

- [12] G. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Inequalities* (2<sup>nd</sup> Edition) Cambridge 1952.
- [13] F. Hausdorff, *Eine Ausdehnung des Parsevalschen Satzes über Fourierreihen*, Math. Zeit. 16 (1923), pp. 163-199.
- [14] E. Hewitt and I. I. Hirschman, *A maximum problem in harmonic analysis*, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), pp. 839-852.
- [15] — and H. S. Zuckerman, *Some theorems on lacunary Fourier series, with extensions to compact groups*, T. A. M. S. 93 (1959), pp. 1-19.
- [16] I. I. Hirschman, Jr., *A maximal problem in harmonic analysis II*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), pp. 525-540.
- [17] Max Jodeit, Jr., *Some relations among Orlicz spaces*, MA Thesis, Rice University (1965).
- [18] M. A. Krasnosel'skiĭ and Ia. B. Rutitskiĭ, *Convex functions and Orlicz spaces*, (1961).
- [19] W. A. J. Luxemburg, *Banach function spaces*, Diss. (Delft 1955).
- [20] H. P. Mulholland, *Concerning the generalization of the Young-Hausdorff theorem and the Hardy-Littlewood theorems on Fourier constants*, PLMS 35 (1933), pp. 257-293.
- [21] R. O'Neil, *Fractional integration and Orlicz spaces*, Diss. (Univ. of Chicago 1960).
- [22] — *Integral transforms and tensor products on Orlicz spaces and  $L(p, q)$ -spaces*, Journal d'Analyse 21 (1968), pp. 1-276.
- [23] M. M. Rao, *Extensions of the Hausdorff-Young theorem*, Israel J. Math. 6 (1968), pp. 133-149.
- [24] F. Riesz, *Ueber eine Verallgemeinerung des Parsevalschen Formel*, Math. Zeit. 18 (1923), pp. 117-124.
- [25] — *Sur les maxima des formes bilinéaires et sur les fonctionnelles linéaires*, Acta Math. 49 (1926), pp. 465-497.
- [26] W. J. Riordan, *On the interpolation of operations*, Thesis, Univ. of Chicago, 1955.
- [27] W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience (1962).
- [28] — *Trigonometric series with gaps*, J. Math. Mech. 9 (1960), pp. 203-228.
- [29] E. C. Titchmarsh, *A contribution to the theory of Fourier transforms*, PLMS (2) 23 (1925), pp. 279-289.
- [30] S. Verblunsky, *Fourier constants and Lebesgues classes*, PLMS (2) 39 (1935), pp. 1-31.
- [31] W. H. Young, *Sur la généralisation du théorème de Parseval*, Comptes Rendus 151 (1912), pp. 30-33.
- [32] — *The determination of the summability of a function by means of its Fourier constants*, PLMS (2), 12 (1913), pp. 71-88.
- [33] A. Zygmund, *On the convergence of lacunary trigonometric series*, Fund. Math. 16 (1930), pp. 90-107.
- [34] — *On a theorem of Marcinkiewicz concerning interpolation of operations*, J. Math. 35 (1956), pp. 223-248.
- [35] — *Trigonometric series*, Volumes I and II, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition, Cambridge 1959.

UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 3. 1970

## Components and open mapping theorems

by

NIELS JØRGEN NIELSEN (Aarhus)

**Introduction.** In a recent paper of De Wilde [8], strictly netted locally convex spaces are defined and some closed graph theorems are proved. It seems, as it is not the strict net itself in such a space which plays the essential role, but that it is only a tool for constructing a general structure on the space, a structure, which is independent of the actual construction and from which the closed graph theorems are a consequence. This is the background for this paper.

After the preliminaries are given in section 0 and 1, section 2 brings a general open mapping theorem for closed mappings from a pre- $(F)$ -sequence into a not necessarily metrizable topological group, a generalization of Theorem 2 in [6], and it is from this that all other open mapping — and closed graph theorems presented here will be derived.

