

hin impliziert  $L(E) = B(E)$  die Normierbarkeit von  $E$ . Uss fragt nun in [6], ob aus der Tatsache, daß  $\sigma(T)$  beschränkt ist für alle  $T \in L(E)$  schon folgt, daß  $E$  Banach ist. Dies ist nicht der Fall.

GEGENBEISPIEL. Sei  $E$  ein unendlichdimensionaler Banachraum und  $E_s$  dieser Raum ausgestattet mit seiner schwachen Topologie. Da die Normtopologie zugleich die Mackey-Topologie ist, gilt  $L(E) = L(E_s)$ . Damit besitzt jeder Operator  $T \in L(E_s)$  ein kompaktes Spektrum, ohne daß  $E_s$  deshalb normierbar wäre.

#### Literatur

- [1] T. Figiel, *Factorisation of compact operators and applications to the approximation problem*, Studia Math. 45 (1973), pp. 191–210.
- [2] K. Floret, J. Wloka, *Einführung in die Theorie der lokalkonvexen Räume*, Springer Lecture Notes 56, 1968.
- [3] K. Floret, *Lokalkonvexe Sequenzen mit kompakten Abbildungen*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 247 (1971), pp. 155–195.
- [4] G. Köthe, *Topologische lineare Räume I*, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1966.
- [5] A. Pietsch, *Theorie der Operatorenideale* (Zusammenfassung), Universität Jena 1972.
- [6] P. Uss, *Sur les opérateurs bornés dans les espaces localement convexes*, Studia Math. 37 (1971), pp. 139–158.

MATHEMATISCHES SEMINAR DER UNIVERSITÄT, KIEL, BRD

Received August 8, 1975

(1057)

### On semi-Fredholm operators and the conjugate of a product of operators

by

K.-H. FÖRSTER and E.-O. LIEBETRAU (Oldenburg)

**Abstract.** The first part of this paper contains necessary and sufficient conditions for a linear operator between Banach spaces to be semi-Fredholm. In the second part it is shown that there is a duality between these conditions corresponding to the duality of  $\Phi^-$ - and  $\Phi^+$ -operators. In the third part these results are used to derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the equality  $(TS)' = S'T'$ .

1. Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. For a linear operator  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  with domain  $D(T)$ , range  $R(T)$ , and null space  $N(T)$ , let  $\bar{T}$  denote the closure and  $T': Y' \rightarrow X'$  the conjugate of  $T$ , if defined. Let  $B(X, Y)$  and  $K(X, Y)$  denote the linear spaces of bounded and compact linear operators with domain  $X$  and range in  $Y$ ,  $U_X$  the closed unit ball in  $X$ ,  $\Phi^-(X, Y)$  the set of semi-Fredholm operators with closed ranges and finite-dimensional null spaces, and  $\Phi^+(X, Y)$  the set of semi-Fredholm operators the ranges of which have finite deficiency in  $Y$ .

First the  $\Phi^-$  and  $\Phi^+$ -operators will be characterized.

1. THEOREM. Let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closable linear operator. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\bar{T} \in \Phi^-(X, Y)$ ;
- (2) There are a Banach space  $Z$ ,  $O \in K(X, Z)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\|w\| \leq \alpha \|Tw\| + \|Ow\| \quad \text{for all } w \in D(T).$$

Proof. It is immediate that (2) is equivalent to

- (2) There are a Banach space  $Z$ ,  $O \in K(X, Z)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$  such that for  $w \in D(\bar{T})$ ,  $\|w\| \leq \alpha \|\bar{T}w\| + \|Ow\|$ .

Therefore  $T$  may be assumed to be closed.

Suppose  $T \in \Phi^-(X, Y)$ ,  $Z = X$ , and let  $O$  be a projection from  $X$  onto  $N(T)$ . Since  $N(T)$  is finite dimensional,  $O$  is compact. If  $w \in D(T)$  and  $u \in N(T)$ , then  $\|w\| - \|Ow\| \leq \|(I - O)(w - u)\|$ . Employing the reduced minimum modulus  $\gamma(T)$  ([5], p. 231) we have

$$\|w\| - \|Ow\| \leq \|I - O\| \gamma(T)^{-1} \|Tw\| = \alpha \|Tw\|$$

with  $\alpha = \|I - O\| \gamma(T)^{-1} > 0$ . This implies (2).

