

## References

- [1] L. Dor, On the sequences spanning a complex l<sub>1</sub> space, to appear.
- [2] J. Howard, F-singular and G-cosingular operators, Colloq. Math. 22 (1970), pp. 85-89.
- [3] J. Lindenstrauss, A. Pełczyński, Absolutely summing operators in L<sub>p</sub>-spaces and their applications, Studia Math. 29 (1968), pp. 275-326.
- [4] R. Ovsepian, A. Pełczyński, On the existence of a fundamental total and bounded biorthogonal sequence in every separable Banach space, and related constructions of uniformly bounded orthonormal systems in L<sup>2</sup>, Studia Math. 54 (1975), pp. 149-159.
- [5] A. Pełczyński, On strictly singular and cosingular operators II, Bull. de l'Acad. Pol. 13 (1965), pp. 37-41.
- [6] A. Perrson, A. Pietsch, p-nukleare und p-integrale Abbildungen in Banachräumen, Studia Math. 33 (1969), pp. 19-62.
- [7] A. Pietsch, Theorie der Operatorenideale, Wissenschaftl. Beiträge d. Uni. Jena. 1972.
- [8] D. Przeworska-Rolewicz, Equations in linear spaces, Warszawa 1968.
- [9] H. P. Rosenthal, On relatively disjoint families of measures with application to Banach space theory. Studia Math. 27 (1970), pp. 13-36.
- [10] On subspaces of  $L^p$ , Ann. of Math. 97 (1973), pp. 344-373.
- [11] A characterization of Banach spaces containing  $l_1$ , Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA, to appear.
- [12] I. Stephani, Injektive Operatorenideale über die Gesamtheit aller Banachräume und ihre topologische Erseugung, Studia Math. 37 (1970), pp. 105-124.

Received June 10, 1974 (841)

## A criterion for compositions of (p, q)-absolutely summing operators to be compact

by

## B. MAUREY (Paris) and A. PEŁCZYŃSKI (Warszawa)

Abstract. If  $(S_j)_{j=1,2,\ldots,M}$  are  $(p_j,2)$ -absolutely summing operators, and  $\sum_{j=1}^{M} p_j^{-1} > 1/2$ , then the composition  $S_M S_{M-1} \ldots S_1$  is compact.

**Results.** Let  $\infty > p \geqslant q \geqslant 1$  and let X, Y be normed linear spaces. Recall that a bounded linear operator  $S \colon X \to Y$  is said to be (p,q)-absolutely summing if there exists a positive constant C such that for all finite sequences  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$  in X  $(n = 1, 2, \ldots)$ 

$$\Big(\sum_{j=1}^n \|Sx_j\|^p\Big)^{1/p} \leqslant C \sup_{x^* \in X^*, \|x^*\| \leqslant 1} \Big(\sum_{j=1}^n |x^*(x_j)|^q\Big)^{1/q}.$$

The greatest lower bound of the constants C satisfying the above inequality is denoted by  $\pi_{n,q}(S)$ .

The main result of the present paper is:

THEOREM 1. Let M be a positive integer, let  $X_k$  be Banach spaces  $(k=0,1,\ldots,M)$ , and let  $S_k\colon X_{k-1}{\to}X_k$  be  $(p_k,2)$ -absolutely summing operators  $(2\leqslant p_k<\infty$  for  $k=1,2,\ldots,M)$ . Then the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} p_k^{-1} > 2^{-1}$$

implies the compactness of the composition  $S_{\mathbf{M}}S_{\mathbf{M}-1}....S_{\mathbf{1}}$ .

Combining Theorem 1 with the well-known fact (cf. Kwapień [4]) that if  $T\colon X\to Y$  is a (p,q)-absolutely summing operator, then T is also  $(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$ -absolutely summing for every pair  $(\tilde{p},\tilde{q})$  such that  $p^{-1}-q^{-1}=\tilde{p}^{-1}-\tilde{q}^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{p}>p$ , we get

COROLLARY 1. Let M be a positive integer, let  $X_k$  be Banach spaces  $(k=0,1,\ldots,M)$ , let  $S_k\colon X_{k-1}{\to} X_k$  be  $(p_k,q_k)$ -absolutely summing operators, and let  $0\leqslant q_k^{-1}-p_k^{-1}<2^{-1},\ 1\leqslant q_k\leqslant 2$  for  $k=1,\ldots,M$ . Then the condition

