

- [7] P. Kree, *Interpolation d'espaces vectoriels qui ne sont ni normés, ni complets. Applications*, Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble 17 (1967), pp. 137–174.
- [8] J. L. Lions and J. Peetre, *Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation*, Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques, 19 (1964), pp. 5–68.
- [9] J. Peetre, *Sur le nombre de paramètres dans la définition de certains espaces d'interpolation*, Recherche Mat. 12 (1963), pp. 248–261.
- [10] E. M. Stein and G. Weiss, *An extension of a theorem of Marcinkiewic and some of its applications*, J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959), pp. 263–284.
- [11] —, — *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [12] M. Zafran, *Multiplier transformations of weak type*, Ann. of Math. 101 (1975), pp. 34–44.
- [13] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd edition, Vol. I and II combined, Cambridge University Press, 1968.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
STANFORD, CALIFORNIA, USA

Received November 14, 1973  
New version March 24, 1976

(754)

## Harmonic analysis on the group of rigid motions of the Euclidean plane\*

by

RICHARD L. RUBIN (Miami, Florida)

**Abstract.** Aspects of Fourier analysis on  $M(2)$  relevant to the study of  $L^p$  multiplier operators are developed. Relations between multiplier operators on  $M(2)$  and  $SO(3)$  or  $SU(2)$  are studied. Applications are given to transplantation results for Bessel functions.

**Introduction.** The idea of considering the real line to be a limit of circles with increasingly large radii has long been used to relate Fourier analysis on the line,  $R$ , to Fourier analysis on the torus,  $T$ . In the study of multiplier operators, this idea leads to the following classical theorem: Let  $m$  be a continuous function on  $R$ . Suppose that for each  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists an operator  $M_\lambda$  acting continuously on  $L^p(T)$ , given by

$$M_\lambda f(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} m\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) a_n e^{inx},$$

where  $a_n$  is the  $n$ th Fourier coefficient of  $f$ . Assume that the operator norms  $\|M_\lambda\|$  are uniformly bounded. Then  $m$  defines a bounded multiplier operator  $M$  on  $L^p(R)$  ([3], p. 264).

We wish to generalize this result by replacing the torus, which may be identified with  $SO(2)$ , with the non-abelian group  $SO(3)$ , or with its universal covering group  $SU(2)$ , which is naturally identifiable with the unit sphere in two-dimensional complex space. By a limiting process analogous to the classical passage from the circle to the line, the group  $SO(3)$  can be shown to tend to a non-compact non-abelian group: the group of rigid motions of the Euclidean plane, denoted by  $M(2)$ .

In this paper, we shall show how Fourier analysis on  $M(2)$  is closely

\* The author wishes to thank Professor Guido Weiss and Professor Ronald Coifman for their many helpful discussions concerning this work.

related to Fourier analysis on the plane,  $R^2$ , and to properties of the Hankel transform on  $(0, \infty)$ . We then establish an analogue of the classical multiplier theorem presented above, relating multipliers on  $SO(3)$  (or  $SU(2)$ ) to those on  $M(2)$ .

As an application of this work, we prove a transplantation result for Bessel functions.

The multiplier theorem we study in this paper may be compared to a theorem of Bonami and Clerc, [6], in which they relate zonal multipliers on the unit ball of  $R^{n+1}$ ,  $\Sigma_n$ , to radial multipliers on  $R^n$ . By replacing  $R^3$  with  $M(2)$  and  $\Sigma_3$  with  $SO(3)$ , we obtain a correspondence between multipliers on  $SO(3)$  and  $M(2)$  which does not require either the radial or zonal restrictions.

**§ 1. Fourier analysis on  $M(2)$ .** Detailed discussions of the Lie group structure of  $M(2)$  and of the associated representation theory may be found in Vilenkin [4] or Bingen [1]. A rigid motion of the plane,  $C$ , is a map  $(x, \varphi): C \rightarrow C$  of the form  $(x, \varphi)(z) = e^{i\varphi}z + x$  where  $x \in C$ ,  $\varphi \in T$ . We shall consider  $\varphi$  as a real number defined modulo  $2\pi$ .  $M(2)$  is the set of these motions, together with the operation of composition of motions, which may be written  $(x, \varphi) \cdot (y, \psi) = (e^{i\varphi}y + x, \varphi + \psi)$ . Algebraically,  $M(2)$  is the semi-direct product of  $R^2$  with  $SO(2)$ .

We shall make the natural identification of  $SO(2)$  with the one-dimensional torus,  $T$ . One may topologize  $M(2)$  so as to make it homeomorphic to  $R^2 \times T$ . In fact,  $M(2)$  may be considered to be a three-dimensional connected non-abelian non-compact Lie group. The (normalized) Haar measure on  $M(2)$  is given by

$$\int_{M(2)} f(g) dg = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{R^2} f(x, \varphi) dx d\varphi.$$

Consider the representation,  $A$ , of  $M(2)$  acting on functions on  $R^2$  given by  $[A(g)h](z) = h(g^{-1}z) = h(e^{-i\varphi}(z-x))$ , where  $z \in R^2$ ,  $g = (x, \varphi) \in M(2)$ . We shall use  $A$  to introduce the Fourier transform of a function on  $M(2)$ . Let  $z \in C$ ,  $y = Re^{i\theta} \in C$  and  $y \cdot z = Re(yz)$ . We write (formally) the Fourier inversion formula using polar coordinates as

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R^2} \check{f}(y) e^{-iz \cdot y} dy = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \check{f}(Re^{i\theta}) e^{-iz \cdot Re^{i\theta}} d\theta R dR,$$

where

$$\check{f}(y) = \int_{R^2} f(w) e^{iy \cdot w} dw.$$

This polar form motivates us to introduce the following vector spaces of functions on  $C$ :

$$H_R = \left\{ h: h(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) e^{-iz \cdot Re^{i\theta}} d\theta, g \in L^2(T) \right\}.$$

Defining  $(h_1, h_2) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g_1(\theta) \overline{g_2(\theta)} d\theta$ , we convert  $H_R$  into a Hilbert space; moreover, the map  $p: H_R \rightarrow L^2(T)$  defined by  $Ph = g$  where  $h(z) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) e^{-iz \cdot Re^{i\theta}} d\theta$  is an isometry of  $H_R$  onto  $L^2(T)$ .

