

### Interpolation of $2^n$ Banach spaces

by

DICESAR LASS FERNANDEZ (Campinas, S. Paulo)

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce a theory of interpolation for several Banach spaces via a generalization of the  $J$  and  $K$ -methods of J. Peetre. The special feature is to consider  $2^n$  spaces. This enable us to prove the equivalence between the generalized  $J$  and  $K$ -methods and then to obtain a reiteration theorem. An application to Lorentz spaces, with mixed norms, is made.

#### I

**0. Introduction.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two measure spaces with  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively. We say that a complex valued  $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable function  $f$  on  $X \times Y$  belongs to  $L^q(L^p)$  if

$$\| \|f(x, y)\|_{L^p(X)} \|_{L^q(Y)} = \left\{ \int_Y \left\{ \int_X |f(x, y)|^p d\mu \right\}^{q/p} d\nu \right\}^{1/q} < \infty,$$

that is, if the iterated  $L^p$  norm of  $f$  is finite.

If  $g$  is a complex valued function on  $L^1 + L^\infty$ , the Hardy transform of  $g$  is defined by

$$g^{**}(t) = t^{-1} \|g\|_{L^1 + tL^\infty} = t^{-1} K(t, g; L^1, L^\infty),$$

(see Oklander [10] and Peetre [8]).

Now, if  $f$  is a  $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable function on  $X \times Y$ , it is natural to put

$$\begin{aligned} f^{**}(s, t) &= t^{-1} \|s^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1 + sL^\infty} \|_{L^1 + tL^\infty} \\ &= t^{-1} s^{-1} \|f\|_{(L^1 + tL^\infty)(L^1 + sL^\infty)}. \end{aligned}$$

After a formal multiplication, it is natural to, also, consider

$$f^{****}(s, t) = t^{-1} s^{-1} \|f\|_{L^1 + sL^1(L^\infty) + tL^\infty(L^1) + stL^\infty}.$$

The function norms  $f^{**}$  and  $f^{****}$  are equivalent (see [7]). These ideas enable us to introduce the following.



Let  $E_0, E_1, E_2,$  and  $E_3$  be four Banach spaces continuously embedded in the same topological vector space. If  $f \in E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3$  and  $s > 0, t > 0,$  we put

$$K(s, t; f) = \|f\|_{E_0 + sE_1 + tE_2 + stE_3} \\ = \inf_{\substack{f = \sum f_k \\ f_k \in E_k}} \{ \|f_0\|_{E_0} + s \|f_1\|_{E_1} + t \|f_2\|_{E_2} + st \|f_3\|_{E_3} \},$$

and we say that

$$f \in (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)_{\theta, Q; K},$$

where  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$  and  $Q = (q_1, q_2) \geq 1,$  if and only if

$$s_1^{-\theta_1} s_2^{-\theta_2} K(s_1, s_2; f) \in L_*^{q_2}(L_*^{q_1}).$$

If  $\theta = (\theta, \theta), Q = (q, q), E_0 = E_1,$  and  $E_2 = E_3,$  we will have

$$(E_1, E_2)_{\theta, q; K} = (E_1, E_1, E_2, E_2)_{\theta, Q; K}$$

with equivalence of norms; that is, our spaces are a generalization of Peetre's interpolation spaces (see [8]).

We will study first the theory for 2<sup>2</sup> spaces and then extend the theory to 2<sup>n</sup> spaces.

The interpolation of several Banach spaces was suggested by C. Foias and J. L. Lions [4]. The means method of Lions–Peetre for several Banach spaces was developed by Yoshikawa [11], and the complex method of Calderón by Favini [3]. The extension of Peetre's  $K$ -method was introduced by Sparr [9] and the author in two notes, [5] and [6]. We give a recursive definition for the  $K$  function norm and this enables us to obtain the equivalence theorem (with the  $J$ -method) and then the iteration theorem. We give also an application to Lorentz spaces with mixed norm (see [7]).

**1. Preliminaries.** We consider 4-tuples  $E = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$  of Banach spaces  $E_0, E_1, E_2,$  and  $E_3$  algebraic and continuously embedded in a some Hausdorff topological vector space  $V.$

If  $E = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$  is a 4-tuple of Banach spaces, we say that an element  $f \in V$  belongs to

$$\Sigma E = E_0 + E_1 + E_2 + E_3$$

if there exists  $f_k \in E_k, k = 0, 1, 2, 3,$  such that

$$f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3.$$

If the linear subspace  $E_0 \cap E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3$  of  $V$  is different from  $\{0\},$  it will be denoted by

$$\cap E.$$

The spaces  $\Sigma E$  and  $\cap E$  are Banach spaces, continuously embedded in  $V,$  under the norms

$$\|f\|_{\Sigma E} = \inf_{\substack{f = \sum f_k \\ f_k \in E_k}} \{ \|f_0\|_{E_0} + \|f_1\|_{E_1} + \|f_2\|_{E_2} + \|f_3\|_{E_3} \}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{\cap E} = \max \{ \|f\|_{E_0}, \|f\|_{E_1}, \|f\|_{E_2}, \|f\|_{E_3} \},$$

respectively.

We will call an *intermediate space* (with respect to  $E$ ) a Banach space  $E$  such that

$$\cap E \subset E \subset \Sigma E.$$

(in the sequel  $\subset$  will denote continuous embeddings).

Let  $E = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$  be a 4-tuple of Banach spaces and  $t = (t_1, t_2) > 0$  (that is,  $t_1 > 0$  and  $t_2 > 0$ ). If  $f \in \Sigma E,$  we set

$$K(t_1, t_2; f) = K(t; f) = K(t; f; E) \\ = \inf_{\substack{f = \sum f_k \\ f_k \in E_k}} \{ \|f_0\|_{E_0} + t_1 \|f_1\|_{E_1} + t_2 \|f_2\|_{E_2} + t_1 t_2 \|f_3\|_{E_3} \},$$

where  $f_k \in E_k, k = 0, 1, 2, 3.$

If  $g \in \cap E,$  we set

$$J(t_1, t_2; f) = J(t; f) = J_E(t; f) \\ = \max \{ \|f\|_{E_0}, t_1 \|f\|_{E_1}, t_2 \|f\|_{E_2}, t_1 t_2 \|f\|_{E_3} \}.$$

It is easy to see that  $K(t; f)$  and  $J(t; f)$  are *function norms* (they depend on the parameter  $t$ ) on  $\Sigma E$  and  $\cap E,$  respectively.

The following inequalities will be useful later.

Let  $f \in \Sigma E, g \in \cap E, s = (s_1, s_2) > 0$  and  $t = (t_1, t_2) > 0;$  then

$$K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq \max \{ 1, s_1 t_1^{-1}, s_2 t_2^{-2}, s_1 s_2 t_1^{-1} t_2^{-2} \} K(s_1, s_2; f), \\ \min \{ 1, s_1 t_1^{-1}, s_2 t_2^{-1}, s_1 s_2 t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1} \} K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq K(s_1, s_2; f), \\ \min \{ 1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2 \} \|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq K(t_1, t_2; f), \\ K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq \max \{ 1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2 \} \|f\|_{\Sigma E}, \\ \min \{ 1, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1} \} K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq \|f\|_{\Sigma E}, \\ \|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq \max \{ 1, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1} \} K(t; f), \\ \min \{ 1, t_1 s_1^{-1}, t_2 s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1} t_1 t_2 \} J(s_1, s_2; g) \leq J(t_1, t_2; g), \\ K(t_1, t_2; g) \leq \min \{ 1, t_1 s_1^{-1}, t_2 s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1} t_1 t_2 \} J(s_1, s_2; g), \\ \max \{ 1, s_1 t_1^{-1}, s_2 t_2^{-1}, s_1 s_2 t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1} \} K(t_1, t_2; g) \leq J(s_1, s_2; g).$$

The proof is straightforward.

Let  $E$  be a Banach space and  $1 \leq Q = (q_1, q_2) \leq \infty$ . The space  $L_*^Q(E) = L_*^Q(B_+^2, E)$  is the space of  $E$ -valued strongly measurable functions on  $B_+^2$ , with respect to the Haar measure

$$\frac{dt}{t} = \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2},$$

and such that

$$\|g\|_{L_*^Q(E)} = \| \|g\|_{L_*^{q_1}(E)} \|_{L_*^{q_2}} < \infty;$$

when  $E = \mathbf{R}$  or  $\mathbf{C}$  we will write  $L_*^Q$  instead of  $L_*^Q(E)$ .

1.1. PROPOSITION. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:

(1.1.1)  $0 < \theta_1 < 1, \quad 0 < \theta_2 < 1, \quad 1 \leq q_1, \quad q_2 \leq \infty;$

(1.1.2)  $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq 1, \quad 0 < \theta_2 < 1, \quad q_1 = \infty, \quad 1 \leq q_2 \leq \infty;$

(1.1.3)  $0 < \theta_1 < 1, \quad 0 \leq \theta_2 \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq q_1 \leq \infty, \quad q_2 = \infty;$

(1.1.4)  $0 \leq \theta_1 \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \theta_2 \leq 1, \quad q_1 = q_2 = \infty.$

Then

$$\|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2)\|_{L_*^Q} < \infty.$$

Proof. The proof follows from direct calculation.

