

**On isomorphisms between certain subalgebras of  $B(X)$**

by

H. I. BROWN, F. P. CASS\* and I. J. W. ROBINSON (London, Ontario)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space and let  $B(X)$  denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  with the norm given by  $\|T\| = \sup \{\|Tx\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , for  $T \in B(X)$ .

Wilansky [11] introduced two classes of subalgebras of  $B(X)$ ,  $[\Omega_w]$  and  $[\Gamma_w]$ , defined as follows:

$$\Omega_w = \{T \in B(X) : T^{**}w \in w \oplus \hat{X}\}, \quad w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$$

and

$$\Gamma_w = \{T \in B(X) : T^{**}w \in \langle w \rangle\}, \quad w \in X^{**}.$$

Brown and Cho [1] have studied the subalgebras  $\Omega_w$  and  $\Gamma_w$  in the special case where  $X = c$ , the Banach space of convergent sequences. In this paper their results are examined in the case of general  $X$ . Where the results of [1] extend, the proofs are simplified and in one case the result is improved in the case  $X = c$ . It is shown that  $\Omega_w$  and  $\Gamma_w$  are the commutants of an operator in  $B(X)$  only in trivial instances. The form of an algebraic isomorphism between  $\Gamma_w$  and  $\Gamma_s$  for  $w, s \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$  is determined, and from this it is shown that the subalgebras  $\Gamma_w$  are not all isomorphic when  $X = l_1$  in contrast to the case where  $X = c$  as shown in [1].

**1. Introduction.** Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space and let  $B(X)$  denote the Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on  $X$  with the norm given by  $\|T\| = \sup \{\|Tx\| : \|x\| \leq 1\}$ , for  $T \in B(X)$ .

Wilansky [11] introduced two classes of subalgebras of  $B(X)$ ,  $\{\Omega_w\}$  and  $\{\Gamma_w\}$ , defined as follows:

$$(1) \quad \Omega_w = \{T \in B(X) : T^{**}w \in w \oplus \hat{X}\}, \quad w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$$

and

$$(2) \quad \Gamma_w = \{T \in B(X) : T^{**}w \in \langle w \rangle\}, \quad w \in X^{**}.$$

Here  $X^{**}$  is the second dual of  $X$ ,  $\hat{X}$  is the image of  $X$  under the natural embedding of  $X$  into  $X^{**}$ ,  $T^{**}$  is the adjoint of the adjoint  $T^*$  of  $T$ ,  $w \oplus \hat{X} = \{\lambda w + \hat{x} : \lambda \text{ is a scalar and } \hat{x} \in \hat{X}\}$ , and  $\langle w \rangle = \{\lambda w : \lambda \text{ is a scalar}\}$ . It is convenient for some purposes to extend the definition of  $\Omega_w$  to elements  $w \in \hat{X}$ . We take  $\Omega_w = B(X)$  when  $w \in \hat{X}$ . This is natural, because for every  $T \in B(X)$  we have  $T^{**}(\hat{X}) \subseteq \hat{X}$ .

\*This paper was written while the second author was the recipient of an award from the National Research Council of Canada.

Our notation differs from that of Wilansky, in that we write  $\Omega_w$  instead of  $\Gamma_w$ , but our notation agrees with that of Brown and Cho [1], who had previously introduced these subalgebras in the case where  $X = c$  (the Banach space of convergent sequences with  $\|x\| = \sup_{n \geq 1} |x_n|$ , for  $x \in c$ ).

When  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ , define  $\varrho_w: \Omega_w \rightarrow C$ , where  $C$  is the field of complex numbers, by the equation

$$(3) \quad T^{**}w = \varrho_w(T)w + \hat{w}, \quad T \in \Omega_w.$$

In [11] it is shown that for  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ ,  $\Omega_w$  is a closed subalgebra of  $B(X)$  and  $\varrho_w$  is a non-zero continuous scalar homomorphism. Of course if  $w \in \hat{X}$ , (3) makes no sense; in fact, it is shown in [2] that  $B(c)$  does not support a non-zero continuous scalar homomorphism. It is obvious that  $\Gamma_w$  is a closed subalgebra of  $B(X)$  for every  $w \in X^{**}$ .

