

## Structure of Blaschke cocycles

by

HENRY HELSON (Berkeley, Calif.)

**Abstract.** Analytic cocycles have been shown to play the same role on a group  $K$  dual to a subgroup of the discrete real line as innerfunctions on the circle group. In a previous paper, an arbitrary analytic cocycle was shown to be the product of a Blaschke and a singular cocycle (unless already of one of these types). Now a necessary and sufficient condition is given for a subset of  $R^+ \times K$  ( $R^+$  is the set of positive real numbers) to be the "zero set" of a Blaschke cocycle. The main difficulty is measure-theoretic; it arises in constructing a cocycle having a given zero set. The result is used to prove that every (non-constant) analytic cocycle is the product of two other.

1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a countable subgroup of  $R_d$ , the discrete real line, and  $K$  the separable compact group dual to  $\Gamma$ . We shall assume that  $\Gamma$  is not cyclic, so  $K$  is not a circle. Harmonic analysis on  $K$  has been studied in several previous papers [3], [4], [6], particularly the aspect related to the order defined in  $\Gamma$ . The basic problem is to describe certain functions defined on  $R \times K$  ( $R$  is the real line) called *analytic cocycles*. Each analytic cocycle is the product of a Blaschke and a singular cocycle. The purpose of this paper is to show that an arbitrary Borel set subject to some obvious necessary conditions is the zero set for a Blaschke cocycle. Thus Blaschke cocycles are parametrized by their zero sets almost as effectively as ordinary Blaschke products. Although no similar analysis of singular cocycles has been made <sup>(1)</sup>, the method of proof can be used to show that all analytic cocycles can be properly factored. This means that  $H^2(K)$  has no maximal invariant subspaces except the trivial one  $H_0^2(K)$ . (The relation of cocycles to harmonic analysis is explained in [3]; but here we shall discuss the cocycles themselves. An easy result from [4] will be required later. However Theorem 1 below implies the main result of [4] and the proof will be independent.)

The elements of  $K$  are  $x, y, \dots$ ; those of  $\Gamma$  are  $\gamma, \lambda, \dots$ .  $K$  contains a distinguished subgroup  $K_0$  consisting of all characters on  $\Gamma$  of the form  $e_t(\lambda) = e^{it}$ , where  $t$  is a real number. The mapping of  $R$  into  $K$  that carries  $t$  to  $e_t$  is one-one and continuous, and  $K_0$  is dense in  $K$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> The author stated in [5] that all singular cocycles are trivial. That assertion is hereby retracted.

A cocycle on  $K$  is a Borel function  $A(t, x)$  on  $R \times K$  such that for all real  $t, u$  and  $x$  in  $K$  we have

$$(1) \quad |A(t, x)| = 1, \quad A(t+u, x) = A(t, x)A(u, x+e_t).$$

(The definition in this form, without reference to exceptional null sets, was given by Gamelin [2]. Once certain measure-theoretic difficulties have been met it works more smoothly than older definitions [3], [6].)

A cocycle is called *analytic* if for almost every  $x$  the function  $A(t, x)$  defined on  $R$  is the boundary function of  $A(z, x)$  analytic and bounded in the upper half-plane, but not constant in  $z$ . That is,  $A(z, x)$  is an *inner function*. If this inner function is a Blaschke product for almost all  $x$  the cocycle is a *Blaschke cocycle*; if it is a singular inner function for almost all  $x$ , the cocycle is called *singular*. In [4] it was shown that every analytic cocycle is the product of a Blaschke and a singular cocycle, unless it is already of one type.

With these definitions we can go on to our problem.

2. Let  $A$  be a Blaschke cocycle on  $K$ , and  $E_x$  the set of zeros of  $A(z, x)$  in the upper half-plane. For the null set of  $x$  on which  $A(z, x)$  is not an inner function  $E_x$  is not yet defined. In order to obtain a definite Borel set for every  $x$  we can define  $A(z, x)$  by the Poisson integral of the bounded function  $A(t, x)$ , obtaining an inner function for almost all  $x$  but a harmonic function everywhere. Let  $E_x$  be the zeros of this function.