It is easy to check that  $H_R$  is invariant under the action of  $A$ . First, observe that for  $h \in H_R$  and  $(x, \varphi) \in M(2)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} [A(x, \varphi)](h) &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} g(\theta) e^{-i(e^{-i\varphi}(z-x) \cdot Re^{i\theta})} d\theta \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{-z \cdot Re^{i\theta}} \{g(\theta - \varphi) e^{iz \cdot Re^{i\theta}}\} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The invariance of  $H_R$  follows from the fact that

$$[L^R(x, \varphi)g](\theta) = e^{ix \cdot Re^{i\theta}} g(\theta - \varphi)$$

defines a map  $L^R: M(2) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(L^2(T))$  which is a unitary representation of  $M(2)$ . It is proved in Vilenkin [4] that  $L^R$  is irreducible for  $R \neq 0$ . Moreover, since  $PAP^{-1} = L^R$ , the restriction of  $A$  to  $H_R$  and  $L^R$  are equivalent representations. Clearly,  $H_R$  is also invariant under the adjoint of  $A$ ,  $A^* = P^{-1}(L^R)^*P$ .

We are now in a position to define the Fourier transform of a function  $f \in L^1(M(2))$ . Consider the integral operator  $F_f$  defined on  $H_R$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (F_f h)(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, \varphi) [A^*(x, \varphi)h](z) d\varphi dx \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ix \cdot Re^{i\theta}} \left\{ \int_{R^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, \varphi) [L^R(x, \varphi)Ph](\theta) d\varphi dx \right\} d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $L^R(x, \varphi)$  is a unitary operator on  $L^2(T)$ ,

$$\left\| \int_{R^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, \varphi) [L^R(x, \varphi)Ph](\theta) d\varphi dx \right\|_{2, T} \leq \|f\|_{1, M(2)} \|Ph\|_{2, T}.$$

Consequently,  $F_f$  maps  $H_R$  into itself.

Let  $T_f(R)$  denote the operator defined on  $L^2(T)$  by

$$[T_f(R)g](\theta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R^2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(x, \varphi) [L^R(x, \varphi)g](\theta) d\varphi dx.$$

The argument just presented shows that  $T_f(R)$  maps  $L^2(T)$  into itself. Moreover, it is clear that  $PF_f = T_f(R)P$ . We shall call  $T_f(R)$  the *Fourier transform of  $f$  evaluated at  $R > 0$* .

It is not hard to express  $T_f(R)$  in terms of the Fourier transform associated with  $R^2$ . In fact, if

$$\hat{f}(y, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{R^2} f(x, \varphi) e^{-iy \cdot x} dx \quad \text{for } y \in R^2, \varphi \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

then

$$[T_f(R)g](\varphi) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{f}(Re^{i(\varphi+\theta)}, \theta) g(\varphi+\theta) d\theta.$$

The matricial Fourier transform of  $f$  evaluated at  $R$  is the (infinite) matrix of  $T_f(R)$  with respect to the basis  $\{e^{in\theta}\}$  of  $L^2(T)$ ; the  $j, k$  entry of this matrix is:

$$(1) \quad T_f(R, j, k) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \hat{f}(Re^{i\theta}, \varphi) e^{i(k-j)\theta} e^{i\varphi} d\theta d\varphi, \quad j, k = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

This matricial Fourier transform can also be expressed in terms of the Bessel function

$$J_n(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{ix \sin \theta} e^{-in\theta} d\theta, \quad n = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

In fact,

$$T_f(R, j, k) = (-i)^{k-j} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} f(re^{i\theta}, \varphi) J_{k-j}(rR) r dr \right\} e^{i((k-j)\varphi + j\varphi)} d\varphi d\theta.$$

The expression within the brackets is known as the  $k-j$ -th *Hankel transform of  $f(re^{i\theta}, \varphi)$*  regarded as a function of  $r$ .

If convolution of two integrable functions on  $M(2)$  is defined by  $f * g(u) = \int_{M(2)} f(v)g(uv^{-1})dv$ , then  $T_{f * g}(R) = T_f(R)T_g(R)$ .

The Fourier transform can be defined for functions which are not necessarily in  $L^1(M(2))$ . For example, if  $f \in L^2(M(2))$ , then  $f(x, \varphi)$  belongs to  $L^2(R^2)$  for a.e.  $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi]$ . Consequently,  $\hat{f}(x, \varphi)$  is almost every-

where defined and, making use of Plancherel's theorem, we obtain a natural extension of formula (1) to functions in  $L^2(M(2))$ .

Exploiting the fact that the Fourier transform on  $L^2(M(2))$  is defined in terms of the Fourier or Hankel transforms on  $L^2(R^2)$  or  $L^2((0, \infty), Rdr)$ , it is easy to use the  $L^2$  theories of these transforms to establish the corresponding  $L^2$  theory of the Fourier transform on  $M(2)$ . Adopting the notation  $\text{tr} A$  to denote the trace of a matrix,  $\|A\|$  to denote the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of  $A$  ( $\|A\|^2 = \sum_{j,k} |a_{jk}|^2$ ), and  $J(\varphi, r, \psi)$  for the matrix whose  $j, k$  entry is  $i^{j-k} J_{j-k}(r) e^{-i((j-k)\varphi + k\psi)}$ , we summarize the basic facts of this theory in the following theorem.

**THEOREM A.** (i) *If  $f$  is any measurable function on  $M(2)$ , then*

$$\|f\|_{2, M(2)}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \|T_f(r)\|^2 r dr$$

*in the sense that the equality must hold if the expressions on either side are finite, while if either expression is infinite, so is the other.*

(ii) *If  $f \in L^2(M(2))$ , then*

$$f(re^{i\varphi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \text{tr}[T_f(s)J(\varphi, sr, \psi)] s ds \quad (\text{equality in } L^2).$$

(iii) *If  $A(s)$  is a countably infinite matrix for each  $s > 0$  with  $j, k$  entry*

*$A(s, j, k)$ , and if  $\int_0^{\infty} \|A(s)\|^2 s ds < \infty$ , then the function*

$$f(re^{i\varphi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \text{tr}[A(r)J(\varphi, sr, \psi)] s ds$$

*is in  $L^2(M(2))$  and  $T_f(s) = A(s)$ .*

We call the formula given in (ii) of Theorem A the *Fourier expansion of  $f$* .

