

normal for each n) without the decomposition being monotone (cf. Remark 3.2). We also note that if the decomposition for X is monotone, then the decomposition for $A(k^n - X_n)$ is also monotone.

References

- [1] A. Alexiewicz and Z. Semadeni, *Linear functionals on two-norm spaces*, Studia Math. 17 (1958), pp. 121–140.
- [2] — *The two-norm spaces and their conjugate spaces*, ibidem 18 (1959), pp. 275–293.
- [3] — *Some properties of two-norm spaces and a characterization of reflexivity of Banach spaces*, ibidem 19 (1960), pp. 115–132.
- [4] J. B. Conway, *The inadequacy of sequences*, Amer. Math. Monthly 76 (1969), pp. 68–69.
- [5] R. C. James, *A non-reflexive Banach space isometric with its second conjugate*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (USA) 37 (1951), pp. 174–177.
- [6] J. T. Marti, *Introduction to the Theory of Bases*, New York 1969.
- [7] W. Orlicz, *On the continuity of linear operations in Saks spaces with an application to the theory of summability*, Studia Math. 16 (1957), pp. 69–73.
- [8] — and V. Ptak, *Some remarks on Saks spaces*, ibidem 16 (1957), pp. 56–58.
- [9] S. Rothman, *Banach spaces via two-norm spaces*, Dissertation, The University of Wisconsin, Milwaukee 1970.
- [10] W. H. Ruckle, *The infinite sum of closed subspaces of an F -space*, Duke Math. J. 31 (1964), pp. 543–554.
- [11] S. Saks, *On some functionals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 35 (1933), pp. 549–556.
- [12] — *Addition to the note on some functionals*, ibidem 35 (1933), pp. 965–970.
- [13] B. L. Sanders, *Decompositions and reflexivity in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), pp. 204–208.
- [14] I. Singer, *Basic sequences and reflexivity in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 21 (1962), pp. 351–369.
- [15] — *On the constants of basic sequences in Banach spaces*, ibidem 31 (1968), pp. 125–134.
- [16] P. K. Subramanian and S. Rothman, *Two-norm spaces and decomposition of Banach spaces*, II (to appear).
- [17] A. Wilansky, *Functional analysis*, New York 1964.
- [18] — *Topics in functional analysis*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, Berlin — Heidelberg — New York 1967.
- [19] — *The basis in Banach space*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), pp. 799–798.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
MISSOURI SOUTHERN COLLEGE
JOPLIN, MISSOURI, USA)

Received May 5, 1971

(330)

The domain of attraction of a normal distribution in a Hilbert space

by

M. KŁOSOWSKA (Łódź)

Abstract. Let H be a separable real Hilbert space. Denote by $\Pi^{(nd)}$ the domain of attraction of normal non-degenerate probability distributions in H . If $p \in \Pi^{(nd)}$, then

$$\int_H \|x\|^\delta p(dx) < +\infty \quad \text{for } 0 < \delta < 2.$$

Assign to a distribution p in H the family of S -operators S defined by the bilinear form

$$(Sxg, h) = \int_{\|x\| < X} (x, g)(x, h)p^*p(dx) \quad \text{for every } g, h \in H.$$

In terms of operators S_X we give necessary and sufficient conditions in order that $p \in \Pi^{(nd)}$.

Introduction. The paper is an attempt to extend the known results of A. J. Khinchin and P. Lévy concerning the domain of attraction of a normal distribution on a straight line to Hilbert spaces (see [6] and [8]).

Section 1 of the paper contains the basic definitions and theorems of the theory of probability distributions in a Hilbert space.

Section 2 includes the theorems concerning the shift-convergence of a sequence of distributions $\mu_n = \prod_{k=1}^{k_n} \mu_{n,k}$ with $\mu_{n,k}$ uniformly asymptotically negligible to a normal distribution. These theorems follow from the results formulated in the papers by Varadhan [11] and Jajte [3]. In Section 3 we give theorems which are the basic aim of the paper, viz. we formulate some properties of distributions belonging to the domain of attraction of a normal distribution in a Hilbert space and also the necessary and sufficient conditions in order that a distribution belong to the domain of attraction of a normal distribution in a Hilbert space.

1. Let H be a separable real Hilbert space with the inner product (\cdot, \cdot) and the norm $\|\cdot\|$. Let \mathfrak{M} denote the set of all probability distributions in H , i.e. the set of normed regular measures defined in a σ -field \mathcal{B} of Borelian subsets of H . \mathfrak{M} is a complete space with the Lévy-Prochorov

metric (see [10], p. 188). Convergence in this metric space is equivalent to the weak convergence of distributions⁽¹⁾.