The sets  $E_x$  are related by the functional equation of a cocycle. The complex version of (1)

$$(2) \quad A(t+z, x) = A(t, x)A(z, x+e_t)$$

shows that  $E_{x+e_t} = E_x - t$  for all  $t$  and  $x$ . Let  $E$  be the subset of  $R^+ \times K$  ( $R^+$  is the set of positive real numbers) consisting of all  $(u, x)$  such that  $iu$  is a zero of  $A(z, x)$ . Then  $E$  describes the zeros of the cocycle completely:  $A(t+iu, x) = 0$  just if  $(u, x+e_t)$  is in  $E$ . And  $E$  is a Borel set.

For  $(u, x)$  in  $E$  let  $q(u, x)$  be the multiplicity of the zero of  $A(z, x)$  at  $z = iu$ . For  $x$  in the Borel null set where  $A(z, x)$  is not inner we set  $q = 0$ . Then  $q$  is a Borel function on  $E$ . For the derivative of  $A(z, x)$  with respect to  $z$  is a Borel function whose zeros have the same translation property as those of  $A$ , and the zeros of the derivative are associated with the points of  $E$  where  $q \geq 2$ . Similarly the points where  $q \geq n$  form a Borel subset of  $E$  for each integer  $n$ , so  $q$  is a Borel function.

Let  $X = x + K_0$ , the coset of  $K_0$  containing  $x$ . Denote by  $E_X$  the set of all  $(u, y)$  in  $E$  such that  $y$  is in  $X$ . We can visualize a half-plane erected over the line  $X$ ;  $E_X$  is the set of points in  $E$  lying in this half-plane. But it is important to note that this half-plane has no natural horizontal coordinate, because  $X$  has no origin.

For any choice of origin in  $X$ ,  $E_X$  is (for almost all  $x$ ) the set of zeros of a bounded analytic function, and therefore satisfies the Blaschke condition. Each point of  $E_X$  is to be repeated, of course, according to its multiplicity.

Our main theorem now asserts that any set  $E$  in  $R^+ \times K$  with multiplicity function  $q$  having all these properties arises from a Blaschke cocycle.

3. THEOREM 1. Let  $E$  be a Borel set in  $R^+ \times K$  and  $q$  a Borel function on  $E$  taking positive integral values. Suppose that  $q$ , interpreted as a multiplicity function, satisfies the Blaschke condition on  $E_X$  for each  $X = x + K_0$ , except possibly in a null set of  $x$ . Assume that  $E_X$  is not empty for almost all  $x$ . Then there is a Blaschke cocycle whose zero set matches  $E_X$ , and whose multiplicity function matches  $q$ , for almost all  $x$ .

A set  $E$  such that  $E_X$  is empty for almost every  $x$  will be called *negligible*. A union of countably many negligible sets is negligible. Two cocycles are customarily identified if they differ only on a null set of  $(t, x)$ . Then it is obvious, if we grant the theorem, that two Borel sets arise from the same cocycle if and only if they differ by a negligible set.

If  $E$  is not negligible, then  $E_X$  is infinite for almost every  $x$  [4]. Thus there is no analogue for cocycles of finite Blaschke products.

The main difficulty in the proof, met in the following lemma, is measure-theoretic. This is not surprising, because on each coset  $X$  there is a Blaschke inner function having the right zeros, and the problem is to fit them together measurably to form a cocycle. We shall need some theorems about Borel structures, now accessible in a new expository paper by Arveson [1].

LEMMA. The function

$$(3) \quad m(x) = \sum 2u_n(u_n^2 + t_n^2)^{-1},$$

where the summation extends over the elements  $(u_n, x + e_{t_n})$  of  $E_X$ ,  $X = x + K_0$ , is absolutely measurable on  $K$ .

In words: we sum the Poisson kernel over the points of  $E$  in the half-plane over  $X$ , with  $x$  chosen as origin. The result should depend measurably on  $x$ . (A function is called *absolutely measurable* if it is measurable for every Borel measure.)