Suppose (2). Then for any bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $N(T)$  there exists a subsequence  $(y_k)$  such that  $(Cy_k)$  converges. Since  $Ty_k = 0$ , it follows from (2) that  $(y_k)$  converges. Thus  $U_{N(T)}$  is relatively compact and therefore,  $N(T)$  is finite dimensional. If  $R(T)$  were not closed, then  $\gamma(T) = 0$ , which implies the existence of a bounded sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $D(T)$  without accumulation point in  $N(T)$  but  $\lim Tx_n = 0$ . For a subsequence  $(y_k)$ ,  $(Cy_k)$  converges. It follows from (2) that  $(y_k)$  converges to some  $x \in X$ . Since  $T$  is closed,  $x \in N(T)$ . This contradicts the choice of  $(x_n)$ , hence  $T \in \Phi^-(X, Y)$ .

This characterization of  $\Phi^-$ -operators has frequently been employed for the treatment of differential operators. The following characterization  $\Phi^+$ -operators seems to be new.

**2. THEOREM.** Let  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  be a closed linear operator. The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $T \in \Phi^+(X, Y)$ ;
- (2) There are a Banach space  $Z$ ,  $C \in K(Z, Y)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$U_Y \subseteq \alpha T U_X + C U_Z.$$

**Proof.** Suppose  $T \in \Phi^+(X, Y)$ . Choose  $Z = Y$  and  $C = I - P \in K(Z, Y)$  with a projection  $P$  from  $Y$  onto  $R(T)$ . Let  $y \in U_Y$ . It follows from  $Y = R(T) \oplus R(C)$  that  $y = Tw + Cy$  with  $Tw = Py$ . There is a  $z \in D(T)$  such that  $Tz = Tw$  and

$$\gamma(T) \|z\| \leq 2 \|Tw\| \leq 2 \|P\|.$$

Taking  $\alpha = 2 \|P\| \gamma(T)^{-1}$ , it follows that  $Tw = Tz \in \alpha T U_X$ . Since  $Cy \in C U_Y$ , (2) is proved.

Suppose (2). For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite-dimensional subspace  $M_\varepsilon$  of  $Y$  such that  $C U_Z \subseteq \varepsilon U_Y + M_\varepsilon$  ([7], p. 146). Thus  $U_Y \subseteq \alpha T U_X + \varepsilon U_Y + M_\varepsilon$ . From this we shall derive  $U_Y \subseteq R(T) + M_\varepsilon$ , which implies  $Y \subseteq R(T) + M_\varepsilon$ , that is,  $R(T)$  has finite deficiency. Now suppose  $y \in U_Y$ . Inductively we obtain sequences  $(x_n)$  in  $U_X$ ,  $(y_k)$  in  $U_Y$ , and  $(w_k)$  in  $M_\varepsilon$  such that

$$y = \alpha T w_0 + \varepsilon y_1 + w_0$$

and

$$y_k = \alpha T w_k + \varepsilon y_{k+1} + w_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

For every  $n$ , this implies

$$y = \alpha T \left( \sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon^k w_k \right) + \varepsilon^{n+1} y_{n+1} + \sum_{k=0}^n \varepsilon^k w_k.$$

Suppose  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Then  $(\varepsilon^n y_n)$  converges to zero and  $x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \varepsilon^k w_k$  exists.

According to [6], there exists a norm  $||$  on  $Y$  such that  $T: X \rightarrow (Y, ||)$  is continuous and  $|y| \leq ||y||$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Since

$$|w_k| \leq |y_k| + \varepsilon |y_{k+1}| + \alpha |T w_k| \leq 1 + \varepsilon + |T|,$$

$(w_k)$  is bounded. On  $M_\varepsilon$   $||$  and  $||$  are equivalent, hence the series  $\sum \varepsilon^k w_k$  converges to some  $w \in M_\varepsilon$ . Then  $\sum \varepsilon^k T w_k$  converges, too. Since  $T$  is closed,  $x \in D(T)$  and  $Tx = \sum \varepsilon^k T w_k$ . It follows that  $y = \alpha Tx + w$ , hence  $U_Y \subseteq R(T) + M_\varepsilon$ .

If (2) is valid for a closable linear operator  $T$ , then the inclusion is valid for  $\bar{T}$ . In this case  $\bar{T} \in \Phi^+(X, Y)$ .

**2.** The well-known duality of densely defined  $\Phi^-$ - and  $\Phi^+$ - operators is reflected by a duality between the conditions (2) of Theorems 1 and 2. For this purpose we need the following result which is a special case of the theorem in [8].