Given a countably infinite matrix-valued function  $M(R)$  on the positive real numbers, we define the *left multiplier operator  $M$*  on  $L^2(M(2))$  induced by  $M(R)$  to be the operator which maps  $f \in L^2(M(2)) \cap L^2(M(2))$  to the function

$$Mf(re^{i\varphi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \text{tr}[M(R)T_f(R)J(\varphi, rR, \psi)] R dR.$$

We say that a multiplier operator,  $M$ , is *bounded on  $L^p(M(2))$*  if it is a bounded map of  $L^p(M(2)) \cap L^2(M(2))$  into  $L^p(M(2))$ .

Using Theorem A it is not difficult to characterize bounded multiplier operators on  $L^2(M(2))$ . In fact, a straightforward argument proves the following corollary:

**COROLLARY A.** *A multiplier  $M(R)$  defines a bounded multiplier operator on  $L^2(M(2))$  if and only if the norm of the matrix  $M(R)$  considered as an operator on  $l^2(C)$  is essentially bounded on  $(0, \infty)$ .*

One of our goals in this paper is to study how the  $L^p$  boundedness of multiplier operators on  $M(2)$  may be derived from the  $L^p$  boundedness of related operators on  $SO(3)$  or  $SU(2)$ . In order to accomplish this goal we need to know some basic facts about  $L^p(M(2))$ .

We begin by describing a class of functions whose role in Fourier analysis on  $M(2)$  is analogous to the role of the trigonometric polynomials on  $T$ .

Set

$$k_s^l(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi) = \frac{2}{\pi(l+1)} \left[ \frac{\sin[(1/2)(l+1)\psi]}{2 \sin[(1/2)\psi]} \right]^2 K(sr) s^2,$$

where  $K(r) = 4r^{-2} J_2(r)$ . A standard argument using the observation that  $\int_0^\infty K(r) r dr = 1$  and the properties of the Fejér kernel shows that

$$\lim_{\substack{l \rightarrow \infty \\ s \rightarrow \infty}} \|k_s^l * f - f\|_{p, M(2)} = 0.$$

Moreover,

$$(2) \quad T_{k_s^l * f * k_s^l}(R, j, k) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{s^2}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{l}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{l}\right) T_f(R, j, k) & \text{if } |j|, |k| < l; 0 < R \leq s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is useful to restate these observations in the following manner.

**PROPERTY A.** *If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the set of all functions in  $L^p(M(2)) \cap L^2(M(2))$  whose matrixial Fourier transforms,  $T_f(R)$ , consist of finite matrices supported on a finite interval of  $(0, \infty)$  is dense in  $L^p(M(2))$ .*

The importance of the functions  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l$  for Fourier analysis on  $M(2)$  is based on the following property.

**PROPERTY B.** *Let  $f \in L^p(M(2))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then the Fourier expansion of  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l$  equals  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l$  almost everywhere in  $M(2)$ .*

**Proof.** Using standard estimates for  $J_2$  and the Fejér kernel it is easy to check that  $k_s^l \in L^q(M(2))$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . It follows that  $k_s^l * f$  is a continuous function which vanishes at infinity and therefore that  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l \in L^q(M(2))$ ,  $1 \leq q < \infty$ . Moreover,  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l$  is infinitely differentiable

on  $C - \{0\} \times (0, 2\pi)$  since  $k_s^l$  is. Applying these observations, we see that  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi)$  is absolutely integrable and locally of bounded variation as a function of  $r$ . Thus, we may use the classical inversion theorem for the Hankel transform, [5], p. 456, to conclude that

$$k_s^l * f * k_s^l(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi) = \int_0^\infty i^m \left[ \int_0^\infty k_s^l * f * k_s^l(R e^{i\varphi}, \psi) (-i)^m J_m(Rt) R dR \right] J_m(tr) t dt$$

for any integer  $m$  and almost every  $(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi) \in M(2)$ .

Similarly, since  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi)$  is infinitely differentiable in both  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , we may apply Fourier inversion to  $k_s^l * f * k_s^l$  considered as a function of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  on  $(0, 2\pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$ . After a small amount of manipulation we obtain the equality

$$k_s^l * f * k_s^l(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \sum_{\substack{|n| \leq l \\ |m| \leq l}} i^m T_{k_s^l * f * k_s^l}(r, n, m+n) J_m(tr) e^{-in\varphi} e^{-im\psi} t dt \\ = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \text{tr} [T_{k_s^l}(r) J(\varphi, tr, \psi)] t dt$$

for almost every  $(r e^{i\varphi}, \psi) \in M(2)$ . ■

Properties A and B show that a function  $f \in L^p(M(2))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , is determined by its Fourier transform.

**§ 2.  $SO(3)$  and  $SU(2)$ .** The group of rotations of three-dimensional Euclidean space,  $SO(3)$ , and its universal covering group,  $SU(2)$ , have been widely studied. In particular, Coifman and Weiss have studied singular integrals and multiplier operators on these groups (cf. [2]).

