

## Generalized operational functions

by

S. JEYAMMA (Madurai)

**Introduction.** Mikusiński [3] has defined a new type of functions, namely operational functions as mappings from the field  $R$  of real numbers to the field  $Q$  of operators of Mikusiński. His definition of continuity and differentiability in this class of operational functions has a defect, for there are continuous operational functions that are not differentiable. So it is desirable to construct a new class of functions which contains all continuous operational functions and in which the derivative is defined in such a way that every element of this new class has derivatives of all orders. Following Mikusiński and Sikorski [4], we construct and study one such class, called *generalized operational functions*.

In section 1 we deal with the definition of a generalized operational function and discuss a few elementary properties of those functions. In section 2 we define the value of generalized operational functions and prove an existence theorem.

1. We begin with some definitions.

**Definition 1.1** [3]. An *operational function* is a function  $f$  which assigns an operator  $f(\theta)$  to each non-negative real number  $\theta$ .

**Definition 1.2** [3]. An operational function  $f$  is said to be a *parametric operational function* if each value  $f(\theta)$  is itself an operator of a special kind, namely a function of the real variable, say  $t$ .

**Definition 1.3** [3]. An operational function  $f$  is called *continuous* in  $0 \leq \theta < \infty$ , if it can be represented in  $[0, \infty)$  as a ratio  $f_1(\theta) * a$  <sup>(1)</sup>, of a parametric operational function  $f_1(\theta) = \{f_1(\theta, t)\}$  and an operator  $a$  equal to a continuous function  $\{a(t)\}$ ,  $0 \leq t < \infty$ , where the function  $a(t)$  is not identically equal to zero, such that the function  $f_1(\theta, t)$  is continuous in the domain  $D(0 \leq \theta < \infty, 0 \leq t < \infty)$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Two continuous operational functions  $f$  and  $g$  are said to be *related* — in symbols  $f \sim g$  — where  $f(\theta) = \{f(\theta, t)\} * \{a(t)\}$  <sup>(2)</sup> and  $g(\theta) = \{g(\theta, t)\} * \{b(t)\}$  if and only if  $f(\theta, t) * b(t) = g(\theta, t) * a(t)$ .

(1) \* stands for convolution quotient.

(2) For the sake of typographical convenience we omit the braces hereafter.

The relation  $\sim$  can be seen to be an equivalence relation which divides the class of all continuous operational functions into mutually disjoint classes.

Hereafter, whenever we say a continuous operational function  $f$ , we mean an equivalence class of elements of the form  $f(\theta) \ast a$  representing the function.

Remark 1.5. Obviously, all continuous operational functions form a real vector space with the usual addition and multiplication by real scalars.

Definition 1.6. A sequence  $f_n$  of continuous operational functions is said to converge to a continuous operational function  $f$  if there exist a sequence of parametric operational functions  $f_n(\theta, t)$ , a parametric operational function  $f(\theta, t)$  and a continuous function  $a(t)$  such that  $f_n(\theta) = f_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t)$ ,  $f(\theta) = f(\theta, t) \ast a(t)$  and  $f_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly to  $f(\theta, t)$ , i.e. converges uniformly over every bounded rectangle in the domain  $D$ .

As in the case of continuous functions, we say that a continuous operational function  $f$  has the continuous operational function  $g$  as a derivative if  $(\tau_n f - f)/h$  tends to  $g$  as  $h$  tends to zero, where  $\tau_n f(\theta) = f(\theta + h)$ . It is easy to see that there exist continuous operational functions which are not differentiable. To meet this situation, we construct generalized operational functions.

Definition 1.7. A sequence  $f_n$  of continuous operational functions is said to be fundamental if and only there exist a non-negative integer  $k$  and a sequence  $F_n$  of continuous operational functions such that

- (i)  $f_n(\theta) = F_n^{(k)}(\theta)$ ;
- (ii)  $F_n(\theta)$  converges in the sense of definition 1.6; or, equivalently,

$$(i) f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t);$$

- (ii)  $F_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly.

The following are immediate consequences of the above definition.

1. For  $k = 0$  all convergent sequences of continuous operational functions are fundamental.

2. For  $k = 0$  and  $a = 1$ , all almost uniformly convergent sequences of continuous functions in the variables  $\theta$  and  $t$  are fundamental.

3. If  $f_n$  has a continuous  $m$ -th derivative and if  $f_n$  is fundamental, then the  $m$ -th derivative of  $f_n$  is also fundamental.