2. The  $K$ -method and  $J$ -method. Let  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq Q = (q_1, q_2) \leq \infty$ .

We define the space

$$E_{\theta, Q; K} = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)_{\theta, Q; K}$$

to be the space of all elements  $f \in \Sigma E$  for which

$$t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} K(t_1, t_2; f) \in L_*^Q = L_*^{q_2}(L_*^{q_1}).$$

The spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  are Banach spaces under the norms

$$\|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; K}} = \|t^{-\theta} K(t; f)\|_{L_*^Q} = \| \|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} K(t_1, t_2; f)\|_{L_*^{q_1}} \|_{L_*^{q_2}}$$

when one of conditions (1.1.1), (1.1.2), (1.1.3) or (1.1.4) holds. In all other cases the space reduces to  $\{0\}$ .

We define the space

$$E_{\theta, Q; J} = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)_{\theta, Q; J}$$

to be the space of all elements  $f \in \Sigma E$  for which there exists a strongly measurable function  $u = u(s_1, s_2)$  with values in  $\cap E$  such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}$$

and

$$s_1^{-\theta_1} s_2^{-\theta_2} J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \in L_*^Q(L_*^{q_1}).$$

The spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; J}$  are meaningful when one of conditions (1.1.1), (1.1.2), (1.1.3) or (1.1.4) holds. In all other cases the space reduces to  $\{0\}$ .

They are Banach spaces under the norms

$$\|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; J}} = \inf \{ \|s_1^{-\theta_1} s_2^{-\theta_2} J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2))\|_{L_*^Q(L_*^{q_1})} \},$$

where the infimum is taken on all  $u$  such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}.$$

2.1. PROPOSITION. The spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  and  $E_{\theta, Q; J}$  are intermediate spaces with respect to  $E = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$ , that is, we have

(2.1.1)  $\cap E \subset E_{\theta, Q; K} \subset \Sigma E$

and

(2.1.2)  $\cap E \subset E_{\theta, Q; J} \subset \Sigma E.$

Proof. Let  $f \in \cap E$ ; then

$$\|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq \|f\|_{\cap E}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K(t_1, t_2; f) &\leq \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2) \|f\|_{\Sigma E} \\ &\leq \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2) \|f\|_{\cap E}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; K}} \leq \|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2)\|_{L_*^Q} \|f\|_{\cap E},$$

that is, the first embedding in (2.1.1). The second embedding in (2.1.1) follows from the inequality

$$\|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq \{ \|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2)\|_{L_*^Q} \}^{-1} \|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; K}}.$$

Now, let  $f \in E_{\theta, Q; J}$ ,  $s = (s_1, s_2) > 0$  and  $t = (t_1, t_2) > 0$ . Then by the Hölder inequality (see [2]) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\Sigma E} &\leq \| \|u(s_1, s_2)\|_{\Sigma E} \|_{L_*^1} \\ &\leq \| \{ \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}) \} J(s_1, s_2; f) \|_{L_*^1} \\ &\leq \| s_1^{\theta_1} s_2^{\theta_2} \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}) \|_{L_*^Q} \| s_1^{\theta_1} s_2^{\theta_2} J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \|_{L_*^Q}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $Q + Q' = QQ'$ . But

$$\|s_1^{\theta_1} s_2^{\theta_2} \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1})\|_{L_*^Q} = \|s_1^{-\theta_1} s_2^{-\theta_2} \min(1, s_1, s_2, s_1 s_2)\|_{L_*^Q} < \infty,$$

then

$$\|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq C \|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; J}},$$

where  $C$  is a constant that depends only on  $\theta$  and  $Q$ .

To prove the continuity of the second embedding consider a non-negative function  $\psi = \psi(t_1, t_2)$  such that

$$\|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \psi(t_1, t_2)\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} = 1.$$

Putting

$$u(t_1, t_2) = \frac{\psi(t_1, t_2) \min(1, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1})}{\|\psi(s_1, s_2) \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q}} f,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi(s_1, s_2) \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; J}} \\ & \leq \|\psi(s_1, s_2) \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} J(t_1, t_2; u(t_1, t_2))\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \\ & \leq \|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \psi(t_1, t_2) \min(1, t_1^{-1}, t_2^{-1}, t_1^{-1} t_2^{-1}) J(t_1, t_2; f)\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \\ & \leq \|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \psi(t_1, t_2)\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \|f\|_{\cap E} \\ & \leq \|f\|_{\cap E}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the supremum with respect to  $\psi$ , we get

$$\|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; J}} \leq \{\|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \min(1, s_1^{-1}, s_2^{-1}, s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q}\}^{-1} \|f\|_{\cap E},$$

and this inequality completes the proof of (2.1.2).

We have also the following

**2.2. PROPOSITION.** *Let  $f \in E_{\theta, Q; K}$ . Then*

$$K(s_1, s_2; f) \leq s_1^{\theta_1} s_2^{\theta_2} \{\|t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2)\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q}\}^{-1} \|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; K}}.$$

*Proof.* We have

$$\min(1, t_1 s_1^{-1}, t_2 s_2^{-1}, t_1 t_2 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1}) K(s_1, s_2; f) \leq K(t_1, t_2; f).$$

Then

$$\|t_1^{\theta_1} t_2^{\theta_2} \min(1, t_1 s_1^{-1}, t_2 s_2^{-1}, t_1 t_2 s_1^{-1} s_2^{-1})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} K(s_1, s_2; f) \leq \|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; K}}.$$

Now, a change of variables gives the result.

**3. On the equivalence of the  $\tilde{K}$  and  $J$ -methods.** We start with the following theorem.

**3.1. PROPOSITION.** *For  $0 < \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) < 1$ ,  $1 \leq P = (p_1, p_2) \leq Q = (q_1, q_2) \leq \infty$ ,*

$$(3.1.1) \quad E_{\theta, P; J} \subset E_{\theta, Q; K}.$$

*Proof.* If  $f \in E_{\theta, P; J}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(t_1, t_2; f) & \leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty K(t_1, t_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \\ & \leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \min(1, t_1 s_1^{-1}, t_2 s_2^{-1}, t_1 s_1^{-1} t_2 s_2^{-1}) J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \min(\dots) J(\dots) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}.$$

Now, putting

$$\min(1, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}) = \min(1, s_1 t_1, s_2 t_2, s_1 t_1 s_2 t_2),$$

by Young's inequality (see [1]) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{t}^{-\theta} K(\mathbf{t}; f)\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} & \leq \left\| \|\mathbf{s}^{-\theta} \mathbf{t}^{-\theta} \min(1, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{s}^{-1}) \mathbf{s}^{\theta} J(\mathbf{s}; u(\mathbf{s}))\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \right\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^Q} \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{t}^{-\theta} m(1, 1, \mathbf{t}^{-1})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^R} \|\mathbf{t}^{-\theta} J(\mathbf{t}; u(\mathbf{t}))\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^P}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/R = 1 - (1/P - 1/Q)$ , for all representations.

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2}.$$

Thus

$$\|f\|_{E_{\theta, Q; K}} \leq \|\mathbf{t}^{-\theta} \min(1, 1, \mathbf{t})\|_{L_{\mathbb{R}}^R} \|f\|_{E_{\theta, P; J}}$$

which proves the proposition.

In the next proposition we show that inclusion (3.1.1) may be reversed. For this purpose we need the following non-trivial extension of Peetre's lemma ([8]).

**3.2. LEMMA.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma E$ , for which there exist constants*

$$0 < \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad C = C(f),$$

*such that*

$$(3.2.1) \quad K(\mathbf{s}; f) \leq C(f) \mathbf{s}^{\theta}.$$

*Then there exists a strongly measurable function  $u = u(s_1, s_2)$  in  $\cap E$  satisfying*

$$(3.2.2) \quad f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}$$

and

$$(3.2.3) \quad J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \leq (4e)^2 K(s_1, s_2; f).$$

Proof. Let  $E = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$  and  $E_{01} = E_0 + E_1$  and  $E_{23} = E_2 + E_3$  with the norms

$$\|\cdot\|_{01} = \|\cdot\|_{E_0+E_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|_{23} = \|\cdot\|_{E_2+E_3},$$

respectively. We will have

$$K_{01}(s_1; f_{01}) + s_2 K_{23}(s_1; f_{23}) \leq K(s_1, s_2; f),$$

where  $f = f_{01} + f_{23}$  with  $f_{01} \in E_{01}$  and  $f_{23} \in E_{23}$ . Now, taking  $s_2 = 1$  and using (3.2.1), we have

$$K_{01}(s_1; f_{01}) \leq C s_1^{\theta_1} \quad \text{and} \quad K_{23}(s_1, f_{23}) \leq C s_1^{\theta_1}.$$

Now, by Peetre's lemma there exist strongly measurable functions  $u = u(s_1) \in E_0 \cap E_1$  and  $v = v(s_1) \in E_2 \cap E_3$  such that

$$f_{01} = \int_0^\infty u(s_1) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \quad (\text{in } E_0 + E_1)$$

and

$$f_{23} = \int_0^\infty v(s_1) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \quad (\text{in } E_2 + E_3).$$