We now state some results established by Brown and Cho [1], which are valid for the special case  $X = c$ . Let  $e$  be the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $x_n = 1$  for all  $n$ , and  $e^k$  be the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  where  $x_k = 1$  and  $x_n = 0$  for  $n \neq k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ . We make the usual identification of  $c^{**}$  with  $m$ , the Banach space of bounded sequences with  $\|x\| = \sup |x_n|$ , for  $x \in m$ . (See [10], p. 102.) Under this identification  $\hat{c}$  is identified with those convergent sequences which converge to their first term. Now  $e^1 \in c^{**} \setminus \hat{c}$ ,  $\Gamma_{e^1} = \Gamma$  the algebra of conservative matrices, and  $\Omega_{e^1} = \Omega$  the algebra of almost matrices; see [1] and [2]. The following results are established in [1]. The identity operator is denoted by  $I$ .

- I. If  $w \notin \hat{c}$ , then  $\Omega_w = \Omega$  if and only if  $z \in (w \oplus \hat{c}) \setminus \hat{c}$ . ([1], Theorem 5)
- II.  $w \in \hat{c}$  if and only if  $\Omega_w = B(c)$ . ([1], Lemma 3)
- III.  $\Gamma_z = \Gamma$  if and only if  $z = \mu e^1$  with  $\mu \neq 0$ . ([1], Theorem 13)
- IV.  $\bigcap \{\Omega_w: w \in c^{**}\} = \langle I \rangle \oplus K$ , where  $K$  denotes the two sided ideal of compact operators. ([1], Corollary 11)
- V.  $\bigcap \{\Gamma_w: w \in c^{**}\} = \langle I \rangle$ . ([1], Theorem 12)
- VI. If  $w, z \in \hat{c}$ , then  $\Omega_w$  is isomorphic to  $\Omega_z$  and  $\Gamma_w$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma_z$ . ([1], Theorem 10)

Our principal purpose in this paper is to examine these results in  $B(X)$ . Where they extend, our proofs are simpler than those of Brown and Cho. Summarizing, we find that for general  $X$ : I and II are false (Theorem 1); III is true (Corollary 4) and even improved in  $B(c)$ ; IV is true if the compact operators are replaced by the weakly compact operators (Theorem 5); V is true (Theorem 6); VI is false if we take  $X = l$ , the Banach space of absolutely convergent series with  $\|x\| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k|$ , for  $x \in l$  (Theorem 10).

**2. The James space.** In [7] James gives an example of a non-reflexive Banach space  $X$  for which  $\hat{X}$  has codimension 1 in  $X^{**}$ . Thus, if  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ , we have  $X^{**} = w \oplus \hat{X}$  so that  $\Omega_w = B(X)$ . This establishes the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *There is a non-reflexive Banach space  $X$  for which  $\Omega_w = B(X)$  for every  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ .*

**Remark 1.** If a Banach space  $X$  has  $\Omega_w = B(X)$  for some  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ , then  $B(X)$  supports the non-zero continuous scalar homomorphism  $\varrho_w$  defined by (3). This is in contrast to the case of  $B(c)$ . See [2].

**Remark 2.** It is a consequence of Theorem 5, that for the James space  $X$ , every  $T \in B(X)$  can be uniquely expressed as the sum of a weakly compact operator and a scalar multiple of the identity operator.