4. The order  $k$  can be replaced by any greater order  $m$ .

Indeed,

$$G_n(\theta) = \int_0^\theta \dots \int_0^\theta F_n(\theta) d\theta \quad (n-l) \text{ times}$$

also satisfies (i) and (ii) of definition 1.7 if  $F_n$  does.

LEMMA 1.8. For any two fundamental sequences  $f_n$  and  $g_n$ , the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots$  is fundamental;
- (ii)  $f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t)$ ,  $g_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} G_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t)$  and  $F_n(\theta, t) \rightrightarrows G_n(\theta, t)$  (where  $\rightrightarrows$  means converging almost uniformly to the same limit);
- (iii)  $f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t)$ ,  $g_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} G_n(\theta, t) \ast b(t)$  and  $F_n(\theta, t) \ast b(t) \rightrightarrows G_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t)$ .

Proof. (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Indeed, by hypothesis  $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots$  is fundamental. This asserts that we can find a sequence  $F_1(\theta, t), G_1(\theta, t), F_2(\theta, t), G_2(\theta, t), \dots$  of continuous operational functions, a continuous function  $a(t)$  and a non-negative integer  $k$  such that

$$f_1(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_1(\theta, t) \ast a(t), \quad g_1(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} G_1(\theta, t) \ast a(t), \dots$$

and  $F_1(\theta, t), G_1(\theta, t), F_2(\theta, t), G_2(\theta, t), \dots$  converges almost uniformly, which is precisely (ii).

- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) by putting  $a(t) = b(t)$  in the representation of  $g_n(\theta)$ .
- (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assuming (iii) we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(\theta) &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) \ast a(t) \\ &= \left( b(t) \ast \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) \right) \ast (a(t) \ast b(t)) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} (F_n(\theta, t) \ast b(t)) \right) \ast (a(t) \ast b(t)) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \bar{F}_n(\theta, t) \right) \ast c(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{F}_n = b * F_n$ ,  $c = a * b$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(\theta) &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} G_n(\theta, t) * b(t) \\ &= \left[ a(t) * \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} G_n(\theta, t) \right] * [a(t) * b(t)] \\ &= \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} (G_n(\theta, t) * a(t)) * a(t) * b(t) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \bar{G}_n(\theta, t) \right) * c(t), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{G}_n = G_n * a$  and  $\bar{G}_n \rightarrow \leftarrow \bar{F}_n$ . This is precisely the definition of  $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots$  being fundamental.

**Definition 1.9.** We say that two fundamental sequences  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  are related — in symbols  $f_n \sim g_n$  — if one of the three conditions of the above lemma holds.

It is easy to see that the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation dividing the class of all fundamental sequences of continuous operational functions into mutually disjoint classes.

**Definition 1.10.** A generalized operational function is a class of equivalent fundamental sequences of continuous operational functions and in symbol we write  $f = [f_n]$ .

**Remark 1.11.** Every continuous operational function  $f$  can be viewed as a generalized operational function since  $f = [f_n]$  where  $f_n \equiv f$ .

**LEMMA 1.12.** If two fundamental sequences  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  have continuous  $m$ -th derivatives and if  $f_n \sim g_n$ , then  $f_n^{(m)} \sim g_n^{(m)}$ .

**Proof.** If  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  satisfy one of the equivalent conditions of Lemma 1.8, say condition (ii); then  $f_n^{(m)}$  and  $g_n^{(m)}$  satisfy that condition by replacing  $k$  by  $k+m$ .

### **Algebraic operations on generalized operational functions.**

**Definition 1.13.** Multiplication by an operator  $a$ :

(i) If  $f_n$  is fundamental, so is  $af_n$ .

By this property we can extend this operation onto arbitrary generalized operational functions  $f = [f_n]$  by assuming  $af = [af_n]$ .

(ii) This representation is independent of the choice of  $f_n$ .

Indeed, if  $f_n \sim g_n$ , then  $af_n \sim ag_n$ , since, taking into account that  $f_1, g_1, f_2, g_2, \dots$  is fundamental, we find that  $af_1, ag_1, af_2, ag_2, \dots$  is also fundamental by (i).

**Definition 1.14.** Addition of two generalized functions:

(i) If  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  are fundamental, so is  $f_n + g_n$ .

Indeed,  $f_n(\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) \right) * a(t)$  and  $F_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly;  $g_n(\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} G_n(\theta, t) \right) * b(t)$  and  $G_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly.

