

Литература

- [1] К. И. Вабенко, *О базисах в гильбертовом пространстве*, Докл. АН СССР, 57, № 5 (1947), стр. 427–430.
- [2] Н. К. Барн, *Тригонометрические ряды*, Москва 1961.
- [3] Z. Ciesielski, *Properties of orthonormal Franklin system*, Studia Math. 23 (1963), стр. 141–157.
- [4] З. А. Чантурия, *О базисах пространства непрерывных функций*, Докл. АН СССР, 187, № 2 (1969), стр. 284–286.
- [5] — *Об одном обобщении теоремы Г. Фабера о полиномиальных базисах*, Матем. заметки, 2, № 2 (1967), стр. 187–190.
- [6] — *Об ортогональных полиномиальных базисах*, Сообщения АН Грузинской ССР, 60, № 1 (1970), стр. 15–16.
- [7] — *Об устойчивости процесса ортогонализации*, Тбилиси, Семинар ин-та прикладной математики, аннотации докладов, IV (1971), стр. 19–21.
- [8] С. Foias, I. Singer, *Some remarks on strongly linearly independent sequences and bases in Banach spaces*, Revue de Math. pures et appl. VI, N. 3 (1961), стр. 589–594.
- [9] И. Ц. Гохберг, М. Г. Крейн, *Введение в теорию линейных несамопроясненных операторов*, Москва 1965.
- [10] Г. Харди, Дж. Литтлвуд, Г. Полиа, *Неравенства*, Москва 1948.
- [11] С. Качмаж, Г. Штейнгауз, *Теория ортогональных рядов*, Москва 1958.
- [12] М. Г. Крейн, *О базисах Вари пространства Гильберта*, Успехи математ. наук, 12, № 3 (1957), стр. 333–341.
- [13] А. М. Олевский, *Об устойчивости оператора ортогонализации Шмидта*, Изв. АН СССР, сер. матем. 34, № 3 (1970), стр. 803–826.
- [14] В. А. Пригорский, *О некоторых классах базисов гильбертова пространства*, Успехи матем. наук, 20, № 5 (1965), стр. 231–236.
- [15] I. Schauder, *Eine Eigenschaft der Haarschen Orthogonalsystems* Math. Zeitschr. 28 (1928), стр. 317–320.
- [16] К. М. Шайдуков, *О существовании ортонормированного базиса в классе полиномов*, Науч. труды Казанского ин-та инж. строит. нефт. пром. 5 (1957), 119–151.
- [17] П. Л. Ульянов, *О некоторых решенных и нерешенных проблемах теории ортогональных рядов*, Тр. IV Всесоюзного матем. съезда т. II, Ленинград (1964), стр. 694–704.
- [18] — *Решенные и нерешенные проблемы теории тригонометрических и ортогональных рядов*, Успехи матем. наук, 19, № 1 (1964), стр. 3–69.

ИНСТИТУТ ПРИКЛАДНОЙ МАТЕМАТИКИ
ТБИЛИССКОГО ГОСУДАРСТВЕННОГО УНИВЕРСИТЕТА

Received November 19, 1970

(271)

A theorem on B -splines

by

J. DOMSTA (Sopot)

Abstract. In this paper we investigate a special family of partitions of unity on $I = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. Each partition of unity is formed by B -splines of order m , $m > 0$, corresponding to a given dyadic partition of I . The partitions of unity are linearly independent sets of functions and therefore their Gram matrices are invertible. The aim of this work is to give exponential estimates of elements of the inverse matrices. This result plays the central role in the construction of bases in $C^m(I^d)$ and $W_p^m(I^d)$, [3].

1. Introduction. In this paper we investigate a special sequence of partitions of unity on $I = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. The n th partition of unity is the (linearly independent) set of B -splines of order m corresponding to the n th dyadic partition of I for $n \in \mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Thus the Gram matrix $G_n^{(m)}$ of the n th partition of unity is non-singular and therefore is invertible. Let $A_n^{(m)}$ denote the matrix inverse to $G_n^{(m)}$.

The $(n + m + 1)$ -dimensional space spanned by elements of the n th spline partition of unity is denoted by $\mathcal{S}_n^m(I)$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$.

Let us further denote by $\mathcal{S}_n^m(I)$, $n = -m, \dots, 0$, the subspace spanned by the functions $1, t, \dots, t^{n+m}$. Applying the Schmidt orthonormalization procedure to the sequence of functions $\{h_n^{(m)}\}$, defined as follows: $h_n^{(m)} \in \mathcal{S}_n^m(I) \setminus \mathcal{S}_{n-1}^m(I)$ for $n \geq -m + 1$ and $h_{-m}^{(m)} = 1$, we obtain an orthonormal complete in $L_2(I)$ set $\{f_n^{(m)}: n \geq -m\}$. The exponential estimate of the elements of $A_n^{(m)}$ established in Theorem 1 (cf. Section 3) can be used to obtain exponential bounds for the Dirichlet kernel of the orthonormal set $\{f_n^{(m)}\}$. By means of this argument it was shown in [3] that the d -fold tensor product of $\{f_n^{(m)}\}$ is an orthogonal basis in $C^m(I^d)$ and $W_p^m(I^d)$ for $d \geq 1$, $m \geq 0$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Theorem 1 was conjectured by Ciesielski and the result was announced at the Conference on Constructive Function Theory in Varna, May 1970 [1].

2. The B -splines. Let $S = \{s_i: i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$, where $\mathcal{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \dots\}$, be a partition of $(-\infty, \infty)$, i.e. let $s_{i+1} > s_i$ for $i \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} s_i = -\lim_{i \rightarrow -\infty} s_i = \infty$.

DEFINITION 1. The function $f \in C^m(-\infty, \infty)$ is said to be a *spline* (*-function*) of order m corresponding to S , whenever all the restrictions

$f|_{\langle s_{i-1}, s_i \rangle}$ are polynomials of degree not exceeding $m+1$. The elements of \mathcal{S} are called the *knots* of the spline functions.

The splines were introduced by Schoenberg [7]. Their basic properties are given in [4], [8-9]. The set of all splines of order m corresponding to the partition S is a linear space with usual definitions of addition and multiplication by scalars. Let this space be denoted by $\mathcal{S}^m(S)$. The functions $h_i(t) = (s_i - t)_+^{m+1}$, where $x_+ = \max\{0, x\}$, belong to $\mathcal{S}^m(S)$, whenever $s_i \in S$. Thus also the functions

$$(1) \quad N_i^{(m)}(t) = (s_{i+m+1} - s_i) [s_{i-1}, \dots, s_{i+m+1}; (s-t)_+^{m+1}],$$

where $[s_1, \dots, s_r; f(s)]$ is the divided difference of f in points s_1, \dots, s_m , are elements of $\mathcal{S}^m(S)$. The functions $N_i^{(m)}$ have the following properties (cf. [4], [8]):

$$(P.1) \quad N_i^{(m)}(t) \geq 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, \infty), i \in \mathcal{Z},$$

$$(P.2) \quad \text{supp } N_i^{(m)} = \langle s_{i-1}, s_{i+m+1} \rangle \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z},$$

$$(P.3) \quad \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Z}} N_i^{(m)}(t) = 1 \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, +\infty),$$

$$(P.4) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} N_i^{(m)}(t) dt = \frac{s_{i+m+1} - s_{i-1}}{m+2} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z},$$

(P.5) *The sequence of functions $\{N_i^{(m)} : \text{supp } N_i^{(m)} \cap (a, b) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a basis in the finite-dimensional space*

$$\mathcal{S}_{\langle a, b \rangle}^m(S) = \{f|_{\langle a, b \rangle} : f \in \mathcal{S}^m(S)\}$$

of restrictions of splines of order m to $\langle a, b \rangle \subset (-\infty, +\infty)$. In particular, if $a = s_0$ and $b = s_n$, then the sequence $\{N_i^{(m)} : i = -m, \dots, n\}$ is a basis in the space $\mathcal{S}_n^m(S) = \mathcal{S}_{\langle s_0, s_n \rangle}^m(S)$.

LEMMA 1. *If $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ and $S' = \{s'_i : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ are two partitions of $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that*

$$s'_i = c \cdot s_i + d \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z},$$

where c and d are independent of i , $c > 0$, then the equality

$$(2) \quad N_i^{(m)}(t) = N_i^{(m)}(c \cdot t + d)$$

holds for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, $i \in \mathcal{Z}$, $m \geq 0$.

Proof. According to (1) the left-hand side of (2) equals (cf. [6], p. 17)

$$\begin{aligned} L_{(2)} &= (s_{i+m+1} - s_{i-1}) \sum_{l=-1}^{m+1} \frac{(s_{i+l} - t)_+^{m+1}}{D\omega_i^{(m)}(s_{i+l})} \\ &= (s'_{i+m+1} - s'_{i-1}) \sum_{l=-1}^{m+1} \frac{(s'_{i+l} - ct - d)_+^{m+1}}{D\omega_i^{(m)}(s'_{i+l})} = N_i^{(m)}(ct + d), \end{aligned}$$

where $\omega_i^{(m)}(s) = (s - s_{i-1}) \dots (s - s_{i+m+1})$, $\omega_i^{(m)}(s) = (s - s'_{i-1}) \dots (s - s'_{i+m+1})$ and $Df(s) = \frac{df}{ds}(s)$.

Properties (P.1)-(P.3) and (P.5) allow us to introduce

DEFINITION 2. The sequence $\{N_i^{(m)} : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ of splines of order m corresponding to the partition $S = \{s_i\}$ of $(-\infty, \infty)$ according to formula (1) is called a *spline partition of unity*; the elements $N_i^{(m)}$ are called *B-splines (basic) corresponding to S*.

The following result proved in [4] will be used later.