**3. Simple properties of the subalgebras.** The identity operator on  $X$  is denoted by  $I$  and the kernel of  $\varrho_w$  by  $\varrho_w^\perp$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space. Then*

- (a)  $I \in \Gamma_w$  and  $\Gamma_w \subseteq \Omega_w$  for  $w \in X^{**}$ ;
- (b)  $\Gamma_0 = B(X)$ ;
- (c) if  $z \in w \oplus \hat{X}$ , then  $\Omega_w \subseteq \Omega_z$ ;
- (d) if  $z \in (w \oplus \hat{X}) \setminus \hat{X}$ , then  $\Omega_w = \Omega_z$ ;
- (e)  $\Omega_w = \langle I \rangle \oplus \varrho_w^\perp$  for  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ .

**4. One-dimensional operators in  $B(X)$ .** The one-dimensional operators in  $B(X)$  reveal a lot of information about the structure of the subalgebras  $\Omega_w$  and  $\Gamma_w$ . For  $z \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$  we define  $z \otimes f \in B(X)$  by

$$(4) \quad (z \otimes f)x = f(x)z.$$

The range of  $z \otimes f$  is at most one-dimensional, so it is a compact operator. It is clear that if  $T \in B(X)$  and its range is at most one-dimensional, then  $T = z \otimes f$  for some  $z \in X$  and  $f \in X^*$ .

We now state a lemma and give three simple consequences.

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $w \in X^{**}$ ,  $f \in X^*$  and  $z \in X$ . Then*

$$(5) \quad (z \otimes f)^{**}w = w(f)\hat{z},$$

where  $\hat{z}$  is the image of  $z$  under the natural embedding of  $X$  into  $X^{**}$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$  and  $z \neq 0$ . Then  $z \otimes f \in \Gamma_w$  if and only if  $w(f) = 0$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *If  $w \in \hat{X}$  and  $w = \hat{z}$ , then  $z \otimes f \in \Gamma_w$  for every  $f \in X^*$ .*

**COROLLARY 3.** *Suppose  $w \in \hat{X}$ ,  $w = \hat{y} \neq 0$  and  $x \notin \langle y \rangle$ . Then  $x \otimes f \notin \Gamma_w$  whenever  $f(y) \neq 0$ .*

Remark 3. In Corollary 3, we are assuming that  $w \neq 0$ , so that  $y \neq 0$  and  $w^\perp = \{f \in X^*: w(f) = 0\} \neq X^*$ . Thus, there are functionals  $f \in X^*$  for which  $w(f) = \hat{y}(f) = f(y) \neq 0$ .

### 5. Further properties of the subalgebras.

THEOREM 3. Let  $w_1, w_2 \in X^{**}$ .

(a) If  $w_1 = \mu w_2$  where  $\mu \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma_{w_1} = \Gamma_{w_2}$ .

(b) If  $w_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $w_1 \notin \langle w_2 \rangle$ , then  $\Gamma_{w_1} \setminus \Gamma_{w_2} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\Gamma_{w_2} \setminus \Gamma_{w_1} \neq \emptyset$ .

(c) If  $w_1 \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma_{w_1} \neq B(X)$ .

The proof of Theorem 3 is a routine application of Corollaries 1, 2, and 3.

COROLLARY 4.  $\Gamma_{w_1} = \Gamma_{w_2}$  if and only if there is a number  $\mu \neq 0$  such that  $w_1 = \mu w_2$ .

We can sharpen Theorem 3 (c) to the following

THEOREM 4. If  $w \in X^{**}$  and  $w \neq 0$ , then  $\Gamma_w \neq \Omega_w$ . (Of course, we always have  $\Gamma_w \subseteq \Omega_w$  by Theorem 2 (a).)

To facilitate the proof of Theorems 5 and 6, we observe the following lemma and corollary.

LEMMA 2. Let  $T \in \bigcap \{\Omega_w: w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}\}$ . Then  $\varrho_w(T)$  has the same value for every  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ .

COROLLARY 5.  $\bigcap \{\Omega_w: w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}\} = \langle I \rangle \oplus \bigcap \{\varrho_w^\perp: w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}\}$ .