We can assume  $k = m$  since each of the orders  $k$  and  $m$  can be arbitrarily enlarged. Then

$$f_n(\theta) + g_n(\theta) = \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} \{F_n(\theta, t) * b(t) + G_n(\theta, t) * a(t)\} \right) * (a(t) * b(t))$$

and  $F_n * b + G_n * a$  converges almost uniformly.

This operation can be extended onto the class of generalized operational functions in virtue of this property by assuming  $f + g = [f_n + g_n]$ , where  $f = [f_n]$ ,  $g = [g_n]$ .

(ii) This representation is independent of the choice of  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  by (i).

**Definition 1.15.** Multiplication of two generalized operational functions:

(i) If  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  are two fundamental sequences, so is  $f_n g_n$ .

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} f_n(\theta) g_n(\theta) &= \left( \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) * \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} G_n(\theta, t) \right) * (a(t) * b(t)) \\ &= \left( \frac{\partial^{k+m}}{\partial \theta^{k+m}} (F_n(\theta, t) * G_n(\theta, t)) \right) * (a(t) * b(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and  $F_n * G_n$  converges almost uniformly.

This operator can be extended onto arbitrary generalized operational functions by assuming  $fg = [f_n g_n]$ , where  $f = [f_n]$ ,  $g = [g_n]$ .

That this representation is independent of  $f_n$  and  $g_n$  can be proved by (i).

**Definition 1.16.** By the translation of a generalized operational function  $f = [f_n]$  through a distance  $h$  we mean the generalized operational function  $\tau_h f = [\tau_h f_n]$ .

**LEMMA 1.17.** A sequence of polynomials  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_{nj}(t) \theta^j$  of degree less than a positive integer  $k$  converges almost uniformly to a polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j(t) \theta^j$  of degree less than  $k$  if and only if the sequence of continuous functions  $\{a_{nj}(t)\}$  converges almost uniformly.

The proof follows from the well-known Weierstrass approximation theorem, where the constants are replaced by functions and the convergence of the coefficients is taken to be the almost uniform convergence.

Definition 1.17. A continuous operational function  $p$  is called a *polynomial operational function of degree less than  $k$* , where

$$p(\theta) = p(\theta, t) * a(t), \quad \text{if } p(\theta, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j(t) \theta^j,$$

where the coefficients  $a_j(t)$  are continuous functions of the variable  $t$ , is a polynomial of degree less than  $k$ .

THEOREM 1.18. A sequence  $p_n$  of polynomial operational functions is fundamental if and only if it converges in the sense of definition 1.6.

Proof. By definition 1.7 (1), the sufficiency follows. To prove the necessity, since  $p_n$  is fundamental, we have

$$p_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} P_n(\theta, t) * a(t)$$

and  $p_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly.

By Lemma 1.17, the coefficients of  $P_n(\theta, t)$  converge almost uniformly. Therefore, the coefficients of  $\partial^k P_n(\theta, t) / \partial \theta^k$  converge almost uniformly, i.e.  $p_n$  converges in the sense of definition 1.6.

THEOREM 1.19. Every fundamental sequence of continuous operational functions has an equivalent fundamental sequence of smooth (differentiable any number of times) operational functions.

Proof. Since  $f_n$  is fundamental, we have

$$f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) * a(t)$$

and  $F_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly to  $F(\theta, t)$ . Let  $p_n(\theta, t)$  be a sequence of polynomials in  $\theta, t$ , converging almost uniformly to  $F(\theta, t)$ . Then

$$p_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} p_n(\theta, t) * a(t)$$

is the required sequence of smooth operational functions.

By Theorem 1.19, we find that every generalized operational function  $f$  can be represented by  $[p_n]$ , where  $p_n$  are equivalent fundamental sequences of smooth operational functions.

As in the case of functions, the derivative  $f'$  of a generalized operational function  $f$  could be defined as

$$\text{Lt}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_h f - f}{h},$$

and we notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lt}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_h f - f}{h} &= \text{Lt}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\tau_h p_n - p_n]}{h} \\ &= \text{Lt}_{h \rightarrow 0} \left( \frac{\tau_h p_n(\theta, t) - p_n(\theta, t)}{h} \right) * a(t) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} p_n(\theta, t) * a(t). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $f' = \text{Lt}_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\tau_h f - f}{h} = [p'_n]$ . Hence we have

THEOREM 1.20. Every generalized operational function  $f$  has a derivative  $f'$  represented by  $[p'_n]$ .