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} 2^{-1} + p_k^{-1} - q_k^{-1} > 2^{-1}$$

implies the compactness of the composition  $S_M S_{M-1} \dots S_1$ . In particular, the composition of  $(p_k, 1)$ -absolutely summing operators with  $1 \leq p_k < 2$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, M$  is compact whenever

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} p_k^{-1} > rac{M+1}{2}.$$

An immediate consequence of Corollary 1 is the following generalization of the Dvoretzky-Rogers theorem:

COROLLARY 2. Let X be a Fréchet space such that for some pair (p, q) with  $0 \le q^{-1} - p^{-1} < 2^{-1}$  for every continuous pseudonorm  $|\cdot|$  on X there exists a continuous pseudonorm  $||\cdot||$  on X such that the injection  $(X, ||\cdot||) \rightarrow (X, |\cdot|)$  is (p, q)-absolutely summing. Then X is a Schwartz space.

Here by  $(X, |\cdot|)$  (resp.  $(X, ||\cdot|)$ ) we denote the normed linear space which is a quotient of X by the  $\{x \in X : |x| = 0\}$  (resp.  $\{x \in X : ||x|| = 0\}$ ) with the norm induced by  $|\cdot|$  (resp. by  $||\cdot||$ ). For the definition of a Schwartz space of. [3].

Let us observe that Theorem 1 as well as Corollary 1 is the best possible in the following sense:

(\*) Given a positive integer M and  $(p_k, q_k)$  such that  $0 \leqslant q_k^{-1} - p_k^{-1} < 2^{-1}$ ,  $1 \leqslant q_k \leqslant 2$  for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, M$  and  $\sum_{k=1}^{M} 2^{-1} + p_k^{-1} - q_k^{-1} \leqslant 2^{-1}$  there exist Banach spaces  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_M$  and  $(p_k, q_k)$ -absolutely summing operators  $S_k \colon X_{k-1} \to X_k$   $(k = 1, 2, \ldots, M)$  such that the composition  $S_M S_{M-1} \ldots S_1$  is not compact.

To see (\*) observe first that, by the result of Kwapień [4] quoted above, it is enough to consider the case  $q_k = 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{M} p_k^{-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} (M+1).$$

The operators  $S_k$  can be constructed as follows. For  $1 \le a \le b < \infty$ , let  $I_{a,b}$  denote the natural injection from  $l^a$  into  $l^b$ . G. Bennett [1] has shown that for  $1 \le a \le b \le 2$ ,  $I_{a,b}$  is (p,1)-absolutely summing, where 1/p = 1/a + 1/2 - 1/b.

Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_M \in [1, 2]$  such that  $\sum_{k=1}^M p_k^{-1} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} (M+1)$  and define a sequence  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_M$  by induction:

$$a_0 = 1;$$
  $1/a_k = 1/a_{k-1} + 1/2 - 1/p_k,$   $k = 1, 2, ..., M.$ 

It is easily seen that  $a_k \leqslant a_{k+1}$ , and  $a_M \leqslant 2$ .

Let us set  $S_k=I_{a_{k-1},a_k}$ . Then  $S_k$  is  $(p_k,1)$ -absolutely summing, and  $S_MS_{M-1}\dots S_1=I_{a_0,a_M}$  is not compact.



Finally observe that the condition  $0 \leqslant q_k^{-1} - p_k^{-1} < 2^{-1}$  in Corollary 1 is also necessary because the identity operator on  $l^2$  is (p, 1)-absolutely summing for every  $p \geqslant 2$ .