In the remainder of this paper we shall be concerned with establishing relations between multiplier operators on  $SO(3)$  or  $SU(2)$  and  $M(2)$ . We shall use the notation and results of Coifman and Weiss as they appear in [2]. Thus, we shall describe points in  $SU(2)$  by their Euler angles,  $(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$ , where  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$ ,  $-2\pi \leq \psi \leq 2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . Haar measure on  $SU(2)$  will be normalized as  $\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta d\psi$ .  $t_{jk}^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$  will denote the  $j, k$  matrix entry of the irreducible unitary representations of  $SU(2)$  described in [2]. (Here,  $2n$  is a non-negative integer;  $j-n, k-n$  are integers; and  $|j|, |k| \leq n$ .) The Fourier expansion of functions in  $L^2(SU(2))$  will be written in terms of these matrix entries by letting  $T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$  denote the matrix with  $j, k$  entry  $t_{jk}^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$ , so that we obtain

$$f(\varphi, \theta, \psi) = \sum_{2n=0}^\infty (2n+1) \text{tr} [\hat{f}(n) T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)]$$

where

$$\hat{f}(n) = \int_{-2\pi}^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varphi, \theta, \psi) T^n((\varphi, \theta, \psi)^{-1}) \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta d\psi.$$

Fourier analysis on  $SO(3)$  is easily related to analysis on  $SU(2)$  if we describe points in  $SO(3)$  by their Euler angles,  $(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$ , where  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$ ,  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ ,  $0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi$ . Then Haar measure on  $SO(3)$  is given by  $\frac{1}{8\pi^2} \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta d\psi$ . Moreover, the functions  $t_{jk}^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)$  with  $n$  a non-negative integer and  $\psi$  restricted to  $0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi$  may be interpreted as the matrix entries of a complete system of irreducible unitary representations of  $SO(3)$ . The formulae for the Fourier transform and the Fourier expansion of a function,  $f$ , in  $L^2(SO(3))$  is identical to that given above for  $f \in L^2(SU(2))$ , except that in this context,  $n$  ranges over non-negative integers, and  $0 \leq \psi \leq 2\pi$ .

Since we are interested in relating Fourier analysis on  $SO(3)$  and  $SU(2)$  to Fourier analysis on  $M(2)$ , the following lemma which establishes a correspondence between the matrix entries  $t_{kj}^n$ , which appear in the Fourier transform on  $SO(3)$  or  $SU(2)$ , and Bessel functions, which appear in the Fourier transform on  $M(2)$ , is important.

**LEMMA A.**  $t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) = i^{j-k} J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) + O(\theta)$  provided  $|j|, |k| < L$ ,  $n \neq 0$ , and  $n\theta \leq N$ . The bound corresponding to  $O(\theta)$  depends on  $L$  and  $N$ .

**Proof.** We begin by studying the integral representation:

$$t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) = (2\pi)^{-1} \left( \frac{(n+k)!(n-k)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!} \right)^{1/2} \times \\ \times \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi} \right)^{n-j} \left( i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} - \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{n+j} \exp((k-j)i\varphi) d\varphi,$$

cf. [2], p. 33. Setting  $1+x \equiv \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi}$ , which implies  $x = O(\theta)$ , and using the fact that if  $0 < |1+x| < 1$ ,  $(1+x)^{n-j} = e^{(n-j)x} (1 + (n-j)O(x^2))$ , we see that

$$\left( \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi} \right)^{n-j} = \exp \left[ (n-j) \left( i \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-i\varphi} + O(\theta^3) \right) \right] (1 + (n-j)O(\theta^2)).$$

for  $\varphi \neq l\pi/2$  where  $l$  is an integer and  $0 < \theta < \pi$ . Similarly,

$$\left( i \sin \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} + \cos \frac{\theta}{2} \right)^{n+j} = \exp \left[ (n+j) \left( i \frac{\theta}{2} e^{i\varphi} + O(\theta^3) \right) \right] (1 + (n-j)O(\theta^2)).$$

We conclude that

$$t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) = (2\pi)^{-1} \left( \frac{(n+k)!(n-k)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!} \right)^{1/2} \times \\ \times \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \exp \left( i \frac{\theta}{2} [(n+j)e^{i\varphi} - (j-n)e^{-i\varphi}] \right) \exp(i(k-j)\varphi) (1 + O(n)O(\theta^2)) d\varphi.$$

The relationship between this expression and the Bessel functions may be seen by studying the generating function for Bessel functions,

$$\exp \left( \frac{1}{2} z(r-r^{-1}) \right) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} r^m J_m(z).$$

Substituting  $z = -\alpha((s-t)(s+t))^{1/2}$ ,  $r = -i(s+t)^{1/2}(s-t)^{-1/2} \exp(i\varphi)$ , into this formula gives the relation:

$$\exp \left( i \frac{\alpha}{2} [(s+t)e^{i\varphi} - (t-s)e^{-i\varphi}] \right) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} J_m \left( \alpha(s^2-t^2)^{1/2} \right) i^m \left( \frac{s+t}{s-t} \right)^{m/2} e^{im\varphi}.$$

Assume that  $|j|, |k| < n$ . Combining the above formulae and using the hypothesis  $n\theta < N$ , we obtain the representation:

$$(2) \quad t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) \\ = \left( \frac{(n+k)!(n-k)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!} \right)^{1/2} \left( J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2-j^2)^{1/2}) \left( -\frac{n+j}{n-j} \right)^{(j-k)/2} + O(\theta) \right).$$

In order to simplify this expression, first assume that  $j+k \leq 0$ ,  $j-k < 0$ ,  $|j|, |k| < n$ . Let  $L$  be the number given in the statement of the lemma.

Then  $\frac{(n+k)!(n-k)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!} < 1$ , and if  $n > 3L$ :

$$\frac{(n+k)!(n-k)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!} \left( \frac{n+j}{n-j} \right)^{j-k} = \prod_{a=1}^{k-j} \left( 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n+j} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{\alpha-l}{n-j} \right)^{-1} = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$$

where  $O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$  depends on  $L$ . The hypotheses of the lemma imply that  $\theta(n^2 - j^2) \leq N$ , which implies that

$$i^{k-j} J_{k-j}(\theta(n^2-j^2)^{1/2}) = O(\theta)(n^2-j^2)^{1/2}.$$

Thus,

$$i^{k-j} J_{k-j}(\theta(n^2-j^2)^{1/2}) O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = O(\theta).$$



Combining these estimates, we see that in this case,

$$(2) = i^{j-k} J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) + O(\theta).$$

