

On the moduli of convexity and smoothness in Orlicz spaces

by

R. P. MALEEV and S. L. TROYANSKI (Sofia)

Abstract. Estimates are given for the moduli of convexity and smoothness of some Orlicz spaces $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$.

1. Introduction. Let (S, Σ, μ) be a measure space. Necessary and sufficient conditions for reflexivity of the Orlicz space $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ were obtained by Luxemburg ([5], p. 60) under some restrictions for the measure μ . More precisely, he proved that if $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ are complementary Orlicz functions then:

(i) If $0 < \mu(S) < \infty$ (if $S = \{\sigma_i\}_1^\infty$, $\mu(\sigma_{i+1}) \leq \mu(\sigma_i)$, then $\liminf(\mu(\sigma_{i+1})/\mu(\sigma_i)) > 0$ is assumed), then $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is reflexive iff $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ have the property Δ_2 at infinity.

(ii) If $\mu(S) = \infty$, and S contains a set of infinite measure free of atoms, then $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is reflexive iff $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ have the property Δ_2 at zero and at infinity.

(iii) If $\mu(S) = \infty$, $S = \{\sigma_a\}_{a \in A}$, and $0 < \inf_{a \in A} \mu(\sigma_a) \leq \sup_{a \in A} \mu(\sigma_a) < \infty$, then $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is reflexive iff $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ have the property Δ_2 at zero.

Recently Akimovich [1] proved that if the measure μ is the same as in (i), (ii), (iii), then the reflexive Orlicz space $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is isomorphic to a uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Orlicz space $L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$.

For many results formulated in terms of moduli of convexity and smoothness, it is essential that the Banach space is isomorphic to a uniformly convex (uniformly smooth) space whose modulus of convexity (modulus of smoothness) can be estimated.

In Section 2 estimates are obtained for the moduli of convexity and smoothness of an Orlicz space $L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ((S, Σ, μ) is an arbitrary measure space) isomorphic to the initial space $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ under the assumption that the complementary Orlicz functions $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ have the property Δ_2 at zero and infinity.

Let the measure μ be the same as in (i), (ii), (iii) and let $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ be reflexive. An Orlicz function $N(t)$ equivalent to $M(t)$ at infinity, at

zero and at infinity, at zero, respectively, is constructed and estimates for the moduli of convexity and smoothness of the space $L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ isomorphic to $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ are found in Section 3. Moreover, if $(S, \Sigma, \mu) = (R, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ (λ is the Lebesgue measure) it can be proved using our methods that these estimates are the best possible in the class of all Orlicz spaces $L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ isomorphic to $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ with $N(t)$ equivalent to $M(t)$ at infinity, at zero and at infinity, at zero, respectively⁽¹⁾. We omit here the proof of this fact as the Editorial Board of *Studia Mathematica* has kindly informed us that from a result of Figiel and Pisier [2] and some more recently discovered properties of moduli of convexity and smoothness it follows that these estimates are the best possible in the class of all Banach spaces isomorphic to $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$.

Notations. Let X be a Banach space. The *modulus of convexity* of X is defined by

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\|; x, y \in X, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1, \|x - y\| \geq \varepsilon \right\},$$

$$\varepsilon \in [0, 2].$$

X is called *uniformly convex* if $\delta_X(\varepsilon) > 0$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

The *modulus of smoothness* of X is defined by

$$\varrho_X(\tau) = \frac{1}{2} \sup (\|x + \tau y\| + \|x - \tau y\| - 2; x, y \in X, \|x\| = \|y\| = 1), \quad \tau > 0.$$

X is called *uniformly smooth* if $\lim \varrho_X(\tau)/\tau = 0$.

The function $M(t)$ is called *Orlicz function* if it is continuous, strictly increasing, and convex in $[0, \infty)$ and if $M(0) = 0$.

If $M(t)$ is an Orlicz function, then the Orlicz function

$$M^*(t) = \sup (ut - M(u); u \geq 0)$$

is called *complementary* to $M(t)$.