The convolution $p * q$ ⁽²⁾ is a continuous operation in \mathfrak{M} . The convolution of n distributions p_1, \dots, p_n will be denoted by $\prod_{k=1}^n p_k$ while the convolution of n identical distributions will be denoted by p^{n*} .

Let $p \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $f \in H$. By p^f we denote the distribution on a straight line induced by the element f , i.e.

$$(1) \quad p^f(B) = p\{x \in H: (x, f) \in B\}$$

for every B Borelian set on a straight line.

The characteristic functional $\hat{p}(f)$ of the distribution $p \in \mathfrak{M}$ is defined by the formula

$$(2) \quad \hat{p}(f) = \int_H e^{i(x, f)} p(dx) \quad (\text{see [7]}).$$

This functional determines the distribution uniquely.

A linear operator in H is called an S -operator if it is non-negative, self-adjoint and has a finite trace (see [10], p. 193).

A distribution $\mu \in \mathfrak{M}^*$ is called *normal* if

$$(3) \quad \hat{\mu}(f) = \exp[i(x_0, f) - \frac{1}{2}(Sf, f)],$$

where $x_0 \in H$ and S is an S -operator.

Denote by δ_x a degenerate distribution concentrated at a point $x \in H$, i.e. $\delta_x(A) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and $\delta_x(A) = 0$ if $x \notin A$ for $A \in \mathcal{B}$. A sequence of distributions p_n is called *shift-compact* (*shift-convergent*) in \mathfrak{M} if there exists a $\{x_n\}$ of elements of H such that the sequence of distributions $p_n * \delta_{x_n}$ is compact (convergent).

A distribution μ is called *infinitely divisible* if for every natural number n there exists a distribution μ_n such that

$$\mu = \mu_n^{n*}.$$

The distributions $\mu_{n,k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$) are *uniformly asymptotically negligible* if

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{1 \leq k \leq k_n} \mu_{n,k}(U) = 1,$$

where U is an arbitrary neighbourhood of zero in H .

⁽¹⁾ A sequence of distributions $p_n \in \mathfrak{M}$ is called *weakly convergent* to the distribution p if for every bounded and continuous function defined in H we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_H f(x) p_n(dx) = \int_H f(x) p(dx).$$

⁽²⁾ $p * q(A) = \int_H p(A - x) q(dx)$ for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let $p \in \mathfrak{M}$, define the distribution $e(p)$ by the formula

$$(5) \quad \widehat{e(p)}(f) = \exp \left\{ \int_H [e^{i(x, f)} - 1] p(dx) \right\} \quad \text{for every } f \in H \text{ (3)}.$$

The distribution $e(p)$ is infinitely divisible (see [9], p. 79). We introduce the following notation:

$$T_a p(A) = p\{x \in H: ax \in A\}$$

for every $A \in \mathcal{B}$, where a is an arbitrary real number.

$$^-p = T_{-1}p, \quad {}^0p = p^{*-}p.$$

In the paper we make use of the following theorems:

1.1. If the sequence of distributions $p_n = q_n * r_n$ is compact in \mathfrak{M} , then the sequences of distributions q_n and r_n are shift-compact in \mathfrak{M} (see [9], Theorem 2.2).

1.2. If the sequence of distributions p_n is compact in \mathfrak{M} and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_n(f) = g(f)$ for every $f \in H$, then the sequence p_n converges weakly to the distribution p and $\hat{p}(f) = g(f)$ (see [10], Lemma 1.6).

1.3. The set of distributions p_t , $t \in T$ is compact in \mathfrak{M} if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a compact set $Z_\varepsilon \subset H$ such that for every $t \in T$, $p_t(H - Z_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ (see [10], Theorem 1.12).

2. Let $\mu_{n,k}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$) be uniformly asymptotically negligible. Write

$$(1) \quad \mu_n = \prod_{k=1}^{k_n} \mu_{n,k},$$

$$(2) \quad (x_{n,k}, f) = \int_{\|x\| \leq 1} (x, f) \mu_{n,k}(dx) \quad \text{for every } f \in H,$$

$$(3) \quad M_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (\mu_{n,k} * \delta_{-x_{n,k}}),$$

$$(4) \quad (T_n^e g, h) = \int_{\|x\| \leq e} (x, g)(x, h) M_n(dx) \quad \text{with an arbitrary positive } \varepsilon.$$

It follows from the infinite divisibility of the distribution $\prod_{k=1}^{k_n} e(\mu_{n,k} * \delta_{-x_{n,k}})$ and from Theorem 5.10 in [11] that $\int_{\|x\| \leq e} \|x\|^2 M_n(dx) < +\infty$, and hence it follows that the operator T_n^e defined by bilinear form (4) is an S -operator.