LEMMA 2. *The unique spline of order m with a support contained in $\langle s_i, s_{i+m+1} \rangle$ is the null function $f(s) \equiv 0$, for any $i \in \mathcal{Z}$.*

It follows that Properties (P.2) and (P.5) define uniquely the spline partition of unity. In the case of equidistant knots, i.e. if

$$(3) \quad s_i - s_{i-1} = h \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z},$$

the B-splines have special property important for our considerations. According to Lemma 1 we find that the numbers

$$(4) \quad G_{i,j}^{(m)} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} N_i^{(m)}(t) N_j^{(m)}(t) dt$$

corresponding to $S = \{s_i\}$ satisfying (3) can be expressed in terms of

$$(5) \quad G_l^{(m)} = G_{0,l}^{(m)} \quad \text{for } l \in \mathcal{Z}$$

as follows:

$$(6) \quad G_{i,j}^{(m)} = G_j^{(m)} \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

The important property of $N_i^{(m)} - s$ may now be formulated like this (cf. [8], p. 182):

LEMMA 3. *The roots $\gamma_j^{(m)}$, $j = \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m+1)$, of the function*

$$(7) \quad g^{(m)}(z) = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{Z}} G_i^{(m)} z^i \equiv \sum_{l=-m-1}^{m+1} G_l^{(m)} z^l \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{C}, z \neq 0,$$

are all simple and negative. Moreover, they may be enumerated as follows:

$$(8) \quad \gamma_{m+1}^{(m)} < \dots < \gamma_1^{(m)} < -1 < \gamma_{-1}^{(m)} < \dots < \gamma_{-m-1}^{(m)} < 0$$

and

$$(9) \quad \gamma_i^{(m)} = (\gamma_{-i}^{(m)})^{-1} \quad \text{for } i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m+1).$$

3. The family of partitions of unity. For each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, we define the sequence $S_n \equiv S_n^{[0]} = \{s_{n,i}^{[0]} : i = 0, \pm 1, \dots\}$ by

$$(10') \quad s_{1,i}^{[0]} = i \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z}$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$(10'') \quad s_{n,i}^{[0]} = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{2^{\mu+1}} & \text{for } i = \dots, -1, 0, \dots, 2\nu, \\ \frac{i-\nu}{2^\mu} & \text{for } i = 2\nu+1, \dots, n, \dots, \end{cases}$$

where μ and ν are non-negative integers defined by the unique decomposition: $n = 2^\mu + \nu, \mu \geq 0, 1 \leq \nu \leq 2^\mu$. Notice that for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$ we have

$$(11) \quad s_{n,0}^{[0]} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad s_{n,n}^{[0]} = 1.$$

The set $\{s_{n,i}^{[0]}: i = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ is called the n -th dyadic partition of $I = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$. The spaces $\mathcal{S}_n^m(S_n^{[0]})$ of restrictions to the interval $\langle s_{n,0}, s_{n,n} \rangle = I$ of splines corresponding to $S_n^{[0]}$ are defined uniquely by the dyadic partitions. These spaces are denoted shortly as $\mathcal{S}_n^m(I)$. Notice that $\mathcal{S}_n^m(I) \subset \mathcal{S}_{n+1}^m(I)$ and that $\dim \mathcal{S}_n^m(I) = n+m+1$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$. According to (P.5) the system

$$(12) \quad N_n^{(m)} = \{N_{n,i}^{(m)[0]}: i = -m, \dots, n\} \subset \mathcal{S}_n^m(I)$$

is a basis in $\mathcal{S}_n^m(I)$. Thus for the Gram matrix $G_n^{(m)} = \{G_{n,i,j}^{(m)}: i, j \in J_n^m\}$ with $J_n^m = \{-m, \dots, n\}$ and $G_{n,i,j}^{(m)} = \int_I N_{n,i}^{(m)} N_{n,j}^{(m)}$ for $i, j \in J_n^m$, there exists the inverse matrix $A_n^{(m)} = \{A_{n,i,j}^{(m)}: i, j \in J_n^m\} = (G_n^{(m)})^{-1}, n \in \mathcal{N}$. The main result now can be formulated as follows:

THEOREM 1. For each $m \geq 0$ there exists constants C_m and $q_m, 0 < q_m < 1$, independent of n , such that

$$(13) \quad |A_{n,i,j}^{(m)}| \leq n C_m q_m^{|i-j|} \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n^m, n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

In order to prove Theorem 1 we have to develop a technic of estimating the inverse matrices.

4. Some properties of matrices. The letters J, K, L equipped possibly in additional indices denote finite or infinite subsets of the set $\mathcal{Z} = \{0, \pm 1, \dots\}$. Any function defined on J is called a J -system. Any function defined on $J \times K$ is called a $J \times K$ -table. The systems and tables are denoted in the sequel by capital bold-faced Latin or Greek letters. The domain of a system or a table M is denoted by $\mathcal{D}(M)$.

The real- (complex-) valued systems and tables are called *real (complex) vectors and matrices*, respectively. The set of all real (complex) J -vectors is denoted by \mathcal{R}^J (\mathcal{C}^J). Similarly $\mathcal{R}^{J \times K}$ ($\mathcal{C}^{J \times K}$) denotes the set of all real (complex) $J \times K$ -matrices.

The value $M(j)$ ($M(j, k)$) of the J -system ($J \times K$ -table) M is called j -th ((j, k) -th) element of M whenever $j \in \mathcal{D}(M)$ ($(j, k) \in \mathcal{D}(M)$) and is denoted by M_j ($M_{j,k}$). If some subindices occur to denote the system (table),

then the subindex j (subindices j, k) is (are) preceded by a semicolon, unless it is (they are) preceded by a parenthesis, e.g. $M_{;j,k}$ and $M_{(a;b);j,k}^{[1]}$ denote the (j, k) -th element of, say, matrices M_n and $M_{(a;b)}^{[1]}$, respectively.

In the sequel we identify J -systems with $J \times \{0\}$ -tables in the following way: X is identified with $Y, \mathcal{D}(X) = J, \mathcal{D}(Y) = J \times \{0\}$, if $X_j = Y_{j,0}$ for $j \in J$.

To complete the list of definitions let M denote a $J \times K$ -matrix. The *main diagonal* of M is the set $\{M_{j,k}: j = k, (j, k) \in J \times K\}$. It may be the empty set. M is said to be diagonal if all its elements are equal zero unless they belong to the main diagonal. The $K \times J$ -matrix M^T is the *transposition* of M if $M_{j,k}^T = M_{k,j}$ for $(j, k) = J \times K$. M is said to be *symmetric* if $M^T = M$.

The restriction $M_{J' \times K'}$ of M to the set $J' \times K'$, where $J' \subset J, K' \subset K$, is called the $J' \times K'$ -submatrix of M . The submatrices $M_{J \times \{k\}}$ and $M_{\{j\} \times K}$ are called k -th column and j -th row of M , respectively. If the sets J and K are decomposed into e.g. three parts J^a, J^b, J^c , and K^a, K^b, K^c respectively, then $M_{J^a \times K^b}$ is denoted shortly by $M_{(a;b)}$ for $a, b = a, b, c$. In more complicated cases of submatrices the following examples explain the rule of the abbreviation of notation. We write e.g. $M_{(j;b,c)}$, $M_{(a;c,e)}$, $M_{(b)}$ and $M_{(a,b)}$ for $M_{J \times (K^b \cup K^c)}$, $M_{(J^a \cup J^c) \times K^c}$, $M_{J \times K^b}$ and $M_{(J^a \cup J^b) \times K}$, respectively. For $J'' \supset J$ and $K'' \supset K$ the $J'' \times K''$ -matrix $M^{J'' \times K''}$, where

$$M_{j,k}^{J'' \times K''} = \begin{cases} M_{j,k} & \text{for } (j, k) \in J \times K, \\ 0 & \text{for } (j, k) \in (J'' \times K'') \setminus (J \times K), \end{cases}$$

is called the *null $J'' \times K''$ -extension* of M .

M is said to be the *null $J \times K$ -matrix* if $M_{j,k} = 0$ for $(j, k) \in J \times K$. M is said to be the *identity $J \times J$ -matrix* if $J = K$ and if $M_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k}$ for $(j, k) \in J \times J$, where

$$(14) \quad \delta_{j,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k, \end{cases}$$

for $j, k \in \mathcal{Z}$.

The null (identity) matrices are denoted by $O(E)$ with a subindex denoting their domain. Thus, e.g. $O_{J \times K}$, $E_{(b;b)}$ and $E_{J \times J}$ denote the null $J \times K$ -matrix, the identity $J^b \times J^b$ -matrix and the identity $J \times J$ -matrix, respectively.

The *sum* $M+N$ of two $J \times K$ -matrices, the *product* λM of M by a scalar λ and the *product* $M \circ N$ of the $J \times K$ -matrix M and the $K \times L$ -matrix N are defined as usual; namely, $(M+N)(j, k) = M_{j,k} + N_{j,k}$, $(\lambda M)(j, k) = \lambda M_{j,k}$ and $(M \circ N)(j, l) = \sum_{k \in K} M_{j,k} N_{k,l}$, whenever all the sums converge.

The $K \times J$ -matrix N is inverse to M if $M \circ N = E_{J \times J}$ and $N \circ M = E_{K \times K}$. M is said to be *non-singular* if it has (exactly one) inverse matrix.

Let $\bar{J} = \bar{K} < \infty$, where \bar{J} denotes the cardinality of the set \mathcal{J} . $\Pi(J, K)$ denotes then the set of all (one-to-one) mappings of J onto K . Moreover, there exists exactly one $\pi_0 \in \Pi(J, K)$ which is order preserving, i.e. $\pi_0(j_1) \leq \pi_0(j_2)$ if and only if $j_1 \leq j_2$. This enables us to define the determinant of M according to the formula

$$(15) \quad \text{Det } M = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(J, K)} \text{sgn } \pi \prod_{j \in J} M_{j, \pi(j)},$$

where $\text{sgn } \pi$ equals 1 whenever the permutation $p \in \Pi(K, K)$, $p = \pi \circ (\pi_0)^{-1}$, is even and -1 for odd p .

Now let $M \in \mathcal{R}^{J \times J}$. M is said to be *non-negative (positive) definite* if for each $X \in \mathcal{R}^J$, $X \neq O_J$, $X \circ M \circ X \geq 0$ (> 0), where one-element matrices are identified with numbers. The complex number λ is said to be an *eigenvalue* of M if there exists $X \in \mathcal{R}^J$, $X \neq O_J$, such that $M \circ X = \lambda X$.

The *Euclidean norm* of a real J -vector X , $\bar{J} < \infty$, is defined as follows

$$(16) \quad |X| = \left(\sum_{j \in J} X_j^2 \right)^{1/2} = (X^T \circ E_{J \times J} \circ X)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{R}^J.$$

Now we may list the known properties of matrices which are used in the sequel. To do this let $M \in \mathcal{R}^{J \times J}$, $\bar{J} < \infty$.