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We shall employ the following notation. If  $(y_n)$  is a basis for a Banach space Y then

$$\operatorname{ue}(y_n) = \sup_{m} \sup_{|a_j| \leqslant 1} \sup_{\|\sum_{j=1}^m t_j x_j\| = 1} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^m a_j t_j x_j \right\|.$$

If X and Y are Banach spaces with bases  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$ , respectively, then the linear operator  $T\colon X{\to} Y$  such that  $Tx_n=y_n$  for all n is called the natural injection from X into Y with respect to the bases  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  or briefly a natural injection. To indicate that  $T\colon X{\to} Y$  is a natural injection with respect to the bases  $(x_n)$  and  $(y_n)$  we shall write

$$T: (X, (x_n)) \rightarrow (Y, (y_n)).$$

If N is a fixed positive integer then  $l_N^p$  denotes the space of all scalar sequences  $(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N)$  with the norm  $\|(t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N)\|_p = (\sum_{j=1}^N |t_j|^p)^{1/p}$   $(1 \leq p < \infty)$ . The unit vector basis of  $l_N^p$  will be denoted by  $(e_n)$ . By  $I_{p,q}$  we shall denote the natural injection  $(l_N^p, (e_n)) \rightarrow (l_N^q, (e_n))$ .

PROPOSITION 1. Let m be a non-negative integer. Let  $X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_{m+1}$  be Banach spaces, let  $S_k \colon X_{k-1} \to X_k$  be bounded linear operators  $(k=1,2,\ldots,m+1)$  such that the composition  $S_{m+1}S_m \ldots S_1$  is not compact. Then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for every positive integer N there exists for  $k=0,1,\ldots,m+1$  an N-dimensional subspace  $Y_k$  of  $X_k$  with a basis  $(y_n^{(k)})$  such that

(i)  $\sup \|y_n^{(0)}\| \leqslant 4$ ,  $\inf_n \|y_n^{(m+1)}\| \geqslant \delta$ ,  $\operatorname{uc}(y_n^{(k)}) \leqslant 3$  (k = 0, 1, ..., m+1).

(ii) the natural injection  $T_k$ :  $(Y_{k-1}, (y_n^{(k-1)})) \rightarrow (Y_k, (y_n^{(k)}))$  coincides with the restriction of  $S_k$  to  $Y_{k-1}$  (k = 1, 2, ..., m+1).

Proof. Since  $S_{m+1}S_m \dots S_1$  is not compact, there exist an  $\eta > 0$  and a sequence  $(z_r^{(0)})$  in the unit ball of  $X_0$  such that if  $z_r^{(k)} = S_k S_{k-1} \dots S_1(z_r^{(0)})$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, m+1$  then  $\|z_r^{(k)} - z_r^{(k)}\| \ge \eta$  whenever  $r' \ne r''$ .

Now we fix a (large) integer M and we apply m+2 times the Brunel–Sucheston result which is based on the Ramsey combinatorial theorem (cf. [2], [5]) to extract an increasing infinite sequence  $(n_r)$  of integers such that if  $u_r^{(k)} = z_{n_r}^{(k)}$  for all r and for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m+1$ , then the sequences  $u_r^{(k)}$  are "almost M-subsymmetric" precisely:

$$\left\| \frac{9}{10} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{M} t_{j} u_{j}^{(k)} \right\| \leqslant \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{M} t_{j} u_{r_{j}}^{(k)} \right\| \leqslant \frac{11}{10} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{M} t_{j} u_{j}^{(k)} \right\|$$

for all scalars  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_M$  for all sequences of the indices  $r_1 < r_2 < \ldots < r_M$  and for  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, M$ . Now if N is given and if we choose M = M(N) sufficiently large then, by a result of Brunel and Sucheston [2], the differences

$$y_n^{(k)} = u_{2n-1}^{(k)} - u_{2n}^{(k)} \quad (n = 1, 2, ..., N)$$

form for k = 1, 2, ..., m+1 a basic sequence in  $X_k$  of length N with  $\operatorname{uc}((y_n^{(k)})) \leq 3$ . We define  $Y_k$  to be the N-dimensional space generated by the  $y_n^{(k)}$ 's. Clearly, the spaces  $Y_k$  with the bases  $y_n^{(k)}$  have the desired properties for  $\delta = \eta/2$ .

Proposition 1 allows us to reduce the problem of compactness of a composition of (p, 2)-summing operators to the case of natural injections between spaces with unconditional bases. Our next aim is a further reduction to the case of natural injections between  $l^r$ -spaces. We begin with two lemmas which are similar to an argument of Tzafriri [5].