⁽³⁾ Then $e(p) = e^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{p^{i*}}{i!}$, where $p^{0*} = \delta_0$.

COROLLARY 2.1. The sequence of distributions (1) is shift-convergent to a normal distribution if and only if

1. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} M_n(dx) = 0$,
2. $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (T_n^* e_i, e_i) = 0$, $\{e_i\}$ is a basis in H ,
3. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n^* f, f) = (Bf, f)$ for every $f \in H$,

for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ (see Theorem 6.3 in [9]).

Then the sequence (1) is shift-convergent to the normal distribution determined by the S -operator B .

Proof. To prove this it suffices to employ the corollary in [3] and Theorem 6.4 in [11] and also the fact that if condition 1 is satisfied, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n^* f, f)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (T_n^* f, f)$ do not depend on ε . It follows from conditions 2 and 3 that B is an S -operator and hence that the assumption of compactness of the operators T_n is reduced to condition 2.

Define now by bilinear forms the S -operators:

$$(5) \quad (B_n^* g, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \left\{ \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, g) (x, h) \mu_{n,k}(dx) - \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, g) \mu_{n,k}(dx) \cdot \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, h) \mu_{n,k}(dx) \right] \right\},$$

$$(6) \quad (\bar{B}_n^* g, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x - x_{n,k}, g) (x - x_{n,k}, h) \mu_{n,k}(dx),$$

where ε is an arbitrary positive number and define the measure

$$(7) \quad M'_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \mu_{n,k}.$$

THEOREM 2.1. The sequence of distributions (1) is shift-convergent to a normal distribution in H if and only if

- 1'. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} M'_n(dx) = 0$,
- 2'. $\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (B_n^* e_i, e_i) = 0$, $\{e_i\}$ is a basis in H ,
- 3'. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (B_n^* f, f) = (Bf, f)$ for every $f \in H$,

with an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Write $l_n = \sup_{1 \leq k \leq k_n} \|x_{n,k}\|$. By Lemma 7.1 in [11]

$$(8) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} l_n = 0.$$

Simultaneously

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon + l_n} M_n(dx) &= \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \int_{\|x - x_{n,k}\| \geq \varepsilon + l_n} \mu_{n,k}(dx) \leq \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} M'_n(dx) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} \int_{\|x - x_{n,k}\| \geq \varepsilon - l_n} \mu_{n,k}(dx) = \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon - l_n} M_n(dx). \end{aligned}$$

From (8) and (9) we find that conditions 1 and 1' are equivalent.

From (8) it also follows that condition

$$(10) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (\bar{B}_n^* e_i, e_i) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \varepsilon > 0$$

is equivalent to condition 2 and that condition

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\bar{B}_n^* f, f) = (Bf, f) \quad \text{for every } f \in H \text{ and } \varepsilon > 0$$

is equivalent to condition 3 (see Corollary 2.1).

Let $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Find

$$(\bar{B}_n^* f, f) - (B_n^* f, f) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (g_{n,k}^*, f)^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (x_{n,k}, f)^2 \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} \mu_{n,k}(dx),$$

where $(g_{n,k}^*, f) = \int_{\varepsilon < \|x\| \leq 1} (x, f) \mu_{n,k}(dx)$ for every $f \in H$. Introduce the notation

$$(12) \quad (Q_n^* g, h) = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (g_{n,k}^*, g) (g_{n,k}^*, h) + \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (x_{n,k}, g) (x_{n,k}, h) \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} \mu_{n,k}(dx).$$

Bilinear form (12) defines the S -operator Q_n^* .

Suppose condition 1' is satisfied. It follows from (8), (12) and from the fact that $\mu_{n,k}$ are uniformly asymptotically negligible that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Q_n^* e_i, e_i) = 0,$$

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Q_n^* f, f) = 0 \quad \text{for every } f \in H,$$

(13) means that (10) is equivalent to condition 2', (14) means that (11) is equivalent to condition 3'. The assumption $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ is not essential.