Choose and fix a positive integer  $r$ . We construct the Polish space  $D = K \times (R^+ \times K)^r$ , whose elements are sequences  $(x, u_1, x_1, \dots, u_r, x_r)$  where each  $x$  is in  $K$  and each  $u$  in  $R^+$ . Denote by  $F$  the subset of points such that each  $x_j$  belongs to  $x + K_0$ . These are the sequences

$$(4) \quad (x, u_1, x + e_{t_1}, \dots, u_r, x + e_{t_r})$$



for arbitrary real  $t_1, \dots, t_r$ .  $F$  is a Borel subset of  $D$ . Indeed the set  $F_k$  of points in  $F$  such that  $|t_j| \leq k$  (each  $j$ ) is closed, and  $F$  is the countable union of  $F_k$ .

The subset of  $F$  consisting of points (4) such that some two pairs  $(u_j, t_j)$  are identical is relatively closed in  $F$ . Hence the set  $G$  of all points in  $F$  such that all these pairs are distinct is a Borel subset of  $D$ .

Let  $f_k$  be the function defined on  $F$  by

$$(5) \quad \sum_{j=1}^r 2u_j(u_j^2 + t_j^2)^{-1}$$

at each point (4) belonging to  $F_k$ , and equal to 0 at other points of  $F$ . This function is continuous on  $F_k$ , and therefore is a Borel function on  $F$ . Hence  $f = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k$ , defined by (5) for all points of  $F$ , is a Borel function.

Denote by  $H$  the subset of  $G$  consisting of those points (4) in  $G$  such that  $(u_j, x + e_{t_j})$  is in  $E$  for each  $j$ .  $H$  is another Borel subset of  $D$ , and  $f$  restricted to  $H$  is a Borel function.

For any real  $k$  let  $H_k$  be the subset of  $H$  on which  $f > k$ . Let  $P$  be the function that carries each element of  $D$  to its first component  $x$ .  $P$  is continuous and  $H_k$  is a Borel subset of  $D$ ; therefore the image  $P(H_k)$  is an analytic set in  $K$ . But this image is just the set of  $x$  such that some  $r$  terms in (3) have sum exceeding  $k$ . The set where (3) itself is greater than  $k$  is the union for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  of analytic sets  $P(H_k)$ . Since analytic sets are absolutely measurable the set where  $m(x) > k$  is absolutely measurable for every  $k$ . Hence  $m$  is absolutely measurable.

The lemma remains true if we incorporate the multiplicity function  $q$  in (3):

$$(6) \quad m(x) = \sum 2u_n d_n (u_n^2 + t_n^2)^{-1},$$

where  $d_n = q(u_n, x + e_{t_n})$ , is still absolutely measurable. For the subset of  $E$  on which  $q$  has a given value is a Borel set to which the lemma is applicable, and (6) is a weighted sum of these functions.

The sum (6) is finite for almost every  $x$  because  $E_x$  (with  $q$ ) satisfies the Blaschke condition. In order to make  $m$  smooth on cosets of  $K_0$  we assume for the moment that all the points  $(u, x)$  of  $E$  satisfy  $u \geq \delta > 0$ . A straightforward argument shows in this case that  $m(x + e_t)$  is continuous as a function of  $t$  for almost every  $x$ . We set

$$(7) \quad A(t, x) = e^{\int_0^t m(x + e_s) ds},$$

a formula used previously to produce cocycles [3]. Then identically in  $t$

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{i} \frac{d}{dt} \log A(t, x) = m(x + e_t).$$

By definition

$$(9) \quad m(x + e_t) = \sum 2u_n d_n (u_n^2 + (t_n - t)^2)^{-1}, \quad (u_n, x + e_{t_n}) \text{ in } E.$$

The Blaschke product on zeros  $t_n + iu_n$  with multiplicity  $d_n$

$$(10) \quad B(t) = \prod \epsilon_n (t - (t_n + iu_n))^{d_n} (t - (t_n - iu_n))^{-d_n}, \quad |\epsilon_n| = 1,$$

has the same logarithmic derivative (9). Therefore  $A(t, x)$  is a constant multiple of  $B(t)$ , where the constant depends of course on  $x$ . If  $A(t, x)$  is a Borel function, it is a Blaschke cocycle with exactly the right zeros.

Now  $m(x)$  was shown to be absolutely measurable. It is possible to change its values on a Haar null set so as to be a Borel function. Then  $A(t, x)$  is a Borel function, and for almost all  $x$  differs from the old function only on a null set of  $t$ . This completes the proof of the theorem under the special hypothesis on  $E$ .

