

COROLLARY 2. (Nullstellensatz for Principal ideals). *If $g \in \mathcal{O}_0(E)$ is irreducible and $f \in \mathcal{O}_0(E)$ is identically zero on $V(g)$ (the zero set of g), then there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}_0(E)$ such that $f = g \cdot h$.*

Proof. Just a question of obtaining a factorization of f and g on suitably large finite dimensional subspaces of E , applying the classical result and dividing to obtain $h \in \mathcal{O}_0(E)$.

COROLLARY 3. *If X is an analytic subset of a complex Banach manifold U then: If for all $x \in X$ the germ X_x does not contain a principal germ ([6]), the pair $(U - X, U)$ possesses the property of extension ([6]).*

Proof. From [6] all we must prove is the special case where U is an open ball in E , $X = V(f_1, f_2)$, where $f_1, f_2: U \rightarrow C$ and $h: U - X \rightarrow C$ is analytic. Using the theorem we can reproduce the situation on sufficiently large finite dimensional subspaces of E and apply the classical extension theorem to obtain a function $\tilde{h}: U \rightarrow C$ which is analytic on $U - X$ and also analytic on all finite dimensional (affine) subspaces of U . The result follows immediately from work in [1] and the fact that $U - X$ is open, connected and non-empty.

PROBLEM. Localise Theorem 1.

References

- [1] J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, *Analytic functions in topological vector spaces*, Studia Math. 39 (1971), pp. 77-112.
- [2] J. Bochnak and J. Siciak, *Polynomials and multilinear mappings*, Studia Math. 39 (1971), pp. 59-76.
- [3] E. Hille and E. G. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semigroups*, Colloq. Amer. Math. Soc. 1957.
- [4] S. J. Greenfield and N. R. Wallach, *The Hilbert ball and bi-ball are holomorphically inequivalent*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77, March 1971.
- [5] Narasimhan, *Introduction to the theory of analytic spaces*, 1966.
- [6] J. P. Ramis, *Sous-ensembles Analytiques d'une variété Banachique Complexe*, 1970.
- [7] *Seminaire de Géométrie Analytique*. Publications Mathématique D'Orsay, 1968-69.

UNIVERSITY OF WARWICK
COVENTRY, GREAT BRITAIN

Received November 12, 1971

(434)

Formally real rings of distributions

by

MANGHO AHUJA* (Cape Girardeau, Mo.)

Let \mathcal{D} denote the set of test functions, and its dual \mathcal{D}' denote the set of Schwartz distributions [6]. Let \mathcal{D}'_+ denote the set of those elements of \mathcal{D}' , which have support in the positive cone \mathbf{R}^n_+ , where

$$\mathbf{R}^n_+ = \{(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n): t_i \in \mathbf{R}, t_i \geq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

It is well known that the set \mathcal{D}'_+ is a commutative ring under the operations addition, $+$, and convolution $*$. Moreover the ring \mathcal{D}'_+ has no zero divisors ([6], p. 173) and hence can be embedded into a quotient field M . In the one dimensional case, where $n = 1$, M is the quotient field of Mikusinski operators [3].

Let $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ denote the set of all T in \mathcal{D}'_+ , for which $T(\varphi)$ is a real number, whenever φ is a real valued test function. The aim of this paper is to show that, whereas \mathcal{D}'_+ and M cannot be (linearly) ordered, the ring $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ and its quotient field M_r are both formally real and hence can be (linearly) ordered.

I. Let \mathcal{D}_r denote the subset of \mathcal{D} consisting of the real valued test functions, and let \mathcal{D}'_r denote its real dual, i. e. the set of real valued continuous linear functionals on \mathcal{D}_r . Let $(\mathcal{D}'_r)_+ = \{T \in \mathcal{D}'_r: \text{support } T \subset \mathbf{R}^n_+\}$.

The relation between $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ and $(\mathcal{D}'_r)_+$ is far from superficial.

THEOREM I. $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ and $(\mathcal{D}'_r)_+$ are isomorphic as convolution algebras over the reals.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{D}'_+$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$. Let $T = T_1 + iT_2$, and $\Phi(x) = \alpha(x) + i\beta(x)$ be their decompositions into real and imaginary parts. Then $T(\Phi) = (T_1 + iT_2)(\alpha + i\beta)$. It follows that if $T \in (\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$, then

$$T(\Phi) = T_1(\alpha) + iT_1(\beta).$$

Let \tilde{T} denote the restriction of T_1 to \mathcal{D}_r . Then $\theta: T \rightarrow \tilde{T}$ furnishes the desired isomorphism. ■

* These results are taken from the author's doctoral dissertation [7] at the University of Colorado, written under the direction of Prof. G. H. Meisters.