Furthermore,

$$J_{01}(s_1; u(s_1)) \leq 4e K_{01}(s_1; f_{01})$$

and

$$J_{23}(s_1; v(s_1)) \leq 4e K_{23}(s_1; f_{23}).$$

Putting  $w = u + v$ , we see that there exists a strongly measurable function  $w = w(s_1)$  in  $E_0 \cap E_1 + E_2 \cap E_3$  such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty w(s_1) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \quad (\text{in } \Sigma E).$$

Now, putting  $E^{01} = E_0 \cap E_1$  and  $E^{23} = E_2 \cap E_3$  under norms

$$\|\cdot\|^{01} = \|\cdot\|_{E_0 \cap E_1} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\cdot\|^{23} = \|\cdot\|_{E_2 \cap E_3},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(s_2, w(s_1)) &\leq J_{01}(s_1; u(s_1)) + s_2 J_{23}(s_1; v(s_1)) \\ &\leq 4e \{K_{01}(s_1; f_{01}) + s_2 K_{23}(s_1; f_{23})\} \\ &\leq 4e K(s_1, s_2; f). \end{aligned}$$

Fixing  $s_1$ , we have

$$K(s_2; w(s_1)) \leq C' (f) s_2^{\theta_2}.$$

Again, by Peetre's lemma, there exists a strongly measurable function  $u = u(s_1, s_2)$  in  $E^{01} \cap E^{23}$  such that

$$w(s_1) = \int_0^\infty u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_2}{s_2}$$

and

$$J(s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \leq 4e K(s_2; w(s_1)).$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) &= J(s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \\ &\leq 4e K(s_2; w(s_1)) \\ &\leq (4e)^2 K(s_1, s_2; f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}.$$

3.3. PROPOSITION. Let  $0 < \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) < 1$  and  $1 \leq Q = (q_1, q_2) \leq \infty$ . Then

$$E_{\theta, Q; K} \subset E_{\theta, Q; J}.$$

Proof. Taking  $f \in E_{\theta, Q; K}$  and  $t = (t_1, t_2) \leq s = (s_1, s_2)$ , we have

$$K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq K(s_1, s_2; f).$$

If  $E(t) = E(t_1, t_2) = (t_1, \infty) \times (t_2, \infty)$ , then

$$K(t; f) \|s^{-\theta} \chi_{E(t)}(s)\|_{L^Q} \leq \|s^{-\theta} K(s; f) \chi_{E(t)}(s)\|_{L^Q},$$

and this implies

$$K(t; f) \leq t^\theta \|f\|_{\theta, Q; K}.$$

Now, by Lemma 3.2, there exists a strongly measurable function  $u = u(t) = u(t_1, t_2)$  in  $\cap E$  such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2},$$

$$J(t_1, t_2; u(t_1, t_2)) \leq (4e)^2 K(t_1, t_2; f).$$

Hence

$$\|t^{-\theta} J(t; u(t))\|_{L^Q} \leq (4\theta)^2 \|t^{-\theta} K(t; f)\|_{L^Q}$$

and we see also that

$$\|u\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^E)} \leq \|t^{-\theta} m(1, t)\|_{L^Q} \|t^{-\theta} J(t; u(t))\|_{L^Q} < \infty,$$

where  $1/Q + 1/Q' = 1$  and  $m(1, t) = \min(1, t_1, t_2, t_1 t_2)$ . This completes the proof.

Combining the results of the latter two propositions, we obtain the following theorem.

3.4. THEOREM. For the intermediate spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  and  $E_{\theta, Q; J}$ ; we have

$$(3.4.1) \quad E_{\theta, Q; K} = E_{\theta, Q; J}$$

with equivalence of norms.

3.5. COROLLARY. For  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $1 \leq P \leq Q$  we have

$$E_{\theta, P; K} \subset E_{\theta, Q; K},$$

in particular,

$$E_{\theta, 1; K} \subset E_{\theta, Q; K} \subset E_{\theta, \infty; K}$$

(here  $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$  and  $\infty = (\infty, \infty)$ ).

4. Reiteration theorems.

4.1. DEFINITION. We say that an intermediate space  $E$  of  $E = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$  belongs to

(i) the class  $K(\theta, E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \leq 1$  if

$$(4.1.1) \quad K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq C_1 t_1^{\theta_1} t_2^{\theta_2} \|f\|_E \quad (f \in E),$$

(ii) the class  $J(\theta, E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \leq 1$  if

$$\|f\|_E \leq C_2 t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} J(t_1, t_2; f) \quad (f \in E)$$

(iii) the class  $H(\theta, E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \leq 1$ , if it belongs to the class  $K(\theta, E)$  as well as to  $J(\theta, E)$ .

The next proposition gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an intermediate space  $E$  of  $E$  to belong to one of the class defined above.

4.2. PROPOSITION. An intermediate space  $E$  of  $E$  belongs to

(a)  $K(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , if and only if

$$(4.2.1) \quad E \subset E_{\theta, \infty; K},$$

(b)  $J(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , if and only if

$$(4.2.2) \quad E_{\theta, 1; J} \subset E,$$

(c)  $H(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ , if and only if

$$(4.2.3) \quad E_{\theta, 1; J} \subset E \subset E_{\theta, \infty; K}.$$

Proof. Part (a) follows by the definition of  $E_{\theta, \infty; K}$  and  $K(\theta; E)$ .

To prove part (b), we first show that if  $E \in J(\theta; E)$ , then  $E_{\theta, 1; J} \subset E$ . Indeed, if  $f \in E_{\theta, 1; J}$ , then there is a strongly measurable function  $u = u(t_1, t_2)$  in  $\cap E$  such that

$$(4.2.4) \quad f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(t_1, t_2) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2}$$

and

$$t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} J(t_1, t_2; u(t_1, t_2)) \in L^1_*$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_E &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \|u(t_1, t_2)\|_E \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2} \\ &\leq C \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} J(t_1, t_2; u(t_1, t_2)) \frac{dt_1}{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and this holds for all  $u = u(t_1, t_2)$  that satisfy (4.2.4), and thus

$$(4.2.5) \quad \|f\|_E \leq C \|f\|_{\theta, 1; J}.$$

Now, assume (4.2.5). For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $t = (t_1, t_2) > 0$  set

$$\psi_n(s_1, s_2) = \begin{cases} n^2 & \text{if } t_i e^{1/n} \leq s_i < t_i, i = 1, 2; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For each  $f \in \cap E$ , let  $u_n(s_1, s_2) = \psi_n(s_1, s_2)f$ . Thus

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u_n(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{\theta, 1; J} &\leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty s_1^{-\theta_1} s_2^{-\theta_2} J(s_1, s_2; u_n(s_1, s_2)) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \\ &\leq n^2 \int_{t_2 e^{1/n}}^{t_2} \int_{t_1 e^{1/n}}^{t_1} s_1^{-\theta_1} s_2^{-\theta_2} J(s_1, s_2; f) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \\ &\leq t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} J(t_1, t_2; f). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}} \leq \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}, \mathbf{1}, J} \leq C t_1^{-\theta_1} t_2^{-\theta_2} J(t_1, t_2; f)$$

proving part (b).

Parts (a) and (b) give part (c).

**4.3. PROPOSITION.** Let  $\Theta_0 = (\theta_0^1, \theta_0^2)$ ,  $\Theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2)$ ,  $\Theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2)$  and  $\Theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2)$  such that  $0 < \theta_0^1 < \theta_1^1 < \theta_2^1 < 1$  and  $0 < \theta_0^2 < \theta_1^2 < \theta_2^2 < 1$ . Put  $\Theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$  where  $\theta^1 = (1-\lambda^1)\theta_0^1 + \lambda^1\theta_3^1$  and  $\theta^2 = (1-\lambda^2)\theta_0^2 + \lambda^2\theta_3^2$ , for  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$ . Let  $F_\kappa$  be an intermediate space that belongs to  $K(\Theta_\kappa; \mathbf{E})$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and  $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3)$ . Then

$$F_{\lambda, Q; K, F} \subset E_{\Theta, Q; K, F}.$$

Proof. Let  $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 \in F_{\lambda, Q; K}$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, t_2) > 0$ . Then

$$K(\mathbf{t}; f_0) \leq C_0 t^{\Theta_0} \|f_0\|_{F_0} = C_0 t_0^{\theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_0^2} \|f_0\|_{F_0},$$

$$K(\mathbf{t}; f_1) \leq C_1 t^{\Theta_1} \|f_1\|_{F_1} = C_1 t_1^{\theta_1^1} t_2^{\theta_1^2} \|f_1\|_{F_1},$$

$$K(\mathbf{t}; f_2) \leq C_2 t^{\Theta_2} \|f_2\|_{F_2} = C_2 t_1^{\theta_2^1} t_2^{\theta_2^2} \|f_2\|_{F_2},$$

$$K(\mathbf{t}; f_3) \leq C_3 t^{\Theta_3} \|f_3\|_{F_3} = C_3 t_1^{\theta_3^1} t_2^{\theta_3^2} \|f_3\|_{F_3}.$$