LEMMA 1. Let  $1 \le r < 2 \le p$ . Let N be a positive integer, let Y be an N-dimensional Banach space with a basis  $(y_n)$ , and let  $T: (l_N^r, (e_n)) \rightarrow (Y, (y_n))$  be the natural injection. Then

$$\sup_{n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_j} \| \boldsymbol{y}_{n_1} + \boldsymbol{y}_{n_2} + \ldots + \boldsymbol{y}_{n_j} \| \leqslant A \boldsymbol{j}^{1/r - 1/p}$$

for j = 1, 2, ..., N, where

$$A = \begin{cases} \pi_{p,2}(T) \operatorname{uc}(y_n) & \text{for complex Banach spaces,} \\ 2\pi_{p,2}(T) \operatorname{uc}(y_n) & \text{for real Banach spaces.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. 1. The case of complex spaces. Fix  $j \leq N$  and  $n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_N$ . Let  $(a_{r,n})_{1 \leq r, \mu \leq j}$  be the unitary matrix defined by

(1) 
$$a_{\nu,\mu} = j^{-1/2} e^{2\pi i \nu \mu/j}$$
 for  $1 \le \nu, \mu \le j$ .

Let us set

$$z_{\scriptscriptstyle{m{
u}}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^j a_{\scriptscriptstyle{m{
u}},\mu} e_{n_\mu} \quad ext{for} \quad 1 \leqslant \imath \leqslant j \, .$$

The unitarity of the matrix  $(a_{r,\mu})$  and the inequality  $||x||_r \leqslant j^{1/r-1/2} ||x||_2$  for all  $x \in l_j^r$   $(1 \leqslant r \leqslant 2)$  yield

$$\Big\| \sum_{r=1}^j t_r z_r \Big\|_r \leqslant j^{1/r-1/2} \Big\| \sum_{r=1}^j t_r z_r \Big\|_2 = j^{1/r-1/2} \Big( \sum_{r=1}^j |t_r|^2 \Big)^{1/2}$$

for all scalars  $t_1, t_2, ..., t_j$ . Thus, by definition of  $\pi_{n,2}(T)$ ,

$$\Big(\sum_{r=1}^{j}\|T(z_{r})\|^{p}\Big)^{1/p}\leqslant \pi_{p,2}(T)j^{1/r-1/2}.$$



Since  $|a_{v,\mu}|=j^{-1/2}$  for all  $v,\mu=1,2,\ldots,j$ , we have for  $v=1,2,\ldots j$   $\Big\|\sum_{j}^{j}y_{n_{\mu}}\Big\|\leqslant \mathrm{uc}(y_{n})j^{1/2}\|T(z_{v})\|.$ 

Thus

$$\Big\| \sum_{\mu=1}^j y_{n_\mu} \Big\| \leqslant \mathrm{uc}(y_n) j^{1/2-1/p} \Big( \sum_{\nu=1}^j \|T(z_\nu)\|^p \Big)^{1/p} \leqslant \mathrm{uc}(y_n) \, \pi_{p,2}(T) j^{1/r-1/p} \, .$$

2. The case of real spaces. Let us set

$$u_{\scriptscriptstyle m{v}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{f} \mathrm{Re}(a_{\scriptscriptstyle m{v},\mu}) e_{n_{\mu}}, \quad v_{\scriptscriptstyle m{v}} = \sum_{\mu=1}^{f} \mathrm{Im}(a_{\scriptscriptstyle m{v},\mu}) e_{n_{\mu}} \quad ext{for} \quad 1 \leqslant {\scriptscriptstyle m{v}} \leqslant j,$$

where  $a_{r,\mu}$  are defined by (1). Then for reals  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_j$  we have

$$\begin{split} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu} u_{\nu} \right\|_{2} &= \left\| \sum_{\mu=1}^{j} \operatorname{Re} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu} a_{\nu,\mu} \right) e_{n_{\mu}} \right\|_{2} \leqslant \left\| \sum_{\mu=1}^{j} \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu} a_{\nu\mu} e_{n_{\mu}} \right\|_{2} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu} z_{\nu} \right\|_{2} = \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu}^{2} \right)^{1/2}. \end{split}$$