2. From Artin and Schreier's theory of formally real integral fields ([1], p. 269), we know that a field or a ring is orderable (linearly) if and only if it is formally real.

DEFINITION. A ring R is *formally real* if

$$\alpha_i \in R, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{for each } i.$$

The ring \mathcal{D}'_+ cannot be ordered because $T * T + (iT) * (iT) = T^2 - T^2 = 0$ for any $T \in \mathcal{D}'_+$. Theorem I suggests that $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ is orderable iff $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_+$ is. For that matter there are some more rings which are formally real if and only if $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ is.

Let C_+ denote the set of real valued continuous functions on \mathbf{R}_+^n , and C_+^∞ denote its subset consisting of all infinitely differentiable functions. $L_n = L_{loc}(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$ denote the set of all real valued, locally integrable functions on \mathbf{R}_+^n . Under the operations of $+$ and $*$, C_+ , C_+^∞ , L_n are all commutative rings. Each one is also a real vector space, and hence a convolution algebra over reals. There is an obvious embedding

$$C_+^\infty \rightarrow C_+ \rightarrow L_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}'_+)_+.$$

THEOREM II. C_+^∞ is formally real if and only if $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_+$ is.

Proof. Assume C_+^∞ is formally real. Suppose $T_i \in (\mathcal{D}'_+)_+$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m T_i * T_i = 0$. Select any $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'_+$. Then $\varphi * \varphi \in \mathcal{D}'_+$.

$$0 = \sum_{i=1}^m T_i * T_i \Rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^m T_i * T_i) * (\varphi * \varphi) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^m (T_i * \varphi) * (T_i * \varphi) = 0.$$

But $T_i * \varphi \in C_+^\infty$, which is formally real.

$\therefore T_i * \varphi = 0$ for each i . This is true for any such φ . Therefore $T_i = 0$ for each i , and proves $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_+$ is formally real. The converse is obvious from the embedding. ■

COROLLARY. C_+ , C_+^∞ , L_n , $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_+$, $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ are either all formally real, or none is.

3. The task of proving $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ formally real is thus simplified to proving C_+^∞ or $C_+ = C(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$ formally real. The proof for $C(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$, when $n = 1$, is shown below.

THEOREM III. $C(\mathbf{R}_+^1)$ is formally real.

Proof. Let $f_i \in C(\mathbf{R}_+^1)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. We will prove that $f_i = 0$, for each i .

Select $T > 0$ and a positive integer n .

$$\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0 \Rightarrow \int_{t=0}^{2T} e^{n(2T-t)} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(t) dt = 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{t=0}^{2T} e^{n(2T-t)} \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{u=0}^t f_i(t-u) f_i(u) du dt \\ &= \int_{t=0}^{2T} \int_{u=0}^t e^{n(2T-t)} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(t-u) f_i(u) du dt. \end{aligned}$$

We change variables from u, t to v and w by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} u &= T - v, \\ t &= 2T - v - w. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$0 = \iint_{\Delta} \iint_{\Delta} e^{n(v+w)} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(T-v) f_i(T-w) dv dw,$$

where $\Delta = \{(v, w) : v + w \geq 0; v \leq T, w \leq T\}$.

Let $\Delta' = \{(v, w) : v + w \leq 0; v \geq -T; w \geq -T\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} + \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} &= \iint_{\Delta \cup \Delta'} e^{n(v+w)} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(T-v) f_i(T-w) dv dw \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{v=-T}^T \int_{w=-T}^T e^{nv} e^{nw} f_i(T-v) f_i(T-w) dv dw \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{v=-T}^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \int_{-T}^T e^{nw} f_i(T-w) dw \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{-T}^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Each of these summands is a non-negative real number, and so is $\iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} &= \left| \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} \right| = \left| \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} e^{n(v+w)} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(T-v) f_i(T-w) dv dw \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^m \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} |e^{n(v+w)}| |f_i(T-v)| |f_i(T-w)| dv dw. \end{aligned}$$

In Δ' , since $v + w \leq 0$, $|e^{n(v+w)}| \leq 1$.

$$\therefore \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} \leq \sum_{i=1}^m \iint_{\Delta'} |f_i(T-v)| |f_i(T-w)| dv dw \leq m 2T^2 A^2, \quad \text{where}$$

$$A = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \left\{ \sup_{t \in [0, 2T]} |f_i(t)| \right\}.$$

$$\text{Thus, } \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\int_{-T}^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right)^2 = \iint_{\Delta'} \iint_{\Delta'} \leq 2m A^2 T.$$

\therefore For any fixed i , $\left| \int_{-T}^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right|^2 \leq 2m A^2 T^2$ and $\left| \int_{-T}^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right| \leq \sqrt{2m} A T$.