**3. LEMMA.** Let  $E$  and  $F$  be (real or complex) linear spaces,  $E^*$  and  $F^*$  their (algebraical) duals,  $S: E \rightarrow F$  a linear map with domain  $E$ ,  $\omega: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  a seminorm, and  $u^* \in E^*$ . If  $|\langle u, u^* \rangle| \leq \omega(Su)$  for all  $u \in E$ , there exists a  $v^* \in F^*$  such that  $|v^*| \leq \omega$  and  $\langle u, u^* \rangle = \langle Su, v^* \rangle$  for all  $u \in E$ .

For the next two theorems, let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be normed linear spaces and let  $X', Y'$ , and  $Z'$  be their strong duals.

**4. THEOREM.** Let  $A: X \rightarrow Y$  be a densely defined linear operator,  $C \in B(X, Z)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $\|w\| \leq \alpha \|Aw\| + \|Cw\|$  for all  $w \in D(A)$ ;
- (2)  $U_{X'} \subseteq \alpha A' U_{Y'} + C' U_{Z'}$ .

**Proof.** Suppose (1) and  $w' \in U_{X'}$  and let  $E = D(A)$ ,  $F = Y \times Z$ ,  $Sw = (\alpha Aw, Cw)$ ,  $\omega(v, w) = \|v\| + \|w\|$ , and  $u^* = w'$ . Then, for  $w \in D(A)$ , (1) reads  $|\langle w, w' \rangle| \leq \omega(Sw)$  and Lemma 3 yields a  $v^* = (y', z') \in F^*$  such that  $|v^*| \leq \omega$ . Hence,  $v^* \in F'$  and  $y' \in U_{Y'}$ ;  $z' \in U_{Z'}$ . Furthermore, for  $w \in D(A)$

$$\langle w, w' \rangle = \langle Sw, v^* \rangle = \alpha \langle Aw, y' \rangle + \langle w, C' z' \rangle.$$

Then  $y' \in D(A')$  and  $w' = \alpha A' y' + C' z'$ . Thus (2) follows.

Suppose (2). For each  $w' \in U_{X'}$  there exist  $y' \in U_{Y'} \cap D(A')$  and  $z' \in U_{Z'}$  such that  $w' = \alpha A' y' + C' z'$ . Hence, if  $w \in D(A)$ ,  $|\langle w, w' \rangle| \leq \alpha \|Aw\| + \|Cw\|$  which implies (1).

**5. THEOREM.** Let  $A: X \rightarrow Y$  be a densely defined linear operator,  $C \in B(Z, Y)$ , and  $\alpha > 0$ . The following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $(1 - \varepsilon) U_Y \subseteq \alpha A U_X + C U_Z$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ;
- (2)  $\|y'\| \leq \alpha \|A' y'\| + \|C' y'\|$  for all  $y' \in D(A')$ .

Proof. Suppose (1),  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , and  $y' \in D(A')$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\varepsilon)\|y'\| &= \sup\{|\langle y, y' \rangle| : y \in (1-\varepsilon)U_X\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|\langle \alpha Ax + Cz, y' \rangle| : x \in U_X \cap D(A), z \in U_Z\} \\ &\leq \alpha\|A'y'\| + \|C'y'\|. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose (2).  $U_Y \subseteq \overline{\alpha AU_X + CU_Z}$  implies (1). If this inclusion were false, there exist  $y_0 \in U_Y$ ,  $y_0 \notin \alpha AU_X + CU_Z$  and  $y_0' \in Y'$  such that

$$|\langle y_0, y_0' \rangle| > \sup\{|\langle \alpha Ax + Cz, y_0' \rangle| : x \in U_X \cap D(A), z \in U_Z\} = \eta.$$

This implies  $\alpha|\langle Ax, y_0' \rangle| < |\langle y_0, y_0' \rangle| + \|C\|\|y_0'\|$  for  $x \in U_X \cap D(A)$ , hence  $y_0' \in D(A')$ . Now (2) implies  $\|y_0'\| \leq \alpha\|A'y_0'\| + \|C'y_0'\| = \eta$  which contradicts  $\eta < |\langle y_0, y_0' \rangle| \leq \|y_0'\|$ .

For Banach spaces,  $A$  closed and  $C$  compact, the duality between  $\Phi^-$ - and  $\Phi^+$ -operators is obtained from Theorems 4 and 5 together with Theorems 1 and 2.

Let  $A: X \rightarrow Z$  and  $B: Y \rightarrow Z$  be linear operators. According to [3],  $B$  is called  $A$ -co-continuous, if there are non-negative constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that  $BU_Y \subseteq AU_X + \beta U_Z$ .

The proof of the following lemma is similar to that of Theorem 5.