Let  $W$  denote the two-sided ideal of weakly compact operators in  $B(X)$ .

THEOREM 5. (a)  $W = \bigcap \{\varrho_w^\perp: w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}\}$ ;

(b)  $\langle I \rangle \oplus W = \bigcap \{\Omega_w: w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}\}$ .

Proof. (a) In [3], p. 482, Theorem 2, it is shown that  $T \in W$  if and only if  $T^{**}(X^{**}) \subseteq \hat{X}$ , from which (a) follows simply. Conclusion (b) follows from (a) and Corollary 5. See [11] (Theorem 3) in connection with Theorem 5 (a).

Remark 4. Since weak and strong sequential convergence are equivalent in  $e^*$  ( $=l$ ), it follows that in  $B(e)$  the weakly compact operators and the compact operators are the same. Thus Theorem 5 includes as a special case [1], Corollary 11,

THEOREM 6.  $\bigcap \{\Gamma_w: w \in X^{**}\} = \bigcap \{\Gamma_w: w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}\} = \langle I \rangle$ .

6. Some results concerning commutants. For  $T \in B(X)$ , define the commutant of  $T$ ,  $\text{Com}T$  by

$$\text{Com}T = \{S \in B(X): TS = ST\}.$$

It is clear that  $\text{Com}T$  is a closed subalgebra of  $B(X)$ .

THEOREM 7. Let  $w \in X^{**}$  and  $T \in B(X)$ . If  $T$  commutes with every (one dimensional) compact operator in  $\Gamma_w$ , then  $T \in \langle I \rangle$ , and  $\text{Com}T = B(X)$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $T \notin \langle I \rangle$ . Choose an  $x \neq 0$  such that  $Tx \notin \langle x \rangle$ .

Let  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . Now  $w^\perp$  is total over  $X$  (see [10], p. 104, exercise 2) so that there is a functional  $f \in w^\perp$  with  $f(x) \neq 0$ . Then  $x \otimes f \in \Gamma_w$  but  $x \otimes f \notin \text{Com}T$ .

For  $w \in \hat{X}$  use Corollary 2 and the Hahn-Banach theorem.

COROLLARY 6. (a)  $\text{Com}T = B(X)$  if and only if  $T \in \langle I \rangle$ .

(b)  $\Omega_w$  is the commutant of an operator if and only if  $\Omega_w = B(X)$ .

(c)  $\Gamma_w$  is the commutant of an operator if and only if  $w = 0$ . (Use Theorem 3 (c).)

(d) If  $w \in e^{**} \setminus \hat{e}$ , then  $\Omega_w$  is not the commutant of any operator in  $B(e)$ . (Since  $\Omega_w \neq B(e)$ , see [1].)

### 7. The nature of isomorphisms between certain subalgebras of $B(X)$ .

Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be subalgebras of  $B(X)$ . We say that  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are isomorphic as algebras, and we write  $A_1 \cong A_2$ , if a bijective linear transformation  $\varphi: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  exists which satisfies  $\varphi(ST) = \varphi(S)\varphi(T)$  for all  $S, T \in A_1$ . Such a transformation  $\varphi$  is called an algebra isomorphism.

We wish to determine the form of an arbitrary algebra isomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma_w \rightarrow \Gamma_z$ , where  $w, z \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . We first show that  $\varphi$  is necessarily continuous, and hence, by the open mapping theorem, a homeomorphism. We give a definition and three lemmas.

Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $\mathcal{S}$  a total linear subspace of  $X^*$ . For  $x \in X$ , define  $\|x\|_{\mathcal{S}} = \|\hat{x}|_{\mathcal{S}}\| = \sup\{\|f(x)\|: \|f\| \leq 1 \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{S}\}$ . If  $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}}$  is equivalent to the original norm in  $X$ , we say that  $\mathcal{S}$  is norming. See [10], p. 105, exercise 24.

LEMMA 3. Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space and let  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . Then  $w^\perp$  is norming.