If $N \in \mathcal{R}^{J \times J}$ and if N is non-singular, then

$$(17) \quad M \text{ is p.d.} \Leftrightarrow N^T \circ M \circ N \text{ is p.d.},$$

where p.d. stands for positive definite. If M is symmetric, then

$$(18) \quad \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } M \Rightarrow \lambda \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$(19') \quad \lambda_m(M) = \min \{ X^T \circ M \circ X : X \in \mathcal{R}^J, |X| = 1 \},$$

$$(19'') \quad \lambda_M(M) = \max \{ X^T \circ M \circ X : X \in \mathcal{R}^J, |X| = 1 \},$$

where $\lambda_m(M)$ and $\lambda_M(M)$ denote the minimal and the maximal eigenvalues of M respectively. According to (19) we have for a $J' \times J'$ -submatrix M' of M , $J' \subset J$,

$$(20) \quad \lambda_m(M) \leq \lambda_m(M') \leq \lambda_M(M') \leq \lambda_M(M)$$

and if $N \in \mathcal{R}^{J \times J}$ is symmetric, then

$$(21) \quad \lambda_m(M) + \lambda_m(N) \leq \lambda_m(M+N) \leq \lambda_M(M+N) \leq \lambda_M(M) + \lambda_M(N).$$

It also follows from (19) that

$$(22') \quad M \text{ is p.d.} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_m(M) > 0,$$

$$(22'') \quad M \text{ is n-n.d.} \Leftrightarrow \lambda_m(M) \geq 0,$$

where n-n.d. stands for non-negative definite. The following estimate holds for any symmetric $J \times J$ -matrix M (cf. [5], p. 240):

$$(23) \quad \max \{ |\lambda_M(M)|, |\lambda_m(M)| \} \leq \max \left\{ \sum_{k \in J} |M_{j,k}| : j \in J \right\}.$$

Let B denote a finite J -system of elements of a Hilbert space $\langle \mathcal{H}, (\cdot, \cdot) \rangle$, where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product. The complex $J \times J$ -matrix G is called the *Gram matrix* of B if $G_{i,j} = (B_i, B_j)$ for $i, j \in J$. If \mathcal{H} is a real Hilbert space, then the following statements are all equivalent

$$(24') \quad B \text{ is linearly independent};$$

$$(24'') \quad G \text{ is non-singular};$$

$$(24''') \quad G \text{ is p.d.}$$

To complete the list of properties of matrices we give a proof of the following

LEMMA 4. Let Γ, M, N be real $J \times J$ -matrices such that $\bar{J} < \infty$ and $N = M - \Gamma \circ M \circ \Gamma$. If Γ is diagonal, $0 < |\Gamma_{j,j}| < 1$ for $j \in J$, and N is p.d., then also M is p.d.

Proof. Denoting $\Gamma^n = \underbrace{\Gamma \circ \dots \circ \Gamma}_n$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\Gamma^0 = E_{J \times J}$ we may

assert: Γ^n is symmetric and non-singular for $n \geq 0$. Hence $\Gamma^n \circ N \circ \Gamma^n$ is p.d. (cf. (17)), i.e.

$$(25) \quad X^T \circ (\Gamma^n \circ M \circ \Gamma^n - \Gamma^{n+1} \circ M \circ \Gamma^{n+1}) \circ X > 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{R}^J, X \neq O_J, n \geq 0.$$

It follows that for any $n \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$X^T \circ \Gamma \circ M \circ \Gamma \circ X > X^T \circ \Gamma^{n+1} \circ M \circ \Gamma^{n+1} \circ X \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{R}^J, X \neq O_J.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} X^T \circ \Gamma^{n+1} \circ M \circ \Gamma^{n+1} \circ X &\geq -|X^T \circ \Gamma^{n+1} \circ M \circ \Gamma^{n+1} \circ X| \\ &\geq - \left| \sum_{i,j \in J} X_i (\Gamma_{i,i})^{n+1} M_{i,j} (\Gamma_{j,j})^{n+1} X_j \right| \\ &\geq -\Gamma^{2n+2} \sum_{i,j} |X_i M_{i,j} X_j| \quad \text{for } n \in \mathcal{N}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\Gamma \equiv \max \{ |\Gamma_{j,j}| : j \in J \} < 1$. Hence

$$(26) \quad X^T \circ \Gamma \circ M \circ \Gamma \circ X \geq 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{R}^J.$$

Inequalities (25) (for $n = 0$) and (26) give

$$X^T \circ M \circ X > 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathcal{R}^J, X \neq O_J.$$

DEFINITION 3. If $J = \{j_0, \dots, j_0 + d_1\}$ and $K = \{k_0, \dots, k_0 + d_2\}$, then the $J \times K$ -matrix M is said to be *rotatively symmetric* (r.s.) whenever

$$M_{j_0+j, k_0+k} = M_{j_0+d_1-j, k_0+d_2-k} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq d_1, 0 \leq k \leq d_2.$$

It is easy to verify

LEMMA 5. If M and N are r.s., then their sum (product) is r.s. whenever the sum (product) exists.

5. Diagonally exponential matrices.

DEFINITION 4. The family $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$, \mathcal{A} — any set of indices, of finite or infinite matrices M_a is said to be *diagonally exponential* (d.e.) if there exist constants C and q , $0 < q < 1$, independent of a such that

$$|M_{a;j,k}| \leq Cq^{j-k} \quad \text{for } (j, k) \in \mathcal{D}(M_a), a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

In the sequel we shall make use of the following convention: The constants C and q occurring in the above definition will be endowed with a subindex corresponding to the capital letter denoting the family of matrices, e.g. if $\{A_n: n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is d.e., then the appropriate constants are denoted by C_A and q_A respectively. It is obvious that

LEMMA 6. Finite sum of d.e. families of matrices is d.e.

LEMMA 7. If $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is d.e., then any family $\{N_\beta: \beta \in \mathcal{B}\}$ such that each N_β is a null extension of a submatrix of some $M_a, \beta \in \mathcal{B}$, is d.e.

LEMMA 8. If $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\{N_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ are d.e. families of matrices with $\mathcal{D}(M_a) = \mathcal{D}(N_a)$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\{M_a + N_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is d.e. too.

LEMMA 9. If $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a family of matrices such that

$$(27) \quad N \equiv \sup\{|j-k|: (j, k) \in \mathcal{D}(M_a), a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty,$$

$$(28) \quad C \equiv \sup\{|M_{a;j,k}|: (j, k) \in \mathcal{D}(M_a), a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty,$$

then $\{M_a\}$ is d.e.

Note that (27) cannot be replaced by the following condition: $\sup\{\overline{\mathcal{D}(M_a)}: a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty$.

DEFINITION 5. A family of matrices $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is said to be *almost diagonal* (a.d.) if

$$\sup\{|j-k|: (j, k) \in \mathcal{D}(M_a), M_{a;j,k} \neq 0, a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty.$$

LEMMA 10. An a.d. family of matrices with uniformly bounded elements is d.e.

DEFINITION 6. We say that the family of matrices $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ with $\mathcal{D}(M_a) = J_a \times K_a$ is of *almost null rows* (a.n.r.) or of *almost null columns* (a.n.c.) if

$$(29') \quad \sup\{\overline{Z_a(j, \cdot)}: j \in J_a, a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty,$$

resp.

$$(29'') \quad \sup\{\overline{Z_a(\cdot, k)}: k \in K_a, a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty$$

holds; here

$$(30') \quad Z_a(j, \cdot) = \{k \in K_a: M_{a;j,k} \neq 0\} \quad \text{for } j \in J_a, a \in \mathcal{A},$$

and

$$(30'') \quad Z_a(\cdot, k) = \{j \in J_a: M_{a;j,k} \neq 0\} \quad \text{for } k \in K_a, a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

It is obvious that an a.d. family of matrices is simultaneously of a.n.r. and of a.n.c.

Now we are ready to formulate the rule of multiplication of d.e. matrices.

LEMMA 11. Let $\{M_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ and $\{N_a: a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ be two families of matrices such that $\mathcal{D}(M_a) = J_a \times K_a, \mathcal{D}(N_a) = K_a \times L_a$, where $J_a, K_a, L_a \subset \mathcal{X}$ for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, let $\{M_a\}$ and $\{N_a\}$ be d.e. If in addition $\{M_a\}$ is of a.n.r. or $\{N_a\}$ is of a.n.c., then the family $\{M_a \circ N_a\}$ is d.e.

Proof. According to Lemma 6 we may assume that $C_M = C_N = C$ and $q_M = q_N = q, 0 < q < 1$. Let $Z_a(j, l) = \{k \in K_a: M_{a;j,k} N_{a;k,l} \neq 0\}$ for $(j, l) \in J_a \times L_a, a \in \mathcal{A}$. By the assumptions

$$N = \sup\{\overline{Z_a(j, l)}: (j, l) \in J_a \times L_a, a \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty,$$

hence (α is dropped)

$$\begin{aligned} |(M \circ N)(j, l)| &= \left| \sum_{k \in K} M_{j,k} N_{k,l} \right| = \left| \sum_{k \in Z(j, l)} M_{j,k} N_{k,l} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in Z(j, l)} Cq^{j-k} Cq^{k-l} \leq C^2 N q^{j-l}. \end{aligned}$$

6. Inverses of d.e. matrices. We begin the analysis with the following

LEMMA 12. Let $J = J^1 \cup J^2, J^1 \cap J^2 = \emptyset$. Then for the $J \times J$ -matrix M with

$$(31) \quad M_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k} \quad \text{for } (j, k) \in J^1 \times J$$

we have

$$(32) \quad \text{Det } M = \text{Det } M_{(2;2)}.$$

If, moreover, $\text{Det } M \neq 0$, then the inverse $N = M^{-1}$ has the properties

$$(33') \quad N_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k} \quad \text{for } (j, k) \in J^1 \times J,$$

$$(33'') \quad N_{(2;2)} = (M_{(2;2)})^{-1},$$

$$(33''') \quad N_{(2;1)} = -N_{(2;2)} \circ M_{(2;1)}.$$

Proof. Let us expand the determinant with respect to the j -th row of M , $j \in J^1$, and then apply (31):

$$\text{Det } M = \sum_{k \in J} (-1)^{j+k} M_{j,k} \text{Det } M_{(J \setminus \{j\}) \times (J \setminus \{k\})} = \text{Det } M_{(J \setminus \{j\}) \times (J \setminus \{j\})}.$$

Repeating the same procedure $\bar{J}^1 - 1$ times more we get (32). The proof of (33) is based on the following scheme

$$(34) \quad E_{J \times J} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{(1;1)} & O_{(1;2)} \\ O_{(2;1)} & E_{(2;2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} E_{(1;1)} & O_{(1;2)} \\ M_{(2;1)} & M_{(2;2)} \end{bmatrix} \circ \begin{bmatrix} N_{(1;1)} & N_{(1;2)} \\ N_{(2;1)} & N_{(2;2)} \end{bmatrix} \\ = \begin{bmatrix} E_{(1;1)} \circ N_{(1;1)} + O_{(1;2)} \circ N_{(2;1)} & E_{(1;1)} \circ N_{(1;2)} + O_{(1;2)} \circ N_{(2;2)} \\ M_{(2;1)} \circ N_{(1;1)} + M_{(2;2)} \circ N_{(2;1)} & M_{(2;1)} \circ N_{(1;2)} + M_{(2;2)} \circ N_{(2;2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Comparing the submatrices of the first and the last matrices in (34) we get

$$N_{(1;1)} = E_{(1;1)} \quad \text{and} \quad N_{(1;2)} = O_{(1;2)},$$

i.e. (33'), whence $M_{(2;2)} \circ N_{(2;2)} = E_{(2;2)}$, i.e. (33''), and

$$M_{(2;1)} + M_{(2;2)} \circ N_{(2;1)} = O_{(2;1)}.$$

Applying (33'') we get (33''').