Remark. Making use of a corollary in [3] one may prove in the same way as above a more general theorem which asserts in terms of the measure M'_n and operators B_n^* the necessary and sufficient conditions for sequence (1) to be shift-convergent.

LEMMA 2.1. If the distribution $p * p$ is normal in H , then the distribution p is normal in H .

Proof. By assumption we have $|\hat{p}(f)|^2 = e^{-(Sf, f)}$, where S is an S -operator.

Let $f \in H$. Consider the distribution $(p^* - p)^j = p^{j*} - p^j$ on a straight line; the characteristic function of this distribution is $|\hat{p}(t \cdot f)|^2 = e^{-t^2(Sf, f)}$.

By Cramer's theorem (see [1])

$$(15) \quad \hat{p}(t \cdot f) = \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}t^2(Sf, f) + itm(f)\right].$$

Since $m(f_1 + f_2)$ may be interpreted as the expected value of the random variable $(f_1 + f_2, \xi)$, where ξ is a random variable with the values from H and with the distribution p , the functional $m(f)$ is additive.

Let $\|f_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$; then by Theorem 1.10 in [10] the sequence p^{f_n} converges weakly to p^0 . The distributions p^{f_n} are normal on a straight line and thus $m(f_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus the functional $m(f)$ is linear, i.e. there exists an $x_0 \in H$ such that $m(f) = (x_0, f)$. Putting in (15) $t = 1$, we obtain the assertion.

LEMMA 2.2. If the sequence $q_n *^{-} q_n$ converges weakly to a normal distribution in H , then the sequence q_n is shift-convergent to a normal distribution in H .

Proof. Let a sequence of distributions q_n converge weakly to the distribution q and let $\hat{q}(f) = e^{-(Sf, f)}$, where S is an S -operator.

By Theorem 1.1 the sequence q_n is shift-compact. Thus there exists a sequence $x_n \in H$ such that each subsequence of the sequence $q_n * \delta_{x_n}$ includes a subsequence converging to some distribution. By Lemma 2.1 the limit distributions are normal, have the same dispersion operator S and differ in the factor δ_n . Thus the sequence x_n may be so modified that every subsequence of the sequence $q_n * \delta_{x_n}$ includes a subsequence converging to the same normal distribution, e.g. to the distribution with the characteristic functional $e^{-i(Sf, f)}$, and thus the sequence q_n is shift-convergent to a normal distribution in H .

Introduce the notation

$$(16) \quad \dot{M}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{k_n} {}^o\mu_{n,k},$$

$$(17) \quad (\dot{B}_n^* g, h) = \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, g)(x, h) \dot{M}_n(dx).$$

COROLLARY 2.2. The sequence (1) is shift-convergent to a normal distribution if and only if

$$1^\circ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} \dot{M}_n(dx) = 0,$$

$$2^\circ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} (\dot{B}_n^* e_i, e_i) = 0,$$

$$3^\circ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\dot{B}_n^* f, f) = (Bf, f) \quad \text{for every } f \in H$$

for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 one may consider the weak convergence of the sequence ${}^o\mu_n = \prod_{k=1}^{k_n} {}^o\mu_{n,k}$. It follows from Corollary 2.1 that the conditions $1^\circ, 2^\circ, 3^\circ$ are equivalent to the shift-convergence of the sequence of distributions ${}^o\mu_n$ to a normal distribution in H . The distributions ${}^o\mu_n$ are symmetrised, and from Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 in [9] we easily obtain the weak convergence of the sequence ${}^o\mu_n$.

3. The set of distributions $p \in \mathcal{M}$ for which there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ such that the sequence of distributions $T_{a_n} p^{n*}$ is shift-convergent to a distribution $q \in \mathcal{G}$ is called the *domain of attraction of the set of distributions* \mathcal{G} .

Denote by

$\Pi(B)$ — the domain of attraction of normal distribution determined by S -operator B ;

$\Pi^{(nd)}$ — the domain of attraction of normal, non-degenerate distributions in H , i.e. $(Sf, f) \neq 0$;

$\Pi^{(v)}$ — the domain of attraction of normal distributions in H and regular, i.e. $(Sf, f) > 0$ for $f \neq 0$;

$\Pi_1^{(nd)}$ — the domain of attraction of the family of one-dimensional normal non-degenerate distributions;

$\Pi^{(nd)}, \Pi_1^{(nd)}$ — the normal domain of attraction, i.e. $a_n = c/\sqrt{n}$, where $c > 0$.