Hence, for all real scalars  $s_1, s_2, ..., s_j$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu} u_{\nu} \right\|_{r} \leqslant j^{1/r - 1/2} \left\| \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu} u_{\nu} \right\|_{2} \leqslant j^{1/r - 1/2} \left( \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} s_{\nu}^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

and similarly,

$$\left\| \sum_{
u=1}^{j} s_{
u} v_{
u} \right\|_{r} \leqslant j^{1/r-1/2} \left( \sum_{
u=1}^{j} s_{
u}^{2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, by definition of  $\pi_{p,2}(T)$ ,

$$\sum_{r=1}^{j} \|T(u_r)\|^p \leqslant \left(\pi_{p,2}(T)j^{1/r-1/2}\right)^p \quad \text{ and } \quad \sum_{r=1}^{j} \|T(v_r)\|^p \leqslant \left(\pi_{p,2}(T)j^{1/r-1/2}\right)^p.$$

Since  $|\mathrm{Re}(a_{\nu,\mu})|+|\mathrm{Im}(a_{\nu,\mu})|\geqslant |a_{\nu,\mu}|=j^{-1/2}$  for all  $\nu,\,\mu=1,\,2,\,\ldots,j,$ 

$$\begin{split} \Big\| \sum_{\mu=1}^{j} y_{n_{\mu}} \Big\| & \leq j^{1/2} \operatorname{uc}(y_{n}) \big( \| T(u_{r}) \| + \| T(v_{r}) \| \big) \\ & \leq 2^{1-1/p} j^{1/2} \operatorname{uc}(y_{n}) \big( \| T(u_{r}) \|^{p} + \| T(v_{r}) \|^{p} \big)^{1/p} . \end{split}$$

Hence:

$$\begin{split} \Big\| \sum_{\mu=1}^{j} y_{n_{\mu}} \Big\| &\leqslant 2^{1-1/p} j^{1/2} \operatorname{uc}(y_{n}) j^{-1/p} \Big( \sum_{\nu=1}^{j} \big( \|T(u_{\nu})\|^{p} + \|T(v_{\nu})\|^{p} \big) \Big)^{1/p} \\ &\leqslant 2^{1-1/p} j^{1/2-1/p} \operatorname{uc}(y_{n}) \cdot 2^{1/p} \pi_{p,2}(T) j^{1/r-1/2} \\ &= 2 j^{1/r-1/p} \pi_{p,2}(T) \operatorname{uc}(y_{n}) \,. \end{split}$$

LEMMA 2. Let Y be an N-dimensional Banach space (N=1, 2, ...) with a basis  $(y_n)$ . If for some  $\varrho \in (1, \infty)$  there exists A>0 such that

$$\sup_{n_1 < n_2 < \ldots < n_j} \Bigl\| \sum_{\mu=1}^j y_{n_\mu} \Bigr\| \leqslant A j^{1/\varrho} \quad \text{ for } \quad j=1,2,\ldots,N,$$

then for every s with  $1 \le s < \varrho$  the natural injection  $T: (l_N^1, (e_n)) \rightarrow (Y, (y_n))$  admits the factorization

$$\left(l_N^1,\,(e_n)\right) \xrightarrow{I_{1,s}} \left(l_N^s,\,(e_n)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} \left(Y,\,(y_n)\right),$$

i.e.

$$T = VI_{1,s}$$
 and  $||V|| \leqslant \frac{2}{2^{1-s/\varrho}-1} Auc(y_n)$ .

Proof. Fix scalars  $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_N$  with  $\sum\limits_{n=1}^N |t_n|^s = 1$ . It is enough to show that

$$\left\|\sum_{n=1}^N t_n y_n\right\| \leqslant \frac{2}{2^{1-s/\varrho}-1} A \operatorname{ue}(y_n).$$

Let us set

 $N_m = \{n \colon 2^{-m+1} \geqslant |t_n| > 2^{-m}\}; \hspace{5mm} k_m = \text{the cardinality of } N_m.$ 

Clearly,  $k_m 2^{-ms} \leqslant \sum_{n \in N_m} |t_n|^s \leqslant 1$ , hence  $k_m \leqslant 2^{ms}$  for all m. Thus, for all m,

$$\Big\|\sum_{n\in N_m}t_ny_n\Big\|\leqslant 2^{-m+1}\Big\|\sum_{n\in N_m}y_n\Big\|\operatorname{uc}(y_n)\leqslant 2^{-m+1}\operatorname{uc}(y_n)Ak_m^{1/\varrho}\leqslant 2^{-m+1}\operatorname{uc}(y_n)A2^{ms/\varrho}.$$