Let $J = \{j \in \mathcal{Z}, j_1 \leq j \leq j_2\}$, where $j_1, j_2 \in \mathcal{Z}$. Further let m denote a non-negative integer. We say that the disjoint subsets J^a, J^b and J^c , $J^a \cup J^b \cup J^c = J$, form an m -partition of J of the first kind whenever

$$(35) \quad \bar{J} \geq m + 1,$$

and $J^b = \{j_0, \dots, j_0 + m\}$ for some $j_0 \in J$, $J^a = \{j \in J; j < j_0\}$, $J^c = \{j \in J; j > j_0 + m\}$. The subsets J^a, J^b and J^c form the m -partition of J of the second kind if

$$(36) \quad \bar{J} \geq 2m + 2$$

and $J^a = \{j_1, \dots, j_1 + m\}$, $J^c = \{j_2 - m, \dots, j_2\}$.

DEFINITION 7. Let J^a, J^b and J^c form an m -partition of J of the first (second) kind. A $J \times J$ -matrix M is said to be of m -shape of the first (second) kind if $M_{j,k} = \delta_{j,k}$ for $(j, k) \in J \times (J^a \cup J^c)$ (for $(j, k) \in J^b \times J$).

It is obvious that Lemma 12 is applicable to matrices of m -shape of the second kind with $J^1 = J^b$ and $J^2 = J^a \cup J^c$. The assumptions of Lemma 12 are satisfied also by transposed matrices of m -shape of the first kind with $J^1 = J^a \cup J^c$ and $J^2 = J^b$. Thus

LEMMA 13. If M is of m -shape of the first (second) kind, then the inverse M^{-1} also is of the m -shape of the first (second) kind, whenever it exists.

In the remainder of this section $\{M_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is such a family of matrices that

$$(37) \quad \mathcal{D}(M_\alpha) = J_\alpha \times J_\alpha,$$

where J_α is a finite set of successive integers for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$;

$$(38) \quad \{M_\alpha\} \text{ is d.e.};$$

$$(39) \quad C = \inf \{|\text{Det } M_\alpha|; \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} > 0.$$

According to (39) the elements of $N_\alpha \equiv (M_\alpha)^{-1}$ may be estimated as follows

$$(40) \quad |N_{\alpha;j,k}| \leq \frac{|\text{Det}(M_\alpha)_{J' \times J''}|}{C},$$

where $J' = J_\alpha \setminus \{k\}$ and $J'' = J_\alpha \setminus \{j\}$, for $(j, k) \in J_\alpha, \alpha \in \mathcal{A}$.

THEOREM 2. Each of the conditions

$$(i) \quad N \equiv \sup \{\bar{J}_\alpha; \alpha \in \mathcal{A}\} < \infty;$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Both } M_\alpha \text{ and } M_\alpha^T \text{ are of } m\text{-shape of the second kind};$$

$$(iii) \quad M_\alpha \text{ is of } m\text{-shape of the first kind};$$

$$(iv) \quad M_\alpha \text{ is of } m\text{-shape of the second kind};$$

together with (37)–(39) implies that

$$(41) \quad \{N_\alpha\} = \{(M_\alpha)^{-1}\} \text{ is d.e.}$$

Proof. According to (40) and (i) we get

$$(42) \quad |N_{\alpha;j,k}| \leq \frac{l! C_M^l}{C},$$

where C_M is the constant from the inequality

$$(43) \quad |M_{\alpha;j,k}| \leq C_M q_M^{j-k}$$

and $l = \bar{J}_\alpha - 1 \leq N - 1$. Applying Lemma 9 we obtain (41).

For the proof of (ii) let $J_\alpha^a, J_\alpha^b, J_\alpha^c$ form the m -partition of J_α of the second kind. According to Lemma 13 (cf. also the remark following Definition 7) both N_α and N_α^T are of m -shape of the second kind and therefore

$$N_\alpha = N_{(a,c;a,c)}^{J_\alpha^a \times J_\alpha^a} + E_{(b;b)}^{J_\alpha^b \times J_\alpha^b}, \quad \alpha \in \mathcal{A}.$$

According to Lemmas 7, 8 and 10 it is sufficient to prove that $\{N_{(a,c;a,c)}\}$ is d.e. In view of the equality (cf. Lemma 12)

$$N_{(a,c;a,c)} = (M_{(a,c;a,c)})^{-1}$$



we can take $J' = (J_a^a \cup J_c^c) \setminus \{k\}$ and $J'' = (J_a^a \cup J_c^c) \setminus \{j\}$ in inequality (40). Thus also (42) is applicable with $l = J_a^a \cup J_c^c - 1 = 2m + 1$. Hence, according to Lemma 9, $\{N_{(a;a)}\}$ and $\{N_{(c;c)}\}$ are d.e.

If $j \in J^a$ and $k \in J^c$, then for each $\pi \in \Pi(J', J'')$ (the subindex a is dropped) there exists $j_\pi \in J^a$ such that $k_\pi = \pi(j_\pi) \in J^c$. This enables us to compute the value of

$$\text{Det } M_{J' \times J''} = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(J', J'')} \text{sgn } \pi \cdot M_{j_\pi, k_\pi} \prod_{j \in J'_\pi} M_{j, \pi(j)},$$

where $J'_\pi = J' \setminus \{j_\pi\}$, and hence

$$|\text{Det } M_{J' \times J''}| \leq \overline{\Pi(J', J'')} \max\{|M_{j_\pi, \pi(j_\pi)}| \cdot C_M^{2m} : \pi \in \Pi(J', J'')\} \\ \leq (2m+1)! C_M^{2m+1} q_M^n,$$

where $n = \min\{|j_\pi - \pi(j_\pi)| : \pi \in \Pi(J', J'')\} \geq |j - k| - 2m - 1$. Consequently

$$|N_{a;j,k}| \leq \frac{(2m+1)! C_M^{2m+1}}{C} q_M^{-(2m+1)} q_M^{|j-k|}$$

for $(j, k) \in J_a^a \times J_c^c$, i.e. $\{N_{(a;a)}\}$ is d.e. In a quite similar way we obtain that $\{N_{(c;c)}\}$ is d.e. This completes the proof in the case (ii).

For the proof in the case (iii) (the case (iv) respectively) notice that according to the remark following Definition 7 $N \equiv N_a \equiv M_a^{-1}$ is of the m -shape of the first (of the second) kind for $a \in \mathcal{A}$. Moreover (Lemma 12),

$$(44) \quad N = N_{(a,c)}^{J \times J} + N_{(b)}^{J \times J},$$

where

$$(45) \quad N_{(a,c)}^{J \times J} = E_{(a,c;a,c)}^{J \times J} \quad (= N_{(a,c;a,c)}^{J \times J})$$

and

$$(46) \quad N_{(b)}^{J \times J} = N_{(b;b)}^{J \times J} - M_{(a,c;b)}^{J \times J} \circ N_{(b;b)}^{J \times J} \quad (= E_{(b;b)}^{J \times J} - N_{(a,c;a,a)}^{J \times J} \circ M_{(a,c;b)}^{J \times J}).$$

Indeed, it is sufficient to write the matrix N in the form

$$N = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} E_{(a;a)} & N_{(a;b)} & O_{(a;c)} \\ \hline O_{(b;a)} & N_{(b;b)} & O_{(b;c)} \\ \hline O_{(c;a)} & N_{(c;b)} & E_{(c;c)} \end{array} \right] \begin{matrix} J^a \\ J^b \\ J^c \end{matrix}, \quad \bar{J}^b = m+1,$$

$$\left(N = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} N_{(a;a)} & N_{(a;b)} & N_{(a;c)} \\ \hline O_{(b;a)} & E_{(b;b)} & O_{(b;c)} \\ \hline N_{(c;a)} & N_{(c;b)} & N_{(c;c)} \end{array} \right] \begin{matrix} J^a \\ J^b \\ J^c \end{matrix}, \quad \bar{J}^a = \bar{J}^c = m+1 \right).$$

The family $\{M_{(a;b)}\}$ ($\{M_{(a,c)}^{J \times J} + E_{(b;b)}^{J \times J}\}$) satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2 corresponding to case (i) (to case (ii)). Hence, $\{N_{(b;b)}\}$ is d.e. ($\{N_{(a,c;a,c)}\}$ is d.e.). Applying appropriately Lemmas 7, 8 and 11 to relations (44)–(46) we obtain that $\{N_a\}$ is d.e.

7. Additional partitions of $(-\infty, \infty)$. Let $\{S_n^{[l]} : n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ denote the l -th sequence of partitions of $(-\infty, \infty)$, $l = 0, 1, \dots, 5$, where $S_n^{[0]} = \{s_{n;i}^{[0]} : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$, $n \in \mathcal{N}$, is defined by (10) and $S_n^{[l]} = \{s_{n;i}^{[l]} : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$, $l = 1, \dots, 5$, $n \in \mathcal{N}$, are given as follows:

$$(47) \quad s_{n;i}^{[1]} = i \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z}, n \in \mathcal{N},$$

$$(48) \quad s_{n;i}^{[2]} = \begin{cases} i/2 & \text{for } i \leq 0, n \in \mathcal{N}, \\ i & \text{for } i > 0, n \in \mathcal{N}, \end{cases}$$

$$(49) \quad s_{n;i}^{[3]} = \begin{cases} i & \text{for } i \leq n, n \in \mathcal{N}, \\ 2i - n & \text{for } i > n, n \in \mathcal{N}, \end{cases}$$

$$(50) \quad s_{n;i}^{[4]} = 2s_{n;i}^{[2]} \quad \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z}, n \in \mathcal{N},$$

$$(51) \quad s_{n;i}^{[5]} = \begin{cases} s_{1;i}^{[0]} \equiv i & \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z}, n = 1, \\ 2^{\mu+1} s_{n;i}^{[0]} & \text{for } i \in \mathcal{Z}, n \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

(for definition of μ refer to Section 3).