The Orlicz function $M(t)$ is said to *have the property Δ_2 at zero (at infinity)* if there exist two positive constants b and t_0 such that $M(2t) \leq bM(t)$, $t \in [0, t_0]$ ($t \in [t_0, \infty)$).

Two Orlicz functions $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ are said to be *equivalent at zero (at infinity)* if there exist constants C, K, c and h such that $CM(ct) \leq N(t) \leq KM(ht)$ in a neighbourhood of zero (of infinity).

To the Orlicz function M and a measure space (S, Σ, μ) can be associated the Orlicz space $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ of all real functions $f(t)$, μ -measurable on S such that

$$\int_S M(|f(t)|/\tau) \mu(dt) < \infty$$

⁽¹⁾ This result (for the Orlicz sequence space L_M) was communicated by the second author at the Conference "Geometry of Banach spaces" in Oberwolfach, Fed. Rep. Germany, in October 1973.

for some $\tau > 0$. The norm in $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is introduced by

$$\|f\| = \inf \left\{ \tau > 0; \int_S M(|f(t)|/\tau) \mu(dt) \leq 1 \right\}.$$

We notice that if the measure μ is the same as in (i), (ii), (iii) and the Orlicz functions $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ are equivalent at infinity, at zero and at infinity, at zero, respectively, then the Orlicz spaces $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and $L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ are isomorphic (see [5], p. 52). A converse is in general not true (see [3]).

2. To the Orlicz function $M(t)$ and the interval $I \subset (0, \infty)$ we shall associate the functions

$$F_{M,I}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2 \inf \{ M(uv)/u^2 M(v); u \in [\varepsilon, 1], v \in I \}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1,$$

$$G_{M,I}(\tau) = \tau^2 \sup \{ M(uv)/u^2 M(v); u \in [\tau, 1], v \in I \}, \quad 0 < \tau \leq 1,$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{M,I}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^2 \inf \left\{ \frac{u^2 M(v)}{M(uv)}; u \in \left[1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right], v \in I \right\}, \quad 0 < \varepsilon \leq 1,$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{M,I}(\varepsilon) = \tau^2 \sup \left\{ \frac{u^2 M(v)}{M(uv)}; u \in \left[1, \frac{1}{\tau} \right], v \in I \right\}, \quad 0 < \tau \leq 1.$$

If $I = (0, \infty)$ we shall write

$$F_{M,I}(\varepsilon) = F_M(\varepsilon), \quad G_{M,I}(\tau) = G_M(\tau).$$

THEOREM 1. Let $M(t)$ be an Orlicz function which satisfies

$$(1) \quad M(2t) \leq bM(t), \quad M(lt) \leq \frac{1}{2}lM(t)$$

for all $t \in (0, \infty)$, where b, l are positive constants, $l < 1$.

Then there exists an Orlicz function $N(t)$ equivalent to $M(t)$ at zero and at infinity such that for the moduli of convexity and smoothness of the space $X = L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ((S, Σ, μ) is an arbitrary measure space) the following estimates hold

$$(2) \quad \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq CF_M(\varepsilon), \quad \varrho_X(\tau) \leq KG_M(\tau), \quad \varepsilon, \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Remark. The second condition in (1) is equivalent to $M^*(2t) \leq 2M^*(t)/l$, $t \in (0, \infty)$, i.e. to the requirement that $M^*(t)$ has the property Δ_2 at zero and at infinity.

In order to prove this theorem we need some lemmas. In all the lemmas, $M(t)$ is assumed to be an Orlicz function,

$$M_1(t) = \int_0^t \frac{M(u)}{u} du, \quad N(t) = \int_0^t \frac{M_1(u)}{u} du.$$

LEMMA 1. Let $u \in [0, \infty)$, $v \in [0, 1]$ and $t \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$(3) \quad \frac{v^2 M(u)}{G_M(t)} - M(u) \leq M\left(\frac{uv}{t}\right) \leq \frac{v^2 M(u)}{F_M(t)} + M(u).$$

