

- [7] T. Figiel, *An example of infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space non-isomorphic to its Cartesian square*, *Studia Math.* 42 (1972), pp. 295-306.
- [8] — *Factorization of compact operators and applications to the approximation problem*, *ibid.* 45 (1973), pp. 191-210.
- [9] C. W. Henson and L. C. Moore Jr., *The theory of nonstandard topological vector spaces*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 172 (1972), pp. 405-435.
- [10] — *Subspaces of the nonstandard hull of a normal space*, *ibid.* 197 (1974), pp. 131-143.
- [11] — *Nonstandard hulls of the classical Banach spaces*, *Duke Math. J.* 41 (2) (1974), pp. 277-284.
- [12] J. Horvath, *Topological vector spaces and distributions*, Vol. 1, Reading, Mass. 1966.
- [13] H. Jarchow, *Die Universalität des Raumes c_0 für die Klasse der Schwartz-Räume*, *Math. Ann.* 203 (1973), pp. 211-214.
- [14] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach spaces*, Berlin 1970.
- [15] A. Pełczyński and H. P. Rosenthal, *Localization techniques in L^p spaces*, *Studia Math.* 52 (1975), pp. 263-289.
- [16] D. J. Randtke, *A simple example of a universal Schwartz space*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 37 (1973), pp. 185-188.
- [17] — *On the embedding of Schwartz spaces into product spaces*,
- [18] A. Robertson and W. d. Robertson, *Topological vector spaces*, 2nd ed. London 1973.
- [19] A. Robinson, *Nonstandard analysis*, Amsterdam 1968.
- [20] A. Robinson and E. Zakon, *A set-theoretical characterization of enlargements*, in *Applications of model theory*, New York 1969, pp. 109-122.
- [21] H. H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, Berlin 1970.
- [22] I. Singer, *Bases in Banach spaces I*, Berlin 1970.

Received March 24, 1976

(1139)

revised version August 31, 1976

Boundary limits of Green's potentials along curves II Lipschitz domains

by

JANG-MEI G. WU (W. Lafayette, Ind.)*

Abstract. On a Lipschitz domain D in space, let μ be a mass distribution and u the Green potential of μ . Conditions on μ are given so that $u \neq +\infty$; under the same condition we show that the boundary limits of u along curves with certain differentiability properties are zero almost everywhere.

Green's potential occurs in the study of subharmonic and superharmonic functions via Riesz decomposition theorem ([5], p. 116). Let D be an open subset of \mathbf{R}^n having a Green's function G ; Green's potential u given by a mass distribution μ is defined to be

$$(0.1) \quad u(x) = \int_D G(x, y) d\mu(y)$$

for every $x \in D$. When D is the unit disk in the plane, the necessary and sufficient condition for $u \neq +\infty$ is

$$\int_D (1 - |y|) d\mu(y) < +\infty;$$

under this condition u has radial limit zero at almost every point on the unit circle, see Littlewood [6]. Later in 1938, Privalov [7] proved the similar result for Green's potentials on the unit ball in \mathbf{R}^n . The nontangential limit of Green's potential need not exist at any point on the boundary, as pointed out by Zygmund, [9], pp. 644-645.

The purpose of this paper is to study the boundary limits of Green's potentials in a Lipschitz domain D in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$ along curves with certain differentiability properties. The problem for $n = 2$ was studied in [11], where, with the aid of conformal mapping, we need only to study the limit of Green's potentials on $|z| < 1$ along curves with the same differentiability properties. When $n \geq 3$ the conformal mapping technique does not apply and it is not even obvious for which μ the Green's potential of μ is not identically $+\infty$. Our main tool is an estimate on a certain harmonic function in a cone derived from a series representation of that

* Current address: Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana.

function; the representation was used by Gariépy and Lewis, [4], pp. 261–262, to obtain a Phragmén–Lindelöf result for subharmonic functions in \mathbf{R}^n . In Theorem 1, we shall give a sufficient condition on μ in D for $u \neq +\infty$ and in Theorems 2 and 3 we shall show that under this condition, the Green potential has the desired boundary property.