Let S denote any partition of $(-\infty, \infty)$. The subspaces $\mathcal{S}^m(S, +)$ and $\mathcal{S}^m(S, -)$ of the space of splines (of order m) $\mathcal{S}^m(S)$, spanned by the B -splines $N_j^{(m)}$ with $j \geq 0$ and $j < 0$ respectively are characterized by

LEMMA 14. *The spline function f belongs to $\mathcal{S}^m(S, +)$ ($\mathcal{S}^m(S, -)$) if and only if $\text{supp } f \subset \langle s_{-1}, \infty \rangle$ ($\text{supp } f \subset (-\infty, s_m) \rangle$).*

Proof. If $f = \sum_{j \geq 0} a_j N_j^{(m)}$ ($f = \sum_{j < 0} a_j N_j^{(m)}$), then $\text{supp } f \subset \langle s_{-1}, \infty \rangle$ ($\text{supp } f \subset (-\infty, s_m) \rangle$). According to properties (P.2) and (P.5) each function f has the unique decomposition $f = f_+ + f_-$, where $f_\pm \in \mathcal{S}^m(S, \pm)$. Thus it is enough to prove that $\text{supp } f \subset \langle s_{-1}, s_m \rangle$ implies $f(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. But this is established in Lemma 2.

If $S = \{s_i : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ and $S' = \{s'_i : i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$ are two partitions of $(-\infty, \infty)$ such that $s_i = s'_i$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$, $n \geq m+1$, then the corresponding spaces $\mathcal{S}^m(S)$ and $\mathcal{S}^m(S')$ of splines on $\langle s_0, s_n \rangle$ coincide. The corresponding spline partitions of unity $N_n^{(m)} = \{N_{n;j}^{(m)} : j \in J_n^m\}$ and $N'_n{}^{(m)} = \{N'_{n;j}{}^{(m)} : j \in J'_n{}^{(m)}\}$ differ at most in the first $m+1$ and the last $m+1$ elements. According to Lemma 14

$$N_{n;i}^{(m)} = \sum_{j \in J_n^a} \Phi_{i,j} N'_{n;j}{}^{(m)} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n^a,$$

$$N_{n;i}^{(m)} = \sum_{j \in J_n^c} \Phi_{i,j} N'_{n;j}{}^{(m)} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n^c,$$

and

$$N_{n;i}^{(m)} = N_{n;i}^{(m)} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n^b,$$

where J_n^a, J_n^b, J_n^c form the m -partition of J_n^m of the second kind. Since each of the spline partitions of unity is a basis in $\mathcal{S}_n^m(S)$, we have

LEMMA 15. The unique $J_n^m \times J_n^m$ -matrix Φ_n defined by the identity

$$N_{n;i}^{(m)} = \sum_{j \in J} \Phi_{n;i,j} N_{n;j}^{(m)} \quad \text{for } i \in J$$

may be expressed as follows:

$$\Phi_n = \Phi_{n(a;a)}^{J \times J} + E_{n(b;b)}^{J \times J} + \Phi_{n(c;c)}^{J \times J},$$

where J stands for J_n^m .

Notice that $S_{n;i}^{[l]} = i$ for $i = 0, \dots, n, l = 1, 2, 3$. According to the above remarks, for each n all the symbols $\mathcal{S}_n^m(S_n^{[l]})$ with $l = 1, 2, 3$, denote the same space of splines of order m on $\langle 0, n \rangle$ with knots at $0, 1, \dots, n$. Let the $J_n \times J_n$ -matrix $\Phi_n^{[l,k]}$ be defined by the equality

$$N_{n;i}^{[l]} = \sum_{j \in J_n} \Phi_{n;i,j}^{[l,k]} N_{n;j}^{[k]} \quad \text{for } j \in J_n, n \in \mathcal{N}, l, k = 1, 2, 3,$$

where $J_n = J_n^m$ and $N_n^{[l]} = N_n^{(m)[l]}$ is the J_n -system of B -splines restricted to $\langle 0, n \rangle$. Lemma 15 gives

$$\Phi_n^{[l,k]} = \Phi_{n(a;a)}^{[l,k]J \times J} + E_{n(b;b)}^{J \times J} + \Phi_{n(c;c)}^{[l,k]J \times J}$$

for $n \geq m+1, l, k = 1, 2, 3$, with $J = J_n = J_n^m$.

Since $s_{n;i}^{[l]} = s_{m+1;i}^{[l]}$ for $i \in J_n^a = J_{m+1}^a$ and $s_{n;i}^{[l]} = s_{m+1;i-n+m+1}^{[l]}$ for $i \in J_n^c, l = 1, 2, 3$, we get by Lemma 1

$$\Phi_{n(a;a)}^{[l,k]} = \Phi_{m+1(a;a)}^{[l,k]}$$

and

$$\Phi_{n(i;j)}^{[l,k]} = \Phi_{m+1(i;j-n+m+1)}^{[l,k]} \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n^c,$$

where $l, k = 1, 2, 3$ and $n \geq m+1$. Thus we have (cf. Lemma 10)

LEMMA 16. For each pair $(l, k), l, k = 1, 2, 3$, the family of matrices $\{\Phi_n^{[l,k]}: n \geq m+1\}$ is a.d. (almost diagonal) and d.e. (diagonally exponential).

The Gram matrices $G_n^{(m)[l]} \equiv G_n^{[l]}$, where

$$(52) \quad G_{n;i,j}^{[l]} = (N_{n;i}^{[l]}, N_{n;j}^{[l]}) \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n$$

are related as follows:

$$G_n^{[l]} = \Phi_n^{[l,k]} \circ G_n^{[k]} \circ (\Phi_n^{[l,k]})^T$$

for $n \geq m+1, l, k = 1, 2, 3$. On the other hand, $(\Phi_n^{[l,k]})^{-1} = \Phi_n^{[k,l]}$ for $l, k = 1, 2, 3, n \geq m+1$, hence

$$A_n^{[l]} \equiv (G_n^{[l]})^{-1} = (\Phi_n^{[k,l]})^T \circ A_n^{[k]} \circ \Phi_n^{[l,k]}.$$

According to (50) and Lemma 1, $G_{n;i,j}^{[4]} = 2G_{n;i,j}^{[2]}$ for $i, j \in J_n, n \in \mathcal{N}$, i.e.

$$A_n^{[4]} = \frac{1}{2} A_n^{[2]} \quad \text{for } n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Using Lemmas 11 and 16 we obtain

LEMMA 17. For each $l, l = 2, 3, 4, \{A_n^{[l]}: n \geq m+1\}$ is d.e. if and only if $\{A_n^{[1]}: n \geq m+1\}$ is d.e.

THEOREM 3. For each $l, l = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the family $\{A_n^{[l]}: n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is d.e.

According to Lemmas 6 and 17 it is sufficient to prove that $\{A_n^{[1]}: n \geq m+1\}$ is d.e. and this will be proved in the next three sections.

8. The Gram matrices $G_n^{[1]}$. Let the $J_n \times J_n$ -matrices $G_n^{[1]}$ be defined by (52) with $l = 1$, where $N_n^{[1]} = N_n^{(m)[1]}$ is the J_n -system of B -splines (of order m) on $\langle 0, n \rangle$ corresponding to the partition $S_{n;i}^{[1]} = \{s_{n;i}^{[1]} \equiv i: i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$. It is obvious that for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and $i \in J_n^m, N_{n;i}^{(m)[1]} \equiv N_{n;i}^{(m)} = N_i^{(m)}|_{\langle 0, n \rangle}$, where $N_i^{(m)}$ is the i th B -spline corresponding to $S = \{s_i = i: i \in \mathcal{Z}\}$, with equidistant knots, $s_i - s_{i-1} = 1$.

Let the numbers $G_l \equiv G_l^{(m)}$ be defined in terms of these B -splines according to (4) and (5) for $l \in \mathcal{Z}$. With use of properties (P.3) and (P.4) we verify that

$$(53) \quad \sum_{l \in \mathcal{Z}} G_l = \sum_{i=m+1}^{m+1} G_i = 1.$$

Let J_n^a, J_n^b and J_n^c form the m -partition of J_n of the second kind for $n \geq m+1$.

LEMMA 18. The matrices $G_n^{[1]}, n \geq m+1$, have the properties

$$(T.1) \quad G_{n;i,j}^{[1]} = G_{j-i} \text{ whenever } i \in J_n^b \text{ or } j \in J_n^b,$$

$$(T.2) \quad G_{n;i+n,j+n}^{[1]} + G_{n;i,j}^{[1]} = G_{j-i} \text{ for } i, j \in J_n^a,$$

$$(T.3) \quad G_{n;i,j}^{[1]} = G_{m+1;i,j}^{[1]} \text{ for } i, j \in J_n^a,$$

$$(T.4) \quad G_n^{[1]} \text{ is symmetric, r.s. (rotatively symmetric) and p.d. (positive definite),}$$

$$(T.5) \quad \{G_n^{[1]}: n \geq m+1\} \text{ is a.d. and d.e.}$$

Proof. Equality (T.1) holds whenever $\text{supp } N_i^{(m)} N_j^{(m)} \subset \langle 0, n \rangle$, i.e. for $i \in J_n^b$ or $j \in J_n^b$.

For the proof of (T.2) notice that, according to Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n;i,j}^{[1]} + G_{n;i+n,j+n}^{[1]} &= \int_{\langle 0, n \rangle} N_i^{(m)} N_j^{(m)} + N_{i+n}^{(m)} N_{j+n}^{(m)} \\ &= \int_{\langle 0, n \rangle} N_i^{(m)} N_j^{(m)} + \int_{\langle -n, 0 \rangle} N_i^{(m)} N_j^{(m)} = \int_{\langle -n, n \rangle} N_i^{(m)} N_j^{(m)} = G_{j-i}^{(m)} \equiv G_{j-i} \end{aligned}$$

whenever $\text{supp } N_i^{(m)} N_j^{(m)} \subset \langle -n, n \rangle$; but this holds for $(i, j) \in J_n^a \times J_n^a, n \geq m+1$.