Proof. Obviously, $F_M(t) \leq t^2 \leq G_M(t)$, $t \in [0, 1]$. If $v/t \leq 1$, then

$$\frac{v^2 M(u)}{G_M(t)} - M(u) \leq \left(\frac{v}{t}\right)^2 M(u) - M(u) \leq M\left(\frac{uv}{t}\right) \leq \frac{v^2 M(u)}{F_M(t)} + M(u).$$

Suppose now that $v/t > 1$. Then $t \leq w = t/v < 1$ and

$$(4) \quad F_M(t) \leq \frac{t^2 M((u/w) \cdot w)}{w^2 M(u/w)} \leq G_M(t).$$

Since $1/w = v/t$, (4) implies

$$v^2 M(u)/G_M(t) \leq M\left(\frac{uv}{t}\right) \leq v^2 M(u)/F_M(t).$$

Thus Lemma 1 is proved.

LEMMA 2. Let $M(t)$ satisfy (1). Then

$$b^{-1} M(t) \leq M_1(t) \leq a^{-1} M(t), \\ a \leq t M_1'(t)/M_1(t) \leq b$$

for $t > 0$, where $a = (1-l/2)^{-1} > 1$.

Proof. Since $M_1(t) = M(t)/t$, it is enough to observe that

$$b^{-1} M(t) \leq M(t/2) \leq \int_{t/2}^t M(u)/u du \leq M_1(t) = \int_0^t M(u)/u du + \int_t^t M(u)/u du \\ \leq M(lt) + (1-l)M(t) \leq (1-l/2)M(t).$$

LEMMA 3. Let $M(t)$ satisfy (1). Then

$$(5) \quad a^2 \leq M(t)/N(t) \leq b^2, \quad a \leq tN'(t)/N(t) \leq b, \\ (6) \quad \theta^2 N(t) \leq N(\theta b) \leq \theta^a N(t), \quad \theta \in (0, 1), \\ (7) \quad \theta^a N(t) \leq N(\theta b) \leq \theta^b N(t), \quad \theta > 1, \\ (8) \quad a(a-1) \leq t^2 N''(t)/N(t) \leq b(b-1)$$

for all $t > 0$.

Proof. The first two inequalities follow immediately from the representation

$$N(t) = \int_0^t \frac{M(u)}{u} \cdot \frac{M_1(u)}{M(u)} du.$$

It is easy to get (6) and (7) by integration of (5) with respect to t . To obtain (8) it is enough to mention that

$$t^2 N''(t)/N(t) = (M(t) - M_1(t))/N(t)$$

and apply Lemma 2.

LEMMA 4. Let $M(t)$ satisfy (1). Then

$$(9) \quad \frac{a(a-1)}{3^{b+2}} \cdot \frac{N(\xi)}{\xi^2} \left(\frac{\xi-\eta}{2}\right)^2 \leq N(|\xi|) + N(|\eta|) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right) \\ \leq 18b(b-1) \frac{N(\zeta)}{\zeta^2} \left(\frac{\xi-\eta}{2}\right)^2,$$

where $\zeta = \max(|\xi|, |\eta|)$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we may suppose that $|\xi| \geq |\eta|$. We shall consider four cases:

(a) $0 \leq \frac{1}{3}\xi \leq \eta \leq \xi$. Since $N(t)$ has a continuous second derivative, one has

$$(10) \quad N(\xi) + N(\eta) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right) = \left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi-\eta)\right)^2 N''\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\theta(\xi-\eta)$$

for some $\theta \in (-1, 1)$.

Let us write $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta) + \frac{1}{2}\theta(\xi-\eta)$. From (8) it follows that

$$(11) \quad a(a-1) \frac{N(\sigma)}{\sigma^2} \leq N''(\sigma) \leq b(b-1) \frac{N(\sigma)}{\sigma^2}.$$

Obviously, $\frac{1}{3}\xi \leq \eta \leq \sigma \leq \xi$. Hence from (11) and (6) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \frac{a(a-1)}{3^a} \cdot \frac{N(\xi)}{\xi^2} \leq N''(\sigma) \leq 9b(b-1) \frac{N(\xi)}{\xi^2}.$$

From (10) and (12) follows (9).