In section 3 come the main notions, those of a component and an overwhelming set of components for a locally convex space. The existence of such sets is responsible for the validity of the open mapping and closed graph theorems proved here. The notions are slightly different from those introduced by Słowikowski [7]; this enables us to include new cases, for example, it turns out (section 4) that the structure of strictly netted spaces and of Souslin spaces gives the possibility of constructing sets of components, which overwhelms.

In section 4 also examples from [7] are taken, and it is indicated that the class  $\mathcal{S}_0$  considered by Raikov [3] is contained in the class of spaces having an overwhelming set of components.

I would take this opportunity to thank Dr. W. Słowikowski for his willingness to discuss the subject with me and for his encouraging attitude in general.

**0. Notation and Terminology.** All vector spaces in consideration are supposed to be over the complex numbers, and all locally convex spaces are supposed to be Hausdorff, unless something else is stated.

As it is customary, we shall write "the topological space  $X$ " instead of "the topological space  $(X, \tau)$ ", where no confusion about the topology  $\tau$  will arise.

Besides the standard notation on set theory, topology, vector spaces, etc., we will use the following special notations:

$N$  stands for the natural numbers.

$C$  stands for the complex number.

$N^\infty$  stands for the set of all sequences of natural numbers.

**1. Preliminaries.** Let us recall the following two definitions from [6]:

**1.1 DEFINITION.** A sequence  $\{(V_n, \|\cdot\|_n) \mid n \in N\}$  of vector spaces, each  $V_n$  equipped with a seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_n$ , will be called a *pre-( $F$ )-sequence*, if the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) For each  $n \in N$ ,  $V_{n+1} \subseteq V_n$  and  $\|x\|_n \leq \|x\|_{n+1}$  for all  $x \in V_{n+1}$ .

(ii) The projective topology on  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$  is Hausdorff.

If  $\{(V_n, \|\cdot\|_n) \mid n \in N\}$  is a pre-( $F$ )-sequence we can define the following positive functions on  $V_1$ :

$$\varrho_n(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\|x\|_n}{1 + \|x\|_n} & \text{for } x \in V_n, \\ 1 & \text{for } x \in V_1/V_n \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varrho(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty 2^{-n} \varrho_n(x) \quad \text{for all } x \in V_1.$$

Since all the  $\varrho_n$ 's are subadditive,  $\varrho$  is subadditive and also  $\varrho(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ; hence  $\varrho$  defines a translation invariant metric on  $V_1$ , which turns the additive group  $(V_1, +)$  into a topological group. In the following this group assigned to the pre-( $F$ )-sequence  $\{V_n\}$  will always be denoted by  $[V_n]$ .

**1.2. DEFINITION.** A pre-( $F$ )-sequence  $\{(V_n, \|\cdot\|_n) \mid n \in N\}$  is called an *( $F$ )-sequence*, if the assigned group  $[V_n]$  is complete.

**Remark.** Note that in case  $[V_n]$  is complete,  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty V_n$  is a Frechet space for the projective topology.

Let us end this section with the following:

**1.3. DEFINITION.** A pair  $(V, \tau)$ , where  $V$  is a vector space and  $\tau$  a topology on  $V$ , will be called an *additive topological group with continuous scalar multiplication*, if

(i)  $(V, +)$  is a topological group under  $\tau$ .

(ii) For each  $t \in C$  the map  $x \rightarrow tx$  of  $V$  into itself is continuous for  $\tau$ .

Note that the group assigned to a pre-( $F$ )-sequence is an additive topological group with continuous scalar multiplication.

**2. The Main Theorem.** We are now able to prove the announced main theorem:

**2.1. THEOREM.** Let  $\{W_n \mid n \in N\}$  be an ( $F$ )-sequence and  $W$  an additive topological group with continuous scalar multiplication. Let  $D_T \subseteq W_1$  be a subspace and  $T: D_T \rightarrow W$  a linear map, such that:

(i)  $T$  is closed with respect to the topologies on  $[W_n]$  and  $W$ .

(ii) For each  $n \in N$ ,  $T(D_T \cap W_n)$  is of second category in  $W$ .