Since  $t^{\Theta_1 - \Theta_0} = t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1} t_2^{-\theta_0^2}$ ,  $t^{\Theta_2 - \Theta_0} = t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}$  and  $t^{\Theta_3 - \Theta_0} = t_1^{\theta_3^1 - \theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_3^2 - \theta_0^2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} K(\mathbf{t}; f) &\leq K(\mathbf{t}; f_0) + K(\mathbf{t}; f_1) + K(\mathbf{t}; f_2) + K(\mathbf{t}; f_3) \\ &\leq C_0 t^{\Theta_0} \|f_0\|_{F_0} + C_1 t^{\Theta_1} \|f_1\|_{F_1} + C_2 t^{\Theta_2} \|f_2\|_{F_2} + C_3 t^{\Theta_3} \|f_3\|_{F_3} \\ &\leq C t_1^{\theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_0^2} \{ \|f_0\|_{F_0} + t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1} \|f_1\|_{F_1} + t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2} \|f_2\|_{F_2} + \\ &\quad + t_1^{\theta_3^1 - \theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_3^2 - \theta_0^2} \|f_3\|_{F_3} \}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C = \max\{C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3\}.$$

Hence

$$K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq C t_1^{\theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_0^2} K(t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}, t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}; f)$$

and

$$t_1^{-\theta_1^1} t_2^{-\theta_2^2} K(t_1, t_2; f) \leq C t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2} K(t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}, t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}; f).$$

Putting

$$s_1 = t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1} \quad \text{and} \quad s_2 = t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}$$

yields

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}, Q; K} \leq C (\theta_2^1 - \theta_0^1)^{1/\alpha_1} (\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2)^{1/\alpha_2} \|s_1^{\frac{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}{\theta_2^1 - \theta_0^1}} s_2^{\frac{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}} K(s_1, s_2; f)\|_{L^Q}.$$

For  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$ , define

$$\theta^1 = (1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_2^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2.$$

Then

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{E}, Q; K} \leq C^1 \|s_1^{\lambda^1} s_2^{\lambda^2} K(s_1, s_2; f)\|_{L^Q} \leq C^1 \|f\|_{\lambda, Q; K}.$$

**4.4. PROPOSITION.** Let  $\Theta_0 = (\theta_0^1, \theta_0^2)$ ,  $\Theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2)$ ,  $\Theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2)$  and  $\Theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2)$  such that  $0 \leq \theta_0^1 < \theta_1^1 \leq 1$  and  $0 \leq \theta_0^2 < \theta_1^2 \leq 1$ . Put  $\Theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$  where  $\theta^1 = (1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_2^1$  and  $\theta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2$ . For  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$ . Let  $F_\kappa$  be an intermediate space that belongs to  $J(\Theta_\kappa; \mathbf{E})$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and  $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3)$ . Then

$$E_{\Theta, Q; J} \subset F_{\lambda, Q; J}.$$

Proof. Let  $f \in E_{\Theta, Q; J}$ . Then there exists  $u = u(s_1, s_2) \in \cap \mathbf{E}$  such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}$$

and

$$s_1^{-\theta_1^1} s_2^{-\theta_2^2} J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1, s_2)) \in L_*^Q.$$

Since  $F_\kappa \in J(\theta_\kappa, \mathbf{E})$ , we have

$$\|u(s)\|_{F_0} \leq C_0 t^{\Theta_0} J(\mathbf{t}, u(s)) = C_0 t_0^{\theta_0^1} t_2^{\theta_0^2} J(t_1, t_2; u(s_1, s_2)),$$

$$\|u(s)\|_{F_1} \leq C_1 t^{\Theta_1} J(\mathbf{t}, u(s)) = C_1 t_1^{\theta_1^1} t_2^{\theta_1^2} J(t_1, t_2; u(s_1, s_2)),$$

$$\|u(s)\|_{F_2} \leq C_2 t^{\Theta_2} J(\mathbf{t}, u(s)) = C_2 t_1^{\theta_2^1} t_2^{\theta_2^2} J(t_1, t_2; u(s_1, s_2)),$$

$$\|u(s)\|_{F_3} \leq C_3 t^{\Theta_3} J(\mathbf{t}, u(s)) = C_3 t_1^{\theta_3^1} t_2^{\theta_3^2} J(t_1, t_2; u(s_1, s_2)),$$

and since

$$t^{\Theta_0 - \Theta_1} = t_0^{\theta_0^1 - \theta_1^1} t_2^{-\theta_1^2}, \quad t^{\Theta_0 - \Theta_2} = t_2^{\theta_0^2 - \theta_2^2}, \quad t^{\Theta_0 - \Theta_3} = t_1^{\theta_0^1 - \theta_3^1} t_2^{\theta_0^2 - \theta_3^2},$$

we have also

$$\begin{aligned} J'(s, u(s)) &= \max\{\|u(s)\|_{F_0}, s_1 \|u(s)\|_{F_1}, s_2 \|u(s)\|_{F_2}, s_1 s_2 \|u(s)\|_{F_3}\} \\ &\leq \max\{C_0 t^{\Theta_0}, s_1 C_1 t^{\Theta_1}, s_2 C_2 t^{\Theta_2}, s_1 s_2 C_3 t^{\Theta_3}\} J(\mathbf{t}; u(s)) \\ &\leq C t^{\Theta_0} \max\{1, s_1 t^{\Theta_0 - \Theta_1}, s_2 t^{\Theta_0 - \Theta_2}, s_1 s_2 t^{\Theta_0 - \Theta_3}\} J(\mathbf{t}; u(s)) \\ &\leq C t^{\Theta_0} \max\{1, s_1 t_1^{\theta_0^1 - \theta_1^1}, s_2 t_2^{\theta_0^2 - \theta_2^2}, s_1 s_2 t_1^{\theta_0^1 - \theta_3^1} t_2^{\theta_0^2 - \theta_3^2}\} J(\mathbf{t}; u(s)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $C = \max\{C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3\}$ .



Let

$$s_1 = t_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}, \quad s_2 = t_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2};$$

then

$$J'(s; u(s)) \leq C s_1^{\frac{-\theta_0^1}{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}} s_2^{\frac{-\theta_0^2}{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}} J(s_1^{\frac{1}{(\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1)}, s_2^{\frac{1}{(\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2)}}}; u(s_1, s_2))$$

and putting

$$\Theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2) = ((1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_1^1, (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2) = (1 - \lambda) \Theta_0 + \lambda \Theta_3$$

yields

$$s^{\lambda} J'(S; u(s)) \leq C s_1^{\frac{\theta^1}{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}} s_2^{\frac{\theta^2}{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}} J(s_1^{\frac{1}{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}, s_2^{\frac{1}{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}}}; u(s_1, s_2)),$$

and

$$\|s^{\lambda} J'(s, u(s))\|_{L^Q} \leq C \|s_1^{-\theta_1^1} s_2^{-\theta_2^2} J(s_1, s_2; u(s_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}, s_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}))\|_{L^Q}.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f}{(\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1)(\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2)} &= \frac{1}{(\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1)(\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2)} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} u(s_1^{\theta_1^1 - \theta_0^1}, s_2^{\theta_2^2 - \theta_0^2}) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}, \end{aligned}$$

and this gives finally

$$\|f\|_{\lambda, Q, J'} \leq C \|f\|_{\Theta, Q, J}.$$

As a corollary of equivalence theorem and Propositions 4.3 and 4.4 we have the main result of this section: the so-called *reiteration theorem*.

**4.5. THEOREM.** Let  $\Theta_0 = (\theta_0^1, \theta_0^2)$ ,  $\Theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2)$ ,  $\Theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2)$  and  $\Theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2)$  such that  $0 < \theta_0^1 < \theta_1^1 < 1$  and  $0 < \theta_0^2 < \theta_2^2 < 1$ . Put, for  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$ ,  $\Theta^1 = (1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_1^1$  and  $\Theta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2$ . Let  $F_{\kappa}$  be an intermediate space that belongs to  $H(\theta_{\kappa}, E)$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2$ , and 3. If  $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3)$ , then

$$E_{\Theta, Q, K} = F_{\lambda, Q, K}.$$

**5. Interpolation theorems.** Let  $E_0 = (E_0, E_1, E_2, E_3)$  to  $F = (F_0, F_1, F_2, F_3)$  be two 4-tuples of Banach spaces in  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively.

**5.1. PROPOSITION.** Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\Sigma E$  to  $\Sigma F$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to each  $E_{\kappa}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\kappa}$  to  $F_{\kappa}$ . Then, the restriction of  $T$  to  $E_{\Theta, Q, K}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\Theta, Q, K}$  to  $F_{\Theta, Q, K}$ .