(T.3) follows from the inclusion $(\text{supp } N_i^{(m)} \cap \langle 0, n \rangle) \subset \langle 0, m+1 \rangle$ for $i \in J_n^a \equiv J_{m+1}^a, n \geq m+1$.

The symmetry of $G_n^{[1]}$ is obvious. $G_n^{[1]}$ is p.d. according to (24) and (P.5). For the proof of r.s. notice that the B-splines corresponding to a partition of $(-\infty, \infty)$ with equidistant knots are symmetric in the following sense:

$$(54) \quad N_i^{(m)}(t-s_0) = N_{-i-m}^{(m)}(s_0-t) \quad \text{for } t \in (-\infty, \infty), i \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

The proof of (54) is quite similar to that of Lemma 1 and therefore omitted. Applying (54) and Lemma 1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} G_{n; -m+i, -m+j}^{[1]} &= \int_{\langle 0, n \rangle} N_{-m+i}^{(m)} N_{-m+j}^{(m)} = \int_{\langle -n, 0 \rangle} N_{-i}^{(m)} N_{-j}^{(m)} \\ &= \int_{\langle 0, n \rangle} N_{n-i}^{(m)} N_{n-j}^{(m)} = G_{n; n-i, n-j} \end{aligned}$$

for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n+m$.

Notice that

$$(55) \quad G_{n; i, j}^{[1]} = 0 \quad \text{whenever } |i-j| \geq m+2$$

and that, according to (T.2), (53) and properties (P.1) and (P.3), we have

$$(56) \quad 1 \geq G_{n; i, j}^{[1]} > 0 \quad \text{whenever } |i-j| \leq m+1.$$

Thus (T.5) is a consequence of Lemma 10.

9. The Toeplitz matrix "inverse" to G. The function $g(z) = g^{(m)}(z)$ defined for $z \in \mathcal{E}, z \neq 0$, according to (7), is holomorphic in the annulus

$$(57) \quad \mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathcal{E} : r < |z| < 1/r\},$$

where $r \in (|\gamma_{-1}|, 1)$ and $\gamma_{-1} \equiv \gamma_{-1}^{(m)}$ is the root of g defined by relations (8)–(9). Moreover, there exist constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$0 < C_1 \leq |g(z)| \leq C_2 < \infty \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Therefore the function h , defined by

$$(58) \quad h(z) = 1/[g(z)] \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{D},$$

is holomorphic and bounded in \mathcal{D} . It follows from the Cauchy formula for the coefficients of the Laurent expansion

$$(59) \quad h(z) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}} H_j z^j \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{D}$$

that

$$(60) \quad |H_j| \leq \frac{1}{C_1} r^{|j|} \quad \text{for } j \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

Thus the Toeplitz matrix $H, \mathcal{D}(H) = \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}$, with

$$H_{j,k} = H_{k-j} \quad \text{for } j, k \in \mathcal{Z}$$

forms a one-element d.e. family of matrices. It may be proved that H is symmetric.

The product $1 = (g \cdot h)(z) = g(z)h(z)$ for $z \in \mathcal{D}$ may be represented as the Cauchy product of series (7) and (59), i.e.

$$1 \equiv (g \cdot h)(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{Z}} \left(\sum_{j \in \mathcal{Z}} G_j H_{k-j} \right) z^k \quad \text{for } z \in \mathcal{D},$$

whence

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{Z}} G_{k-j} H_{l-k} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{Z}} G_k H_{l-j-k} = \delta_{l-j, 0} = \delta_{j,l} \quad \text{for } j, l \in \mathcal{Z}.$$

The symmetry of G and H implies that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathcal{Z}} H_{k-j} G_{l-k} = \delta_{j,l} \quad \text{for } j, l \in \mathcal{Z},$$

i.e.

$$(61) \quad H \circ G = G \circ H = E_{\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}}.$$

10. Construction of the matrices $A_n^{[1]}$. Let us define the matrices C_n as follows:

$$(62) \quad C_{n; j,k} = \begin{cases} (\gamma_{k-1})^{j+m} & \text{for } (j, k) \in J_n^a \times J_n^a, \\ H_{j,k} \equiv H_{k-j} & \text{for } (j, k) \in J_n \times J_n^b, \\ (\gamma_{n-m-k+1})^{n-j} & \text{for } (j, k) \in J_n \times J_n^c, \end{cases}$$

for $n \geq m+1$. Note that according to (8), (9) and (60) each C_n is r.s. and $\{C_n : n \geq m+1\}$ is d.e. (cf. also Lemmas 7 and 8).

It will be shown that the matrices

$$(63) \quad D_n = G_n \circ C_n \quad \text{for } n \geq m+1$$

have the following properties:

$$(64) \quad \text{Each } D_n \text{ is of } m\text{-shape of the second kind};$$

$$(65) \quad \{D_n\} \text{ is d.e.};$$

$$(66) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Det } D_n > 0.$$

For the proof of (64) let $j \in J_n^b$. Then, according to Lemma 18, we have for each $l, l \in J_n$,

$$D_{n; j,l} = \sum_{k \in J_n} G_{n; j,k}^{[1]} C_{n; k,l} = \sum_{k=j-m-1}^{j+m+1} G_{k-j} C_{n; k,l}.$$

Hence, by the definition of γ_i (cf. Lemma 3)

$$D_{n;j,l} = \sum_{k=j-m-1}^{j+m+1} G_{k-j}(\gamma_{l-1})^{k+m} = (\gamma_{l-1})^{m+j} \sum_{k=j-m-1}^{j+m+1} G_{k-j}(\gamma_{l-1})^{k-j} \\ = (\gamma_{l-1})^{m+j} g(\gamma_{l-1}) = 0 = \delta_{j,l} \quad \text{for } j \in J_n^b, l \in J_n^a.$$

Similarly

$$D_{n;j,l} = \delta_{j,l} = 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_n^b, l \in J_n^c.$$

If $(j, l) \in J_n^b \times J_n^b$, then according to (61)

$$D_{n;j,l} = \sum_{k=j-m-1}^{j+m+1} G_{k-j} H_{l-k} = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{+\infty} G_{k-j} H_{l-k} = \delta_{j,l}.$$

The d.e. property of D_n follows from Lemmas 11, 18 and the d.e. property of C_n .

Inequality (66) is verified as follows: Applying (T.1) and (T.3) of Lemma 18 and (55) we get

$$(67) \quad D_{n(a;a)} = G_{n(a)}^{[1]} \circ C_{n(a)} = G_{m+1(a)}^{[1]} \circ C_{m+1(a)} = D_{m+1(a;a)}.$$

In view of the r.s. property of D_n for $n \geq m+1$ (cf. (62) and Lemmas 5, 18) we have

$$(68) \quad \text{Det } D_{n(a;a)} \text{Det } D_{n(c;c)} = (\text{Det } D_{m+1(a;a)})^2.$$

Let us consider the matrix

$$M = (C_{m+1})^T \circ D_{m+1} = (C_{m+1})^T \circ G_{m+1} \circ C_{m+1}.$$

The matrix C_{m+1} is non-singular as a product of the Vandermonde matrix corresponding to the (different) numbers $\gamma_i^{(m)}$, $i = \pm 1, \dots, \pm(m+1)$, and the diagonal $J_{m+1} \times J_{m+1}$ -matrix $\bar{\Gamma}$ with $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,i} = 1$ for $i \in J_{m+1}^a$ and $\bar{\Gamma}_{i,i} = (\gamma_{-i})^{2m+1} \neq 0$ for $i \in J_{m+1}^c$ ($J_{m+1}^b = \emptyset$). According to (17) M is p.d. and by (20) and (22') $M_{(a;a)}$ is p.d. too. But

$$(69) \quad M_{(a;a)} = (C_{m+1}^T)_{(a)} \circ D_{m+1(a)} \\ = (C_{m+1}^T)_{(a;a)} \circ D_{m+1(a;a)} + (C_{m+1}^T)_{(a;c)} \circ D_{m+1(c;a)} = P + Q,$$

where $P = (C_{m+1}^T)_{(a;a)} \circ D_{m+1(a;a)}$ and $Q = (C_{m+1}^T)_{(a;c)} \circ G_{m+1(c;c)} \circ C_{m+1(a)}$. Applying the properties of G_{m+1} given in Lemma 18 and the definitions of C_{m+1} and γ_i (cf. (62) and Lemma 3 respectively) we may write (the

subindex $m+1$ is dropped)

$$Q_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} C_{k,i} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^0 G_{l-k} C_{l,j} + \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} (G_{l-k} - G_{m+1;k-m-1,l-m-1}) C_{l,j} \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} C_{k,i} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^{m+1} G_{l-k} C_{l,j} - \sum_{l=1}^{m+1} G_{m+1;k-m-1,l-m-1} C_{l,j} \right) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} C_{k,i} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^{2m+2} G_{l-k}(\gamma_{j-1})^{m+l} - \sum_{l=1}^{2m+2} G_{m+1;k-m-1,l-m-1}(\gamma_{j-1})^{m+l} \right) \\ = - \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} (\gamma_{i-1})^{k+m} \sum_{l=-m}^{m+1} G_{m+1;k-m-1,l}(\gamma_{j-1})^{m+l+m+1} \\ = -(\gamma_{i-1})^{m+1} \left(\sum_{k=-m}^0 \sum_{l=-m}^{m+1} (\gamma_{i-1})^{k+m} G_{m+1;k,l}(\gamma_{j-1})^{m+l} \right) (\gamma_{j-1})^{m+1} \\ = -(\Gamma \circ P \circ \Gamma)(i, j) \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_{m+1}^a,$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(\Gamma) = J_{m+1}^a \times J_{m+1}^a$ and

$$\Gamma_{i,j} = (\gamma_{i-1})^{m+1} \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for each } (i, j).$$

According to (69), $M = P - \Gamma \circ P \circ \Gamma$ and therefore the assumptions of Lemma 4 are satisfied (cf. Lemma 3). Hence P is p.d. It follows that $D_{m+1(a;a)}$ is non-singular.