1. Preliminaries. We use (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) to denote a point x in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$, $|x| = (\sum |x_i|^2)^{1/2}$, $x' = (x_2, \dots, x_n)$ and $\cos \theta = x_1/|x|$ if $|x| \neq 0$. We denote the cone $\{x: |\theta| < t, |x| < h\}$ by $K(t, h)$. The symbol C will denote strictly positive constants that may vary from line to line.

From now on we shall let D be a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 3$. That is, ∂D can be covered by a family of open right cylinders L ; there is a local coordinate system $x = (x_1, x')$ corresponding to each L with $x_1 \in \mathbf{R}$, $x' \in \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ and x_1 -axis parallel to the axis of L so that $x_1 = f(x')$ is Lipschitz for x on $\partial D \cap L$ and $L \cap D = L \cap \{x: x_1 > f(x')\}$. And we shall let $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$ be two numbers depending on D so that at every point x on ∂D there is a cone with vertex x of size $K(\alpha, \beta)$ completely exterior to \bar{D} .

We use $d(x)$ to denote the distance from a point $x \in D$ to ∂D ; if $x \in L \cap D$, we use \tilde{x} to denote the point on $\partial D \cap L$ with $\tilde{x}' = x'$ in the local coordinate system in L . It is clear that if x is in L and the point on ∂D closest to x is in $L \cap \partial D$, then $|x - \tilde{x}| \leq C d(x)$. Let $G(x, y)$ be the Green's function on D , μ be a positive mass distribution on D and u be the Green's potential of μ given by (0.1).

For the properties of Lipschitz domain the reader is referred to [8]. For the properties of Green's potentials in general the reader is referred to [5].

Here we shall give an estimate of certain harmonic functions in cones. The two-dimensional version of Lemma 1 can be proved easily by conformal mapping.

LEMMA 1. *Let v , $0 < v < 1$, be a harmonic function on $K(t, h)$ symmetric about x_1 -axis (that is, v can be regarded as a function of $|x|$ and θ alone) and with boundary value 0 on $\partial K(t, h) \cap \{|x| < h\}$. Then there is a positive number $\rho = \rho(n, t)$ so that*

$$(1.1) \quad v(x) \leq C \left| \frac{x}{h} \right|^\rho \quad \text{on } K(t, h)$$

and, for any small $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(1.2) \quad v(x) \geq c \left| \frac{x}{h} \right|^\rho \quad \text{on } K(t - \varepsilon, h/2)$$

for some positive constant c depending on ε and v . Moreover, ρ is a continuous strictly decreasing function of t and $\rho(n, \pi/2) = 1$.

Proof. The representation (1.3) of v is adapted from Gariépy and Lewis ([4], p. 262). Let $0 < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 \dots$ be the eigenvalues of the boundary value problem

$$\delta \varphi + \gamma \varphi = 0 \quad \text{on } C(t),$$

$$\varphi = 0 \quad \text{on the boundary of } C(t),$$

where $C(t) = \{|x| = 1, |\theta| < t\}$ and δ is the operator defined in terms of the Laplacean Δ by

$$\Delta = r^{1-n} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{n-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \right) + r^{-2} \delta.$$

Let $\{\varphi_k\}$ be the corresponding eigenfunctions normalized by

$$\int_{C(t)} \varphi_k^2 dm = 1 \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where m is the surface measure. Because φ_k are symmetric about x_1 -axis, we may regard them as functions of θ alone whenever it is more convenient. Let ρ_k be the positive root of $\rho_k(\rho_k + n - 2) = \gamma_k$ and $a_k = \int_{C(t)} \varphi_k(x) v(x) dm(x)$. Then

$$(1.3) \quad v(x) = \sum_1^\infty a_k \left| \frac{x}{h} \right|^{\rho_k} \varphi_k(\theta).$$

It is known ([1], VI, § 6) that φ_1 is either strictly positive or strictly negative in $C(t)$ and ([4], p. 262) that the series

$$\sum_1^\infty \left| \frac{x}{h} \right|^{\rho_k - \rho_1} |\varphi_k(\theta)|$$

converges uniformly in $K(t, h/2)$, in fact on $\overline{K(t, h/2)}$. From these facts, formula (1.3) and the definition of v , it is ready to see (1.1) and (1.2) if we let $\rho = \rho_1$. It is known ([1], VI, § 2) that γ_1 is a continuous, strictly decreasing function of t , and therefore so is ρ . When $t = \pi/2$, it is easy to verify that $\varphi_1 = \cos \theta$ and $\gamma_1 = n - 1$. Thus $\rho(n, \pi/2) = 1$. This proves Lemma 1.