Under these circumstances  $T$  is open.

**Proof.** Let  $\varrho$  denote the metric on  $[W_n]$  and let  $U_n$  denote the unit ball of  $W_n$ ; for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  we put

$$K_\varepsilon = \{x \in D_T \mid \varrho(x) \leq \varepsilon\}.$$

Let us first prove that for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $0 \in (T(K_\varepsilon))^{-\circ}$  <sup>(1)</sup>.

Since  $T(D_T \cap W_n)$  is of second category in  $W$ , we can conclude that  $0 \in (T(2^{-n} U_n \cap D_T))^{-}$  for all  $n \in N$ , hence if we make  $n$  so large that  $2^{-n} U_n \cap D_T \subseteq K_\varepsilon$  we get the desired conclusion.

We will now prove that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(*) \quad (T(K_{\varepsilon/2}))^- \subseteq T(K_\varepsilon)$$

which together with the above will give us our result. Let us first determine  $n$  so large that  $x \in 2^{-n+1} U_{n-1}$  implies  $\varrho(x) \leq \varepsilon/2$ .

Let  $y \in (T(K_{\varepsilon/2}))^-$ . Take  $x_n \in K_{\varepsilon/2}$  such that

$$y - Tx_n \in (T(2^{-n} U_n \cap D_T))^-;$$

hence we take  $x_{n+1} \in 2^{-n} U_n \cap D_T$  with

$$y - Tx_n - Tx_{n+1} \in (T(2^{-n-1} U_{n+1} \cap D_T))^-.$$

Continuing in that way we reach a sequence  $\{x_k \mid k \geq n\} \subseteq D_T$  with the properties:

$$(1) \quad x_k \in 2^{-k+1} U_{k-1} \quad \text{for } k > n,$$

$$(2) \quad y - \sum_{k=n}^m Tx_k \in (T(2^{-m} U_m \cap D_T))^-.$$

(1) gives that  $\sum_{k=n}^\infty x_k$  is convergent in  $[W_n]$ ; put  $x = \sum_{k=n}^\infty x_k$ .

Since

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m x_k \in \sum_{k=n+1}^m 2^{-k+1} U_{k-1} \subseteq 2^{-n+1} U_{n-1}$$

we get  $\varrho(x - x_n) \leq \varepsilon/2$  and hence  $\varrho(x) \leq \varepsilon$ .

<sup>(1)</sup>  $-\circ$  denotes the subsequent formation of closure and interior.

Let now  $U$  be a symmetric neighbourhood of 0 in  $[W_n]$  and  $V$  a symmetric neighbourhood of 0 in  $W$ ; from (2) we get:

$$(3) \quad y - \sum_{k=n}^m Tx_k \in T(2^{-m}U_m \cap D_T) + V, \quad \forall m \geq n.$$

Determine now  $m$  so large that  $2^{-m}U_m \subseteq U$  and  $x - \sum_{k=n}^m x_k \in U$ , then from (3)

$$(4) \quad x \in \left(x - \sum_{k=n}^m x_k\right) + T^{-1}\left(\sum_{k=n}^m Tx_k\right) \subseteq U + T^{-1}(y + T(U \cap D_T) + V).$$

From (4) it follows that to each  $U$  and  $V$  we can find element  $a(U, V) \in D_T$ ,  $b(U, V) \in U$ ,  $c(U, V) \in U \cap D_T$  and  $d(U, V) \in V$  such that

$$(5) \quad x = a(U, V) + b(U, V), \quad T(a(U, V)) = y + T(c(U, V)) + d(U, V).$$

Since

$$\lim_{(U, V)} b(U, V) = \lim_{(U, V)} c(U, V) = \lim_{(U, V)} d(U, V) = 0$$

we get

$$\lim_{(U, V)} (a(U, V) - c(U, V)) = x,$$

$$\lim_{(U, V)} T(a(U, V) - c(U, V)) = y,$$

hence by the closedness of  $T$ ,  $x \in D_T$  and  $Tx = y$ . Q.E.D.