Hence

$$\Big\| \sum_{n=1}^N t_n y_n \Big\| \leqslant \sum_m \Big\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_m} t_n y_n \Big\| \leqslant 2 A \operatorname{uc}(y_n) \sum_{m=1}^\infty 2^{(s/\varrho-1)m} \leqslant \frac{2}{2^{1-s/\varrho}-1} A \operatorname{uc}(y_n).$$

PROPOSITION 2. Let N and m+1 be positive integers. Let  $2 \le p_k < \infty$  for  $k=1,2,\ldots,m$  and let  $\sum_{k=1}^m p_k^{-1} \le 2^{-1}$ . For  $k=0,1,\ldots,m$ , let  $Y_k$  be an N-dimensional Banach space with a basis  $(y_n^{(k)})$  and let  $T_k$ :  $(Y_{k-1},(y_n^{(k-1)})) \to (Y_k,(y_n^{(k)}))$  denote the natural injection. Then, for every s such that s=1 for m=0 and  $1 \ge s^{-1} > 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m p_k^{-1}$  for  $m \ge 1$ , the natural injection  $\mathscr{F}: (l_N^1,(e_n)) \to (Y_m,(y_n^{(m)}))$  admits the factorization:

$$\left(l_N^1,\,(e_n)\right) \xrightarrow{I_{1,s}} \left(l_N^s,\,(e_n)\right) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{V}} \left(Y_m,\,(y_n^{(m)})\right),$$

i.e.  $\mathscr{I} = VI_{1,s}$  with

$$||V|| \leqslant C \prod_{k=1}^{m} \pi_{p_{k},2}(T_{k}) [\operatorname{ue}(y_{n}^{(k)})]^{2} \sup_{n} ||y_{n}^{(0)}||,$$

where  $C=C(s,\,p_1,\,p_2,\,\ldots,\,p_m)$  is a universal constant depending on  $s,\,p_1,\,p_2,\,\ldots,\,p_m$  only.



We proceed by induction with respect to m. The case m=0 is trivial. Assume that for some  $m-1\geqslant 0$  the assertion of Proposition 2 is true. For  $k=0,1,\ldots,m$  let  $Y_k$  be N-dimensional Banach spaces with bases  $(y_n^{(k)})$ , respectively, and for  $k=1,2,\ldots,m$  let  $p_k$  be real with  $2\leqslant p_k<\infty$  and  $\sum\limits_{k=1}^m p_k^{-1}\leqslant 2^{-1}$ . Fix s with  $1\geqslant s^{-1}>1-\sum\limits_{k=1}^m p_k^{-1}$  and consider separately two cases:

1) either m-1=0 or m>1 and  $1\geqslant s^{-1}>1-\sum\limits_{k=1}^{m-1}p_k^{-1}$ . Then, by the inductive hypothesis, for the natural injection

$$V_1: (l_N^s, (e_n)) \rightarrow (Y_{m-1}, (y_n^{(m-1)}))$$

we have  $\|V_1\| = \sup_n \|y_n^{(0)}\|$  for m-1 = 0, and for m-1 > 0

$$\|V_1\|\leqslant C(s,\,p_1,\,\ldots,\,p_{m-1})\prod_{k=1}^{m-1}\pi_{p_k,2}(T_k)\,[\mathrm{uc}\,(y_n^{(k)})]^2\sup_n\|y_n^{(0)}\|.$$

If  $V: (l_N^s, (e_n)) \to (Y_m, (y_n^{(m)}))$  is the natural injection, then  $V = T_m V_1$ . Hence  $\|V\| \leqslant \|V_1\| \|T_m\|$ . Taking into account that  $\|T_m\| \leqslant \pi_{p_m,2}(T_m)$  and  $\mathrm{uc}(y_n^{(m)}) \geqslant 1$ , we get (2).