2. Main result. We recall that there is an exterior cone of size $K(\alpha, \beta)$ at every point on ∂D and let $\rho = \rho(n, \pi - \alpha)$ as defined in Lemma 1. We shall give a sufficient condition on μ for $u \neq +\infty$.

THEOREM 1. *If*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_D d(y)^\rho d\mu(y) < +\infty,$$

then $u \neq +\infty$.

Theorem 1 is a simple consequence of the following lemma and the fact that, under the assumption (2.1), μ is finite on every compact subset of D .

LEMMA 2. For each $x \in D$, there is a constant C depending on x such that

$$G(x, y) \leq Cd(y)^e$$

whenever $y \in D$ and $2d(y) < d(x)$.

Proof. We let $\lambda = d(x)$, $y \in D$ and $2d(y) < \lambda$, w a point on ∂D closest to y and K a cone at w of size $K(\pi - \alpha, \lambda/2)$ whose complementary cone is exterior to \bar{D} . Let v be the harmonic function in K with boundary value 1 on the spherical piece of ∂K , with boundary value 0 on the remaining part of ∂K . When z is in $D \cap$ the spherical piece of ∂K , we have $|z - w| > \lambda/2$, and thus

$$(2.2) \quad G(z, w) \leq |z - w|^{2-n} < C\lambda^{2-n} = C.$$

From (2.2) and the maximum principle for harmonic functions, we have

$$(2.3) \quad G(z, w) \leq Cv(z)$$

for $z \in D \cap K$. Therefore, from (2.3) and Lemma 1, we have

$$G(y, w) \leq Cd(y)^e.$$

THEOREM 2. Suppose that μ satisfies condition (2.1) in Theorem 1 and L is a right cylinder intersecting ∂D , on which there is a local coordinate system with properties described in the definition of D . Then for all points P in $L \cap \partial D$ except a set of $n - 2 + \epsilon$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero, u has limit zero along the line segment parallel to the axis of L ending at P .

Proof. By covering $L \cap \partial D$ with small cylinders and using the Lebesgue number argument, we may assume that the diameter of L is less than α and that μ is concentrated on a subset S of L , so that for each $x \in S$ the point on ∂D closest to x is in L .

From now on we use s, σ to denote $|x - \tilde{x}|$ and $|y - \tilde{y}|$, respectively, whenever x and y are points in L . Fix x in L ; if y is in L , we use γ to denote $|y' - x'|$. (We recall that $x' = (x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)$ and $y' = (y_2, \dots, y_n)$.) We divide S into three sets in terms of y as follows:

$$S_1: y \in S, \gamma \leq s, |\sigma - s| \leq s/2,$$

$$S_2: y \in S, \gamma \leq s, |\sigma - s| > s/2,$$

$$S_3: y \in S, \gamma > s.$$

We want to show

$$(2.4) \quad G(x, y) \leq C\gamma^{2-n} \text{ in } S_1,$$

$$(2.5) \quad G(x, y) \leq C\sigma^e s^{2-n-e} \text{ in } S_2,$$

$$(2.6) \quad G(x, y) \leq C\sigma^e \sigma^e \gamma^{2-n-2e} \text{ in } S_3.$$

For any $y \in S_1$

$$G(x, y) \leq |x - y|^{2-n} \leq \gamma^{2-n}.$$

We observe that there is a constant $c > 0$ depending only on the Lipschitz condition on L so that $|y - x| > cs$ whenever $y \in S_2$. Fix $y \in S_2$ and assume that the origin 0 of the local coordinate system is at \tilde{y} . Let F be $\{z \in D: |z| < cs/2\}$ and observe that