Proof. Let  $C_{\kappa} > 0$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , be given such that for  $g \in E_{\kappa}$  we have

$$\|Tg\|_{F_{\kappa}} \leq C_{\kappa} \|g\|_{E_{\kappa}}.$$

If  $f = f_0 + f_1 + f_2 + f_3 \in E_{\Theta, Q, K}$ , where  $f_{\kappa} \in E_{\kappa}$ , we have

$$\|Tf_{\kappa}\|_{F_{\kappa}} \leq C \|f_{\kappa}\|_{E_{\kappa}} \quad (\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3).$$

Now, putting  $C = \max(C_0, C_1, C_2, C_3)$  and taking  $f \in E_{\Theta, Q, K}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} K_F(t; Tf) &\leq \|Tf_0\|_{F_0} + t_1 \|Tf_1\|_{F_1} + t_2 \|Tf_2\|_{F_2} + t_1 t_2 \|Tf_3\|_{F_3} \\ &\leq C_0 \|f_0\|_{E_0} + C_1 t_1 \|f_1\|_{E_1} + C_2 t_2 \|f_2\|_{E_2} + C_3 t_1 t_2 \|f_3\|_{E_3} \\ &\leq C \{ \|f_0\|_{E_0} + t_1 \|f_1\|_{E_1} + t_2 \|f_2\|_{E_2} + t_1 t_2 \|f_3\|_{E_3} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$K_F(t; Tf) \leq CK_E(t; f)$$

and

$$\|Tf\|_{F_{\Theta, Q, K}} \leq C \|f\|_{E_{\Theta, Q, K}}.$$

**5.2. COROLLARY.** Let  $X = (E_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K})_{\kappa=0,1,2,3}$  and  $Y = (F_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K})_{\kappa=0,1,2,3}$  where  $\Theta_0 = (\theta_0^1, \theta_0^2)$ ,  $\Theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2)$ ,  $\Theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2)$ , and  $\Theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2)$ . Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\Sigma X$  to  $\Sigma Y$ , such that the restriction of  $T$  to each  $E_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K}$  to  $F_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K}$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ , and

$$\|Tf\|_{F_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K}} \leq C_{\kappa} \|f\|_{E_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K}},$$

where  $f \in E_{\Theta_{\kappa}, Q, K}$  and  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{F_{\lambda, Q, K}} \leq C \|f\|_{E_{\Theta, Q, K}}$$

where  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$  and  $\Theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$  is defined by

$$\Theta^1 = (1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_1^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Theta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2.$$

Proof. The proof follows from 5.1 and 4.4.

**5.3. PROPOSITION.** Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\Sigma E$  to  $\Sigma F$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to each  $E_{\kappa}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\kappa}$  to  $F_{\kappa}$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then, the restriction of  $T$  to  $E_{\Theta, Q, J}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\Theta, Q, J}$  to  $F_{\Theta, Q, J}$ .

Proof. For each  $u = u(s)$  in  $\cap E$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for  $s$  in  $R_+^2$  we have

$$J(s, Tu) \leq CJ(s; u).$$

Let  $f \in E_{\Theta, Q, J}$  and  $u = u(s_1, s_2)$  be such that

$$f = \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} u(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}.$$



Putting  $v = Tu$ , we have

$$Tf = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty Tu(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2} = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty v(s_1, s_2) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_2}{s_2}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, Q; J}} &\leq \|s^{-\theta} J(s, v(s))\|_{L^Q} \\ &\leq C \|s^{-\theta} J(s; u(s))\|_{L^Q} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, Q; J}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, Q; J}}.$$

**5.4. COROLLARY.** Let  $X = (\mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; J})_{n=0,1,2,3}$  and  $Y = (\mathcal{F}_{\theta_n, Q; J})_{n=0,1,2,3}$  where  $\theta_0 = (\theta_0^1, \theta_0^2)$ ,  $\theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2)$ ,  $\theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2)$  and  $\theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2)$ . Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\Sigma X$  to  $\Sigma Y$  such that the restriction of  $T$  to each  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; J}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $\mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; J}$  to  $\mathcal{F}_{\theta_n, Q; J}$ , that is, there exist constants  $C_n$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\theta_n, Q; J}} \leq C_n \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; J}}$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; J}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, Q; J}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, Q; J}}$$

where  $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$  is defined by

$$\theta^1 = (1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_2^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2$$

with  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows from 5.3 and 4.5.

Now, the main interpolation theorem can be stated.

**5.5. THEOREM.** Let  $X = (\mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; K})_{n=0,1,2,3}$  and  $Y = (\mathcal{F}_{\theta_n, Q; K})_{n=0,1,2,3}$  and let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\Sigma X$  to  $\Sigma Y$  for which there exist constants  $C_n > 0$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\theta_n, Q; K}} \leq C_n \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; K}},$$

where  $f \in \mathcal{E}_{\theta_n, Q; K}$ . Suppose that  $\theta_0 = (\theta_0^1, \theta_0^2)$ ,  $\theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2)$ ,  $\theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2)$ ,  $\theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2)$ . Then, if  $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$  is defined by

$$\theta^1 = (1 - \lambda^1) \theta_0^1 + \lambda^1 \theta_2^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^2 = (1 - \lambda^2) \theta_0^2 + \lambda^2 \theta_2^2$$

where  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2) < 1$ , there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\theta, Q; K}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, Q; K}}.$$

Furthermore, if  $1 \leq P \leq Q$ , we have

$$\|Tf\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\theta, Q; K}} \leq C \|f\|_{\mathcal{E}_{\theta, P; K}}.$$

*Proof.* The proof follows from the interpolation theorems 5.2 and 5.4 and the reiteration theorems 4.4 and 4.5.

**6. Embedding in high dimensions.** Let  $(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1)$  be a Banach couple. The following result holds.

**6.1. THEOREM.** If  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta, \theta) \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq Q = (q, q) \leq \infty$ , then

$$(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1)_{\theta, q, K} = (\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_1)_{\theta, Q; K}$$

with equivalence of norms.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in (\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_1)_{\theta, Q; K}$  and  $f = f' + f''$ , where  $f' \in \mathcal{E}_0$  and  $f'' \in \mathcal{E}_1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} K(s, t; f) &= \inf \{K_1(s; f') + tK_2(s, f'')\} \\ &\geq \inf \{\min(1, s) \|f'\|_{\mathcal{E}_0 + \mathcal{E}_0} + t \min(1, s) \|f''\|_{\mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_1}\} \\ &\geq \min(1, s) \inf \{\|f'\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} + t \|f''\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where the infimum are taken on all decompositions  $f = f' + f''$ . Now

$$s^{-\theta} t^{-\theta} K(s, t; f) \geq \{s^{-\theta} \min(1, s)\} \{t^{-\theta} K(t; f)\}$$

and so

$$\|s^{-\theta} t^{-\theta} K(s, t; f)\|_{L^Q_2(\mathbb{R}^2_+) } \geq \|s^{-\theta} \min(1, s)\|_{L^Q_2} \|t^{-\theta} K(t; f)\|_{L^Q_2},$$

that is,

$$(\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1)_{\theta, q, K} \supset (\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_1)_{\theta, Q; K}.$$

Reciprocally, let  $f \in (\mathcal{E}_0, \mathcal{E}_1)_{\theta, q, K}$ . Then, there exists an  $\mathcal{E}_0 \cap \mathcal{E}_1$ -valued function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty u(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

Now, let  $\varphi$  be a non-negative function in  $C_c(0, \infty)$  with  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset (1, \infty)$  and such that

$$\int_0^\infty \varphi(s) \frac{ds}{s} = 1.$$

Defining  $v(s, t) = \varphi(s)u(t)$ , we will have

$$f = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty v(s, t) \frac{ds}{s} \frac{dt}{t}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J(s, t; v(s, t)) &\leq \max \{ \max \{ \|v(s, t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0}, s \|v(s, t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_0} \}, \\ &\quad t \max \{ \|v(s, t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1}, s \|v(s, t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \} \} = \varphi(s) \max(1, s) J(t; u). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$s^{-\theta} t^{-\theta} J(s, t; v(s, t)) \leq s^{-\theta} \varphi(s) \max(1, s) t^{-\theta} J(t; u(t)),$$

and so

$$\|s^{-\theta} t^{-\theta} J(s, t; v(s, t))\|_{L^2(X_0^2)} \leq \|s^{-\theta} \varphi(s) \max(1, s)\|_{L^2} \|t^{-\theta} J(t; u(t))\|_{L^2}.$$

Taking infimum, we obtain

$$\|f\|_{\theta, Q; J} \leq C \|f\|_{\theta, Q; J}.$$

That is

$$(E_0, E_1)_{\theta, Q; J} \subset (E_0, E_0, E_1, E_1)_{\theta, Q; K}.$$

## II. GENERALIZATION

We have studied the theory of interpolation for 4-tuples of Banach spaces. Now we deal with the  $2^n$ -tuples case. Except for notation problems the only difficulty is the well setting of the "hiper-parallelepiped" condition.

**7. General definitions.** A Banach  $m$ -tuple is a family  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_m)$  of Banach spaces embedded in a Hausdorff vector topological space  $V$ .

The space  $\Sigma E$  is the linear hull of this family and the space  $\bigcap E$  is defined in an obvious way. They are Banach spaces under the norms

$$x \in \Sigma E \Rightarrow \|x\|_{\Sigma E} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{x=1}^m \|x_x\|_{E_x}; x = \sum X_x, x_x \in E_x \right\},$$

and

$$x \in \bigcap E \Rightarrow \|x\|_{\bigcap E} = \max_{1 \leq x \leq m} \|x\|_{E_x},$$

respectively. Furthermore, the spaces  $\bigcap E$  and  $\Sigma E$  are continuously embedded in  $V$ .