COROLLARY 3.1. A distribution p belongs to $\Pi(B)$ if and only if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ such that the sequence of distributions $T_{a_n} {}^o p^{n*}$ converges weakly to the normal distribution determined by the S -operator B .

LEMMA 3.1. If the distribution p belongs to $\Pi^{(nd)}$ with a sequence $\{a_n\}$, then

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{a_{n+1}} = 1 \quad (\text{see Lemma 2 in [4]}).$$

Proof. By assumption the sequence $T_{a_n} p^{n*}$ is shift-convergent to the non-degenerate normal distribution q . It is easy to find that there exists an element $f \in H$ such that the distribution q' is non-degenerate on a straight line. Thus the sequence of non-degenerate one-dimensional distributions $T_{a_n} (p^f)^{n*}$ is shift-convergent to a non-degenerate distribution q' . By a lemma from § 29 and Theorem 4 from § 14 of [2] we have the assertion.

COROLLARY 3.2. The distribution p belongs to $\Pi(B)$ if and only if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ such that

$$1^\circ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon} T_{a_n}^\circ p(dx) = 0,$$

$$2^\circ \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} n \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, e_i)^2 T_{a_n}^\circ p(dx) = 0,$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is a basis in H .

$$3^\circ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon} (x, f)^2 T_{a_n}^\circ p(dx) = (Bf, f) \text{ for every } f \in H,$$

for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

To prove this it suffices to make use of Corollary 2.2 and the fact that the distributions $T_{a_n} p$ are uniformly asymptotically negligible.

THEOREM 3.1. A non-degenerate distribution p belongs to $\pi^{(nd)}$ if and only if

$$(3) \int_H \|x\|^2 p(dx) < +\infty.$$

Suppose that $1^\circ, 2^\circ, 3^\circ$ hold with $a_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$ and $(Bf, f) \neq 0$. Then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(4) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}} p(dx) = 0,$$

$$(5) \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}} \|x\|^2 p(dx) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Be_i, e_i) = a^2 > 0.$$

Let $^\circ \xi$ be a random variable in H with the distribution $^\circ p$. From (4) and (5) it follows that the distribution of random variable $\|^\circ \xi\|$ belongs to $\pi_1^{(nd)}$ (Theorem 2, § 26 in [2]), and by Theorem 6, § 34 in [2], we have (3).

Suppose now that (3) holds. Condition 1° for $a_n = 1/\sqrt{n}$ is obtained from the inequality:

$$0 \leq \varepsilon^2 n \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}} p(dx) \leq \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}} \|x\|^2 p(dx).$$

Condition 2° follows from the inequality

$$\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}} (x, e_i)^2 p(dx) \leq \int_H (x, e_i)^2 p(dx),$$

while condition 3° results from the monotonicity and boundedness of the sequence $\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/\sqrt{n}} (x, f)^2 p(dx)$ and from conditions 1° and 2° .

COROLLARY 3.3. A non-degenerate distribution p belongs to $\pi^{(nd)}$ if and only if

$$(6) \int_H \|x\|^2 p(dx) < +\infty.$$

Proof. Condition (6) is equivalent to condition (3) (see the proof of Theorem 2.6. (vi) in [5]).

COROLLARY 3.4. If $p \in \Pi^{(r)}$ and $\int_H \|x\|^2 p(dx) = +\infty$, then

$$\int_H (x, f)^2 p(dx) = +\infty \quad \text{for every } f \in H \text{ and } f \neq \theta.$$

Proof. Suppose there exist an $\tilde{f} \in H$ and an $\tilde{f} \neq \theta$ such that

$$0 < \int_H (\tilde{f}, x)^2 p(dx) < +\infty;$$

then $\tilde{f} \in \pi_1^{(nd)}$ (see Theorem 6, § 34 in [2]). From the assumption, $\tilde{f} \notin \Pi_1^{(nd)}$ with some sequence $\{a_n\}$. By Theorem 2, § 10 in [2] we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_n}{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} = c > 0.$$

Thus the sequences of distributions $T_{a_n} p^{n*}$ and $T_{c/\sqrt{n}} p^{n*}$ are shift-convergent to the same normal distribution (Theorem 1.10 in [10]), and by Corollary 3.3 we have (6), which contradicts the assumption.

Let $p \in \mathfrak{M}$, define the distribution p_1 on a straight line by the formula

$$(7) \quad p_1(B) = p\{x \in H: \|x\| \in B\}$$

for every Borelian set B on a straight line.