Remark. In case  $W$  is the group assigned to some  $(F)$ -sequence the above proof can be much simplified, see [6], Theorem 2.

### 3. Locally convex spaces with an overwhelming set of components.

In this section we will define a wide class of locally convex spaces in which we can apply Theorem 2.1.

Let in the following  $(E, \tau)$  denote a locally convex space.

3.1. DEFINITION. A pre- $(F)$ -sequence  $\{\{V_n, \|\cdot\|_n\} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is called a *component* for  $(E, \tau)$ , if the following conditions hold:

- (i)  $V_1$  is a subspace of  $E$ .
- (ii) The injection  $[V_n] \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  is continuous.
- (iii) The injection in (ii) can be extended to a continuous map from the completion  $[\overline{V}_n]$  into  $(E, \tau)$ .

A component for  $(E, \tau)$  is called a *complete component*, if it is an  $(F)$ -sequence.

3.2. DEFINITION. A set  $\Sigma$  of components for  $(E, \tau)$  is called *overwhelming*, if to any pre- $(F)$ -sequence  $\{\{V_p, \|\cdot\|_p\} \mid p \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and to any linear map  $T$  from a subspace  $D_T$  of  $E$  onto a second category subset of  $[V_p]$ , there

is  $\{W_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \Sigma$  such that  $T(D_T \cap W_n)$  is of second category in  $[V_p]$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

A set  $\Sigma$  of components is called *strongly overwhelming*, if it has the above property with the pre- $(F)$ -sequence  $\{V_p \mid p \in \mathbb{N}\}$  interchanged by an arbitrary additive topological group with continuous scalar multiplication.

3.3. LEMMA. *If  $(E, \tau)$  has a component, then it has also a complete component.*

Proof. Let  $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  be a component for  $(E, \tau)$ ; by assumption the injection  $T: [V_n] \rightarrow (E, \tau)$  can be extended to a continuous map  $\tilde{T}: [\overline{V}_n] \rightarrow (E, \tau)$ . Using now Proposition 2 of [6], we can find an  $(F)$ -sequence  $\{W_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $[W_n] = [\overline{V}_n]$ .

Since  $[W_n]$  is an additive topological group with continuous scalar-multiplication we get by the linearity of  $T$  and the continuity of  $\tilde{T}$  that  $\tilde{T}$  is a linear map of  $W_1$  into  $E$ , and hence  $L = \tilde{T}^{-1}(0)$  is a  $[W_n]$ -closed subspace of  $W_1$ .

From Proposition 4 in [6] it now follows that there is an  $(F)$ -sequence  $\{H_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , such that  $[H_n]$  is isomorphic to  $[W_n]/L$ .

Identifying now the  $H_n$ 's with subspaces of  $E$  and carrying the respective seminorms over to  $E$  we get the desired result.

The following proposition is now trivial to prove:

3.4. PROPOSITION. *Let  $(E, \tau)$  be a locally convex space having a (strongly) overwhelming set of components, then  $(E, \tau)$  also has a (strongly) overwhelming set of complete components.*

Having Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.4 in mind, one may ask why a component is not once for all defined to be complete instead of having condition (iii) of Definition 3.1. The reason for this is that in concrete cases, where one perhaps is not so much interested in the components themselves, but merely the properties they induce on the space under consideration, it is often easy to find components, which need not be complete, while complete components are not straightforward at hand, so that one has to go through the procedure in 3.3 in order to find them.

In section 4 we will give some important examples of locally convex spaces with overwhelming sets of components.

If  $E$  is a locally convex space with a component  $\{V_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  and  $M$  is a linear subspace of  $E$ , then we will denote the subgroup  $[V_n] \cap M$  of  $[V_n]$  by  $[V_n \cap M]$ .