In view of (68), in order to prove (66) it is sufficient to show that $\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where $\Delta_n = \text{Det } D_n - \text{Det } D_{n(a;a)} \text{Det } D_{n(c;c)}$. According to Lemma 12

$$\Delta_n = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi''} \text{sgn } \pi \prod_{j \in J_n^a \cup J_n^c} D_{n;j,\pi(j)},$$

where $\Pi'' = \Pi \setminus \Pi'$, $\Pi = \Pi(J_n^a \cup J_n^c, J_n^a \cup J_n^c)$, $\Pi' = \{\pi \in \Pi : \pi(J_n^a) = J_n^a\}$. For each $\pi \in \Pi''$ there exists $j_\pi \in J_n^a$ such that $k_\pi \equiv \pi(j_\pi) \in J_n^c$. Writing $J_\pi = (J_n^a \cup J_n^c) \setminus \{j_\pi\}$ for $\pi \in \Pi''$ we obtain

$$|\Delta_n| \leq \sum_{\pi \in \Pi''} |D_{n;j_\pi,k_\pi}| \prod_{j \in J_\pi} |D_{n;j,\pi(j)}| \\ \leq \bar{\Pi}'' C_D q_D^{|\pi-k_\pi|} C_D^{(2m+1)} \leq (2m+2)! C_D^{(2m+2)} q_D^l,$$

where $l = \min\{|j_\pi - k_\pi| : \pi \in \Pi''\} = n - m \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\Delta_n \rightarrow 0$.

Now we may complete the proof of Theorem 3. The inverse of $G_n^{[1]}$ equals $A_n^{[1]} = C_n \circ D_n^{-1}$. Indeed, $G_n^{[1]} \circ C_n \circ D_n^{-1} = D_n \circ D_n^{-1} = E_{J_n \times J_n}$. The family $\{(D_n)^{-1}\}$ is according to (64)–(66) and Theorem 2) d.e. and of m -shape of the second kind, i.e. of a.n.c. Thus $\{C_n \circ D_n^{-1}\} \equiv \{A_n^{[1]}\}$ is d.e.

11. The dual bases. Let N_n denote the J_n -system of B -splines of order m corresponding to the partition $S = \{s_i: i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ restricted to $\langle s_0, s_n \rangle$. The J_n -system \underline{N}_n , where $\underline{N}_n(i) \equiv N_{n;i} \in \mathcal{S}^m(S)$, $i \in J_n = J_n^m$, is called a dual basis to N_n whenever

$$(70) \quad \langle \underline{N}_{n;i}, N_{n;j} \rangle \equiv \int_{\langle s_0, s_n \rangle} \underline{N}_{n;i} N_{n;j} = \delta_{i,j} \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n.$$

If G denotes the Gram matrix of N_n , then

$$(71) \quad \underline{N}_{n;i} = \sum_{j \in J_n} A_{i,j} N_{n;j} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n,$$

where $A = \{A_{i,j}: (i, j) \in J_n \times J_n\}$ is the inverse of G . It follows from (71) that

$$(72) \quad A_{i,j} = \langle \underline{N}_i, \underline{N}_j \rangle \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n.$$

According to (24) both A and G are positive definite, for any $n \in \mathcal{N}$ and any S .

For further considerations we recall the notation introduced in the preceding sections. The integers μ and ν for each n are defined by the equality $2^\mu + \nu = n$, $\mu \geq 0$, $1 \leq \nu \leq 2^\mu$; $N_n^{[l]} \equiv N_n^{(m)[l]}$ denotes the spline partition of unity which is a basis in $\mathcal{S}_n^{[l]} = \mathcal{S}_n^m(S_n^{[l]})$ for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $l = 0, \dots, 5$, where the partitions $S_n^{[l]}$ are defined in (10) and (47)–(51).

It is obvious that $N_{n;i}^{[l]} = N_i^{[l]}|_{I_n}$, where $I_n = \langle s_{n;0}^{[l]}, s_{n;n}^{[l]} \rangle$ and $N_i^{[l]} = \{N_i^{[l]}: i \in \mathcal{J}\}$ is the \mathcal{J} -system of B -splines corresponding to $S_n^{[l]}$. $G_n^{[l]}$ is the Gram matrix of $N_n^{[l]}$. $A_n^{[l]} = (G_n^{[l]})^{-1}$ is the Gram matrix of $\underline{N}_n^{[l]}$, for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $l = 0, \dots, 5$, where $\underline{N}_n^{[l]}$ is the dual basis to $N_n^{[l]}$.

LEMMA 19. *The maximal eigenvalues of the (p.d.) matrices $A_n^{[l]}$ and $G_n^{[l]}$ are uniformly bounded in n for $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$.*

Indeed, applying properties (P.1)–(P.4) of the B -splines and inequality (23) we may estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_M(G_n^{[l]}) &\leq \max_{i \in J_n} \left\{ \sum_{j \in J_n} G_{n;i,j}^{[l]} : j \in J_n \right\} \leq \max_{i \in J_n} \left\{ \int_{\langle s_0, s_n \rangle} \left(\sum_i N_i^{[l]} \right) N_i^{[l]} : j \in J_n \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{i \in J_n} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} N_i^{[l]} : j \in \mathcal{J} \right\} \leq \max \{s_{n;i}^{[l]} - s_{n;i-1}^{[l]}\} \leq 2 \end{aligned}$$

for each $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $l = 1, \dots, 5$. Similarly,

$$\lambda_M(A_n^{[l]}) \leq \sum_{i \in J_n} |A_{n;i,i}^{[l]}| \leq C_A \frac{1+q_A}{1-q_A}$$

for $n \in \mathcal{N}$, $l = 1, 2, 3, 4$, where C_A and q_A , $0 < q_A < 1$, are the constants from the inequalities (cf. Theorem 3)

$$|A_{n;i,j}^{[l]}| \leq C_A q_A^{|k-j|} \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n, n \in \mathcal{N}, l = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

12. Estimates for the elements of $A_n^{[5]}$. The aim of this section is to prove

THEOREM 4. *The family of matrices $\{A_n^{[5]}: n \in \mathcal{N}\}$ is d.e.*

Notice that $S_1^{[5]} = S_1^{[0]}$ and that $S_n^{[5]} = S_n^{[0]}$ whenever $n = 2^{\mu+1}$, i.e. $\nu = 2^\mu$. Thus according to Lemma 6 and Theorem 3 it suffices to consider the matrices with $n \geq 2$ for which

$$(73) \quad 0 < \nu < 2^\mu$$

holds. Till the end of this section we shall say “for each n ” instead of “for each n for which (73) holds”. The subsets $J_n^a = \{-m, \dots, 2\nu - m - 1\}$, $J_n^b = \{2\nu - m, \dots, 2\nu\}$, $J_n^c = \{2\nu + 1, \dots, n\}$ form an m -partition of J_n of the first kind for each n . According to (73) none of the subsets is empty. Notice that $S_{2\nu}^{[5]} = S_{2\nu}^{[0]}$ and that $s_{n;i}^{[5]} = s_{n-2\nu;i-2\nu}^{[4]}$ for each $i \in \mathcal{J}$. Further $J_{2\nu} = J_n^a \cup J_n^b$ and $J_{n-2\nu} = \{i - 2\nu: i \in J_n^c \cup J_n^b\}$.

For each n let us define the J_n -system of functions $M_n = \{M_{n;i}: i \in J_n\}$ as follows:

$$(74') \quad M_{n;i}(t) = \begin{cases} N_{2\nu;i}^{[3]}(t) & \text{for } t \in \langle 0, 2\nu \rangle, \\ \sum_{j \in J_n^b} W_{i,j} N_{n-2\nu;j-2\nu}^{[4]}(t-2\nu) & \text{for } t \in (2\nu, 2^{\mu+1}), \end{cases}$$

for $i \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b$ and

$$(74'') \quad M_{n;i}(t) = \begin{cases} \sum_{j \in J_n^b} W_{i,j} N_{2\nu;j}^{[3]}(t) & \text{for } t \in \langle 0, 2\nu \rangle, \\ N_{n-2\nu;i-2\nu}^{[4]}(t-2\nu) & \text{for } t \in (2\nu, 2^{\mu+1}), \end{cases}$$

for $i \in J_n^c$. Each system M_n has the property

$$(75) \quad \langle M_{n;i}, N_{n;k}^{[5]} \rangle = \delta_{i,k} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n, k \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b.$$

For the proof of (75) notice that according to Lemma 1 we have

$$(76') \quad N_{n;k}^{[5]}|_{\langle 0, 2\nu \rangle} = \begin{cases} N_{2\nu;k}^{[3]} & \text{for } k \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b, \\ 0 & \text{for } k \in J_n^c, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(76'') \quad N_{n;k}^{[5]}|_{\langle 2\nu, 2^{\mu+1} \rangle} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k \in J_n^a, \\ N_{n-2\nu;k-2\nu}^{[4]} \circ \tau_{2\nu} & \text{for } k \in J_n^b \cup J_n^c, \end{cases}$$

where $\tau_{2\nu}(t) = t - 2\nu$ for $t \in \langle 2\nu, 2^{\mu+1} \rangle$ and \circ denotes the superposition of functions.

Let for $f \in \mathcal{S}_{2\nu}^{[3]}$ and $g \in \mathcal{S}_{n-2\nu}^{[4]}$ $f+g$ denote the direct sum of f and g , i.e.

$$(77) \quad (f+g)(t) = \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{for } t \in \langle 0, 2\nu \rangle, \\ g(t-2\nu) & \text{for } t \in (2\nu, 2\nu+1). \end{cases}$$

Now, (76) and the linear independence of B-splines give

LEMMA 20. The function $f+g$, where

$$f = \sum_{i \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b} a_i N_{2\nu i}^{[3]} \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{i \in J_n^b \cup J_n^c} b_i N_{n-2\nu; i-2\nu}^{[4]}$$

is a spline function with knots at $0 = s_0^{[5]} < \dots < s_n^{[5]} = 2\nu+1$ if and only if $a_i = b_i$ for $i \in J_n^b$.