If  $n \leq 3L$ ,  $\left(\frac{n+j}{n-j}\right)^{(j-k)/2} = O(1)$ . Thus

$$\left(\frac{(n+k)!(n-k)!}{(n+j)!(n-j)!}\right)^{1/2} J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)) \left(\frac{n+j}{n-j}\right)^{(j-k)/2} - i^{j-k} J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)) = O(\theta).$$

We conclude that if  $j+k \leq 0$ ,  $j-k < 0$ ,  $|j|, |k| < n$ , then

$$(3) \quad t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) = i^{j-k} J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) + O(\theta).$$

The facts that  $t_{j-k}^n(0, \theta, 0) = (-1)^{j-k} t_{jk}^n(0, \theta, 0)$ , [2], p. 109, and  $J_{-j+k} = (-1)^{j-k} J_{j-k}$  imply that (3) holds for  $j+k \geq 0$ ,  $k-j < 0$ ,  $|j| < n$ ,  $|k| < n$ . Similarly, the formula  $t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) = t_{jk}^n(0, \theta, 0)$ , [2], p. 109, implies that  $t_{kj}^n(0, \theta, 0) = i^{j-k} J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - k^2)^{1/2}) + O(\theta)$  when  $|j|, |k| < n$  and either  $j+k \leq 0$ ,  $j-k > 0$ , or  $j+k \geq 0$ ,  $k-j > 0$ . Furthermore,  $J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - k^2)^{1/2}) - J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) = O(\theta)$  if  $|j|, |k| \leq L$  and  $\theta n \leq N$ , where  $O(\theta)$  depends on  $L$  and  $N$ . This can be verified by noting that

$$(i) \quad J_{j-k}^n(x) = O(1) \text{ which implies}$$

$$J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - k^2)^{1/2}) - J_{j-k}(\theta(n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) = O((\theta(n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) - (n^2 - k^2)^{1/2}),$$

and

$$(ii) \quad |j|, |k| < n, |j|, |k| < L \text{ imply that } (n^2 - k^2)^{1/2} - (n^2 - j^2)^{1/2} = O(1).$$

Using these estimates, we see that (3) holds whenever  $|j|, |k| < L$ ,  $|j|, |k| < n$  and  $j \neq k$ . When  $j = k$  and  $|j| < L$ , (3) follows immediately from (2).

The remaining cases when  $|j| = n$  or  $|k| = n$  may be verified by using the symmetry properties of the  $t_{kj}^n$  already discussed and the facts that:

(i) in these cases  $n < L$ ,

$$(ii) \quad t_{kn}^n(0, \theta, 0) = \frac{(2n)!}{(n-k)!(n+k)!} i^{n-k} \left(\sin \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{n-k} \left(\cos \frac{\theta}{2}\right)^{n+k},$$

(iii)  $J_m(0) = 0$  if  $m \neq 0$ . ■

**§ 3. Multiplier operators.** We introduced multiplier operators on  $M(2)$  in Section 1. In this section we shall show how the  $L^p$  boundedness of such operators is related to properties of operators on  $SO(3)$  or  $SU(2)$ .

If  $m(n)$  is a  $2n+1$  by  $2n+1$  matrix for each non-negative integer  $n$ , then we define the multiplier operator  $m$  on  $L^p(SO(3))$  induced by  $\{m(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  to be the operator which maps  $f \in L^p(SO(3)) \cap L^2(SO(3))$  to the function  $m f(\varphi, \theta, \psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr}[m(n) \hat{f}(n) T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)]$ . Multiplier operators on

$SU(2)$  are defined analogously. If  $\|mf\|_p \leq C\|f\|_p$  for  $f \in L^2 \cap L^p$ , the multiplier operator  $m$  is said to be *bounded on  $L^p$* .

Let  $R$  denote the canonical two-to-one map of  $SU(2)$  onto  $SO(3)$  (cf. [2], p. 105, for details). If  $f$  is a complex-valued function on  $SO(3)$ , define  $f_0$  on  $SU(2)$  by setting  $f_0(u) = f(Ru)$ ,  $u \in SU(2)$ . It is easy to check that  $\|f_0\|_{p, SU(2)} = \|f\|_{p, SO(3)}$ . Moreover,  $\hat{f}_0(n) = 0$  if  $n$  is a half-integer, while  $\hat{f}_0(n) = \hat{f}(n)$  if  $n$  is an integer.

Using these observations it is not hard to prove the following lemma:

**LEMMA B.** *If  $\{m(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  defines a bounded multiplier operator on  $L^p(SU(2))$ , then  $\{m(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  defines a bounded multiplier operator on  $L^p(SO(3))$  with the same operator norm.*

Next we show how multiplier operators defined on  $M(2)$  induce multiplier operators defined on  $SO(3)$ . Let  $M(R)$  be a countably infinite matrix-valued function on the positive real numbers with  $j, k$  entry  $M(R, j, k)$ . Let  $M$  be the corresponding multiplier operator on  $M(2)$ . For each  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $M$  induces a multiplier operator on  $SO(3)$ , denoted  $m_\lambda$ , by the following process. Let  $m_\lambda(n)$  be the  $2n+1$  by  $2n+1$  matrix whose  $j, k$  entry is  $M(n/\lambda, j, k)$ ,  $-n \leq j, k \leq n$ . Then  $m_\lambda$  is the multiplier operator defined by  $\{m_\lambda(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ .

We are now in a position to state the fundamental theorem of this paper.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $M$  be a bounded multiplier operator on  $L^2(M(2))$ . Suppose that the matrix entries  $M(R, j, k)$  of the function  $M(R)$  defining  $M$  are continuous functions of  $R$  for each  $j, k$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $m_\lambda$  be the multiplier operator on  $SO(3)$  induced by  $M$ . If the operator norms of  $m_\lambda$  on  $L^p(SO(3))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  satisfy  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_\lambda\|_p < \infty$ , then  $M$  is a bounded operator on  $L^p(M(2))$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $M_s f = k_s^l * M f$ . If we show that

$$(4) \quad \|M_s f\|_{p, M(2)} \leq A \|f\|_{p, M(2)}$$

whenever  $f \in L^p(M(2)) \cap L^2(M(2))$  with  $A$  independent of  $s$  and  $l$ , the theorem will be proved. To see this, note that using the proof of Property A,  $\lim_{\substack{l \rightarrow \infty \\ s \rightarrow \infty}} \|k_s^l * M f - M f\|_{2, M(2)} = 0$ .