The following theorems are all corollaries of the main Theorem 2.1:

3.5. THEOREM. *Let  $E$  be a locally convex space,  $V$  an additive topological group with continuous scalar multiplication and  $D_T$  a subspace of  $E$ . Further*

Let  $T: D_T \rightarrow V$  be a linear map, mapping  $D_T$  onto a second category subspace of  $V$ . If one of the two conditions

(i)  $E$  has an overwhelming set of components,  $V$  is metrizable and  $T$  is sequentially closed,

(ii)  $E$  has a strongly overwhelming set of components and  $T$  is closed, is satisfied, then there is a complete component  $\{W_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for  $E$  such that  $T| [W_n \cap D_T]$  is an open map.

Proof. Direct application of Theorem 2.1.

3.6. COROLLARY. Let  $E$  be a locally convex space with a strongly overwhelming set of components,  $F$  a locally convex space and  $D_T$  a subspace of  $E$ . If  $T: D_T \rightarrow F$  is a closed linear map, then either  $T(D_T)$  is of first category in  $F$  or  $T(D_T) = F$  and  $T$  is open.

The conclusion is still true, if  $E$  has an overwhelming set of components,  $F$  is a Fréchet space and  $T$  is sequentially closed.

From the foregoing we now get the following closed graph theorem, which at the same time is a kind of localization theorem for locally convex spaces with a (strongly) overwhelming set of components:

3.7. THEOREM. Let  $E$  be a Baire space and  $F$  a locally convex space having a strongly overwhelming set of components. If  $T: E \rightarrow F$  is a closed linear map, then there is a complete component  $\{W_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  for  $F$  such that  $T(E) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$  and  $T$  is continuous from  $E$  into  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n$  (hence also continuous from  $E$  into  $F$ ).

The same is true for a sequentially closed map from a Fréchet space into a locally convex space with an overwhelming set of components.

Proof. From Theorem 3.5 we can conclude that there is a complete component  $\{W_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $T(E) \subseteq W_1$  and  $T$  is continuous from  $E$  into  $[W_n]$ , and using [6], Proposition 1.D we get that actually

$$T(E) \subseteq \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n. \text{ Q. E. D.}$$

Let us end this section by defining an inductive limit topology on a locally convex space with an overwhelming set of components, which seems to play an important role in the theory:

Let  $(E, \tau)$  be a locally convex space with an overwhelming set  $\Sigma$  of complete components, say  $\Sigma = \{\{W_n^{\alpha} | n=1, \dots, \infty\} | \alpha \in A\}$ . Define for  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $F_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} W_n^{\alpha}$  and equip it with the projective topology; from Theorem 3.7 it easily follows that  $E = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} F_{\alpha}$ . We can order  $A$  partially by putting  $\alpha \leq \beta$  if  $F_{\alpha} \subseteq F_{\beta}$ , and since every  $F_{\alpha}$  can be mapped continuously into  $(E, \tau)$ , we see that if  $\alpha \leq \beta$ , then the imbedding of  $F_{\alpha}$  into  $F_{\beta}$  is closed and hence continuous.

Let now  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq A$  and define  $F = \text{span} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n F_{a_i} \right)$ ; the topology on  $F$  induced by the quotient topology on

$$\prod_{i=1}^n F_{a_i} / L, \quad L = \{(x_{a_i}) \in \prod_{i=1}^n F_{a_i} | \sum_{i=1}^n x_{a_i} = 0\}$$

turns  $F$  into a Fréchet space, which can be mapped continuously into  $(E, \tau)$ , hence using Theorem 3.7 we find an  $\alpha \in A$  with  $F \subseteq F_{\alpha}$ . This proves that the ordering on  $A$  is filtered upwards.

The inductive limit topology on  $E$  with respect to the family  $\{F_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A\}$  exists, since  $\tau$  is Hausdorff; let us denote it by  $\tau_{\Sigma}$ ; from Theorem 3.7 we get that any continuous operator from a Fréchet space into  $(E, \tau)$  is also continuous for  $\tau_{\Sigma}$ .