(b) $0 \leq \eta \leq \frac{1}{3}\xi$. Let us define the function

$$\varphi(t) = N(\xi) + N(t) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+t)\right).$$

Since

$$\varphi'(t) = N'(t) - N'\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+t)\right) \leq 0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \xi,$$

$\varphi(t)$ is decreasing for $t \in (0, \xi)$. Then

$$(13) \quad N(\xi) + N(\eta) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right) \geq N(\xi) + N\left(\frac{1}{3}\xi\right) - 2N\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi\right).$$

As in the previous case,

$$(14) \quad N(\xi) + N\left(\frac{1}{3}\xi\right) - 2N\left(\frac{2}{3}\xi\right) \geq \frac{a(a-1)}{3^{b+2}} N(\xi).$$

On the other hand

$$(15) \quad N(\xi) + N(\eta) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta)\right) \leq 2N(\xi).$$

From (13), (14), (15) and the inequalities

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi-\eta)\right)^2/\xi^2 < 1 - 9\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi-\eta)\right)^2/\xi^2$$

immediately follows (9).

(c) $0 \leq -\eta \leq \xi$. Then

$$(16) \quad N(\xi) + N(-\eta) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta)\right) \leq 2N(\xi)$$

and from (6) we obtain

$$(17) \quad N(\xi) + N(-\eta) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta)\right) \geq N(\xi) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}\xi\right) \geq (1 - 2^{1-a})N(\xi).$$

To obtain (9) from (16) and (17) it is enough to consider

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi - \eta)\right)^2 / \xi^2 \leq 1 \leq 4\left(\frac{1}{2}(\xi - \eta)\right)^2 / \xi^2.$$

(d) $0 \leq \eta \leq -\xi$. This case can be treated exactly as (c).

Thus Lemma 4 is proved.

LEMMA 5. Let $f, g \in L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ ((S, Σ, μ) is an arbitrary measurer space) be such that $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$. Then the following inequalities hold

$$(18) \quad \alpha F_N\left(\left\|\frac{f-g}{8}\right\|\right) \leq \int_S \left[N(|f(x)|) + N(|g(x)|) - N\left(\left|\frac{f(x)+g(x)}{2}\right|\right) \right] \mu(dx) \\ \leq k G_N\left(\left\|\frac{f-g}{8}\right\|\right),$$

where c, k are positive constants.

Proof. Let us write $h(x) = \max(|f(x)|, |g(x)|)$. Obviously, $h \in L_N$ and $1 \leq \|h\| \leq 2$. It follows from (9) that

$$(19) \quad \frac{\alpha(\alpha-1)}{3^{b+2}} \int_S \frac{N(h(x))}{h^2(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)-g(x)}{2}\right)^2 \mu(dx) \\ \leq \int_S \left[N(|f(x)|) + N(|g(x)|) - 2N\left(\left|\frac{f(x)+g(x)}{2}\right|\right) \right] \mu(dx) \\ \leq 18b^2 \int_S \frac{N(h(x))}{h^2(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)-g(x)}{2}\right)^2 \mu(dx).$$

Applying (3) to the function $N(t)$ for $u = h(x)/2\|h\|$, $v = |f(x) - g(x)|/\|h\|/4h(x)$, $t = \|f-g\|/8$, we easily obtain

$$\frac{\|h\|^2 (f(x)-g(x))^2 N(h(x)/2\|h\|)}{16h^2(x)G_N(\|f-g\|/8)} - N(h(x)/2\|h\|) \leq N(|f(x)-g(x)|/\|f-g\|) \\ \leq \frac{\|h\|^2 (f(x)-g(x))^2 N(h(x)/2\|h\|)}{16h^2 F_N(\|f-g\|/8)} + N(h(x)/2\|h\|).$$