Thus

$$\lim_{\substack{l \rightarrow \infty \\ s \rightarrow \infty}} \int_{M(2)} k_s^l * M g(u) h(u) du = \int_{M(2)} M g(u) h(u) du$$

for  $h \in C_0^\infty(M(2))$ , the infinitely differentiable functions with compact support on  $M(2)$ . Hölder's inequality and (4) imply that if  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ ,  $\left| \int_{M(2)} M g(u) h(u) du \right| \leq A \|g\|_{p, M(2)}$  for all  $h \in C_0^\infty(M(2))$  such

that  $\|h\|_{q, M(2)} = 1$ . An application of the converse to Hölder's inequality gives our claim: the hypotheses of Theorem B and (4) imply  $\|Mg\|_{p, M(2)} \leq A \|g\|_{p, M(2)}$ .

A computation shows that  $M_{sl}$  is the multiplier operator induced by the matrices  $M_{sl}(R), R > 0$ , with  $j, k$  entries

$$M_{sl}(R, j, k) = \begin{cases} \left(1 - \frac{R^2}{s^2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{l}\right) M(R, j, k) & \text{if } j \leq l, 0 < R < s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $m_{sl}$  be the multiplier operator on  $SO(3)$  induced by  $M_{sl}$ . We claim that  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_{sl}\|_p < \infty$ . To see this, note that the multiplier

operator  $m_{sl}$  defined by  $\left\{ \left(1 - \frac{n}{(s\lambda)} 2\right) \right\}_{2n=0}^s$  is bounded on  $L^p(SU(2)), 1 < p < \infty$ , with bound independent of  $\lambda$  and  $s$ . This follows easily by applying a theorem of Coifman and Weiss: [2], p. 87. Use Lemma B to conclude that the multiplier operator  $m_{s\lambda}$  defined by  $\left\{ \left(1 - \frac{n}{(s\lambda)} 2\right) \right\}_{n=0}^{s\lambda}$  is bounded on  $L^p(SO(3)), 1 < p < \infty$ , with bound independent of  $\lambda$  and  $s$ .

Observe that if

$$F_l(\gamma) \equiv \frac{2}{\pi(l+1)} \left[ \frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(l+1)\gamma}{2 \sin \frac{1}{2}\gamma} \right]^2,$$

then

$$m_{sl}f(\varphi, \theta, \psi) = \int_0^{2\pi} F_l(\gamma) m_{sl} m_{\lambda} g(\varphi, \theta, \psi - \gamma) d\gamma.$$

It follows that from the standard properties of the Fejér kernel that  $\|m_{sl}f\|_{p, SO(3)} \leq D \|f\|_{p, SO(3)}$  with  $D$  independent of  $s, l, \lambda$ . We have reduced the proof of Theorem B to showing that  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_{sl}\|_p < \infty$  implies  $\|M_{sl}f\|_{p, M(2)} \leq A \|f\|_{p, M(2)}$  for  $f \in L^2(M(2)) \cap L^p(M(2))$  with  $A$  independent of  $l$  and  $S$ .

We require a further reduction. If  $f \in L^p(M(2))$ , set

$$f^l(\varphi, r, \psi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F^l(\gamma) F^l(\beta) f(\varphi + \gamma, r, \psi + \beta) d\gamma d\beta$$

with  $F^l$  as defined above. It is easy to check [that  $\|f^l\|_{p, M(2)} \leq \|f\|_{p, M(2)}$  independent of  $l$ . Similarly, considering

$$f^l(\varphi, \theta, \psi) = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} F^l(\gamma) F^l(\beta) f(\varphi + \gamma, \theta, \psi + \beta) d\gamma d\beta$$

to be a function on  $SO(3)$ ,  $\|f^l\|_{p, SO(3)} \leq \|f\|_{p, SO(3)}$  independent of  $l$ .

The standard properties of the Fejér kernel imply that for  $f \in L^p(Q)$   $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|f^l - f\|_{p, Q} = 0$  if  $Q = M(2)$  or  $SO(3)$ . Since  $C_0^\infty(M(2))$  is dense in  $L^p(M(2)), 1 \leq p < \infty$ , Theorem B will be proved if we show that  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_{sl}\|_p < \infty$  implies  $\|M_{sl}f\|_{p, M(2)} \leq A \|f\|_{p, M(2)}$  for  $f \in C_0^\infty(M(2))$ .

Let  $f \in C_0^\infty(M(2))$  have support in  $(0, 2\pi) \times (0, \mu] \times (0, 2\pi)$ . Define  $f_\lambda(\varphi, \theta, \psi) \equiv f(\varphi, \lambda\theta, \psi)$ . For  $\lambda$  sufficiently large, the support of  $f_\lambda$  will be contained in  $(0, 2\pi) \times (0, \pi) \times (0, 2\pi)$  so that we may consider  $f_\lambda$  to be a function in  $C_0^\infty(SO(3))$ . It will be convenient to study  $f_\lambda^+(\varphi, \theta, \psi) \equiv f(\varphi, \theta, \psi + \varphi)$ . Clearly,  $\|f_\lambda^+\|_{p, SO(3)} = \|f_\lambda\|_{p, SO(3)}$ . The hypothesis  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_{sl}\|_p < \infty$  implies that for infinitely many  $\lambda$ :