Using triangle equality

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right| &\leq \sqrt{2m} AT + \left| \int_{-T}^0 e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right| \\ &\leq \sqrt{2m} AT + \int_{-T}^0 |e^{nv}| |f_i(T-v)| dv \\ &\leq \sqrt{2m} AT + AT. \end{aligned}$$

For every positive integer n , $\left| \int_0^T e^{nv} f_i(T-v) dv \right| \leq (\sqrt{2m} + 1) AT$, which is independent of n .

Using Moments theorem [5] $f_i(T-v) = 0$ for $0 \leq v \leq T$, i. e. $f_i(t) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$. Since T was arbitrarily chosen, $f_i = 0$. This is true for each i . ■

4. To prove $C(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$, $n > 1$, is formally real; we closely follow the paper 'Convolution of several variables' by J. Mikusiński [4]. This paper should be referred to for definitions and details.

Let \mathcal{A} be a commutative Banach algebra over the reals, and \mathcal{A}_1 denote its least extension with unity. For $t \geq 0$, let $E(t)$ be an 'exponential operator' on \mathcal{A} i. e., it satisfies

- (1) $E(0) = 1$.
- (2) $E(t)xy = (E(t)x)y$.
- (3) $t \rightarrow E(t)x$ is a continuous map for each x .
- (4) There exists $l \in \mathcal{A}_1$, a non-zero divisor, satisfying

$$\frac{d}{dt} (E(t)lx) = E(t)x \quad \text{for every } x \text{ in } \mathcal{A}.$$

From (1) through (4) it follows that

$$(5) \quad E(t_1 + t_2) = E(t_1) \cdot E(t_2).$$

For $t \geq 0$, let $f(t), g(t)$ denote \mathcal{A} -valued functions (Bochner) integrable on \mathbf{R}_+^1 .

Select $T > 0$.

Let

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f} &= \int_0^T E(t)f(t)dt, \\ (f * g)(t) &= \int_0^t f(t-u)g(u)du, \quad \text{and} \\ K(f, g)(T-t) &= \int_{u=0}^{T-t} f(t+u)g(T-u)du. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA. $\tilde{f} \cdot \tilde{g} = \overline{f * g} + E(T)\tilde{K}(f, g)$.

Proof. See [4].

COROLLARY 1. $(\tilde{f})^2 = f * \tilde{f} = \overline{f * f} + E(T)\tilde{K}(f, f)$.

COROLLARY 2. For a real number $s \geq 1$, let $\tilde{f}(s)$ denote $\int_0^T E(st)f(t)dt$. Then

$$\tilde{f}(s) \cdot \tilde{g}(s) = \overline{f * g}(s) + E(st)\tilde{K}(f, g)(s).$$

Proof. See [4].

THEOREM IV. Let \mathcal{A} denote a Banach algebra over \mathbf{R} , and \mathcal{B} denote the set of all \mathcal{A} -valued functions locally (Bochner) integrable on $[0, \infty)$. For a $T > 0$, let \mathcal{B}_T denote the set of all \mathcal{A} -valued functions, defined and locally integrable on $[0, T]$. Then

(a) \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{B}_T are convolution algebras over \mathbf{R} , and \mathcal{B}_T is a Banach algebra under the norm $\|f\|_1 = \int_0^T |f(t)| dt$ where $|\cdot|$ denoted the norm on \mathcal{A} .

(b) If \mathcal{A} satisfies $|a^2| = |a|^2$ for every a in \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{A} has no zero divisors.

(c) If \mathcal{A} satisfies $|\sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2| = \sum_{i=1}^m |a_i|^2$, then \mathcal{B} is a formally real ring.

Proof. Mikusiński [4] has proved (a), and (b). We show proof of (c), which is a slight modification of proof for (b).

Let $f_i \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. We will show $f_i = 0$, for each i .