Hence by using Lemma 3 we get

$$\frac{\|h\|^2 (f(x)-g(x))^2 N(h(x))}{16(2\|h\|)^b h^2(x) G_N(\|f-g\|/8)} - 2^{-a} N(h(x)/\|h\|) \\ \leq N(|f(x)-g(x)|/\|f-g\|) \leq \frac{\|h\|^2 (f(x)-g(x))^2 N(h(x))}{16(2\|h\|)^a h^2(x) F_N(\|f-g\|/8)} + 2^{-a} N(h(x)/\|h\|).$$

After integration on S , using the estimates for $\|h\|$, we obtain

$$(20) \quad \frac{1}{2^{b+2}} \cdot \frac{1}{G_N(\|f-g\|/8)} \int_S \frac{N(h(x))}{h^2(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)-g(x)}{2}\right)^2 \mu(dx) - 2^{-a} \\ \leq 1 \leq \frac{1}{2^{a+2}} \cdot \frac{1}{F_N(\|f-g\|/8)} \int_S \frac{N(h(x))}{h^2(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)-g(x)}{2}\right)^2 \mu(dx) + 2^{-a}.$$

From (19) and (20) follows (18).

LEMMA 6. If $f, g \in L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, then

$$1 - \|\frac{1}{2}(f+g)\| \geq (2b)^{-1} \int_S \left[N(|f(x)|) + N(|g(x)|) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}|f(x)+g(x)|\right) \right] \mu(dx).$$

Proof. From (7) it follows that

$$\int_S N\left(\frac{1}{2}|f(x)+g(x)|\right) \mu(dx) \geq \|\frac{1}{2}(f+g)\|^b.$$

Then

$$\int_S \left[N(|f(x)|) + N(|g(x)|) - 2N\left(\frac{1}{2}|f(x)+g(x)|\right) \right] \mu(dx) \\ \geq 2(1 - \|\frac{1}{2}(f+g)\|^b) \leq 2b(1 - \|\frac{1}{2}(f+g)\|).$$

LEMMA 7. There exist two positive constants γ, κ such that for every $f, g \in L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, $\tau \in [0, \gamma]$, the inequality

$$(21) \quad \|f + \tau g\| + \|f - \tau g\| - 2 \\ \leq \kappa \left[1 - \int_S N\left(\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f(x) + \tau g(x)}{\|f + \tau g\|} + \frac{f(x) - \tau g(x)}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right| \right) \mu(dx) + \tau^2 \right]$$

holds.

Proof. It is easy to verify that

$$(22) \quad (1+d)^{-1} \leq 1-d+2d^2, \quad |d| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be such that

$$(23) \quad (1+d)^{b-1} \leq 1+bd, \quad d \in [0, \gamma],$$

$$(24) \quad (1-d)^a \leq 1-\frac{1}{2}ad, \quad d \in [0, \gamma].$$

Suppose now that $\tau \in [0, \gamma]$. Then

$$(25) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{1}{\|f + \tau g\|} - \frac{1}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right| \leq 2\tau.$$

From (22) it follows that

$$(26) \quad \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\|f + \tau g\|} + \frac{1}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right) \leq 2 - \frac{1}{2}(\|f + \tau g\| + \|f - \tau g\|) + 2\tau^2.$$

Since $N(t)$ is monotone and convex, from (25), (26) (by (6) and (7)) we have

$$\begin{aligned} N \left(\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f(x) + \tau g(x)}{\|f + \tau g\|} + \frac{f(x) - \tau g(x)}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right| \right) \\ \leq \frac{1}{1 + \tau^2} \left[N((1 + \tau^2)(2 - \frac{1}{2}(\|f + \tau g\| + \|f - \tau g\|)) |f(x)|) + \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{2} \tau^2 (N(4(1 + \tau^2)|f(x)|) + N(4(1 + \tau^2)|g(x)|)) \right] \\ \leq (1 + \tau^2)^{b-1} \left[(2 - \frac{1}{2}(\|f + \tau g\| + \|f - \tau g\|))^a N(|f(x)|) + \right. \\ \left. + 4^b \tau^2 \cdot \frac{1}{2} (N(|f(x)|) + N(|g(x)|)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating on S we obtain

$$(27) \quad 1 - \int_S N \left(\frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{f(x) + \tau g(x)}{\|f + \tau g\|} + \frac{f(x) - \tau g(x)}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right| \right) \mu(dx) \\ \geq 1 - (1 + \tau^2)^{b-1} \left((2 - \frac{1}{2}(\|f + \tau g\| + \|f - \tau g\|))^a + 4^b \tau^2 \right).$$

To get (21) it is enough to make use of (23), (24) in (27).