$$G(z, x) \leq C\sigma^{2-n}$$

for $z \in \partial F$. Let K be a cone at $\tilde{y} = 0$ of size $K(\pi - \alpha, cs/2)$ whose complementary cone is exterior to \bar{D} and v be the harmonic function in K with boundary value 1 on the spherical piece of ∂K , value 0 on the remaining part of ∂K . From Lemma 1, we see that

$$v(z) \leq C|z|^e (cs/2)^{-e}$$

for $z \in \bar{F}$. By the maximum principle, on F we have

$$G(z, x) \leq C|z|^e s^{2-n-e}.$$

If y is in F , then

$$G(y, x) \leq C|y|^e s^{2-n-e} = C\sigma^e s^{2-n-e};$$

if y is not in F , then $\sigma \geq cs/2$ and

$$G(y, x) \leq |x - y|^{2-n} \leq (cs)^{2-n} \leq C\sigma^e s^{2-n-e}.$$

We have proved (2.5).

Now fix y in L with $\gamma > s$ and assume \tilde{y} is the origin. Let T be $\{z \in D: |z| \leq \gamma/4\}$ and observe that $|x| = |x - \tilde{y}| \geq \gamma$. Therefore, for $z \in \partial T$

$$(2.7) \quad G(z, x) \leq |z - x|^{2-n} \leq C\gamma^{2-n}.$$

Let K be a cone at $\tilde{y} = 0$ of size $K(\pi - \alpha, \gamma/4)$ whose complementary cone is exterior to \bar{D} and v be the harmonic function on K defined as in the last paragraph. From Lemma 1, we see that

$$v(z) \leq C|z|^e (\gamma/4)^{-e}$$

for $z \in \bar{T}$. By the maximum principle, on T we have

$$G(z, x) \leq C|z|^e \gamma^{2-n-e}.$$

If y is in T , then

$$G(y, x) \leq C|y|^e \gamma^{2-n-e} = C\sigma^e \gamma^{2-n-e};$$

if y is not in T , then $\sigma \geq \gamma/4$ and

$$G(y, x) \leq |x-y|^{2-n} \leq C\gamma^{2-n} \leq C\sigma^e \gamma^{2-n-e}.$$

We have, in fact, proved that for any two points x, y in L if $|x'-y'| > |x-\tilde{x}|$ then

$$(2.8) \quad G(y, x) \leq C\sigma^e \gamma^{2-n-e}.$$

Under the notation in the last paragraph, if z is on ∂T , then $|z'-x'| > \gamma/2 \geq |z-\tilde{z}|$. Thus, from (2.8),

$$(2.9) \quad G(z, x) \leq Cs^e \gamma^{2-n-e}$$

for $z \in \partial T$. Following the argument in the last paragraph with (2.7) replaced by (2.9) if $y \in T$, or switching the roles of x and y , then following the proof of (2.5) if $y \notin T$, we may obtain

$$G(y, x) \leq Cs^e \sigma^e \gamma^{2-n-2e}$$

if $y \in L$ and $\gamma > s$. We have proved (2.6).

The following part of the proof is a slight variant of Littlewood's ([6], pp. 392-394); we shall not give too much detail. Let $L(q) = \{y \in L: |y-\tilde{y}| < q\}$,

$$\varepsilon(q) = \int_{L(q)} \tilde{d}(y)^e d\mu(y),$$

and for $\tilde{x} \in L \cap \partial D$, let $\Phi(\tilde{x}, t)$ be the integral $\int \tilde{d}(y)^e d\mu(y)$ extended over $L(q) \cap \{y: |y'-\tilde{x}'| < t\}$. It can be shown by a lemma in [3], p. 210, that

$$(2.10) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Phi(\tilde{x}, t)}{t^{n-2+e}} \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon(q)}$$

on a set $E(q)$ whose complement in $L \cap \partial D$ is of $(n-2+e)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure $\leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon(q)}$. In order to prove the theorem, it is enough ([6], p. 392) to show that for each small $q > 0$ and for each \tilde{x} in $E(q)$,

$$(2.11) \quad \limsup_{L(q)} \int G(x, y) d\mu(y) \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon(q)}$$

as $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$ along the segment $x' = \tilde{x}'$.