6. LEMMA ([3], 1.2.). Let  $A$  and  $B$  be densely defined. Then  $B$  is  $A$ -co-continuous if and only if  $B'$  is  $A'$ -bounded, i.e.  $D(A') \subseteq D(B')$  and there are non-negative constants  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that for all  $z' \in D(A')$ ,  $\|B'z'\| \leq \alpha\|A'z'\| + \beta\|z'\|$ .

3. The concept of relative co-continuity is used to examine the conjugate of a product of two operators. As is known, if  $S$  and  $T$  are densely defined linear operators, then merely  $S'T' \subseteq (TS)'$ , even if  $TS$  is densely defined.

$(TS)' = S'T'$  implies that  $T'$  is  $(TS)'$ -bounded ([4], V. 3.3.), for it follows that  $D((TS)') \subseteq D(T')$ , the duals of normed linear spaces are complete and conjugate operators are closed. Lemma 6 then gives:

If  $(TS)' = S'T'$ , then  $T$  is  $TS$ -co-continuous.

The following example shows that the converse of this remark is not true. Let  $\tau = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k D^k$  be a differential expression, where the coefficients are constant, let  $I$  be an interval,  $T_\tau$  the maximal operator corresponding to  $\tau$  in  $L_2(I)$ , and  $T_\tau^R$  the restriction of  $T_\tau$  to those  $f \in D(T_\tau)$  which have compact supports in the interior of  $I$ . Then  $T_{0,\tau} = \overline{T_\tau^R}$  is the minimal operator ([4], VI.2.1). Now let  $S: L_2 \rightarrow L_2 \times L_2$  and  $T: L_2 \times L_2 \rightarrow L_2$  be defined by

$$D(S) = D(T_\tau), \quad Sf = (T_\tau f, f)$$

and

$$D(T) = \{(f, g) : f, g \in D(T_\tau^R)\}, \quad T(f, g) = f.$$

As a projection  $T$  is continuous, thus, in particular,  $T$  is  $TS$ -co-continuous. Now  $TS = T_\tau^R$  implies  $(TS)' = (T_{0,\tau})' = T_{\tau,*}$  ([4], VI.2.3), and  $S'T' = (T_\tau)' = T_{0,\tau,*}$ . Let  $I$  be compact. Then  $T_{\tau,*} \neq T_{0,\tau,*}$  ([4], VI.2.10.i) and in this case  $(TS)' \neq S'T'$ .

Let  $X, Y$ , and  $Z$  be normed linear spaces,  $S: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $T: Y \rightarrow Z$  linear operators. According to [5], p. 166, a linear submanifold  $D$  of  $X$  is called a core of  $S$ , if  $D \subseteq D(S)$  and  $\overline{G(S)} \subseteq \overline{G(S|_D)}$ , where  $G(S)$  denotes the graph of  $S$ .

7. THEOREM. Let  $S$  and  $T$  be densely defined and let  $D(TS)$  be a core of  $S$ . Then  $TS$  is densely defined and  $(TS)' = S'T'$  if and only if  $T$  is  $TS$ -co-continuous.

Proof. It has already been shown that  $T$  is  $TS$ -co-continuous, if  $(TS)' = S'T'$ . Since  $D(TS)$  is a core of  $S$ ,  $D(TS)$  is dense in  $D(S)$ . Thus  $TS$  is densely defined. By Lemma 6,  $T'$  is  $(TS)'$ -bounded, hence  $D((TS)') \subseteq D(T')$ . Since  $(TS)'$  is an extension of  $S'T'$ , it remains to show that  $D((TS)') \subseteq D(S'T')$ . For this purpose suppose  $z' \in D((TS)')$ , hence  $z' \in D(T')$ . For  $x \in D(TS)$ ,  $Sx \in D(T)$  and

$$\langle Sx, T'z' \rangle = \langle TSx, z' \rangle = \langle x, (TS)'z' \rangle.$$

Since  $D(TS)$  is a core of  $S$ ,

$$\langle Sx, T'z' \rangle = \langle x, (TS)'z' \rangle, \quad x \in D(S).$$

It follows that  $T'z' \in D(S')$ , hence  $z' \in D(S'T')$  and  $D((TS)') \subseteq D(S'T')$ .

The following remarks serve the purpose of comparing Theorem 7 with known sufficient conditions for  $(TS)' = S'T'$ .