In the general case let  $E_\delta$  be the set of  $(u, x)$  in  $E$  such that  $u \geq \delta$ . For each positive  $\delta$  we obtain a cocycle  $A_\delta$  with zeros on  $E_\delta$  of the proper multiplicity. It is unfortunately not possible to conclude that  $A_\delta$  tends to a limiting cocycle with zeros on  $E$  as  $\delta$  decreases to 0; for Blaschke products converge when they are normalized to be positive at a fixed point of the upper half-plane, whereas a cocycle equals 1 at the origin. We are forced to a less direct argument.

Let  $A'_\delta(z, x)$  be  $A_\delta(z, x)$  multiplied by a constant of modulus 1 so that it or its first non-vanishing derivative is positive at  $z = i$ . We may set  $A'_\delta(z, x) = 1$  for those  $x$  in a fixed Borel null set, invariant under translations from  $K_0$ , where  $A(z, x)$  is not an inner function. Then  $A'_\delta(z, x)$  is a Borel function of  $(z, x)$ . The limit

$$(11) \quad B(z, x) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} A'_\delta(z, x)$$

exists for all  $z$  in the upper half-plane and all  $x$ , and is a Blaschke product with zeros of the proper multiplicity on  $E$  for almost all  $x$ .  $B$  is not a cocycle, but its zeros have the translation property of cocycles. Since a Blaschke product is determined by its zeros up to a multiplicative constant,

$$(12) \quad B(t + z, x) = L(t, x) B(z, x + e_t)$$

for some number  $L$  depending on  $t$  and  $x$ . It is easy to verify that  $L$  is a cocycle.

Since  $B$  is inner for fixed  $x$ ,  $B(t + iu, x)$  has a limit  $B(t, x)$  for almost all  $t$  as  $u$  decreases to 0. The exceptional set of  $t$  depends on  $x$ , but there is one  $t$  at least, by the Fubini theorem, such that the limit exists for

almost every  $x$ . For this  $t$  and any positive numbers  $u, v$  we have

$$(13) \int |B(t+iu, x) - B(t+iv, x)|^2 dx = \int |B(iu, x+e_t) - B(iv, x+e_t)|^2 dx \\ = \int |B(iu, x) - B(iv, x)|^2 dx.$$

The first integral tends to 0 as  $u$  and  $v$  decrease independently to 0. Hence  $B(iu, x)$  has a limit in the norm of  $L^2(K)$  as  $u$  tends to 0. The same argument shows that  $B(t+iu, x)$  converges in  $L^2(K)$  for every real  $t$ . We denote this limit by  $B_t$ , defined only modulo null sets of  $x$ .

In (12) replace  $z$  by  $iu$  and let  $u$  decrease to 0. We obtain

$$(14) \quad B_t = L_t \cdot T_t B_0,$$

an equality in  $L^2(K)$ , where  $T_t$  is translation:  $T_t f(x) = f(x+e_t)$  for any  $f$ . Choose a definite Borel representative for the element  $B_0$  of  $L^2(K)$ , of modulus 1 everywhere. The right side of (14) is now a Borel function of  $(t, x)$ . Thus  $B_t$  can be chosen so as to make the equation true for all  $t$  and  $x$ . Dividing by  $B_0$  exhibits  $B_t/B_0$  as a product of the cocycle  $L$  with a coboundary. Hence  $A_t = B_t/B_0$  is a cocycle. For almost every  $x$ ,  $A(t, x)$  is the limit of  $B(t+iu, x)/B(0, x)$  for almost every  $t$ , because a pointwise limit must agree with the Lebesgue limit almost everywhere. Hence  $A$  is a Blaschke cocycle with the desired zeros, and the proof is finished.

4. An ordinary inner function can be factored as the product of inner functions, unless it is a simple Blaschke factor. This is obvious if the inner function is not a pure Blaschke or singular function. For Blaschke products it is proved by detaching one factor from the product; a singular inner function is the square of its square root. A Blaschke cocycle has infinitely many zeros above almost every coset of  $K_0$  [4], so there is no trivially excluded case, and we ask whether analytic cocycles can always be factored.