From the lemma above, $\tilde{f}_i \cdot \tilde{f}_i = \overline{f_i * f_i} + E(T)\tilde{K}(f_i, f_i)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{f}_i)^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{f_i * f_i} + E(T) \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i) \\ &= \overline{\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i} + E(T) \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i) \\ &= 0 + E(T) \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i). \end{aligned}$$

Let n be a positive integer. We choose $E(t) = e^{-nt}$ as the exponential operator.

We have, $\sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{f}_i)^2 = e^{-nT} \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i)$, and

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{f}_i)^2 \right| = |e^{-nT}| \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i) \right|.$$

Using hypothesis on \mathcal{A} ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m |\tilde{f}_i|^2 = e^{-nT} \left| \sum_{i=1}^m \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i) \right| \leq e^{-nT} \sum_{i=1}^m |\tilde{K}(f_i, f_i)|.$$

As shown in Theorem II of [4], $|\tilde{K}(f_i, f_i)| \leq M_i$, where M_i depends on f_i, T , but not on n . Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^m |\tilde{f}_i|^2 \leq e^{-nT} \sum_{i=1}^m M_i$.

For any fixed i , $|\tilde{f}_i|^2 \leq e^{-nT} \sum_{i=1}^m M_i$, and $|\tilde{f}_i| \leq e^{-n\frac{T}{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m M_i}$.

$\therefore e^{n\frac{T}{2}} |\tilde{f}_i| \leq M$, where $M = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^m M_i}$.

$$e^{n\frac{T}{2}} |\tilde{f}_i| = e^{n\frac{T}{2}} \left| \int_0^T e^{-nt} f_i(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_0^T e^{n(\frac{T}{2}-t)} f_i(t) dt \right| \leq M.$$

Using triangle inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{T/2} e^{n(\frac{T}{2}-t)} f_i(t) dt \right| &\leq M + \left| \int_{T/2}^T e^{n(\frac{T}{2}-t)} f_i(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq M + \int_{T/2}^T |e^{n(\frac{T}{2}-t)}| |f_i(t)| dt \\ &\leq M + \int_{T/2}^T |f_i(t)| dt = L \quad \text{say,} \end{aligned}$$

where L is independent of n .

For every positive integer n ,

$$\left| \int_0^{T/2} e^{n(\frac{T}{2}-t)} f_i(t) dt \right| = \left| \int_0^{T/2} e^{nt} f_i\left(\frac{T}{2}-t\right) dt \right| \leq L \quad (\text{independent of } n).$$

Using Moments theorem, $f_i(t) = 0$, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}$. But T was arbitrarily chosen, so $f_i = 0$. This is true for each i . ■

COROLLARY. $L_1 = L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}_+^1)$ is formally real. More precisely, if $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(t) = 0$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$, then, $f_i(t) = 0$ in $0 \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}$ for each i .

5. We recall that $\tilde{f}(s) = \int_0^T E(st) f(t) dt$, and

$$\tilde{f}(s) \cdot \tilde{g}(s) = \overline{f * g}(s) + E(sT) \tilde{K}(f, g)(s), \quad \text{for } s \geq 1.$$

THEOREM V. Let X be a commutative Banach algebra over \mathbb{R} and Y be the set of all X -valued functions integrable on $0 \leq u \leq U$, for some $U > 0$. For $u \geq 0$, let $E(u)$ be an exponential operator on X , satisfying

(i) $E(U) = 0$.

(ii) There exists $u = u_0$ satisfying $x_i \in X$, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^m x_i^2 = 0 \Rightarrow E(u_0) x_i = 0 \quad \text{for each } i.$$

Let $f_i \in Y$, and $E(u) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(u) = 0$ for $0 \leq u \leq U$. Then $E(u + u_0) f_i(u) = 0$ for $0 \leq u \leq U$, and for each i .

Proof. Let $s \geq 1$.

$$E(u) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(u) = 0 \text{ in } [0, U] \Rightarrow E(su) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(u) = 0 \text{ in } [0, U] \Rightarrow$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{u=0}^U E(su) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(u) du \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{u=0}^U E(su) (f_i * f_i)(u) du = \sum_{i=1}^m \overline{f_i * f_i}(s) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By making use of Corollary 2, p. 25, we have $\Sigma (\tilde{f}_i(s))^2 = \Sigma \overline{f_i * f_i}(s) + E(sU) \Sigma \tilde{K}(f_i, f_i)(s)$. But $\sum_{i=1}^m \overline{f_i * f_i}(s) = 0$, and since $E(U) = 0, E(sU) = 0$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^m (\tilde{f}_i(s))^2 = 0$.