THEOREM 3.2. Let p belong to $\Pi^{(nd)}$; then p_1 belongs to $\Pi_1^{(nd)}$.

Proof. If condition (6) is satisfied, we have $p_1 \in \Pi_1^{(nd)}$ (Theorem 6, § 34 in [2]). The opposite case remains to be considered.

By assumption and by Theorem 2.1 there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ such that

$$1'. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon/a_n} p(dx) = 0,$$

$$2'. \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} n \cdot a_n^2 \left\{ \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, e_i)^2 p(dx) - \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, e_i) p(dx) \right]^2 \right\} = 0,$$

$$3'. \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot a_n^2 \left\{ \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, f)^2 p(dx) - \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, f) p(dx) \right]^2 \right\} = (Bf, f)$$

for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$.

From conditions $2'$ and $3'$ we obtain

(8)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^2 \left\{ \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\|^2 p(dx) - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, e_i) p(dx) \right]^2 \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (Be_i, e_i) = a^2 > 0.$$

Write $(g_n^*, f) = \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, f) p(dx)$; thus we find

$$(9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, e_i) p(dx) \right]^2 = \|g_n^*\|^2 \leq \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\| p(dx) \right]^2.$$

Since $\int_H \|x\|^2 p(dx) = +\infty$, we have

$$(10) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\| p(dx)}{\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\|^2 p(dx)} = 0 \quad (\text{see the proof of Theorem 1, § 34 in [2]}).$$

Making use of (10), we get the equivalence of the following conditions:

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^2 \left\{ \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\|^2 p(dx) - \left[\int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\| p(dx) \right]^2 \right\} = a^2 > 0,$$

$$(12) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} \|x\|^2 p(dx) = a^2 > 0.$$

From (9) it follows simultaneously that (12) is equivalent to (8); thus we have proved that condition (11) is satisfied. By theorem 2, § 26 in [2] and by conditions 1' and (11) we get the assertion.

COROLLARY 3.5. *If a distribution p belongs to $\Pi^{(nd)}$, then*

$$\int_H \|x\|^\delta p(dx) < +\infty \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \delta < 2.$$

This corollary follows from Theorem 3.2 and from Theorem 5, § 34 in [2].

Let $\{e_i\}$ be a basis in H .

THEOREM 3.3. *A distribution p belongs to $\Pi^{(v)}$ if and only if*

(a) *the distribution p^{e_i} belongs to $\Pi_1^{(nd)}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots$ with a universal sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ (independent of i) and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sigma_i^2 < +\infty$, where σ_i^2 are the variances of corresponding limit distributions.*

(b) *The sequence of distributions $T_{a_n} p^{n*}$ is shift-compact.*

Proof. The necessity of these conditions is obvious.

To prove the sufficiency we prove the weak convergence of the sequence $T_{a_n} \circ p^{n*}$; by (b) it suffices to prove the convergence of the sequence of characteristic functionals $\widehat{T_{a_n} \circ p^{n*}}(f)$ to the functional $e^{-i(Bf, f)}$, where B is S -operator.

Define the S -operator B as follows:

$$(13) \quad (Bg, h) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (g, e_i) (h, e_i) \sigma_i^2.$$

By assumption

$$(14) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{p}(a_n \cdot t \cdot e_i)^{2n} = e^{-i(Be_i, e_i)t^2} \quad \text{for every } t \text{ and for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

Let ξ_n stand for a random variable with the values in H and with the distribution $p_n = T_{a_n} \circ p^{n*}$. Let f be an arbitrary element of H ,

$f_i = (f, e_i)$ and let N be an arbitrary natural number. Consider a sequence of random vectors in R^N

$$X_n = [(\xi_n, f_1 e_1), (\xi_n, f_2 e_2), \dots, (\xi_n, f_N e_N)].$$

Let η be a random variable in H with the normal distribution determined by the S -operator B . Consider the random vector in R^N

$$X = [(\eta, f_1 e_1), (\eta, f_2 e_2), \dots, (\eta, f_N e_N)].$$

The characteristic function of the random vector X_n is

$$(15) \quad \varphi_n(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \hat{p}_n \left(\sum_{j=1}^N t_j \cdot f_j \cdot e_j \right)$$

and the characteristic function of the random variable X is

$$(16) \quad \psi(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^N t_j^2 \cdot f_j (B e_j, e_j) \right].$$