Proof. Now  $w^\perp$  is total, because for  $x \in X$  with  $x \neq 0$ , we have  $w^\perp \setminus x^\perp \neq \emptyset$ . Also  $\hat{X} \oplus w^{\perp\perp}$  is closed in  $X^{**}$ , because  $\hat{X}$  is closed and  $w^{\perp\perp}$  is one-dimensional. Here  $w^{\perp\perp} = \{g \in X^{**}: g(f) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in w^\perp\}$ . The result now follows from [10], p. 201, exercise 20.

LEMMA 4. Suppose that  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence in a non-reflexive Banach space  $X$ ,  $\|y_n\| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . Then there is a functional  $f \in w^\perp$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(y_n)| = \infty$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\sup_{n \geq 1} |f(y_n)| = \sup_{n \geq 1} |\hat{y}_n(f)| < \infty$  for all  $f \in w^\perp$ . Now  $\hat{y}_n$  restricted to the Banach space  $w^\perp$  is a member of  $(w^\perp)^*$ . The Banach-Steinhaus theorem shows that  $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|y_n\|_{w^\perp} < \infty$ . But since  $w^\perp$  is norming,

we must have  $\|y_n\|_{w^\perp} \rightarrow \infty$  because  $\|y_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ . This contradiction yields the result.

The next lemma is a modification of Lemma 1 of [4].

**LEMMA 5.** *A set  $H \subseteq \Gamma_w$  is bounded if and only if the following condition is satisfied. For every  $S \in \Gamma_w$ , there is a number  $\alpha > 0$  such that, if  $\lambda$  is a real number satisfying  $|\lambda| < \alpha$  and  $U \in H$ , then  $I - \lambda SU$  has an inverse in  $\Gamma_w$ .*

**Proof. Necessity:** Suppose  $\|U\| \leq M$  for all  $U \in H$  and let  $S \in \Gamma_w$ . We may suppose  $S \neq 0$  since  $I$  is invertible. Put  $\alpha = 1/M\|S\|$ . Then  $\|\lambda SU\| < \alpha\|S\|M = 1$  for  $|\lambda| < \alpha$  and  $U \in H$ , and hence  $I - \lambda SU$  has an inverse. See [10], p. 259, fact (ii).

**Sufficiency:** Suppose  $H$  is not bounded and let  $\{U_n\}$  be a sequence of elements of  $H$  with  $\|U_n\| \rightarrow \infty$ . The Banach–Steinhaus theorem implies the existence of  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|U_n(x_0)\| = \infty$ . Lemma 4, with  $y_n = U_n(x_0)$  now gives a function  $f \in x^\perp$  with  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(U_n(x_0))| = \infty$ .

Choose  $\alpha > 0$  arbitrarily, let  $\lambda_n = 1/f(U_n(x_0))$ , choose an integer  $N$  such that  $|\lambda_N| < \alpha$ , and let  $S = x_0 \otimes f$ . Then  $S \in \Gamma_w$  by Corollary 1. Since  $(I - \lambda_N S U_N)x_0 = 0$ , and  $x_0 \neq 0$ , it follows that  $I - \lambda_N S U_N$  has no inverse.

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $\varphi: \Gamma_w \rightarrow \Gamma_z$  is an algebra isomorphism, then  $\varphi$  is continuous.*

**Proof.** Let  $H$  be a bounded subset of  $\Gamma_w$ . Then, by Lemma 5, for every  $S \in \Gamma_w$  there is a number  $\alpha > 0$  such that if  $\lambda$  is real and  $|\lambda| < \alpha$ , we have  $I - \lambda SU$  invertible for every  $U \in H$ . Hence  $\varphi(I - \lambda\varphi(S)\varphi(U)) = I - \lambda\varphi(S)\varphi(U)$  is invertible in  $\Gamma_z$ . It now follows from Lemma 5 that  $\varphi(H)$  is bounded in  $\Gamma_z$ . Thus  $\varphi$  is continuous.