8. LEMMA (see [2], Lemma 5). Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complete, let  $S$  be closed with closed range and let  $M$  be a linear submanifold of  $Y$ . Then  $S^{-1}(M)$  is a core of  $S$  if and only if  $\overline{R(S) \cap M} = R(S)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $\overline{R(S) \cap M} = R(S)$ . Let  $(x, Sx) \in G(S)$ . Since  $Sx \in R(S)$  there exists a sequence  $(y_n)$  in  $R(S) \cap M$  which converges to  $Sx$ . Since  $S$  is closed and has closed range, there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  with limit  $x$  and  $Sx_n = y_n$ . Thus  $x_n \in S^{-1}(M)$ .  $(x_n, Sx_n)$  converges to  $(x, Sx)$ . Now  $S^{-1}(M) \subseteq D(S)$ , therefore,  $S^{-1}(M)$  is a core of  $S$ . Conversely, suppose that  $S^{-1}(M)$  is a core of  $S$ . If  $\overline{R(S) \cap M} \neq R(S)$ , there exists  $w_0 \in D(S)$  such that  $y_0 = Sw_0 \notin \overline{R(S) \cap M}$  and  $y_0' \in Y'$  such that  $\langle y_0, y_0' \rangle = 1$  and  $\langle y, y_0' \rangle = 0$  whenever  $y \in \overline{R(S) \cap M}$ . Therefore, if  $w \in S^{-1}(M)$ ,  $\langle Sw, y_0' \rangle = 0$ . Since  $S^{-1}(M)$  is a core of  $S$ ,  $\langle Sw, y_0' \rangle = 0$  for all  $w \in D(S)$ . This contradicts  $\langle Sw_0, y_0' \rangle = \langle y_0, y_0' \rangle = 1$ .

9. LEMMA. Let  $X, Y$  and  $Z$  be complete, let  $T$  be closed and densely defined and  $S \in \Phi^+(X, Y)$ . Then  $D(TS)$  is a core of  $S$  and  $T$  is  $TS$ -co-continuous.

Proof. Since  $R(S)$  has finite deficiency,  $R(S) = \overline{R(S) \cap D(T)}$  ([4], IV.2.8). Since  $R(S)$  is closed,  $D(TS)$  is a core of  $S$  by Lemma 8. Theorem 2 yields  $U_Y \subseteq aSU_X + CU_Y$ , where  $C$  may be chosen to be finite dimensional with range in  $D(T)$  ([4], IV.2.8).  $TC$  then has domain  $X$  and is closed, hence  $TU_Y \subseteq aTSU_X + \|TC\|U_Z$  and therefore,  $T$  is  $TS$ -co-continuous.

Suppose that in Lemma 9  $S$  is also densely defined. Then Theorem 7 implies  $(TS)' = S'T'$ . This has been proved in [9]. With similar conclusions [2], Theorem 6 is obtained. A partial converse of Theorem 7 and [1], Theorem 1, is given by

10. LEMMA. If  $(TS)' = S'T'$  and  $R(T') = Y'$ , then  $D(TS)$  is a core of  $S$ .

Proof.  $D = D(TS)$  is a core of  $S$  if and only if  $S' = (S|_D)'$ . Since  $S' \subseteq (S|_D)'$ , it remains to show that  $D((S|_D)') \subseteq D(S')$ . If  $y' \in D((S|_D)')$ , then there exists  $x' \in D(T')$  such that  $y' = T'x'$ . For  $x \in D$ ,

$$\langle x, (S|_D)'y' \rangle = \langle Sx, T'x' \rangle = \langle TSx, x' \rangle.$$

This implies  $x' \in D((TS)') = D(S'T')$ , hence  $y' = T'x' \in D(S')$ .

### References

- [1] J. van Casteren, *Adjoint of products of operators in Banach space*, Arch. Math. 23 (1972), pp. 73-76.
- [2] J. van Casteren and S. Goldberg, *The conjugate of the product of operators*, Studia Math. 38 (1970), pp. 125-130.
- [3] K.-H. Förster, *Relativ co-stetige Operatoren in normierten Räumen*, Arch. Math. 25 (1974), pp. 639-645.
- [4] S. Goldberg, *Unbounded Linear Operators: Theory and Applications*, New York 1966.
- [5] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, New York 1966.
- [6] G. Köthe, *General linear transformations of locally convex spaces*, Math. Annalen 159 (1965), pp. 309-328.
- [7] A. Pietsch, *Nuclear Locally Convex Spaces*, New York 1972.
- [8] V. Pták, *On a theorem of Mazur and Orlicz*, Studia Math. 15 (1956), pp. 365-366.
- [9] M. Schechter, *The conjugate of a product of closed operators*, J. Functional Analysis 6 (1970), pp. 26-28.

FACHBEREICH IV  
UNIVERSITÄT OLDENBURG, OLDENBURG, BRD

Received September 11, 1975

(1063)