_n)Q_n\| \leq \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\pi} M_1^2 \|A - B_n\|.$$

Because for n large enough $\partial\Omega \subset \varrho(B_n) = \varrho(C_n) \cap \varrho(D_n)$, $\Sigma(\bar{C}_n) \subset \mathcal{G} \subset C \setminus \Omega$ and $\varrho(\bar{B}_n) = \varrho(\bar{C}_n) \cap \varrho(D_n)$ so $\bar{\Omega} \subset \varrho(\bar{C}_n)$, $\partial\Omega \subset \varrho(\bar{B}_n)$. Hence

$$(11) \quad E(\Omega, B_n) = (E(\Omega, \bar{C}_n)(1 - Q_n) + E(\Omega, D_n)Q_n)|_{E_n} = E(\Omega, D_n)Q_n|_{E_n}.$$

The identity $R(\lambda, \bar{B}_n) = R(\lambda, \bar{C}_n)(1 - Q_n) + R(\lambda, D_n)Q_n$ together with part II of this proof implies that for $\lambda \in \partial\Omega$ and sufficiently large n

$$\|R(\lambda, \bar{B}_n)\| \leq \|Q_n\| (\|R(\lambda, \bar{C}_n)\| + \|R(\lambda, D_n)\|) \leq K(M + 2M_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} M_2,$$

where $K = \sup_n \|Q_n\|$, because $\|R(\lambda, D_n)\| \leq \|R(\lambda, B_n)\| \leq 2M_1$. So for n large enough

$$\|\bar{A}_n - \bar{B}_n\| \|R(\lambda, B_n)\| \leq \|A - B_n\| M_2 < \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \partial\Omega$$

and from Lemma 2 the following relations hold:

$$\partial\Omega \subset \varrho(\bar{A}_n),$$

$$\|R(\lambda, \bar{A}_n) - R(\lambda, \bar{B}_n)\| \leq 2\|A - B_n\| M_2^2 \quad \text{for } \lambda \in \partial\Omega.$$

Integrating this inequality along the curve $\partial\Omega$ we obtain

$$\|E(\Omega, \bar{A}_n) - E(\Omega, B_n)\| \leq \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\pi} M_2^2 \|A - B_n\|.$$

This with (10) and (11) imply

$$\|E(\Omega, A) - E(\Omega, \bar{A}_n)P_n\| \leq \frac{|\partial\Omega|}{\pi} (M_1^2 + M_2^2) \|A - B_n\| \rightarrow 0. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 7. If H is an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, $A \in L(H)$, $P_0 \in P_f(H)$, $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of complex numbers such that $\lambda_n \in W_\varepsilon(A)$, $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of positive numbers then there exists a sequence $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of orthogonal projections such that:

- (i) $P_{n+1}z = P_n z + \langle z, x_{n+1} \rangle x_{n+1}$ where $P_n x_{n+1} = 0$, $\|x_{n+1}\| = 1$;
- (ii) $\Sigma(A_{n+1}) = \Sigma(A_n) \cup \hat{\lambda}_{n+1}$ where $A_n = P_n A|_{P_n H}$, $|\lambda_n - \hat{\lambda}_n| \leq \varepsilon_n$;
- (iii) $A_n x_m = \hat{\lambda}_m x_m$, $0 < m \leq n$;
- (iv) if λ_n is an interior point of $W_\varepsilon(A)$ then $\lambda_n = \hat{\lambda}_n$.

Proof. Suppose the projection P_n is just defined. Then let Q_n be the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $P_n H + A P_n H + A^* P_n H$. Because $\lambda_{n+1} \in W_\varepsilon(A) \subset \overline{W((1-Q_n)A|_{(1-Q_n)H})}$ so there exists a unit vector x_{n+1} such that: $|\langle A x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} \rangle - \lambda_{n+1}| < \varepsilon_{n+1}$, $Q_n x_{n+1} = 0$. In this way we define one by one the projections P_n by the formula $P_{n+1}z = P_n z + \langle z, x_{n+1} \rangle x_{n+1}$ and the numbers $\hat{\lambda}_n = \langle A x_n, x_n \rangle$.

Note that if λ_n is an interior point of $W_\varepsilon(A)$ then for any $Q \in P_f(H)$ $\lambda_n \in W((1-Q)A|_{(1-Q)H})$, hence in this case we may choose x_n in such a way that $\lambda_n = \hat{\lambda}_n = \langle A x_n, x_n \rangle$.

Note that if $z = P_n z$ then $Az \perp x_{n+1}$, so

$$(12) \quad A_{n+1}z = P_{n+1} A P_n z = P_n A P_n z + \langle A z, x_{n+1} \rangle x_{n+1} = A_n z.$$

Note that $A^* P_n = Q_n A^* P_n$ so $P_n A Q_n = P_n A$, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n+1} x_{n+1} &= P_{n+1} A x_{n+1} = P_n A x_{n+1} + \langle A x_{n+1}, x_{n+1} \rangle x_{n+1} \\ &= P_n A Q_n x_{n+1} + \hat{\lambda}_{n+1} x_{n+1} = \hat{\lambda}_{n+1} x_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

This with (12) shows that $A_{n+1} = A_n \oplus (\hat{\lambda}_{n+1}|_{(P_{n+1}-P_n)H})$.

This by induction gives the thesis of the lemma.

COROLLARY 2. If $\{P_n\}$ is a sequence of finite dimensional orthogonal projections in H converging strongly to 1_H , S is any subset of $W_\varepsilon(A)$ then there exists a sequence Q_n such that $P_n < Q_n \in P_f(H)$ (so $Q_n \rightarrow 1$ strongly) and

$$\text{dist}(\Sigma(A_n) \cup S, \Sigma(\bar{A}_n)) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{with } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$A_n = P_n A|_{P_n H} \in L(P_n H), \quad \bar{A}_n = Q_n A|_{Q_n H} \in L(Q_n H).$$

Proof. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a finite subset S_ε of S such that $\text{dist}(S_\varepsilon, S) < \varepsilon$. It follows from the lemma that there exists a projection $Q_n > P_n$ such that $\text{dist}(\Sigma(A_n) \cup S_{1/n}, \Sigma(\bar{A}_n)) \leq 1/n$ hence

$$\text{dist}(\Sigma(A_n) \cup S, \Sigma(\bar{A}_n)) \leq 2/n. \quad \blacksquare$$

This corollary explains why in Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 the set $W_\varepsilon(A)$ cannot be substituted by any smaller set.

References

- [1] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, part I, New York 1958.
- [2] P. A. Filmore, J. G. Stampfli, and J. P. Williams, *On the essential numerical range, the essential spectrum and a problem of Halmos*, Acta Sci. Math. 33 (1972), pp. 179-192.
- [3] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1966.
- [4] N. Salinas, *Operators with essentially disconnected spectrum*, Acta Sci. Math. 33 (1972), pp. 193-205.
- [5] — *On the η -function of Brown and Pearcy and the numerical range of an operator*, Canad. J. Math. 23 (1971), pp. 565-578.
- [6] — *A characterization of the Browder essential spectrum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), pp. 369-373.

Received December 14, 1976

(1234)