Let us call a topology  $\tau_{\sigma}$  on  $E$  maximal with respect to  $\Sigma$ , if  $\Sigma$  is an overwhelming set of components for  $(E, \tau_{\sigma})$  and  $\tau_{\sigma}$  is the finest locally convex topology on  $E$  with this property. It is not difficult to see that such a topology exists and that it is weaker than  $\tau_{\Sigma}$ . One may pose the following:

3.8. QUESTION. Is  $\tau_{\Sigma} = \tau_{\sigma}$ ?

Probably the answer is negative in general, but in many concrete cases it is positive. If for example  $(E, \tau)$  is an ultrabornological space, then  $\tau = \tau_{\Sigma}$  and hence maximal; this shows that the inductive topologies of the families which have an overwhelming set of components in the sense of [7] are all maximal.

Let us finally prove the following proposition concerning 3.8:

3.9. PROPOSITION. If there is a co-complete locally convex topology  $\xi$  on  $E$ , such that  $\Sigma$  is an overwhelming set of components for  $(E, \xi)$ , then the answer to 3.8 is positive and  $\Sigma$  is an overwhelming set of components for the family  $\{F_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A\}$  in the sense of [7].

Remark. Co-completeness of  $(E, \xi)$  means that every convex, balanced, closed and bounded subset of  $(E, \xi)$  spans a Banach space (see [1], p. 382).

Proof of 3.9. Let  $\{W_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} \in \Sigma$  and let  $(x_m)$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $[W_n]$ ; denote the unit ball in  $W_n$  by  $U_n$ . To each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we can find a number  $m_n$  such that

$$(1) \quad n(x_m - x_{m_n}) \in n^{-1} U_n \quad \text{for all } m \geq m_n$$

and this implies that there is a bounded, convex balanced and closed set  $B$  in  $(E, \xi)$  with:

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{n(x_m - x_{m_n}) | m \geq m_n\} \subseteq B;$$

hence for all  $p, q \geq m_n$ :

$$(2) \quad x_p - x_q = (x_p - x_{m_n}) + (x_{m_n} - x_q) \in 2n^{-1}B.$$

Since  $(E, \xi)$  is co-complete, there is an  $\alpha \in A$  such that  $B$  is a bounded subset of  $F_\alpha$ . From (2) it then follows that  $(x_n)$  is convergent in  $F_\alpha$ . The rest of the proof is now trivial. Q.E.D.

**4. Permanence properties and examples.** It is seen immediately that the class of locally convex spaces having a (strongly) overwhelming set of components is closed under the following operations: Countable inductive limits, continuous images by linear maps, going to separated quotients and going to closed subspaces. Hence for example any countable inductive limit of Fréchet spaces has a strongly overwhelming set of components.

The type of spaces we are going to discuss in the following examples often occur in analysis.

**Example 1.  $\sigma^2$ -spaces** (cf. [7]). Let  $E$  be a vector space. By a  $\sigma^2$ -family in  $E$  we understand a family  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in N\}$  of subspaces, each  $E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  equipped with a seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  such that

(i) For each  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$ ,  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N\}$  is a pre-( $F$ )-sequence.

(ii) For each  $k \in N^\infty$ ,  $E_k = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  is a Fréchet space under the projective topology.

(iii) If  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$ ,  $k' = (k'_n) \in N^\infty$  and  $k_n \leq k'_n$  all  $n \in N$ , then

$$E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \subseteq E_{k'_1, \dots, k'_n}$$

and

$$\|x\|_{k'_1, \dots, k'_n} \leq \|x\|_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \quad \text{for all } x \in E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}.$$

$$(iv) \quad E = \bigcup_{k_1=1}^\infty E_{k_1}, \quad E_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}} = \bigcup_{k_n=1}^\infty E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}.$$

**DEFINITION.** A locally convex space  $(E, \tau)$  is called a  $\sigma^2$ -space if there is a  $\sigma^2$ -family  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N, k_1, \dots, k_n \in N\}$  in  $E$  such that  $(E, \tau)$  is the inductive limit of the family  $\{E_k \mid k \in N^\infty\}$ .