We now give the following modification of Lemma 2 of [4].

**LEMMA 6.** *Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space and  $w \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . An operator  $U_0 \in \Gamma_w$  is at most one dimensional if and only if for every  $U \in \Gamma_w$  there is a scalar  $\lambda$  such that*

$$(6) \quad (UU_0)^2 = \lambda UU_0.$$

**Proof. Necessity:** It is clear that for any zero-dimensional or one-dimensional operator  $V$ , there is a scalar  $\lambda$  such that  $V^2 = \lambda V$ . But  $U_0$  being at most one-dimensional operator,  $UU_0$  is always at most one-dimensional so (6) follows.

**Sufficiency:** Suppose that the range of  $U_0$  contains two linearly independent elements  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  with

$$U_0 x_1 = y_1, \quad U_0 x_2 = y_2, \quad x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in X.$$

Now  $w, \hat{y}_1$ , and  $\hat{y}_2$  are linearly independent vectors in  $X^{**}$ . It follows from [10], Theorem 3, p. 39, that  $\hat{y}_2^\perp \not\perp w^\perp \cap \hat{y}_1$ . Thus we can choose  $f_1 \in X^*$

such that  $f_1 \in (w \cap \hat{y}_1) \setminus \hat{y}_2$ . Thus, after replacing  $f_1$  by a suitable constant multiple of  $f_1$ , we have

$$w(f_1) = 0, \quad f_1(y_1) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_1(y_2) = 1.$$

Similarly we can choose  $f_2 \in (w \cap \hat{y}_2^\perp) \setminus \hat{y}_1^\perp$  so that

$$w(f_2) = 0, \quad f_2(y_2) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(y_1) = 1.$$

But

$$U = x_1 \otimes f_1 + x_2 \otimes f_2.$$

Corollary 1 shows that  $U \in \Gamma_w$ . Now we have  $UU_0 x_1 = x_2$  and  $UU_0 x_2 = x_1$ ; hence, on setting  $V = (UU_0)^2$ , we have  $Vx_2 = x_2$ . But (6) implies  $Vx_2 = \lambda U[U_0 x_2] = \lambda x_1$ . Thus,  $x_2 = \lambda x_1$ , consequently  $y_2 = \lambda y_1$  contrary to the assumption that  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  are linearly independent.

We are now able to prove the main theorem of this section.

**THEOREM 9.** *Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space and let  $w, z \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . If  $\varphi: \Gamma_w \rightarrow \Gamma_z$  is an algebra isomorphism, then there is a linear homeomorphism  $T \in B(X)$  such that*

$$(7) \quad \varphi(U) = TUT^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad U \in \Gamma_w.$$

**Proof.** We determine  $T$  just as in [4], Theorem 2. Choose  $f_0 \in w^\perp$  and  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f_0(x_0) = 1$ . Put

$$U_0 x = f_0(x)x_0 = (x_0 \otimes f_0)x \quad \text{for} \quad x \in X.$$

Thus  $U_0 \in \Gamma_w$ . Consider  $V_0 = \varphi(U_0)$ . Since  $U_0$  is one-dimensional, we have by Lemma 6 and the properties of  $\varphi$  that  $V_0$  is a one-dimensional operator in  $\Gamma_z$ . Say

$$(8) \quad V_0 y = g_0(y)y_0 = (y_0 \otimes g_0)y \quad \text{for} \quad y \in X,$$

where  $y_0 \in X$  and  $g_0 \in X^*$ . Since  $U_0 \neq 0$ , we have  $V_0 \neq 0$ , hence  $y_0 \neq 0$  and  $g_0 \neq 0$ . By Corollary 1 we also have  $z(g_0) = 0$ . We define the operator  $T$  as follows. Let  $x \in X$ ; we choose an operator  $U \in \Gamma_w$  (for example,  $x \otimes f_0$ ) such that  $Ux_0 = x$  and set  $Tx = Vy_0$  where  $V = \varphi(U)$  and  $y_0$  is determined by (8). Exactly as in [4] we have that  $T$  is well defined, bijective, linear, and continuous.  $T$  is thus a linear homeomorphism in  $B(X)$ . Moreover, just as in [4] we have (7) holds.