We recall that μ is concentrated on $S \subseteq L$ and, for each $y \in S$,

$\sigma = |y-\tilde{y}| \leq C\tilde{d}(y)$. From (2.4), (2.5), and (2.6) we may obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{L(q)} G(x, y) d\mu(y) \\ & \leq s^{-e} \int_0^s C \gamma^{2-n} d\Phi(\gamma) + \int_0^s Cs^{2-n-e} d\Phi(\gamma) + s^e \int_0^s C \gamma^{2-n-2e} d\Phi(\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $\Phi(\gamma) = \Phi(\tilde{x}, \gamma)$. (2.11) may be obtained ([6], p. 386) by applying (2.10) and integration by parts to the above inequality. We have proved Theorem 2.

A similar proof gives the radial limit of u in a starlike Lipschitz domain whose boundary is given by $r = f(\xi)$ where $|\xi| = 1$ and f is Lipschitz.

It should be emphasized that when $\alpha < \pi/2$, the exceptional set in Theorem 2 is smaller than the expected set of $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero, which is the same as the harmonic measure zero for Lipschitz domains [2]. Although condition (2.1) is too strong in general, the exponent ϱ in (2.1) cannot be improved. This can be seen in the case $D = K(\pi-\alpha, 1)$ and μ is on the negative x_1 -axis, with the aid of (1.2).

It is not known ⁽¹⁾ at this point if a nontrivial Green potential on a starlike Lipschitz domain has radial limit zero almost everywhere.

We may also consider the limit of u along curves instead of line segments, especially curves which nearly preserve the x_1 and x' distances between points in L .

THEOREM 3. *Let D, L, μ and u be the same as in Theorem 1. Let $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{n-1})$ be a C^1 function from $L \cap D$ to \mathbf{R}^{n-1} and E be a subset of $L \cap \partial D$ of positive $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure (or positive surface measure). Suppose for each point x in E , f and $\nabla f_1, \nabla f_2, \dots, \nabla f_{n-1}$ can be extended continuously to x through some interior cone at x ; moreover the normal of ∂D at x , if it exists, is not on $\{\sum c_k \nabla f_k: c_k \in \mathbf{R}\}$. Then for all $x \in E$ except a set of $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero, there is a unique level curve of f ending at x , nontangential to ∂D and u has boundary limit zero along that level curve at x . Moreover, the exceptional set can be reduced to $(n-2+e)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure zero if the set on E where no nontangential level curves end is small enough.*

For the proof, we first construct a saw-toothed region Ω in D with teeth at points of density of E , next use Whitney's extension theorem to modify f outside Ω so that f is C^1 on \bar{D} , finally prove the theorem when f is C^1 on \bar{D} . Details are similar to those in [11]; we refer the reader to [11].

3. Regions with smooth boundaries. If ∂D is C^1 , then (2.1) can be replaced by

$$\int_D \tilde{d}(y)^{e_0} d\mu(y) < \infty \quad \text{for some } e_0 \in (0, 1).$$

⁽¹⁾ Added in proof. The answer is now known to be positive.

This is true because for every $\beta \in (0, \pi)$ there exists some $b > 0$ depending on β so that there is an exterior cone of size $K(\beta, b)$ at every point on ∂D .

If ∂D is C^2 , then at every point x on ∂D there is a ball of a fixed size exterior to \bar{D} and tangent to ∂D at x . If v is a positive harmonic function outside a ball and vanishes on the sphere, then the value of v at a point near the sphere is proportional to the distance from that point to the ball. Using this fact instead of Lemma 1 we may replace ϱ by 1 in Theorems 1, 2, and 3. Thus condition (2.1) is weakened but the corresponding exceptional set is enlarged.

Suppose that D is a Liapunov or a Liapunov–Dini region [10]; by an estimate of a harmonic function obtained in [10], we may also replace ϱ by 1 in the above theorems.