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $X = L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$. From Lemmas 6 and 7 it follows that for every $f, g \in X$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, $\|f - g\| \geq \varepsilon$,

$$1 - \|\frac{1}{2}(f + g)\| \geq (2b)^{-1} cF_N(\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon).$$

Since $M(t)$ is equivalent to $N(t)$, we have

$$\delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq cF_M(\varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, from Lemmas 6 and 8 it follows that for every $f, g \in X$, $\|f\| = \|g\| = 1$, $\tau \in [0, \gamma]$ the inequality

$$(28) \quad \|f + \tau g\| + \|f - \tau g\| - 2 \leq \kappa \left[kG_N \left(\frac{1}{8} \left\| \frac{f + \tau g}{\|f + \tau g\|} - \frac{f - \tau g}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right\| \right) + \tau^2 \right]$$

holds.

Since

$$\left\| \frac{f + \tau g}{\|f + \tau g\|} - \frac{f - \tau g}{\|f - \tau g\|} \right\| \leq 8\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \tau^2 \leq G_N(\tau),$$

from (28) we obtain

$$\varrho_X(\tau) \leq \kappa(k+1)G_N(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, \gamma].$$

Finally, from the equivalence of $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ it follows that

$$\varrho_X(\tau) \leq KG_M(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Theorem 1 is proved.

3. COROLLARY 1. Let $0 < \mu(S) < \infty$ (if $S = \{\sigma_i\}_1^\infty$ with $\mu(\sigma_{i+1}) \leq \mu(\sigma_i)$, then we assume $\liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\sigma_{i+1})/\mu(\sigma_i) > 0$) and let $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ be reflexive.

Then there exists an Orlicz function $N(t)$ equivalent to $M(t)$ at infinity such that for the space $X = L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ the estimates

$$(29) \quad \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq AF_{M, [1, \infty]}(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1], \quad \varrho_X(\tau) \leq BG_{M, [1, \infty]}(\tau)$$

hold.

Proof. Since $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is reflexive, $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ have the property Δ_2 at infinity. Without loss of generality we may assume that $M(1) = 1$, $M'(1)$ exists, and $M'(t) > 1$.

Let us consider the function

$$N(t) = \begin{cases} t^2 & \text{for } t \in [0, a], \\ at + b & \text{for } t \in [a, 1], \\ M(t) & \text{for } t \in [1, M], \end{cases}$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(M'(1) - |M'(1) - 2|) > 0$, $a = M'(1)$, $b = 1 - M'(1)$.

Obviously, $N(t)$ and its complement $N^*(t)$ have the property Δ_2 at zero and at infinity, i.e. $N(t)$ satisfies (1). Then from Theorem 1 for $X = L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ it follows that

$$(30) \quad \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq A_1 F_N(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1], \quad \varrho_X(\tau) \leq B_1 G_N(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

On the other hand,

$$(31) \quad \alpha^{b+1} \leq F_N(t)/\mathcal{F}_{M, [1, \infty]}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{b+1}}, \quad \alpha^{b+1} \leq G_N(t)/\mathcal{G}_{M, [1, \infty]}(t) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{b+1}}, \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

To obtain (31) it is enough to observe that for every $u \in [t, 1]$ and $v \in (0, \infty)$

$$\alpha^{b+1} \frac{u_1^2 M(v_1)}{M(u_1 v_1)} \leq \frac{N(uv)}{u^2 N(v)} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha^{b+1}} \cdot \frac{u_2^2 M(v_2)}{M(u_2 v_2)}$$

for some $u_1, u_2 \in [t, 1]$, $v_1, v_2 \in [1, \infty)$.