The sequence of distributions of random vectors X_n is compact, by (14) the boundary distributions of the sequence of vectors X_n converge weakly to the corresponding boundary distributions of the random vector X . From (16) it follows that the distribution of the vector X is uniquely determined by its boundary distributions. Thus every weakly convergent subsequence of the sequence of distributions of the vectors X_n converges to the distribution of the vector X . Thus we have

$$(17) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(t_1, \dots, t_N) = \psi(t_1, \dots, t_N)$$

and hence

$$(18) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_n(f_N) = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} (B f_N, f_N) \right], \quad \text{where } f_N = \sum_{j=1}^N f_j e_j.$$

Simultaneously we have

$$(19) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_n(f_N) = \hat{p}_n(f).$$

Employing Theorem 1.3 and the fact that the sequence of distributions p_n is compact, one may easily show that the convergence in (19) is uniform and thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{p}_n(f) = e^{-i(Bf, f)} \quad \text{for every } f \in H.$$

Assign to a distribution $p \in \mathfrak{M}$ the family of S -operators S_X defined by the bilinear form

$$(20) \quad (S_X g, h) = \int_{\|x\| \leq X} (x, g) (x, h) \circ p(dx).$$

THEOREM 3.4. A non-degenerate distribution p belongs to $\Pi^{(nd)}$ if and only if there exists an element $g^* \in H$ satisfying the conditions

$$(a) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X^2 \int_{\|x\| \geq X} {}^0 p(dx)}{(S_X g^*, g^*)} = 0,$$

$$(b) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_X \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{(S_X e_i, e_i)}{(S_X g^*, g^*)} = 0, \quad \text{where } \{e_i\} \text{ is a basis in } H,$$

$$(c) \quad \lim_{X \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(S_X f, f)}{(S_X g^*, g^*)} = (Df, f) \quad \text{for every } f \in H.$$

Proof. Sufficiency. If condition (6) is satisfied, then $p \in \Pi^{(nd)}$. Thus let $\int_H \|x\|^2 p(dx) = +\infty$. Define the sequence

$$C_n(\delta) = \inf \{X: n \int_{\|x\| \geq X} {}^0 p(dx) < \delta\}.$$

For every $\delta > 0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} C_n(\delta) = +\infty$ because $\int_H \|x\|^2 p(dx) = +\infty$.

Making use of (a) in an analogous way to that followed in the proof of Theorem 1, § 34 in [2], we find

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{C_n^2(\delta)} \int_{\|x\| \leq C_n(\delta)} (x, g^*)^2 {}^0 p(dx) = +\infty \quad \text{for every } \delta > 0;$$

thus there exists a sequence $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(21) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n}{C_n^{*2}(\delta)} \int_{\|x\| \leq C_n^*(\delta)} (x, g^*)^2 {}^0 p(dx) = +\infty, \quad \text{where } C_n^* = C_n(\delta_n)$$

and

$$(22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \geq C_n^*} {}^0 p(dx) = 0.$$

Define the sequence

$$(23) \quad a_n^2 = \left[n \int_{\|x\| \leq C_n^*} (x, g^*)^2 {}^0 p(dx) \right]^{-1}.$$

From (21), (22) and (23) we obtain

$$(24) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_{\|x\| \geq \varepsilon/a_n} {}^0 p(dx) = 0 \quad \text{for an arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0.$$

For an arbitrary $f \in H$, an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large n we have

$$\begin{aligned} n a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, f)^2 {}^0 p(dx) \\ = n \cdot a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq C_n^*} (x, f)^2 {}^0 p(dx) + n \cdot a_n^2 \int_{C_n^* < \|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, f)^2 {}^0 p(dx). \end{aligned}$$

By assumption (c) and (23) we find

$$(25) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \cdot a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, f)^2 {}^0 p(dx) = (Df, f)$$

for every $f \in H$ and arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$(26) \quad (Dg^*, g^*) = 1.$$

It follows from assumption (b) that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} \frac{n \cdot a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, e_i)^2 {}^0 p(dx)}{n \cdot a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, g^*)^2 {}^0 p(dx)} = 0 \quad \text{for arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Hence and from (25) and (26) we get

$$(27) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sup_n \sum_{i=N}^{\infty} n \cdot a_n^2 \int_{\|x\| \leq \varepsilon/a_n} (x, e_i)^2 {}^0 p(dx) = 0 \quad \text{for arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0.$$

Basing on Corollary 3.2 and also on (24), (25), (26), (27), we find that $p \in \Pi^{(nd)}$.