A Banach space  $E$  is an *intermediate space* with respect to the  $m$ -tuple  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_m)$  if the following (algebraic and topological) inclusions hold:

$$\bigcap E \subset E \subset \Sigma E.$$

**8. The generalized  $K$  and  $J$ -function norms.** We will define the  $K$  and  $J$ -function norms by recurrence.

Let  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_m)$  be a Banach  $m$ -tuple and  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  a  $n$ -tuple of positive numbers.

Case  $m = 2$ . If  $f \in E_1 + E_2$  and  $g \in E_1 \cap E_2$ , we put

$$K(t; f) = \inf \{ \|f_1\|_{E_1} + t \|f_2\|_{E_2} \mid f = f_1 + f_2, f_i \in E_i, i = 1, 2 \}$$

and

$$J(t; g) = \max \{ \|g\|_{E_1}, t \|g\|_{E_2} \}.$$

Case  $m = 4$ . If  $f \in E_1 + E_2 + E_3 + E_4$  and  $g \in E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3 \cap E_4$ , let

$$E' = (E_1, E_2) \quad \text{and} \quad E'' = (E_3, E_4).$$

Now put

$$\begin{aligned} K(t_1, t_2; f) &= \inf \{ K'(t_1; f') + t_2 K''(t_1; f'') \mid f = f' + f'', f' \in E', f'' \in E'' \} \\ &= \inf \{ \|f_1\|_{E_1} + t_1 \|f_2\|_{E_2} + t_2 \|f_3\|_{E_3} + t_1 t_2 \|f_4\|_{E_4} \mid \\ &\quad f = \sum f_i, f_i \in E_i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J(t_1, t_2; g) &= \max \{ J'(t_1; g), t_2 J''(t_1; g) \} \\ &= \max \{ \|g\|_{E_1}, t_1 \|g\|_{E_2}, t_2 \|g\|_{E_3}, t_1 t_2 \|g\|_{E_4} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Case  $m = 2^n$ . If  $f \in \Sigma E$  and  $g \in \bigcap E$ , let

$$E' = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^{n-1}}) \quad \text{and} \quad E'' = (E_{2^{n-1}+1}, \dots, E_{2^n}).$$

Now put

$$\begin{aligned} K(t; f) &= K(t_1, \dots, t_n; f) \\ &= \inf \{ K'(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}; f') + t_n K''(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}; f'') \mid \\ &\quad f = f' + f'', f' \in \Sigma E', f'' \in \Sigma E'' \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} J(t; g) &= J(t_1, \dots, t_n; g) \\ &= \max \{ J'(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}; g), t_n J''(t_1, \dots, t_{n-1}; g) \}. \end{aligned}$$

To generalize Proposition 1.1 we will need to define the following.

Let  $\mathbf{I} = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_n) > 0$ . If  $n = 1$ , put

$$m_1(\mathbf{I}, t) = \min(1, t),$$

$$M_1(\mathbf{I}, t) = \max(1, t).$$

If  $n = 2$ , put

$$m_2(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t}) = \min(m_1(\mathbf{I}, t_1), t_2 m_1(\mathbf{I}, t_1)),$$

$$M_2(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t}) = \max(M_1(\mathbf{I}, t_1), t_2 M_2(\mathbf{I}, t_1)).$$

For the general case put  $\tilde{t} = (t_1, \dots, t_{n-1})$ . Then

$$m_n(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t}) = \min\{m_{n-1}(\mathbf{I}, \tilde{t}), t_n m_{n-1}(\mathbf{I}, \tilde{t})\},$$

$$M_n(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t}) = \max\{\max(\mathbf{I}, \tilde{t}), t_n \max(\mathbf{I}, \tilde{t})\}.$$

If no confusion arises we will write  $m_n(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t}) = m(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t})$  and  $M_n(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t}) = M(\mathbf{I}, \mathbf{t})$ .

Now it is not hard to see that the following proposition holds.

8.1. PROPOSITION. If  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, st = (s_1 t_1, \dots, s_n t_n), s^{-1} = (s_1^{-1}, \dots, s_n^{-1}), f \in \Sigma E$  and  $g \in \bigcap E$ , then

$$(8.1.1) \quad m(\mathbf{1}, ts^{-1})K(s; f) \leq K(t; f) \leq M(\mathbf{1}, ts^{-1})K(s; f),$$

$$(8.1.2) \quad m(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})\|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq K(t; f) \leq M(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})\|f\|_{\Sigma E},$$

$$(8.1.3) \quad m(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})K(t; f) \leq \|f\|_{\Sigma E} \leq M(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})K(t; f),$$

$$(8.1.4) \quad m(\mathbf{1}, st^{-1})J(s; g) \leq J(t; g) \leq M(\mathbf{1}, ts^{-1})J(s; g),$$

$$(8.1.5) \quad m(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})\|g\|_{\bigcap E} \leq J(t; g) \leq M(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})\|g\|_{\bigcap E},$$

$$(8.1.6) \quad m(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})J(t; g) \leq \|g\|_{\bigcap E} \leq M(\mathbf{1}, t^{-1})J(t; g),$$

$$(8.1.7) \quad K(t; g) \leq m(\mathbf{1}, ts^{-1})J(s; g),$$

$$(8.1.8) \quad M(\mathbf{1}, st^{-1})K(t; g) \leq J(s; g).$$

8.2. PROPOSITION. Let  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \leq 1$  and  $1 \leq Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \leq \infty$ . Suppose  $q_j = \infty$  if and only if  $\theta_j = 0$  or  $\theta_j = 1$ . Then

$$\|t^{-\theta} m(\mathbf{1}, t)\|_{L_*^Q} = \|\dots \|t_1^{-\theta_1} \dots t_n^{-\theta_n} m(\mathbf{1}, t_1, \dots, t_n)\|_{L_*^{q_1}} \dots \|_{L_*^{q_n}} < \infty.$$

Proof. Cases  $n = 1$  and  $n = 2$  follow by direct calculation and the general case by induction.

Observe that Proposition 8.2 generalises Proposition 1.1.

9. The  $K$ - and  $J$ -methods. Let  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})$  a Banach  $2^n$ -tuple,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) \leq 1$ , and  $1 \leq Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) < \infty$ . Suppose further  $\theta_j = 0$  or  $\theta_j = 1$  if and only if  $q_j = \infty$ . We define the space

$$E_{\theta, Q; K} = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})_{\theta, Q; K}$$

to be the space of elements  $f \in \Sigma E$  for which

$$t^{-\theta} K(t; f) = t_1^{-\theta_1} \dots t_n^{-\theta_n} K(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in L_*^Q = L_*^{q_1}(\dots(L_*^{q_n})\dots).$$

The spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  are Banach space under the norms

$$\|f\|_{\theta, Q; K} = \|t^{-\theta} K(t; f)\|_{L_*^Q} = \|\dots \|t_1^{-\theta_1} \dots t_n^{-\theta_n} K(t_1, \dots, t_n; f)\|_{L_*^{q_1}} \dots \|_{L_*^{q_n}}$$

$< \infty$ .

We define the space

$$E_{\theta, Q; J} = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})_{\theta, Q; J}$$

to be the space of all elements  $f \in \Sigma E$  for which there exists a strongly measurable function  $u = u(s) = u(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  with values in  $\bigcap E$  and such that

$$f = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty u(s_1, \dots, s_n) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_n}{s_n}$$

and

$$s_1^{-\theta_1} \dots s_n^{-\theta_n} J(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in L_*^Q.$$

The spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; J}$  are meaningful. They are Banach space under the norms

$$\|f\|_{\theta, Q; J} = \inf \{ \|s_1^{-\theta_1} \dots s_n^{-\theta_n} J(s_1, \dots, s_n; u(s_1, \dots, s_n))\|_{L_*^Q} \},$$

where the infimum is taken on all representation

$$f = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty u(s_1, \dots, s_n) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_n}{s_n}.$$

Furthermore, we have

9.1. PROPOSITION. The spaces  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  are intermediate spaces with respect to the Banach  $2^n$ -tuple  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})$ . That is,

$$(9.1.1) \quad \bigcap E \subset E_{\theta, Q; K} \subset \Sigma E,$$

$$(9.1.2) \quad \bigcap E \subset E_{\theta, Q; J} \subset \Sigma E.$$

9.2. PROPOSITION. Let  $f \in E_{\theta, Q; K}$  and  $g \in E_{\theta, Q; J}$ . Then we have

$$K(s; f) \leq s^\theta \{ \|t^{-\theta} m(\mathbf{1}, t)\|_{L_*^Q} \}^{-1} \|f\|_{\theta, Q; K}.$$

### 10. On the equivalence.

10.1. PROPOSITION. For  $0 < \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) < 1$  and  $1 \leq P = (p_1, \dots, p_n) \leq Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \leq \infty$  the following algebraic and topological inclusion holds:

$$E_{\theta, P; J} \subset E_{\theta, Q; K}.$$

To reverse this inclusion the following lemma, that generalizes Lemma 3.2, will be useful.