Using hypothesis (ii) on X , we have

$$E(u_0) \tilde{f}_i(s) = 0, \quad \text{for each } i, \text{ i.e.,}$$

$$E(u_0) \int_{u=0}^U E(su) f_i(u) du = \int_{u=0}^U E(su) E(u_0) f_i(u) du = 0$$

for each i , and $s \geq 1$.

Using theorem III of ([4], p. 304),

$$E(su) E(u_0) f_i(u) = 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq u \leq U \text{ and } s \geq 1.$$

In particular, for $s = 1$, $E(u + u_0) f_i(u) = 0$, for each i . ■

Let $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{B}_T$ be as in Theorem IV. Let $U \geq 0$ be arbitrarily chosen. For $u \geq 0$, let $E(u)$ be the exponential operator on \mathcal{B}_T defined by

$$(E(u)f)(t) = \begin{cases} f\left(t - \frac{T}{U}u\right) & \text{if } \frac{t}{T} \geq \frac{u}{U}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let \mathcal{S} be the set of all \mathcal{B}_T valued functions integrable on $0 \leq u \leq U$. We notice that

(i) $E(U) = 0$.

(ii) Suppose $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_T$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. The proof of Theorem IV shows

that $f_i(t) = 0$, for $0 \leq t \leq \frac{T}{2}$, for each i , or, in other words, $E\left(\frac{U}{2}\right) f_i = 0$,

for each i .

COROLLARY. If $f_i \in \mathcal{S}$, and $E(u) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(u) = 0$, for $0 \leq u \leq U$, then

$E\left(u + \frac{U}{2}\right) f_i(u) = 0$ for $0 \leq u \leq U$ for each i .

6. We recall that $L_n = L_{00}^1(\mathbf{R}_+^n)$ = set of all real valued functions locally integrable on \mathbf{R}_+^n . Select T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n strictly positive numbers. Let $\Delta^n(r)$ denote the simplex

$$\Delta^n(r) = \left\{ (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) : t_i \in \mathbf{R}_+^1; \frac{t_1}{T_1} + \frac{t_2}{T_2} + \dots + \frac{t_n}{T_n} \leq r \right\},$$

where $r > 0$.

Let \mathcal{B}_n denote the set of all real valued functions defined and integrable on $\Delta^n(1)$. For $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$, let $\|f\|_n = \int_{\Delta^n(1)} |f(t)| dt$. It is clear that for every

positive integer n , \mathcal{B}_n is a convolution algebra over reals, and a Banach algebra under the norm defined above. Corollary to theorem IV may

now be worded as: if $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$, then $f_i = 0$ on the set $\Delta^1(1/2)$ for each i .

The above statement can be generalized to n dimensions.

THEOREM VI. If $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$, then for each i , $f_i = 0$ on the set $\Delta^n(1/2)$.

Proof. We use induction on n . As already noticed, the theorem is true for $n = 1$. Now suppose the theorem is true for $n = k-1$. Assume $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. We may look at \mathcal{B}_k as the set of \mathcal{B}_{k-1} valued functions integrable on $0 \leq t_k \leq T_k$.

For $f \in \mathcal{B}_k$, and $0 \leq t_k \leq T_k$, let $f(t_k)$ denote the element of \mathcal{B}_{k-1} defined by,

$$f(t_k)(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{k-1}) = f(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k).$$

We also define an exponential operator on \mathcal{B}_{k-1} . For $0 \leq t_k \leq T_k$, and $f \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$, let

$$E(t_k)f = \begin{cases} f\left(t_1 - \frac{T_1}{T_k} t_k, t_2 - \frac{T_2}{T_k} t_k, \dots, t_{k-1} - \frac{T_{k-1}}{T_k} t_k\right) & \text{if all the coordinates} \\ 0 & \text{are positive,} \\ & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly,

(i) $E(T_k) = 0$,

(ii) if $x_i \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m x_i * x_i = 0$, i. e., $\Sigma x_i * x_i = 0$ on the set $\Delta^{k-1}(1)$, then by induction hypothesis, $x_i = 0$ for each i , on $\Delta^{k-1}(1/2)$.

In other words, $E\left(\frac{T_k}{2}\right) x_i = 0$ for each i .