**COROLLARY 7.** *Let  $X$  be a non-reflexive Banach space and let  $w, z \in X^{**} \setminus \hat{X}$ . An isomorphism  $\varphi: \Gamma_w \rightarrow \Gamma_z$  induces an inner automorphism  $\tilde{\varphi}: B(X) \rightarrow B(X)$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}(\Omega_w) = \Omega_z$ .*

**Proof.** If  $T$  is the linear homeomorphism constructed in Theorem 9, we show that  $T^{**}w = \mu z$  for some  $\mu \neq 0$ . For if  $T^{**}w \notin \langle z \rangle$ , then  $T^{**^{-1}}(z) \notin \langle w \rangle$ . Let  $w_1 = T^{**^{-1}}(z)$  where  $w_1 \notin \langle w \rangle$ . Choose  $f \in w^\perp \setminus w_1^\perp$  and  $x \neq 0$ . Then  $x \otimes f \in \Gamma_w$  but  $T^{**}(x \otimes f)^{**}T^{**^{-1}}(z) = T^{**}w_1(f)\hat{w} \notin \langle z \rangle$ .

So  $T(w \otimes f)T^{-1} \notin \Gamma_z$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(w \otimes f) \notin \Gamma_z$  which is a contradiction. Thus  $T^{**}w = \mu z$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ , because  $w \neq 0$  and  $T^{**}$  is an isomorphism. Now let  $S \in \Omega_w$  and  $S^{**}w = \varrho_w(S)w + \hat{w}$ . Then  $(\tilde{\varphi}(S))^{**} = T^{**}S^{**}T^{***-1}(z) = \varrho_w(S)z + T\hat{w}$ . So  $\tilde{\varphi}(S) \in \Omega_z$ . Similarly,  $\tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\Omega_z) \subseteq \Omega_w$ . Thus,  $\tilde{\varphi}(\Omega_w) = \Omega_z$ .

**8. The subalgebras  $\Omega_w$  and  $\Gamma_w$  for  $B(l)$ .** We make the usual identification of  $l^*$  with  $m$  (see, for example, [10], p. 91); then  $l^{**}$  is identified with  $m^*$ . Now if  $t = \{t_k\} \in l$  and  $x = \{x_k\} \in m$ , we have  $\hat{t}(x) = x(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k x_k$ . Thus if  $t \neq 0$  so that  $t_k \neq 0$  for some  $k$  we have  $\hat{t}(e^k) = t_k \neq 0$ . Hence we have proved the following lemma.

LEMMA 7. *If  $f \in l^{**}$  and  $f(x) = \lim x$  for  $x \in c$ , then  $f \in l^{**} \setminus \hat{l}$ .*

We now give some examples of functionals in  $l^{**} \setminus \hat{l}$ . Let  $\beta N$  denote the Stone-Čech compactification of the natural numbers  $N$ . (For expositions on  $\beta N$ , the reader is referred to [5], [8] or [12].) Let  $t \in \beta N \setminus N$  and  $x \in m$ . Now  $x$  can be regarded as a bounded continuous complex function defined on  $N$ . Thus  $x$  has a unique extension  $\tilde{x}$  to  $\beta N$ . Define