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr} [m_{sl}(n) [(f_\lambda^+)^+]^\wedge (n) T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi)] \right\|_{p, SO(3)} \leq \|m_{sl}\|_p \|(f_\lambda^+)^+\|_{p, SO(3)}$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr} [m_{sl}(n) [(f_\lambda^+)^+]^\wedge (n) T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi - \varphi)] \right\|_{p, SO(3)} \leq \|m_{sl}\|_p \|f_\lambda^+\|_{p, SO(3)}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} |f^l(\varphi, \lambda\theta, \psi)|^p \sin \theta d\varphi d\theta d\psi \\ &= \lambda^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} |f^l(\varphi, r, \psi)|^p \left(2\lambda \sin \frac{r}{2\lambda}\right) \cos \frac{r}{2\lambda} d\varphi dr d\psi \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & 8\pi^2 \left\| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr} [m_{sl}(n) [(f_\lambda^+)^+]^\wedge (n) T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi - \varphi)] \right\|_{p, SO(3)}^p \\ &= \lambda^{-2} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr} [m_{sl}(n) [(f_\lambda^+)^+]^\wedge (n) T^n(\varphi, \theta, \psi - \varphi)] \right|^p \times \\ & \quad \times \left(2\lambda \sin \frac{r}{2\lambda}\right) \cos \frac{r}{2\lambda} d\varphi dr d\psi. \end{aligned}$$

These equalities together with the fact that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left(2\lambda \sin \frac{r}{2\lambda}\right) \cos \frac{r}{2\lambda} = r$  and Fatou's lemma show that

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\pi \int_0^{2\pi} \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr} [m_{sl}(n) [(f_\lambda^+)^+]^\wedge (n) T^n\left(\varphi, \frac{r}{\lambda}, \psi - \varphi\right)] \right|^p r d\varphi dr d\psi \\ & \leq \liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_{sl}\|_p \|f^l\|_{p, M(2)} \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$G_{sl}(\varphi, r, \psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) \text{tr} \left( m_{sl}(n) [(f_{\lambda}^+)^{\wedge}(n)] T^n \left( \varphi, \frac{r}{\lambda}, \psi - \varphi \right) \right).$$

If we show  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} G_{sl}(\varphi, r, \psi) = M_{sl} f(\varphi, r, \psi)$ , then (5) implies that  $\|M_{sl} f\|_{p, M(2)} \leq A \|f\|_{p, M(2)}$  and thus, by our previous reductions, Theorem B will be proved. Computing  $[(f_{\lambda}^+)^{\wedge}(n)]$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned} G_{sl}(\varphi, r, \psi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{s\lambda} (2n+1) \left( 1 - \frac{n^2}{(s\lambda)^2} \right) \times \\ &\times \sum_{\substack{j=-n \\ |j|<l}}^n \sum_{\substack{k=-n \\ |k|<l}}^n \sum_{\substack{a=-n \\ |a|<l}}^n M \left( \frac{n}{\lambda}, j, a \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|j|}{l} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|k-a|}{l} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|a|}{l} \right) (8\pi^2)^{-1} \times \\ &\times \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f_{\lambda}(\Phi, \theta, \Psi) e^{i[(k-a)\varphi + a\psi]} \overline{v_{ka}^n(0, \theta, 0)} \sin \theta \, d\Phi \, d\theta \, d\Psi \, v_{kj}^n \left( \varphi, \frac{r}{\lambda}, \psi - \varphi \right). \end{aligned}$$

We shall use Lemma A to study this expression.

We begin by fixing  $X > 0$  and making the following restrictions:

$0 \leq r \leq X; |j|, |k| \leq n; |j|, |k| < L; 0 < n \leq s\lambda$ . These imply that  $n \frac{r}{\lambda} \leq SX \equiv N$ . Using Lemma A, we obtain the equality

$$(6) \quad v_{kj}^n \left( \varphi, \frac{r}{\lambda}, \psi - \varphi \right) = e^{-i(k-j)\varphi} e^{-ij\psi} i^{j-k} J_{j-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} (n^2 - j^2)^{1/2} \right) + O \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} \right)$$

where the bound on  $O \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} \right)$  depends only on  $l, s$ , and  $X$ , hence only on  $N$  and  $L$ . It is not difficult to check that  $J_{j-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} n \right) - J_{j-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} (n^2 - j^2)^{1/2} \right) = O \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} (n - (n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) \right)$ . The above restrictions give  $\frac{r}{\lambda} (n - (n^2 - j^2)^{1/2}) \leq n \frac{r}{\lambda} = N$ .

We conclude that  $J_{j-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} (n^2 - j^2)^{1/2} \right) = J_{j-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} n \right) + O(1)$ , where the bound arising from the  $O(1)$  depends only on  $L$  and  $N$ . Combining this estimate with the fact that  $\lambda \geq 1$  implies  $\frac{r}{\lambda} \leq X$ , we see that (6) implies

$$(7) \quad (2n+1) v_{kj}^n \left( \varphi, \frac{r}{\lambda}, \psi - \varphi \right) = 2n e^{-i[(k-j)\varphi + j\psi]} i^{j-k} J_{j-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} n \right) + (2n+1) O(1)$$

where the bound on  $O(1)$  depends only on  $N$  and  $L$ . When  $n = 0$ , (7) holds trivially, therefore we have established equality (7) whenever  $0 \leq r \leq X; |j|, |k| \leq n; |j|, |k| < L; 0 < n \leq s\lambda$ .

Next, suppose that  $0 < n \leq s\lambda; |a|, |k| \leq n; |j|, |k| < L$ . Since  $f \in C_0^{\infty}(M(2))$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{f}_{\lambda}(n) &= (8\pi^2 \lambda^2)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varphi, r, \psi) e^{i[(k-a)\varphi + a\psi]} \overline{v_{ka}^n \left( 0, \frac{r}{\lambda}, 0 \right)} \left( 2\lambda \sin \frac{r}{2\lambda} \right) \cos \frac{r}{2\lambda} \, d\varphi \, d\psi \, d\psi \\ &= (8\pi^2 \lambda^2)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varphi, r, \psi) e^{i[(k-a)\varphi + a\psi]} \overline{v_{ka}^n \left( 0, \frac{r}{\lambda}, 0 \right)} \, r \, d\varphi \, d\psi \, d\psi + \lambda^{-2} O(1). \end{aligned}$$

$f$  has support in  $(0, 2\pi) \times (0, \mu] \times (0, 2\pi)$ ; thus we may assume that  $0 < r < \mu$ , which implies  $nr/\lambda \leq \mu s$ . Applying Lemma A to this expression and simplifying in the manner described above, we see that

$$(8) \quad \hat{f}_{\lambda}(n) = (8\pi^2 \lambda^2)^{-1} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\varphi, r, \psi) e^{i[(k-a)\varphi + a\psi]} i^{a-k} J_{a-k} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} n \right) \, r \, d\varphi \, d\psi \, d\psi + \lambda^{-2} O(1)$$

where the bound on  $O(1)$  depends on  $L, s$  and  $\mu$  (hence  $f$ ). The fact that  $v_{00}^n(\varphi, r/\lambda, \psi) = J_0(0)$  implies (8) holds for  $n = 0$ .