Assume now that there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{a_n\}$ such that conditions (24), (25), (27) are satisfied and the S -operator D is such that $(Dg^*, g^*) \neq 0$ for some $g^* \in H$ (the limit distribution is non-degenerate). We do not reduce the generality of our argument if we assume that (26) holds. It follows from (1) that for X sufficiently large there exists such an n that

$$\frac{1}{a_n} \leq X \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1}}.$$

Employing (2) and the above argument, we can easily find that conditions (24), (25), (26), (27) imply conditions (a), (b), (c) of the theorem for an element $g^* \in H$.

References

- [1] H. Cramer, *Über eine Eigenschaft der normalen Verteilungsfunktion*, Math. Z. 41 (1936), pp. 405–414.
- [2] B. V. Gnedenko and A. N. Kolmogorov, *Limit distributions for sums of independent random variables*, Cambridge 1954.
- [3] R. Jajte, *On convergence of infinitely divisible distributions in a Hilbert space*, Colloq. Math. 19 (1968), pp. 327–332.
- [4] — *On stable distributions in Hilbert space*, Studia Math. 30 (1968), pp. 63–71.
- [5] — *On the probability measures in Hilbert space*, ibidem 29 (1968), pp. 221–241.
- [6] A. J. Khintchine, *Sul dominio di attrazione della legge di Gauss*, Giorn. Ist. Ital. Attuari 6 (3) (1935), p. 371–398.

- [7] A. N. Kolmogorov, *La transformation de Laplace dans les espaces linéaires*, Compt. Rend. Acad. Sci. Paris 200 (1935), p. 1717.
- [8] P. Lévy, *Theorie de l'addition des variables aléatoires*, Paris 1937.
- [9] K. R. Parthasarathy, *Probability measures on metric spaces*, New York and London 1967.
- [10] Ю. В. Прохоров, *Сходимость случайных процессов и предельные теоремы теории вероятностей*, Теория вероятностей и ее применения 1 (1956), pp. 177–238.
- [11] S. R. S. Varadhan, *Limit theorems for sums of independent random variables with values in a Hilbert space*, Sankhyā, Indian J. Statist. 24 (3) (1962), pp. 213–238.

Received May 20, 1971

(342)

On the trace of some operators

by

NIELS JØRGEN NIELSEN (Warszawa)

Abstract. Let X and Y be Banach spaces and $1 < p < \infty$. We consider the space $\text{TR}_p(Y, X)$ of all bounded linear operators A from Y to X , for which the functional $N \rightarrow \text{trace}(AN)$ is continuous for the p -nuclear norm on the space of all finite-dimensional operators from X to Y . Each such A defines in a unique way a continuous linear functional Tr_A on $N_p(X, Y)$ — the space of all p -nuclear operators from X to Y . It is shown, that every p' -integral operator ($1/p + 1/p' = 1$) from Y to X belongs to $\text{TR}_p(Y, X)$, and that every element of this space is p' -absolutely summing. This result is used to prove that if $n \geq 3$ is such that $p < p'(n-1)$ and A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are p -integral operators on X , then $\text{Tr}_{A_1}(A_2, A_3, \dots, A_n) = \text{Tr}_{A_{i_1}}(A_{i_2}, \dots, A_{i_n})$ for each cyclic permutation i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n of $1, 2, \dots, n$. This trace formula was conjectured by R. Sikorski. It should be mentioned that X is not assumed to have the approximation property.

Introduction and background. Let X be a Banach space. If $N: X \rightarrow X$ is a finite dimensional operator, i.e. there are finite sets $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} \subseteq X$, $\{x_1^*, x_2^*, \dots, x_k^*\} \subseteq X^*$ such that

$$Nx = \sum_{n=1}^k x_n^*(x) x_n \quad \text{for all } x \in X,$$

then it is well known that N has a uniquely determined trace $\text{Tr}(N)$, defined by

$$\text{Tr}(N) = \sum_{n=1}^k x_n^*(x_n),$$

i.e. $\text{Tr}(N)$ does not depend on the actual finite dimensional representation of N .

If N is a nuclear operator on X with nuclear representation $N = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^* \otimes x_n$, we shall say that N has a uniquely determined trace $\text{Tr}(N)$, if the sum $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^*(x_n)$ depends only on N and not on the actual representation, and in that case we put

$$\text{Tr}(N) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n^*(x_n).$$