$$(9) \quad \text{Lim}_t x = \tilde{x}(t).$$

It is elementary to show that  $\text{Lim}_t x \in m^*$  and that  $\text{Lim}_t x = \lim x$  for  $x \in c$  (see [10], p. 270). Thus  $\text{Lim}_t \in l^{**} \setminus \hat{l}$  by Lemma 7. It is also clear, since  $\beta N$  is a compact Hausdorff space, that for  $t_1, t_2 \in \beta N \setminus N$  with  $t_1 \neq t_2$  we must have  $\text{Lim}_{t_1} x \neq \text{Lim}_{t_2} x$  for some  $x \in m$ . However,  $\text{Lim}_{t_1} x = \text{Lim}_{t_2} x = \lim x$  for  $x \in c$  so that  $\text{Lim}_{t_1}$  and  $\text{Lim}_{t_2}$  are linearly independent members of  $l^{**} \setminus \hat{l}$ . The cardinality of  $\beta N \setminus N$  is  $2^c$  where  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the continuum (see [5], p. 139, 90). Thus the cardinality of  $l^{**} \setminus \hat{l}$  is at least  $2^c$ .

The Knopp-Lorentz theorem [6] shows that every  $T \in B(l)$  is given by an infinite matrix  $\{a_{nk}\}$  in the following manner.

$$Tx = \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right\}, \quad x \in \hat{l},$$

where  $\|T\| = \sup_{k \geq 1} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| < \infty$ . Thus the cardinality of  $B(l)$  is  $c$ .

The above considerations together with Theorem 9 and Corollary 7 yield the following result.

THEOREM 10. *There are points  $w, z \in l^{**} \setminus \hat{l}$  such that  $\Gamma_w$  is not isomorphic to  $\Gamma_z$ .*

We can also give a 'positive' result. Let  $f: \beta N \rightarrow \beta N$  be a homeomorphism. Then  $f$  induces a permutation  $\sigma$  of  $N$ . Define  $\varphi: B(l) \rightarrow B(l)$  as follows. If  $T \in B(l)$  and  $T$  is given by the matrix  $\{a_{nk}\}$ , set  $\varphi(T) = S$

where  $S$  is given by the matrix  $\{b_{nk}\}$  with  $b_{nk} = a_{\sigma(n)\sigma(k)}$  where  $\sigma = \pi^{-1}$ . It is easy to check that  $\varphi$  is an algebra isomorphism which is also an isometry. It can also be checked that if  $t_1 \in \beta N \setminus N$  and  $t_2 = f(t_1)$ , then  $\varphi(\Omega_{\text{Lim}_{t_1}}) = \Omega_{\text{Lim}_{t_2}}$  and  $\varphi(\Gamma_{\text{Lim}_{t_1}}) = \Gamma_{\text{Lim}_{t_2}}$  where  $\text{Lim}_{t_1}$  and  $\text{Lim}_{t_2}$  are defined as in (9).

References

- [1] H. I. Brown and T. Cho, *Subalgebras of  $B(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1973), pp. 458-464.
- [2] H. I. Brown, D. R. Kerr, and H. H. Stratton, *The structure of  $B(c)$  and extensions of the concept of conull matrix*, ibid. 22 (1969), pp. 7-14.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York 1958.
- [4] M. Eidelheit, *On isomorphisms of rings of linear operators*, Studia Math. 9 (1940), pp. 97-105.
- [5] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. Y. 1960.
- [6] K. Knopp und G. G. Lorentz, *Beiträge zur absoluten Limitierung*, Arch. Math. 2 (1949), pp. 10-16.
- [7] R. C. James, *A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 37 (1951), pp. 174-177.
- [8] M. Nakamura and S. Kakutani, *Banach limits and the Čech compactification of a countable discrete set*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 19 (1943), pp. 224-229.
- [9] W. Rudin, *Homogeneity problems in the theory of Čech compactifications*, Duke Math. J. 23 (1956), pp. 409-420.
- [10] A. Wilansky, *Functional analysis*, Blaisdell, New York 1964.
- [11] - *Subalgebras of  $B(X)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. #2 29 (1971), pp. 355-360.
- [12] - *Topology for analysis*, Ginn 1970.

Received February 26, 1976  
in revised form September 28, 1976

(1125)