10.2. LEMMA. Let  $f \in \Sigma E$  for which there exist constants  $0 < \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) < 1$  and  $C = C(f)$  such that

$$(10.2.1) \quad K(s_1, \dots, s_n; f) \leq C(f) s_1^{\theta_1} \dots s_n^{\theta_n}.$$

Then there exists a strongly measurable function  $u = u(s_1, \dots, s_n)$  in  $\bigcap E$  satisfying

$$(10.2.2) \quad f = \int_0^\infty \dots \int_0^\infty u(s_1, \dots, s_n) \frac{ds_1}{s_1} \frac{ds_n}{s_n}$$

and

$$(10.2.3) \quad J(s_1, \dots, s_n; u(s_1, \dots, s_n)) \leq (4\theta)^n K(s_1, \dots, s_n; f).$$

Proof. The case  $n = 2$  is Proposition 3.2. The general case follows by induction.

Lemma 9.2 implies the following

10.3. PROPOSITION. Let  $0 < \theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n) < 1$  and  $1 \leq Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \leq \infty$ . Then

$$E_{\theta, Q; K} \subset E_{\theta, Q; J}.$$

Combining the results of Propositions 10.1 and 10.3, the following theorem arises.

10.4. THEOREM. *The intermediate spaces  $E_{\theta,Q;K}$  and  $E_{\theta,Q;J}$  coincide;*

$$(10.4.1) \quad E_{\theta,Q;K} = E_{\theta,Q;J}$$

with equivalence of norms.

10.5. COROLLARY. *For  $0 < \theta < 1$  and  $1 \leq P \leq Q$  we have*

$$E_{\theta,P;K} \subset E_{\theta,Q;K}.$$

In particular,

$$E_{\theta,I,K} \subset E_{\theta,Q;K} \subset E_{\theta,\infty,K}.$$

(Here  $I = (1, \dots, 1)$  and  $\infty = (\infty, \dots, \infty)$ .)

**11. On the reiteration.**

11.1. DEFINITION. We say that an intermediate space  $E$  of  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})$

(i) belongs to the class  $K(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \leq 1$ , if

$$(11.1.1) \quad K(t; f) \leq Ct^\theta \|f\|_E \quad (f \in E);$$

(ii) belongs to the class  $J(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \leq 1$ , if

$$(11.1.2) \quad \|f\|_E \leq t^{-\theta} J(t; f) \quad (f \in \bigcap E);$$

(iii) belongs to the class  $H(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \leq 1$ , if it belongs to the class  $K(\theta; E)$  as well as to the class  $J(\theta; E)$ .

The next proposition gives necessary and sufficient conditions for an intermediate space  $E$  of  $E$  to belong to one of the above defined classes. The proof is analogous to the case  $n = 2$ .

11.2. PROPOSITION. *An intermediate space  $E$  of  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})$*

(i) belongs to  $K(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \leq 1$ , if and only if

$$(11.2.1) \quad E \subset E_{\theta,\infty,K};$$

(ii) belongs to  $J(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \leq 1$ , if and only if

$$(11.2.2) \quad E_{\theta,I;J} \subset E;$$

(iii) belongs to  $H(\theta; E)$ ,  $0 \leq \theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n) \leq 1$ , if and only if

$$(11.2.3) \quad E_{\theta,I;J} \subset E \subset E_{\theta,\infty,K}.$$

11.3. To state reiterations theorems in the case  $2^n$  we will need to define a sequence  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2^n})$  with the "hyper-parallelepiped" condition.

Let

$$\theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \dots, \theta_1^1, \dots, \theta_1^n, \dots, \theta_1^n)$$

and

$$\theta_{2^n} = (\theta_{2^n}^1, \dots, \theta_{2^n}^1, \dots, \theta_{2^n}^n, \dots, \theta_{2^n}^n)$$

be two fixed  $n$ -tuples such that  $0 \leq \theta_j^i < \theta_{2^n}^j \leq 1$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .

If  $n = 2$  and  $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , let  $\theta_j$  be defined by

$$\theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \theta_1^2), \quad \theta_2 = (\theta_2^1, \theta_2^2), \quad \theta_3 = (\theta_3^1, \theta_3^2), \quad \theta_4 = (\theta_4^1, \theta_4^2).$$

Suppose, now, the sequence is already defined for  $j > 2$ .

To define it for  $n = j + 1$ , let  $(\theta_{k,j})_{1 \leq k \leq 2^j}$  be the sequence yet defined for  $n = j$  (here  $\theta_{1,j} = (\theta_1^1, \dots, \theta_1^1)$  and  $\theta_{2^j,j} = (\theta_{2^n}^1, \dots, \theta_{2^n}^1)$ ). Then, for  $1 \leq k \leq 2^j$ , put

$$\theta_{k,j+1} = (\theta_{k,j}, \theta_1^{j+1})$$

and

$$\theta_{k+2^j,j+1} = (\theta_{k,j}, \theta_{2^n}^{j+1}).$$

The sequence  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2^n})$  is defined for  $n = j + 1$ .

For the sequence  $(\theta_k)$ , just defined by the above process, it will be said that it satisfies the hyper-parallelepiped condition associated with the  $n$ -tuples  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_{2^n}$ .

11.4. PROPOSITION. *Let  $\theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \dots, \theta_1^n)$  and  $\theta_{2^n} = (\theta_{2^n}^1, \dots, \theta_{2^n}^n)$  be two  $n$ -tuple such that  $0 \leq \theta_1^j < \theta_{2^n}^j \leq 1$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and assume that  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2^n})$  is the associated sequence which satisfies the "hyper-parallelepiped" condition. Also, let  $0 < \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) < 1$  and  $\theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n)$  be such that  $\theta^j = (1 - \lambda^j) \theta_1^j + \lambda^j \theta_{2^n}^j$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ .*

Now, let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_{2^n})$  be a family of  $2^n$  intermediate spaces with respect to  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})$  such that, for  $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$ , the space  $F_k$  belongs to

(i) the class  $K(\theta_k; E)$ ; then

$$(11.4.1) \quad F_{\lambda,Q;K} \subset E_{\theta,Q;K};$$

(ii) the class  $J(\theta_k; E)$ ; then

$$(11.4.2) \quad E_{\theta,Q;J} \subset F_{\lambda,Q;J}.$$

This proposition and the Equivalence Theorem gives the following Reiteration Theorem.

11.4. THEOREM. *Let  $\theta_1 = (\theta_1^1, \dots, \theta_1^n)$  and  $\theta_{2^n} = (\theta_{2^n}^1, \dots, \theta_{2^n}^n)$  be two  $n$ -tuples such that  $0 < \theta_1^j < \theta_{2^n}^j < 1$ , for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_{2^n})$ , and  $\lambda$  as in Proposition 11.4.*

Now, let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_{2^n})$  be a family of  $2^n$  intermediate spaces with respect to  $E = (E_1, \dots, E_{2^n})$  such that, for  $k = 1, \dots, 2^n$ , the space  $F_k$  belongs to the class  $H(\theta_k; E)$ . Then

$$(11.5.1) \quad E_{\theta,Q;K} = F_{\lambda,Q;K}.$$

**12. Interpolation theorems.** Let  $E = (E_0, \dots, E_{2^n})$  and  $F = (F_0, \dots, F_{2^n})$  be two Banach  $2^n$ -tuples in  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively. The general form of Propositions 5.1 and 5.3 reads:

**12.1. PROPOSITION.** Let  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $\Sigma E$  into  $\Sigma F$  such that the restriction of  $T$  on each  $E_k$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_k$  into  $F_k$ . Then,

(i) the restriction of  $T$  on  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  into  $F_{\theta, Q; K}$ ;

(ii) the restriction of  $T$  on  $E_{\theta, Q; J}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\theta, Q; J}$  into  $F_{\theta, Q; J}$ .

This proposition, the equivalence theorem 10.4 and the reiteration theorem, gives as a corollary

**12.2. THEOREM.** Suppose that  $\Theta_{\lambda^i}$ ,  $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n$ , satisfy the "hyperparallelepiped" condition. Let  $X = (E_{\theta, Q; K})_{\lambda=1, \dots, 2^n}$  and  $Y = (F_{\theta, Q; K})_{\lambda=1, \dots, 2^n}$ . Then the restriction of  $T$  to  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  on  $F_{\theta, Q; K}$ ,  $\lambda = 1, \dots, 2^n$ . Then the restriction of  $T$  to  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  is a bounded linear transformation from  $E_{\theta, Q; K}$  into  $F_{\theta, Q; K}$ , where  $\Theta = (\theta^1, \dots, \theta^n)$  is defined by  $\theta^i = (1 - \lambda^i)\theta + \lambda^i\theta$ ,  $0 < \lambda^i < 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

### III. APPLICATIONS

**13. Lorentz spaces with mixed norms.** Let  $(Z, \rho)$  be an  $\sigma$ -finite measure space and consider  $\rho$ -measurable real or complex function, for  $Z$ . The distribution function of  $f$  is defined by

$$m_f(\lambda) = \rho\{z \in Z \mid |f(z)| > \lambda > 0\}.$$

This is a non-negative, non-increasing and continuous from the right function of  $\lambda > 0$ . The non-increasing rearrangement of  $f$  onto  $(0, \infty)$  is defined by

$$f^*(t) = m_{m_f}(t) = |\{\lambda > 0 \mid m_f(\lambda) > t > 0\}| \\ = \sup\{\lambda > 0 \mid m_f(\lambda) > t > 0\} = \inf\{\lambda > 0 \mid m_f(\lambda) \leq t\}.$$

It is clear that  $f^*$  is a non-negative, non-increasing and continuous from the right function onto  $(0, \infty)$ . Finally, if  $s > 0$ , we define the integral mean function or the Hardy transformation by

$$f^{**}(s) = \frac{1}{s} \int_0^s f^*(t) dt.$$

J. Peetre [8] and E. T. Oklander [10] gave the following abstract characterization of the integral mean function. Let  $L^1 + L^\infty$  be the space of  $\rho$ -measurable functions on  $Z$  such that there exist functions  $f_1 \in L^1(Z)$  and  $f_2 \in L^\infty(Z)$  for which  $f = f_1 + f_2$ .