2) m-1 > 0 and  $1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k^{-1} \geqslant s^{-k} > 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k^{-k}$ . Let us put

$$r = \max \left\{ 1, \left[ 2^{-1} \left( s^{-1} + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}^{-1} \right) + p_{m}^{-1} \right]^{-1} \right\}.$$

Clearly,  $1 \geqslant r^{-1} > 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} p_k^{-1}$ . Thus, by the inductive hypothesis for the natural injection  $V_1: (l_N^r, (e_n)) \rightarrow (Y_{m-1}, (y_n^{(m-1)}))$ , we have

$$||V_1|| \leqslant C(s, p_1, \dots, p_{m-1}) \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} \pi_{p_k, 2}(T_k) [\operatorname{uc}(y_n^{(k)})]^2 \sup_n ||y_n^{(0)}||.$$

Let  $T=T_mV_1\colon (l_N^r,\,(e_n))\to (Y_m,\,(y_n^{(m)}))$  be the natural injection and let  $\varrho=(r^{-1}-p_m^{-1})^{-1}$ . Clearly,

$$r^{-1} > 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} p_k^{-1} > 2^{-1}.$$

Hence  $1 \leqslant r < 2 \leqslant p_m$ . Thus, by Lemma 1, for j = 1, 2, ..., N we have

(4) 
$$\sup_{\substack{n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_j \\ n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_j}} \|y_{n_1}^{(m)} + y_{n_2}^{(m)} + \dots + y_{n_j}^{(m)}\| \leqslant 2\pi_{p_m,2}(T) \operatorname{uc}(y_n^{(m)}) j^{1/\varrho}.$$

Next observe that  $s < \varrho$ . For, if r > 1 then

$$\varrho^{-1} = r^{-1} - p_m^{-1} = 2^{-1} \left( s^{-1} + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^m p_k^{-1} \right) < s^{-1},$$

and if 
$$r = 1$$
 then  $2^{-1}(s^{-1} + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k^{-1}) + p_m^{-1} \geqslant 1$  hence

$$s^{-1} > 2^{-1} \left( s^{-1} + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k^{-1} \right) \geqslant 1 - p_m^{-1} = r^{-1} - p_m^{-1} = \varrho^{-1}.$$

Denote by  $V: (l_N^s, (e_n)) \rightarrow (Y_m, (y_n^{(m)}))$  the natural injection. Since  $s < \varrho$  and  $\pi_{p_{m,2}}(T) \leqslant \pi_{p_{m,2}}(T_m) ||V_1||$ , Lemma 2 and (4) yield

$$\begin{split} \|V\| &\leqslant \frac{4}{2^{1-s/\varrho}-1} \left[ \mathrm{uc}(y_n) \right]^2 \pi_{p_m,2}(T) \\ &\leqslant \frac{4}{2^{1-s/\varrho}-1} \left[ \mathrm{uc}(y_n) \right]^2 \pi_{p_m,2}(T_m) \|V_1\|. \end{split}$$

Combining (3) with (5) we get (2) with

$$C = C(s, p_1, ..., p_m) = \frac{4}{2^{1-s/r-s/p_m}-1} C(s, p_1, ..., p_{m-1}).$$

For the proof of Theorem 1 we shall also need the following simple:

LEMMA 3. Let  $1 < s < 2 \le p$  and let  $p^{-1} + 2^{-1} - s^{-1} > 0$ . Let N be a positive integer, let Y be an N-dimensional Banach space with a basis  $(y_n)$ , and let  $V: (l_N^s, (e_n)) \rightarrow (Y, (y_n))$  be the natural injection. Then

$$\pi_{p,2}(V) \geqslant \inf_{n} \|y_n\| N^{1/p+1/2-1/s}.$$

Proof. Using the Hölder inequality for the exponents

$$q = \frac{s}{2(s-1)}$$
 and  $q^* = \frac{s}{2-s}$ ,

we get

$$\begin{split} \sup_{\|x^*\|=1,\,x^*\in (l_N^s)^*} \Big( \sum_{n=1}^N |x^*(e_n)|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{\sum \\ N=1 \ |a_n|^{S/(s-1)}=1}} \Big( \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \\ &\leqslant \sup_{\substack{\sum \\ N=1 \ |a_n|^{S/(s-1)}=1}} \Big( \sum_{n=1}^N |a_n|^{2/(s-1)} \Big)^{(s-1)/s} N^{(2-s)/2s} = N^{1/s-1/2}. \end{split}$$