**THEOREM 2.** Every analytic cocycle is the product of two others.

Let  $A$  be an analytic cocycle. If it is not of Blaschke or singular type, then it is the product of two pure cocycles [4]. Thus we only have to study cocycles of pure type.

First suppose  $A$  is a Blaschke cocycle. It suffices to express its zero set  $E$  (which is non-negligible by definition of an analytic cocycle) as the disjoint union of two non-negligible Borel subsets. Then Theorem 1 provides Blaschke cocycles  $B$  and  $C$  whose zeros together match the zeros of  $A$ . It follows that  $A = BC$ .

Such a decomposition is immediate except in the case that  $u$  has the same value for every  $(u, x)$  in  $E$ , except for a negligible subset of  $E$ . Thus we may suppress  $u$  and consider  $E$  as a subset of  $K$ .

For any positive element  $\gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  let  $G_\gamma$  be the closed subgroup of  $K$  consisting of all  $x$  such that  $x(\gamma) = 1$ . Every  $x$  in  $K$  can be written uniquely in the form  $y_\gamma + e_t$ , where  $y_\gamma$  is in  $G_\gamma$  and  $0 \leq t < 2\pi/\gamma$ . The natural correspondence between  $K$  and  $G_\gamma \times [0, 2\pi/\gamma)$  preserves Borel sets; without changing notation we view  $E$  as a subset of the product space.

Let  $E_t$  be the set of elements  $(y, u)$  of  $E$  with  $u < t$ . Denote by  $t_0$  the upper bound of  $t$  such that  $E_t$  is negligible. (If  $E_t$  is non-negligible for each positive  $t$ , set  $t_0 = 0$ .) Then  $E_{t_0}$  itself is negligible, which implies that  $t_0 < 2\pi/\gamma$ . Similarly let  $t_1$  be the lower bound of  $t$  such that the set of  $(y, u)$  in  $E$  with  $u > t$  is negligible, or  $t_1 = 2\pi/\gamma$  if this set is non-negligible for every smaller  $t$ . If  $t_0 < t_1$ , and if  $t$  is any number between them, then  $E_t$  and its complement in  $E$  are both non-negligible, and the separation has been accomplished.

Otherwise  $t_0 = t_1$ , and this number may be 0 but cannot be  $2\pi/\gamma$ . The value of  $(y, u)$  as a character on  $\gamma$  is  $e^{iu}$ . Now  $u < t_0$  only on a negligible subset of  $E$ ; and  $u > t_0$  on another negligible subset. Hence for all  $x$  in  $E$  except a negligible subset we have  $x(\gamma) = e^{iu}$ .

The theorem is proved if  $t_0$  turns out less than  $t_1$  for any positive  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$ . Otherwise the elements of  $E$  have the same value as characters on  $\gamma$  except in a negligible subset of  $E$ ; and this is true for every positive  $\gamma$ . The exceptional set depends on  $\gamma$ , but since  $\Gamma$  is countable the statement holds for all  $\gamma$  at once except on a grand negligible set. Since an element of  $K$  is determined by its values as a character on the positive elements of  $\Gamma$ ,  $E$  can have only one element outside the negligible set. This is absurd, and the proof for Blaschke cocycles is finished.

Let  $A$  be a singular cocycle. Define  $A'(z, x)$  to be  $A(z, x)$  multiplied by a constant of modulus 1 so that  $A'(i, x) > 0$ . On the Borel null set of  $x$ , invariant under translations from  $K_0$ , on which  $A(z, x)$  fails to be a singular inner function, let  $A'(z, x) = 1$ . Then  $A'$  is a Borel function in  $(z, x)$ . Set  $B(z, x) = A'(z, x)^{1/2}$ , choosing the square root so as to be positive at  $z = i$ . Then  $B$  is a Borel function. Indeed the values of  $A$  and its derivatives at  $i$  are Borel functions of  $x$ , in terms of which we can compute  $B(z, x)$  for  $|z-i| < 1$ . Hence  $B$  is a Borel function on the product of this circle with  $K$ . If  $B$  is redefined to be 0 for  $z$  outside this circle, we have a Borel function on the whole product space. By analytic continuation we obtain a sequence of Borel functions whose limit is the original function  $B(z, x)$ .