It is not difficult to see that if  $E$  is a  $\sigma^2$ -space with respect to the  $\sigma^2$ -family  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N, k_1, \dots, k_n \in N\}$ , then the set

$$\Sigma = \{\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \mid k \in N^\infty\}$$

is a strongly overwhelming set of components for  $E$ ; it can even be proved that  $\Sigma$  consists of complete components (this is heavily dependent on conditions (ii) and (iii) above).

The class of  $\sigma^2$ -spaces is a subclass of the class of spaces occurring in the next example.

**Example 2. Strictly netted spaces** (cf. [8]).

**DEFINITION.** A locally convex space  $E$  is called *strictly netted* if there is a family  $\{e_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N, k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n \in N\}$  of convex and balanced subsets of  $E$ , satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $\bigcup_{k_1=1}^\infty e_{k_1}$  is absorbing in  $E$ .

(ii) For fixed  $k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}$ ,  $\bigcup_{k_n=1}^\infty e_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  is absorbing in  $e_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}}$ .

(iii) If  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subseteq E$  such that  $x_n - x_{n-1} \in e_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}$  for all  $n \in N$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is convergent in  $E$ .

The family  $\{e_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}$  is called a *strict net* in  $E$ .

It is readily seen that if  $E$  is a strictly netted space, then it is no restriction to assume about the strict net  $\{e_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}$  in  $E$  that  $e_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \subseteq e_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}}$  for all  $n \in N$ , so let us always do that from now on.

Let now  $E$  be a strictly netted locally convex space with strict net  $\{e_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}$ ; for each  $(k_1, \dots, k_n)$  we define the subspace

$$E_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} = \text{span}(e_{k_1, \dots, k_n})$$

and we turn  $E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  into a seminormed space by introducing the Minkowski functional for  $e_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  on it.

It follows from the conditions above that

$$E = \bigcup_{k_1=1}^\infty E_{k_1} \quad E_{k_1, \dots, k_{n-1}} = \bigcup_{k_n=1}^\infty E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}.$$

**PROPOSITION.** For every  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$ ,  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N\}$  is a component for  $E$ .

**Proof.** Let  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$  be given. From (iii) above, it follows that to any 0-neighbourhood  $U$  in  $E$  there is an  $n \in N$  with  $e_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \subseteq U$ ; this proves

1° that the projective topology on  $E_k = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  is Hausdorff,

hence that  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N\}$  is a pre-( $F$ )-sequence;

2° that the injection of  $[E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}]$  into  $E$  is continuous.

If  $\{x_p \mid p \in N\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $[E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}]$ , we can find a subsequence  $\{x_{p_n} \mid n = 0, 1, \dots\}$  with

$$x_{p_n} - x_{p_{n-1}} \in e_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \quad \text{for all } n \in N$$

and hence  $\{x_{p_n}\}$  and therefore also  $\{x_p\}$  is convergent in  $E$ . Q.E.D.

It is now trivial to prove:

**PROPOSITION.** The set

$$\Sigma = \{\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}\}_{n=1}^\infty \mid k \in N^\infty\}$$

is a strongly overwhelming set of components for  $E$ .

**Example 3. Souslin spaces** (cf. [2] and [4]). We shall here prove:

PROPOSITION. If  $E$  is a co-complete locally convex Souslin space, then  $E$  has an overwhelming set of components.

Proof. Since  $E$  is Souslin, we can find a continuous map  $f$  of  $N^\infty$  onto  $E$ . Let us for  $n \in N$ ,  $k_1, \dots, k_n \in N$  define

$$N^\infty(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \{m = (m_j) \in N^\infty \mid m_j = k_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

It is easy to see that for  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$ , the set

$$\{N^\infty(k_1, \dots, k_n) \mid n \in N\}$$

forms a neighbourhood basis at  $k$  for the product topology on  $N^\infty$ .