We see that \mathcal{B}_{k-1} satisfies all the conditions on X in Theorem V.

We have $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. In the language of exponential operator, this means,

$$E(t_k) \sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i(t_k) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t_k \leq T_k.$$

From Theorem V, we conclude $E\left(t_k + \frac{T_k}{2}\right) f_i(t_k) = 0$ for $0 \leq t_k \leq T_k$,

for each i .

This means that for each i , $f_i = 0$, on $\Delta^k(1/2)$. Thus, the theorem is true for $n = k$ as well. ■

COROLLARY. L_n is formally real for all n .

Proof. Suppose $f_i \in L_n$, and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. Select T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n strictly positive numbers. Let f_i also denote the restriction of f_i on $\Delta^n(1)$. Then $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m f_i * f_i = 0$. From Theorem VI, we conclude $f_i = 0$ on $\Delta^n(1/2)$, for each i . Since T_i were all arbitrarily chosen, we conclude $f_i = 0$ on \mathbf{R}_+^n , for each i . This proves that L_n is formally real.

COROLLARY. (i) C_+ , C_+^∞ , L_n ; (\mathcal{D}'_+) , $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$ are all formally real.

(ii) If M_r denotes the quotient field of $(\mathcal{D}'_+)_r$, then M_r is formally real.

(iii) The quotient field of Mikusiński operators is formally real.

References

- [1] N. Jacobson, *Lectures in Abstract Algebra*. Vol. III.
 [2] G. H. Meisters, *A formally real ring of distributions* Notices Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (1970), p. 940. Abstract No. 70T — A184.
 [3] J. Mikusiński, *Operational Calculus*. 1959.
 [4] — *Convolution of functions of several variables*, Studia Math. 20 (1961), pp. 301–312.
 [5] — and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *A theorem on bounded moments*. Studia Math. 13 (1953), pp. 51–55.
 [6] L. Schwartz. *Theorie des distributions*, Paris 1966.
 [7] M. Ahuja, Ph. D. thesis, Uni. of Colorado (1971).

Received December 6, 1971

(495)

Vector space isomorphisms of C^* -algebras

by

KARI YLINEN (Helsinki)

Abstract. For a vector space isomorphism of two C^* -algebras, connections existing between the properties of being a C^* -isomorphism, isometric, bipositive, or preserving an approximate identity, are indicated.

1. Introduction. This paper is concerned with extending to the non-unit situation some results obtained by Kadison [4], [5], in the course of characterizing the linear isometries between C^* -algebras with identity or between their real linear subspaces of self-adjoint elements. Following Kadison we call a linear isomorphism between two C^* -algebras a *quantum mechanical isomorphism* or a C^* -isomorphism if $T(x^*) = (Tx)^*$ and $T(a^n) = (Ta)^n$ for each self-adjoint element a and natural number n . For two C^* -algebras A and B , a linear map $T: A \rightarrow B$ is *positive*, if Ta is positive for each positive $a \in A$. If T is a vector space isomorphism and both T and T^{-1} are positive, we call T *bipositive*. In this terminology some of Kadison's results may be stated in the following form (see [4], Theorem 5, its proof, Theorem 7, and [5] Corollary 5):

THEOREM 1.1. (Kadison) *Let A and B be C^* -algebras with identities $e_1 \in A$ and $e_2 \in B$ and $T: A \rightarrow B$ a vector space isomorphism. If T is a C^* -isomorphism, T is isometric and bipositive, and $Te_1 = e_2$. Conversely, any two of the latter three properties together imply that T is a C^* -isomorphism.*

In Section 3 we extend this theorem to cover the case of linear isomorphisms between general C^* -algebras by replacing the identity with an approximate identity. Kadison's results are also applied to show that the natural extension of a real linear isometric isomorphism between the subspaces of self-adjoint elements of two C^* -algebras is also isometric. Our main tool is the Sherman-Takeda-Grothendieck theory (see [3], [6] and [7]) yielding the structure of a von Neumann algebra in the bidual of a C^* -algebra. For the basic theory of C^* -algebras we refer to [1].

2. Auxiliary results. Let A be a C^* -algebra. We identify its bidual A'' with the enveloping von Neumann algebra of A (cf. [1], p. 237). In this identification the weak operator topology of A'' coincides with $\sigma(A'', A')$ and the structure of A'' extends that of A via the canonical embedding $x \mapsto \hat{x}$. We use the term 'approximate identity' in the sense of [1], p. 359.