$B$  will not be a cocycle, but its modulus satisfies

$$(15) \quad |B(t+z, x)| = |B(z, x+e_t)|$$

because  $B^2$  has the same modulus as  $A$ . Hence (12) holds for a function  $L(t, x)$  that is again a cocycle. The argument goes on through (13) and (14) to show that  $C_t = B_t/B_0$  is a cocycle. Now  $B(t, x)$  is the boundary

function of  $B(z, x)$  whose square is  $A(z, x)$ , aside from a constant factor (depending on  $x$ ). Hence  $C$  is a singular cocycle whose square is a function of  $x$  times  $A$ . This implies  $C^2 = A$ , and the theorem is proved.

5. The restriction to countable  $\Gamma$  and separable  $K$  is not essential. Without any restriction, a cocycle  $A(t, x)$  is continuous as a mapping from  $R$  to  $L^2(K)$  ([2], p. 186). Hence  $A_i$  takes its values in a separable subspace of  $L^2(K)$ , so the non-null Fourier coefficients of all the functions  $A_i$  lie in a countable subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Thus  $A$  can be studied on a separable quotient group of  $K$ .

#### References

- [1] W. Arveson, *Representations of  $C^*$ -Algebras*, to appear in *Studies in Operator Theory*, Mathematical Association of America.
- [2] T. W. Gamelin, *Uniform Algebras*, 1969.
- [3] H. Helson, *Compact groups with ordered duals*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 14 A (1965), pp. 144-156.
- [4] — *Compact groups with ordered duals II*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 1 (1969), pp. 237-242.
- [5] — *Cocycles in harmonic analysis*, Proc. Int. Cong. Math., Nice, 1970 (to appear).
- [6] — and D. Lowdenslager, *Invariant subspaces*, Proc. Int. Symp. on Linear Spaces, Jerusalem 1960, 1961, pp. 251-262.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Received October 4, 1971

(407)

### Weak integrals defined on Euclidean $n$ -space

by

JAMES K. BROOKS (Gainesville, Fla.) and JAN MIKUSIŃSKI (Zabrze)

**Abstract.** The following representation for Banach-valued measurable weakly integrable functions on Euclidean  $n$ -space is established:  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \xi_{I_i}$ , where the  $x_i$  are elements of the given Banach space and the  $\xi_{I_i}$  are characteristic functions of intervals  $I_i$ ; the convergence is absolute a.e. The weak integral of  $f$  is given by the equality  $\int f d\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} x_i \lambda(I_i)$ , where the convergence is unconditional. This approach avoids entirely the use of functionals.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we establish a representation theorem (Theorem 1) for Banach-valued measurable weakly integrable functions defined on Euclidean  $n$ -space, where the underlying measure is Lebesgue measure. The representation is given in terms of intervals and unconditionally convergent series. As a result, our approach avoids the use of the conjugate space and the theory of Lebesgue measure, except for the concept of almost everywhere convergence.

We also present a construction of Lebesgue measurable sets which seems to be an effective tool for examining measurable sets in terms of intervals (Theorem 2).

**2. Definitions.**  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a Banach space over the complex numbers with conjugate space  $\mathfrak{X}^*$ .  $|x|$  is the norm of an element  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ .  $(R^n, \mathcal{L}, \lambda)$  denotes the measure space consisting of the Lebesgue measurable subsets of  $R^n$ , with  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$ .  $\int g$  or  $\int g d\lambda$  denotes  $\int g d\lambda$ .  $f: R^n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$  is said to be Gelfand-Pettis integrable [6], or weakly integrable with respect to  $\lambda$  if:

- (1)  $x^*f$  is  $\lambda$ -integrable for every  $x^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ ;
- (2) For every  $E \in \mathcal{L}$  there exists an element  $x_E \in \mathfrak{X}$  such that  $x^*(x_E) = \int_E x^*f d\lambda$  for every  $x^* \in \mathfrak{X}^*$ .

In this case we define  $x_E$  to be the weak integral of  $f$  over  $E$ ; in symbols:  $x_E = \int_E f d\lambda$ .  $f$  is measurable if it is the almost everywhere (a.e.)