Now, putting for  $s > 0$

$$\|f\|_{L^1+sL^\infty} = \inf\{\|f_1\|_{L^1} + s\|f_2\|_{L^\infty} \mid f = f_1 + f_2 \text{ and } f_1 \in L^1, f_2 \in L^\infty\},$$

we have

$$f^{**}(s) = s^1 \|f\|_{L^1+sL^\infty}.$$

We will introduce a generalization of this fact.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be two measure spaces with  $\sigma$ -finite measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , respectively. The space of the complex valued  $\mu \times \nu$ -measurable functions on  $X \times Y$  will be denoted by  $M = M(X \times Y)$ . Let  $f \in M(X \times Y)$ . Then, for each  $y$  fixed in  $Y$ ,  $f_y(x) = f(x, y)$  is an  $\mu$ -measurable function on  $X$  and it makes sense to put

$$F(y) = \|f(\cdot, y)\|_{L^1+sL^\infty}$$

and we will have the following transformation suggested by E. T. Oklander

$$f^{**}(s, t) = \|F\|_{L^1+tL^\infty} = \|\|f(x, y)\|_{L^1+sL^\infty}\|_{L^1+tL^\infty} \quad (s > 0, t > 0).$$

We say that  $f \in (L^1 + tL^\infty)(L^1 + sL^\infty)$  if  $f^{**}(s, t) < \infty$ . The function norm  $f^{**}(s, t)$  is a norm on  $(L^1 + tL^\infty)(L^1 + sL^\infty)$ . If we change the parameters  $s$  and  $t$  we obtain equivalent norms.

Also, we say that  $f \in L^1 + sL^1(L^\infty) + tL^\infty(L^1) + stL^\infty$  if there exist  $f_1(x, y) \in L^1$ ,  $f_2(x, y) \in L^1(L^\infty)$ ,  $f_3(x, y) \in L^\infty(L^1)$  and  $f_4(x, y) \in L^\infty$  such that

$$f = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4.$$

This is a Banach space under the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^1+sL^1(L^\infty)+tL^\infty(L^1)+stL^\infty}$$

defined by

$$\inf\{\|f_1\|_{L^1} + s\|f_2\|_{L^1(L^\infty)} + t\|f_3\|_{L^\infty(L^1)} + st\|f_4\|_{L^\infty}\}.$$

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^4 f_i$$

We have the following result (see [7]):

**13.1. PROPOSITION.** The spaces  $(L^1 + tL^\infty)(L^1 + sL^\infty)$  and  $L^1 + L^1(L^\infty) + L^\infty(L^1) + L^\infty$  are equal and for all  $s > 0$  and  $t > 0$  we have

$$\|f\|_{(L^1+tL^\infty)(L^1+sL^\infty)} \leq \|f\|_{L^1+sL^1(L^\infty)+tL^\infty(L^1)+stL^\infty} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^1+L^1(L^\infty)+L^\infty(L^1)+L^\infty}.$$

Let now  $P = (p_1, p_2)$ ,  $Q = (q_1, q_2)$  with  $1 \leq P, Q \leq \infty$  and  $f \in M(X \times Y)$ . We say that  $f \in L^{PQ} = L^{PQ}(X \times Y)$  if

$$\|f\|_{L^{PQ}} = \|s^{1/p_1} t^{1/p_2} f^{**}(s, t)\|_{L^{q_2}(L^{q_1})} < \infty.$$

We can show that (see [7] for a complete treatment)

$$L^{PQ} = L^{p_2 q_2}(L^{p_1 q_1}),$$

with equivalence of norms, that is, the space  $L^{PQ}$  is the Lorentz space with mixed norm.

Our next result says that the Lorentz spaces with mixed norm are intermediate space with respect to  $L^1$ ,  $L^1(L^\infty)$ ,  $L^\infty(L^1)$ , and  $L^\infty$ .

13.2. PROPOSITION. Let  $1 \leq P < \infty$  and  $1 \leq Q < \infty$  or  $1 \leq P \leq \infty$  and  $Q = \infty$ . If  $\theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2)$ , where  $\theta^1 = 1 - 1/p_1$  and  $\theta^2 = 1 - 1/p_2$ , we have

$$(L^1, L^1(L^\infty), L^\infty(L^1), L^\infty)_{\theta, Q; K} = L^{PQ}$$

with equivalence of norms.

Proof. Putting  $E_0 = L^1$ ,  $E_1 = L^1(L^\infty)$ ,  $E_2 = L^\infty(L^1)$ , and  $E_3 = L^\infty$ , we have for  $f \in \Sigma E$

$$K(s, t; f) = st f^{****}(s, t).$$

The following interpolation theorem of the Marcinkiewicz–Stein–Weiss–Calderón theorem type is an immediate consequence of Theorem 11.2 (see [1] and [7]).

Let  $X \times Y$  and  $V \times W$  be two  $\sigma$ -finite measure spaces.

13.3. THEOREM. Let  $1 < P_\kappa < \infty$  and  $1 < Q < \infty$ ,  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$  such that

$$P_0 = (p_0^1, p_0^2), \quad P_1 = (p_1^1, p_0^2), \quad P_2 = (p_0^1, p_1^2), \quad P_3 = (p_1^1, p_1^2),$$

and

$$Q_0 = (q_0^1, q_0^2), \quad Q_1 = (q_1^1, q_0^2), \quad Q_2 = (q_0^1, q_1^2), \quad Q_3 = (q_1^1, q_1^2)$$

and also  $p_0^1 < p_1^1$ ,  $p_0^2 < p_1^2$ ,  $q_0^1 < q_1^1$  and  $q_0^2 < q_1^2$ . Let  $T$  be a sublinear operator that maps  $L^{P_\kappa \times 1} = L^{P_\kappa \times 1}(X \times Y)$  into  $L^{Q_\kappa \times \infty} = L^{Q_\kappa \times \infty}(V \times W)$  and suppose that there exist constants  $C_\kappa > 0$ , such that for all  $f \in L^{P_\kappa \times 1}$

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{Q_\kappa \times \infty}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{P_\kappa \times 1}},$$

for  $\kappa = 0, 1, 2, 3$ . Then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $f \in L^{PR}$  we have

$$\|Tf\|_{L^{QS}} \leq C \|f\|_{L^{PR}},$$

where

$$\frac{1}{P} = \frac{1-\theta}{P_0} + \frac{\theta}{P_3}, \quad \frac{1}{Q} = \frac{1-\theta}{Q_0} + \frac{\theta}{Q_3},$$

for

$$0 < \theta = (\theta^1, \theta^2) < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq P \leq R.$$

## References

- [1] C. Ballester De Pereyra, *Sobre la continuidad débil y magra en  $L^p(L^q)$  y su aplicación a operadores potenciales*, Tese de Doutorado, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1963.
- [2] A. Benedeck and R. Panzone, *The spaces  $L^p$ , with mixed norm*, Duke Math. J. 28 (1961), pp. 301–324.
- [3] A. Favini, *Su una estensione del metodo d'interpolazione complesso*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 47 (1972), pp. 244–298.
- [4] C. Foiaş and J.-L. Lions, *Sur certains théorèmes d'interpolation*, Acta Szeged 22 (1961), pp. 269–282.
- [5] D. Lass Fernandez, *Famílias de interpolação e os espaços de Lorentz, para normas mistas*, Atas da 3a. Quinzena de Anal. Func. e Eq. Dif. Parciais. Soc. Bras. Mat. e IMPA (1970), pp. 229–237.
- [6] — *A theory of interpolation for 4-tuples of Banach spaces*, Anais Academia Brasileira de Ciências 46 (1974), pp. 690–692.
- [7] — *The Lorentz spaces, with mixed norms*, J. Func. Anal. 25 (1977), pp. 128–146.
- [8] J. Peetre, *Sur le nombre de paramètres dans la définition de certains espaces de interpolation*, Recherche di Mat. 12 (1963), pp. 248–261.
- [9] G. Sparr, *Interpolation of several Banach spaces*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 99 (1974), pp. 247–316.
- [10] E. T. Oklander, *Interpolación, espacios de Lorentz y teorema de Marcinkiewicz*, Cursos e Seminários de Matemática, Fas. 20, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 1965.
- [11] A. Yoshikawa, *Sur la théorie d'espaces d'interpolation, les espaces de moyenne de plusieurs espaces de Banach*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo (3) 16 (1970), pp. 407–468.

UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS  
DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMATICA  
CAMPINAS - S. PAULO - BRASIL

Received February 22, 1977

(1270)