References

- [1] R. Courant and D. Hilbert, *Methods of mathematical physics, I*, Wiley–Interscience, 1966.
- [2] B. Dahlberg, *On estimates of harmonic measure*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 65, No. 3 (1977), pp. 275–288.
- [3] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear Operators, I*, Wiley–Interscience, 1957.
- [4] R. Gariepy and J. L. Lewis, *A maximal principle with applications to subharmonic functions in n -space*, Arkiv f. Mat. 12 (1974), pp. 253–266.
- [5] L. L. Helms, *Introduction to potential theory*, Wiley–Interscience, 1969.
- [6] J. E. Littlewood, *On functions subharmonic in a circle (II)*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) 28 (1928), pp. 383–394.
- [7] N. Privalov, *Boundary problems of the theory of harmonic and subharmonic functions in space* (Russian), Mat. Sbornik 45 (1938), pp. 3–25.
- [8] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton 1970.
- [9] E. B. Tolsted, *Limiting values of subharmonic functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1950), pp. 636–647.
- [10] K.-O. Widman, *Inequalities for the Green function and boundary continuity of the gradient of solutions of elliptic differential equations*, Math. Scand. 21 (1967), pp. 17–37.
- [11] J. M. Wu, *Boundary limits of Green's potentials along curves*, Studia Math. 60 (1977), pp. 137–144.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
URBANA, ILLINOIS

Received April 8, 1976,
in revised form August 1, 1976

(1144)

On extending and lifting continuous linear mappings in topological vector spaces

W. GEJLER (Saransk, U.S.S.R.)

Abstract. (1) Let $0 < p < 1$. Then there is no non-zero topological vector space which has the extension property for the class of all p -Banach spaces with separating continuous duals.

(2) If \mathcal{X} is the class of all Fréchet spaces (or of all separable Fréchet spaces, or of all nuclear Fréchet spaces, or of all metric vector spaces) and a space P ($P \in \mathcal{X}$) has the lifting property for \mathcal{X} , then P is finite-dimensional.

Let \mathcal{X} be any class of topological vector spaces⁽¹⁾ (briefly TVS's), and let \mathcal{E} be any TVS. The space \mathcal{E} is said to have the *extension property* for \mathcal{X} if for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every subspace $Y \subset X$, each mapping (= linear continuous mapping) $f: Y \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ has an extension to a mapping $g: X \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Dually, \mathcal{E} is said to have the *lifting property* for \mathcal{X} if for every $X \in \mathcal{X}$ and for every closed subspace $N \subset X$, each mapping $f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X/N$ has a lifting to a mapping $g: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow X$ (i.e. $f = p \circ g$, where p is the quotient mapping from X onto X/N). If $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{X}$ and \mathcal{E} has the extension property for \mathcal{X} [\mathcal{E} has the lifting property for \mathcal{X}], then \mathcal{E} is called an *injective* [projective] space in \mathcal{X} .

Let \mathcal{X} be the class of all Banach spaces. Then (a) \mathcal{E} is an injective space in \mathcal{X} iff \mathcal{E} is a P_λ -space for some $\lambda \geq 1$; (b) \mathcal{E} is a projective space in \mathcal{X} iff \mathcal{E} is isomorphic to $l_p(I)$ for a certain set I ([2], [10], [11], [13]). Any product [countable product] of injective Banach spaces is an injective space in the class of all locally convex spaces [of all Fréchet spaces] (see [11]). From an argument of G. Köthe ([10], p. 182; see also S. Rolewicz [12], p. 65) it follows that for each $p \in (0, 1]$ the spaces $l_p(I)$ are projective in the class of all p -Banach spaces. The author proved in [3] that in the class of all locally convex spaces a space \mathcal{E} is projective iff \mathcal{E} is a direct sum of one-dimensional spaces. This result is also true for the class of all complete locally convex spaces [4]. Using the method of [3], one can show that in the class of all TVS's a space \mathcal{E} is projective iff the topology of \mathcal{E} is the finest vector topology for the vector space \mathcal{E} .

⁽¹⁾ we include the Hausdorff condition in the definition of TVS.