Combining estimates (7) and (8), we have shown

$$\begin{aligned} G_{sl}(\varphi, r, \psi) &= \sum_{n=0}^{s\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{n^2}{(s\lambda)^2} \right) \sum_{\substack{j=-n \\ |j|<l}}^n \sum_{\substack{k=-n \\ |k|<l}}^n \sum_{\substack{a=-n \\ |a|<l}}^n M \left( \frac{n}{\lambda}, j, a \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|j|}{l} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|k-a|}{l} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{|a|}{l} \right) \times \\ &\times \left[ (4\pi\lambda^2)^{-1} T_j \left( \frac{n}{\lambda}, a, k \right) + \lambda^{-2} O(1) \right] \times \\ &\times \left[ 2n e^{-i[(k-j)\varphi + j\psi]} i^{k-j} J_{k-j} \left( \frac{r}{\lambda} n \right) (2n+1) O(1) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Noting that the bounds corresponding to the "O" terms depend only on  $L, s$ , and  $f$ , that  $\sup \left\{ \left| M \left( \frac{n}{\lambda}, j, a \right) \right| : 0 \leq n \leq s\lambda; |j|, |a| < l \right\} < \infty$ , and that  $n/\lambda \leq s$ , an easy computation shows  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} G_{sl}(\varphi, r, \psi) = M_{sl} f(\varphi, r, \psi)$ .

As we noted above, this proves Theorem B. ■

Combining Theorem B with Lemma B, the following corollary is immediate.



COROLLARY B. Let  $M$  be a bounded multiplier operator on  $L^2(M(2))$ . Suppose that the function  $M(R)$  defining  $M$  is continuous on  $(0, \infty)$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $m$  be the multiplier operator on  $SU(2)$  defined by the sequence  $\{m_\lambda(n)\}_{2n=0}^\infty$ , where the  $j, k$  entry of  $m_\lambda$  is  $M\left(\frac{n}{\lambda}, j, k\right)$ ,  $-2n \leq j, k \leq 2n$ ,  $0 \leq 2n < \infty$ . If the operator norms of the  $m_\lambda$  on  $L^p(SU(2))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , satisfy  $\liminf_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \|m_\lambda\|_p < \infty$ , then  $M$  is a bounded operator on  $L^p(M(2))$ .

§ 4. An application. In this section we show how the results we have obtained may be used to prove a transplantation result for Bessel functions. Consider the multiplier operator,  $M$ , defined on  $M(2)$  by the matrices,  $M(R)$ , with  $j, k$  entry equal to one if  $j = l, k = 0$ , and zero otherwise. An easy application of Corollary A shows that this operator is bounded on  $L^2(M(2))$ . For  $\lambda > 0$ , let  $m_\lambda$  be the multiplier operator on  $SU(2)$  induced from  $M$  by the procedure described in Corollary B. Since the entries of  $M(R)$  are independent of  $R$ , the matrix entries of  $m_\lambda$  are independent of  $\lambda$ . The operators  $m_\lambda$  were studied by Coifman and Weiss ([2], pp. 136-138) who showed that these operators are bounded on  $L^p(SU(2))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Applying Corollary B to  $M$ , we find that it is bounded on  $L^p(M(2))$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ . Let  $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow C$  be such that the Hankel transform  $\int_0^\infty f(R) J_u(rR) R dR$  belongs to  $L^p((0, \infty), r dr)$  for some integer  $u$  and some  $p$  greater than one.

Define

$$F(\Phi, r, \Psi) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^\infty f(R) J_u(rR) r e^{-iu\Phi} R dR.$$

$F(\Phi, r, \Psi) \in L^p(M(2))$ . Applying  $M$  to  $F$  shows that  $\|MF\|_{p, M(2)} \leq A \|F\|_{p, M(2)}$ , which implies that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty f(R) J_{u-1}(rR) R dR \right|^p r dr \leq A \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty f(R) J_u(rR) R dR \right|^p r dr.$$

By iterating this procedure and by considering the multiplier operator on  $M(2)$  defined by the matrices with  $j, k$  entry equal to one if  $j = -l, k = 0$  and zero otherwise, we obtain the following corollary:

COROLLARY C. If  $f: (0, \infty) \rightarrow C$  is such that for some integer  $u$ ,  $\int_0^\infty f(R) J_u(Rr) R dR \in L^p((0, \infty), r dr)$ , then given any integer  $v$ , there exists a number  $A_v$  such that

$$\int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty f(R) J_v(rR) R dR \right|^p r dr \leq A_v \int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^\infty f(R) J_u(rR) R dR \right|^p r dr.$$

#### References

- [1] F. Bingen, *Les fonctions de Bessel entier et les représentations du groupe des déplacements du plan*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 17 (1965), pp. 115-152.
- [2] R. Coifman and G. Weiss, *Analyse harmonique non-commutative sur certains espaces homogènes*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1971.
- [3] E. Stein and G. Weiss, *Introduction to Fourier analysis on Euclidean spaces*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. 1971.
- [4] N. Ja. Vilenkin, *Special functions and the theory of group representations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I. 1968.
- [5] G. N. Watson, *The theory of Bessel functions*, 2nd ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1958.
- [6] A. Bonami and J. L. Clerc, *Sommes de Cesàro et multiplicateurs des développements en harmoniques sphériques*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 183 (1973).

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY  
MIAMI, FLORIDA, USA

Received June 30, 1975  
New version June 2, 1976

(1035)