If  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$  it follows from the continuity of  $f$  that

$$(1) \quad (x_n \in f(N^\infty(k_1, \dots, k_n)) \text{ for all } n) \Rightarrow (x_n \rightarrow f(k), n \rightarrow \infty).$$

$$(2) \quad \{f(k)\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} f(N^\infty(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)).$$

Also we have

$$E = \bigcup_{k_1=1}^{\infty} f(N^\infty(k_1)),$$

$$(3) \quad f(N^\infty(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_{n-1})) = \bigcup_{k_n=1}^{\infty} f(N^\infty(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)).$$

Define now

$$U_{k_1, \dots, k_n} = \text{convex balanced hull of } f(N^\infty(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))$$

and

$$E_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} m U_{k_1, \dots, k_n}.$$

The gauge function for  $U_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}$  turns  $E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}$  into a seminormed space.

We shall now show that for fixed  $k = (k_n) \in N^\infty$ ,  $\{E_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N\}$ , is a component for  $E$ .

Let  $U$  be a convex and balanced 0-neighbourhood in  $E$ ; from (1) it follows that if  $x_n \in n^{-1}f(N^\infty(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n))$  all  $n \in N$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; hence we can find an  $n \in N$  such that

$$n^{-1}f(N^\infty(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n)) \subseteq U,$$

and then also

$$n^{-1}U_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n} \subseteq U.$$

This proves that  $\{E_{k_1, \dots, k_n} \mid n \in N\}$  is a pre-( $F$ )-sequence, and that the imbedding of  $[E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}]$  into  $E$  is continuous.

Let now  $\{x_p\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $[E_{k_1, \dots, k_n}]$  and take a subsequence such that

$$x_{p_n} - x_{p_{n-1}} \in n^{-1}2^{-n}U_{k_1, \dots, k_n}.$$

Then  $2^n(x_{p_n} - x_{p_{n-1}}) \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , let  $B$  be a bounded convex and balanced closed subset of  $E$  with

$$2^n(x_{p_n} - x_{p_{n-1}}) \in B \quad \text{all } n \in N.$$

Now

$$x_{p_n} - x_{p_m} = \sum_{i=m+1}^n (x_{p_i} - x_{p_{i-1}}) \in \left( \sum_{i=m+1}^n 2^{-i} \right) B$$

and from the co-completeness of  $E$  it follows that  $\{x_{p_n}\}$  and hence  $\{x_p\}$  is convergent in  $E$ .

From (3) it is now easy to see that the set

$$\Sigma = \{[E_{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n}]_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid k \in N^\infty\}$$

is an overwhelming set of components for  $E$ . Q.E.D.

From the above proposition we see that there is an overlapping between the graph theorems proved here and the graph theorems proved by Schwartz [4] and Martineau [2] for Souslin spaces, but it is only an overlapping; there is no hope of proving the Borelgraph theorem of Schwartz within the theory developed here, it requires a completely different technique.

Example 4. The class  $\mathcal{D}_0$  considered by Raikov [3].

We will not work out in detail here that any locally convex space of type  $\mathcal{D}_0$  has an overwhelming set of components, but just mention that this follows from conditions ( $\alpha$ ), ( $\beta$ ) and ( $\gamma$ ) on p. 293 in [3] together with Lemma 1, p. 469 in [5].

#### References

- [1] G. Köthe, *Topological vector spaces I*, 1969 (English translation).
- [2] A. Martineau, *Sur les théorèmes de S. Banach et de L. Schwartz concernant le graphe fermé*, *Studia Math.* 30, (1968), pp. 43-51.
- [3] D. A. Raikov, *Double closed graph theorem for topological vector spaces*, *Sibirian Math. J.* 7 (1966), pp. 287-300.
- [4] L. Schwartz, *Sur le théorème du graphe fermé*, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 263, Sér. A, pp. 602-605.
- [5] W. Słowikowski, *On continuity of inverse operators*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 67 (1961), pp. 467-470.
- [6] — *On the theory of (F)-sequences*, *Studia Math.* 25 (1965), pp. 281-296.
- [7] — *On the theory of inductive families*, *ibidem* 26 (1965), pp. 1-10.
- [8] M. De Wilde, *Sur le théorème du graphe fermé*, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. de la S. R. de Roumaine* 11 (59), 1967.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 17. 3. 1970