By combination of (30) and (31) we obtain (29).

COROLLARY 2. Let $\mu(S) = \infty$, let S contain a subset of infinite measure free of atoms, and let $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ be reflexive. Then for the moduli of convexity and smoothness of $X = L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ the following estimates hold:

$$(32) \quad \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq AF_M(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1], \quad \varrho_X(\tau) \leq BG_M(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. $M(t)$ and $M^*(t)$ have the property A_2 at zero and at infinity. Hence (32) follows from Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 3. Let $\mu(S) = \infty$, $S = \{\sigma_a\}_{a \in A}$, $0 < \inf_a \mu(\sigma_a) \leq \sup_a \mu(\sigma_a) < \infty$, and let $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ be reflexive. Then there exists an Orlicz function $N(t)$ equivalent to $M(t)$ at zero such that for the space $X = L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ the following estimates hold

$$(33) \quad \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq AF_{M,[0,1]}(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1], \quad \varrho_X(\tau) \leq BG_{M,[0,1]}(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

Proof. $M(t)$ and $N(t)$ have the property A_2 at zero. Without loss of generality we may assume that $M(1) = 1$ and $M'(1)$ exists.

Let us consider the function

$$N(t) = \begin{cases} M(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 1, \\ at + b & \text{for } 1 \leq t \leq a, \\ t^2 & \text{for } a \leq t, \end{cases}$$

where $a = \frac{1}{2}(M'(1) + |M'(1) - 2|)$, $a = M'(1)$, $b = 1 - M'(1)$.

It is readily seen that $N(t)$ and its complement, $N^*(t)$, have the property A_2 at zero and at infinity. From Theorem 1 for $X = L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ it follows that

$$(34) \quad \delta_X(\varepsilon) \geq A_2 F_N(\varepsilon), \quad \varepsilon \in [0, 1], \quad \varrho_X(\tau) \leq B_2 G_N(\tau), \quad \tau \in [0, 1].$$

On the other hand,

$$(35) \quad a^{-2} \leq F_N(t)/F_{M,[0,1]}(t) \leq 1, \quad 1 \leq G_N(t)/G_{M,[0,1]}(t) \leq a^2, \quad t \in (0, 1].$$

From (34) and (35) follows (33).

Remarks. The function $N(t)$ we have constructed in Corollaries 1, 2, 3 is equivalent to $M(t)$ at infinity, at zero, respectively, and therefore, the space $L_N(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is isomorphic to $L_M(S, \Sigma, \mu)$. If $M(t) = t^p$, $1 < p < \infty$, and $(S, \Sigma, \mu) = (R, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$ (λ is the Lebesgue measure) from Corollaries 1, 2, 3 follows the well-known estimates for the spaces L_p (see e.g. [4], p. 28).

$$\text{where} \quad \delta_{L_p}(\varepsilon) \geq c_p \varepsilon^r, \quad \varrho_{L_p}(\tau) \leq k_p \tau^s,$$

$$r = \max(2, p), \quad s = \min(2, p).$$

References

- [1] V. A. Akimovich, On the uniform convexity and uniform smoothness of Orlicz spaces, Teoria funkcii, func. an. i priloz. (Kharkov) 15 (1972), pp. 114–121.
- [2] T. Figiel, G. Pisier, Series aléatoires dans les espaces uniformément convexes ou uniformément lisses, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 279 (1974), 611–614.
- [3] J. Lindenstrauss, L. Tzafriri, On Orlicz sequence spaces (I), Israel J. Math. 10 (1971), pp. 379–390.
- [4] —, — Classical Banach spaces, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1973.
- [5] W. A. Luxemburg, Banach function spaces, Doctoral thesis, Delft, 1955.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS,
UNIVERSITY OF SOFIA
INSTITUT OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS
BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCE

Received November 15, 1973

(756)