Hence

$$N^{1/p} \inf_n \|y_n\| \leqslant \Big( \sum_{n=1}^N \|T(e_n)\|^p \Big)^{1/p} \leqslant \pi_{p,2}(T) N^{1/s-1/2}.$$



Thus

$$\pi_{p,2}(T) \geqslant \inf_n \|y_n\| N^{1/p+1/2-1/s}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Let M be a positive integer and let  $X_k$  be Banach spaces  $(k=0,1,\ldots,M)$ . Let  $S_k\colon X_{k-1}{\to}X_k$  be  $(p_k,2)$ -absolutely summing operators, let  $2\leqslant p_k<\infty$  and  $\sum\limits_{k=1}^M p_k^{-1}>2^{-1}$   $(k=1,2,\ldots,M)$ . Choose the index m< M so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_k^{-1} \leqslant 2^{-1} < \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} p_k^{-1}$$

and pick s so that

$$1 \geqslant s^{-1} > 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} p_k^{-1}$$
 and  $p_{m+1}^{-1} + 2^{-1} - s^{-1} > 0$ .

We shall show that the composition  $S_{m+1}S_m \dots S_1$  is compact. Suppose not. Then, by Proposition 1, there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for a positive integer N with

$$N > \left(4\delta^{-1}C3^{2m}\prod_{k=1}^{m+1}\pi_{p_k,2}(T_k)\right)^{1/(p_{m+1}^{-1}+2^{-1}-s^{-1})},$$

where  $C=C(s,p_1,\ldots,p_m)$  is the constant appearing in Proposition 2, there exist, for  $k=0,1,\ldots,m+1$ , N-dimensional subspaces  $Y_k$  of  $X_k$  with bases  $(y_n^{(k)})$  satisfying the conditions (i) and (ii) of Proposition 1. Let  $V\colon (l_N^s,(e_n)){\to}(Y_m,(y_n^{(m)}))$  and  $T\colon (l_N^s,(e_n)){\to}(Y_{m+1},(y_n^{(m+1)}))$  denote the natural injections. By Proposition 2 and by (i),

$$\|V\| \leqslant C \prod_{k=1}^m \pi_{p_k,2}(T_k) [\operatorname{uc}\,(y_n^{(k)})]^2 \sup_n \|y_n^{(0)}\|$$

$$\leqslant 4C \ 3^{2m} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \pi_{p_k,2}(T_k),$$

while, by Lemma 3 with  $p = p_{m+1}$ , and by (i),

$$\pi_{p_{m+1},2}(T)\geqslant \inf_{n}\|y_{n}^{(m+1)}\|N^{p^{-1}+2^{-1}-s^{-1}}\geqslant \delta N^{p^{-1}+2^{-1}-s^{-1}}.$$

Since  $T = T_{m+1}V$ , we get

$$N^{p^{-1}+2^{-1}-s^{-1}} \leqslant \delta^{-1} \pi_{p_{m+1},2}(T_{m+1}) \|V\| \leqslant 4\delta^{-1} G 3^{2m} \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} \pi_{p_{k},2}(T_{k}),$$

which contradicts the choice of N.

Thus the composition  $S_{m+1}S_m \dots S_1$  is compact and therefore the composition  $S_MS_{M-1} \dots S_1$  is compact.



## References

- G. Bennett, Inclusion mappings between l<sup>p</sup>-spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis 13, n°1 (1973), pp. 20-27.
- [2] A. Brunel and L. Sucheston, OnB-convex Banach spaces, Math. Systems Theory 7 (1974), pp. 294-299.
- [3] A. Grothendieck, Espaces vectoriels topologiques, Publ. da Soc. Mat. de São Paulo (1958).
- [4] S. Kwapień, Some remarks on (p, q)-absolutely summing operators in l<sub>p</sub>-spaces, Studia Math. 29 (1968), pp. 327-337.
- [5] L. Tzafriri, On Banach spaces with unconditional bases, Israel J. Math. 17 (1974), pp. 84-93.

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE L'ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE PARIS, FRANCE "LABORATOIRE DE RECHERCHE ASSOCIÉ AU C.N.R.S."

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES WARSZAWA, POLAND

Received June 12, 1974

(844)