The coefficients $W_{i,j}$ in (74), $i \in J_n, j \in J_n^b$, may be chosen in such a way that $M_{n;i} \in \mathcal{S}_n^{[5]}$. Indeed, applying (71) and the notation (77) we may rewrite (74') and (74'') in the form

$$(78) \quad M_{n;i} = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b} A_{i,k}^{[3]} N_k^{[3]} + \sum_{k \in J_n^b \cup J_n^c} \sum_{j \in J_n^b} W_{i,j} \bar{A}_{j,k}^{[4]} N_{k-2\nu}^{[4]} & \text{for } i \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b, \\ \sum_{k \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b} \sum_{j \in J_n^b} W_{i,j} A_{j,k}^{[3]} N_k^{[3]} + \sum_{k \in J_n^b \cup J_n^c} \bar{A}_{i,k}^{[4]} N_{k-2\nu}^{[4]} & \text{for } i \in J_n^c \end{cases}$$

where $A^{[3]} = A_{2\nu}^{[3]}$, $J^a = J_n^a$ for $a = a, b, c$, and $\bar{A}^{[4]} = \bar{A}_{n-2\nu}^{[4]}$ is the $(J_n^b \cup J_n^c) \times (J_n^b \cup J_n^c)$ -matrix with

$$(79) \quad \bar{A}_{i,k}^{[4]} \equiv \bar{A}_{n-2\nu; i,k}^{[4]} = A_{n-2\nu; i-2\nu, k-2\nu}^{[4]} \quad \text{for each } (i, k).$$

According to Lemma 20 we have

$$(80') \quad A_{i,k}^{[3]} = \sum_{j \in J_n^b} W_{i,j} \bar{A}_{j,k}^{[4]} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b, k \in J_n^b$$

and

$$(80'') \quad \bar{A}_{i,k}^{[4]} = \sum_{j \in J_n^b} W_{i,j} A_{j,k}^{[3]} \quad \text{for } i \in J_n^c, k \in J_n^b.$$

Let us introduce for each n the matrix W_n with

$$W_{n;i,j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } i \in J_n, j \in J_n^a \cup J_n^c, \\ W_{i,j} & \text{for } i \in J_n, j \in J_n^b, \end{cases}$$

the numbers $W_{i,j}$ being the solution of (80). In this notation (80) may be rewritten as follows

$$(81') \quad A_{2\nu(a,b;b)}^{[3]} = W_{n(a,b;b)} \circ U_n^{[4]},$$

$$(81'') \quad \bar{A}_{n-2\nu(c;b)}^{[4]} = W_{n(c;b)} \circ U_n^{[3]},$$

where $U_n^{[4]} = \bar{A}_{2\nu(b;b)}^{[4]}$ and $U_n^{[3]} = A_{2\nu(b;b)}^{[3]}$. According to (20) and Lemma 19 there exists $C < \infty$ such that for each n

$$(82) \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \lambda_m(U_n^{[l]}) \leq \lambda_M(U_n^{[l]}) \leq C \quad \text{for } l = 3, 4.$$

Therefore the assumptions of Theorem 2, condition (i), are satisfied, and hence the inverses $V_n^{[l]} = (U_n^{[l]})^{-1}$ form a d.e. family of matrices, $l = 3, 4$. According to Lemma 11 the family of matrices W_n , where (cf. (81)) $W_{n(a,b;b)} = A_{2\nu(a,b;b)}^{[3]} \circ V_n^{[4]}$, $W_{n(c;b)} = A_{n-2\nu(c;b)}^{[4]} \circ V_n^{[4]}$, $W_{n(a,c)} = O_{(a,c)}$, is d.e. and of a.n.r. For the unique spline functions $M_{n;i}$ given by (78) we have

$$(83) \quad M_{n;i} = \sum_{k \in J_n} B_{n;i,k} N_{n;k}^{[5]}$$

where for each n for which (73) holds

$$(84) \quad \begin{aligned} B_{n(a,b;a,b)} &= A_{2\nu(a,b;a,b)}^{[3]}, \\ B_{n(a,b;c)} &= W_{n(a,b;b)} \circ \bar{A}_{n-2\nu(b;c)}^{[4]}, \\ B_{n(c;a)} &= W_{n(c;a)} \circ A_{2\nu(b;a)}^{[3]}, \\ B_{n(c;b,c)} &= \bar{A}_{n-2\nu(c;b,c)}^{[4]}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemmas 11 and 7 to (84) we infer that the family $\{B_n\}$ is d.e. Substituting (83) into (75) we get that the $J_n \times J_n$ -matrix F_n with

$$F_{n;i,j} = (M_{n;i}, N_{n;j}^{[5]}) \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n$$

has the properties: $F_n = B_n \circ G_n^{[5]}$, $F_{n(a,c;a,c)} = E_{(a,c;a,c)}$ and $F_{n(b;a,c)} = O_{(b;a,c)}$, i.e. each F_n is of m -shape of the first kind. Obviously $\{F_n\}$ is d.e. Further, $\text{Det} F_n = \text{Det} F_{n(b;b)}$, where according to (76) and (78) (the subindices $n, n-2\nu, 2\nu$ are dropped)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n;i,j} &= \int_{\langle 0, 2\nu+1 \rangle} M_{n;i}(t) N_{n;j}^{[5]}(t) dt \\ &= \sum_{k \in J_n^a \cup J_n^b} A_{i,k}^{[3]} G_k^{[3]} + \sum_{k \in J_n^b \cup J_n^c} \sum_{l \in J_n^b} W_{i,l} \bar{A}_{l,k}^{[4]} G_{k-2\nu, j-2\nu}^{[4]} \\ &= \delta_{i,j} + W_{i,j} = (E_{(b;b)} + W_{n(b;b)})(i,j) \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n^b. \end{aligned}$$

According to (81') we have $W_{n(b;b)} = A_{2\nu(b;b)}^{[3]} \circ V_n^{[4]}$ and hence

$$F_{n(b;b)} = (U_n^{[4]} + U_n^{[3]}) \circ V_n^{[4]}.$$

According to (21) and (82) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Det} F_n &= \text{Det} F_{n(b;b)} = \text{Det}(U_n^{[4]} + U_n^{[3]}) \cdot \text{Det} V_n^{[4]} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{\lambda_m(U_n^{[4]} + U_n^{[3]})}{\lambda_M(U_n^{[4]})} \right)^{m+1} \geq \left(\frac{1}{C} \right)^{m+1} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assumptions of Theorem 2, condition (iii), are satisfied for the matrices F_n and therefore the inverses $P_n = (F_n)^{-1}$ form a d.e. family. Further, according to Lemma 13 each P_n is of m -shape of the first kind, thus the matrix $P_n \circ B_n$ is (i) inverse to $G_n^{[5]}$ and (ii) $\{P_n \circ B_n\} = \{A_n^{[5]}\}$ is d.e. Thus the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

13. Proof of Theorem 1. Formulae (10) and (51) which define $S_n^{[0]}$ and $S_n^{[5]}$ respectively allow us to state that (using the detailed notation)

$$G_n^{(m)[5]} = 2^{\mu+1} G_n^{(m)[0]} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2$$

and therefore

$$A_n^{(m)[0]} = 2^{\mu+1} A_n^{(m)[5]} \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

Now, $2^\mu < n \leq 2^{\mu+1}$ for $n \geq 2$, hence

$$|A_{n;i,j}^{(m)[0]}| = |A_{n;i,j}^{(m)}| \leq 2n |A_{n;i,j}^{(m)[5]}| \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n^m, n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

According to Theorem 4, for each $m, m \geq 0$, there exist constants C_m and $q_m, 0 < q_m < 1$ such that

$$|A_{n;i,j}^{(m)[0]}| \leq n C_m q_m^{|i-j|} \quad \text{for } i, j \in J_n^m, n \in \mathcal{N}.$$

Thus Theorem 1 is proved.

The author would like to express his gratitude to Professor Zbigniew Ciesielski for a suggestion of the problem and his help in the preparation of this work.

References

- [1] Z. Ciesielski, A note in the Proceedings of the Conference on Constructive Function Theory, Varna, May 1970.
- [2] — *Properties of the orthonormal Franklin system*, II, *Studia Math.* 27 (1966), pp. 289–323.
- [3] — and J. Domsta, *Construction of an orthonormal basis in $C^m(I^d)$ and $W_p^m(I^d)$* , this volume, pp. 211–224.
- [4] H. B. Curry and I. J. Schoenberg, *On Polya frequency functions IV: The fundamental spline functions and their limits*, *J. d'Analyse Math.* 17 (1966), pp. 71–107.
- [5] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, 2-nd part, Moskva 1966 (in Russian).
- [6] A. O. Гельфонд, *Исчисление конечных разностей*, Москва–Ленинград 1952.
- [7] I. J. Schoenberg, *Contributions to the problem of approximation of equidistant data by analytic functions*, *Quart. Appl. Math.* 4 (1946), pp. 45–99, 112–141.
- [8] I. J. Schoenberg, *Cardinal Interpolation and Spline Functions*, *J. Approximation Theory* 2 (1969), pp. 167–206.
- [9] I. J. Schoenberg, *On Spline Functions*, with a supplement by T. N. E. Greville, *Proc. of the Symp. "Inequalities"*, held August 1965 at the Wright Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio.

Received December 19, 1970

(278)

Applications des ultraproducts à l'étude des espaces et des algèbres de Banach

par

D. DACUNHA-CASTELLE et J. L. KRIVINE (Paris)

Sommaire. Un certain nombre de notions précisant les rapports entre les problèmes de caractérisation des classes d'espaces de Banach, et les problèmes de la théorie de la dimension linéaire. Nous posons le problème de caractérisation: une classe \mathcal{C} d'espaces de Banach est-elle caractérisée par un ensemble de conditions du type

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \dots \forall x_n \left(\left(\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^j x_i \right\| \right)_{i=1, \dots, m} \in \mathcal{F} \right)$$

ou \mathcal{F} est un fermé (cône) de (\mathbb{R}_+^m) et (a) des matrices réelles données?

La notion d'ultraproduit donne un critère d'étude de telles caractérisations à titre d'exemple nous donnons la caractérisation des espaces isomorphes à des sous- L^p . La méthode permet de bien situer le problème de la dimension linéaire en fonction des propriétés des sous-espaces de dimension finie. Nous l'appliquons à certains espaces d'Orlicz et aux sous algèbres de Banach des algèbres \mathcal{L} .

Dans cet article, nous donnons des applications de la notion d'ultraproduit dans les espaces de Banach. Les classes d'espaces de Banach stables par ultraproduct, isomorphismes (ou isométries) et sous-espaces se caractérisent par des conditions d'un type simple portant sur la norme. Nous étendons par exemple à une classe \mathcal{C} d'espaces d'Orlicz la propriété: Si B est un espace de Banach, pour qu'il soit isomorphe (avec des bornes données) à un espace \mathcal{C} de la classe \mathcal{C} , il faut et il suffit que tout sous-espace de dimension finie de B ait cette même propriété. Ce type de conditions, a été trouvé sous des formes particulièrement simples par Grothendieck pour les espaces isomorphes à des espaces de Hilbert [1] et dans [2] et [3] pour les espaces isométriques à des sous-espaces d'espaces L^p .

Le problème est évidemment lié au problème de la dimension linéaire. Le plan est le suivant:

- § 1. Notion d'ultraproduit dans les espaces de Banach.
- § 2. Compléments sur les Banach réticulés.
- § 3. Rappels et compléments sur les espaces d'Orlicz.
- § 4. Ultraproduits d'Orlicz.
- § 5. Problème de plongements et de finitude.