LEMMA 1.21. If a sequence of continuous operational functions  $F_n$  converges to  $F$  in the sense of Definition 1.6, then  $F' = [F'_n]$ .

Indeed, since  $F$  is a continuous operational function and  $F_n$  and  $F$  satisfy Lemma 1.8 (ii) with  $k = 0$ , the lemma follows.

THEOREM 1.22. Every generalized operational function is the derivative of some order of a continuous operational function.

Proof. We have  $f = [f_n]$ , where

$$f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) * a(t)$$

and  $F_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly to  $F(\theta, t)$ . In other words,  $f = F_n^{(k)}$  and  $F_n$  converges to  $F$  in the sense of definition 1.6.

By Lemma 1.21,  $F' = [F'_n]$ . Therefore,  $f = [F_n^{(k)}] = [F'_n]^{(k)} = F'^{(k)}$ .

Definition 1.23. A sequence  $f$  of generalized operational functions is said to converge to a generalized operational function  $f$  if and only if there exist a non-negative integer  $k$  and a sequence  $F_n$  of continuous operational functions and a continuous operational function  $F$  such that  $f = F_n^{(k)}$ ;  $f = F^{(k)}$  and  $F_n$  converges to  $F$  in the sense of definition 1.6.

The convergence defined in the class of generalized operational functions is a Hausdorff convergence. In other words, if  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  and also to  $g$ , then  $f = g$ .

Indeed,

$$f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F_n(\theta, t) * a(t), \quad f(\theta) = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \theta^k} F(\theta, t) * a(t)$$

and  $F_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly to  $F(\theta, t)$ . Also

$$f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} G_n(\theta, t) * b(t), \quad g(\theta) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} G(\theta, t) * b(t)$$

and  $G_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly to  $G(\theta, t)$ .

Let  $m > k$ . On account of definition 1.7 (4), there exist  $\bar{F}_n(\theta)$  and  $\bar{F}(\theta)$  such that

$$f_n(\theta) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} \bar{F}_n(\theta, t) * a(t), \quad f(\theta) = \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} \bar{F}(\theta, t) * a(t)$$

and  $\bar{F}_n(\theta, t)$  converges almost uniformly to  $\bar{F}(\theta, t)$ . Since

$$\left[ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} \bar{F}_n(\theta, t) * a(t) \right] - \left[ \frac{\partial^m}{\partial \theta^m} G_n(\theta, t) * b(t) \right] = 0,$$

we have  $\bar{F}_n * b - G_n * a$ , which is a polynomial  $\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} a_{nj}(t) \theta^j$  of degree less than  $m$ . By Lemma 1.17, its limit is also a polynomial of degree less than  $m$ . Therefore

$$\bar{F} * b - G * a = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} a_j(t) \theta^j.$$

So  $(\bar{F} * b)^{(m)} - (G * a)^{(m)} = 0$  and hence  $f = g$ .

The following are immediate consequences of definition 1.23.

1. If a sequence of continuous operational functions converges in the sense of definition 1.6, it also converges in the generalized sense.

2. For  $k = 0$  and  $a = 1$ , all almost uniformly convergent sequences of continuous functions in the variable  $\theta, t$  are convergent in the generalized sense.

3. If  $f_n$  converges to  $f$  and  $g_n$  converges to  $g$ , then

(i)  $f_n + g_n$  converges to  $f + g$ ,

(ii)  $f_n \cdot g_n$  converges to  $f \cdot g$ .

2. Now we introduce the notion of the value of a generalized operational function.

**Definition 2.1.** A generalized operational function  $f$  is said to have a value at  $\theta_0$  if  $\text{Lt}_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} f(\alpha\theta + \theta_0)$  exists. If the limit exists, then it is an operator.

**LEMMA 2.2.** Let  $f$  be a continuous operational function defined in the neighbourhood of zero, and suppose that

$$\text{Lt}_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(\alpha\theta) - a_0(\alpha) - a_1(\alpha)(\theta\alpha) - \dots - a_{n-1}(\alpha)(\theta\alpha)^{n-1}}{\alpha^n} = 0$$

(recall that the convergence is as given in definition 1.6) and the coefficients  $a_i(\alpha)$  are continuous operational functions defined for  $\alpha > 0$ . Then the  $a_i(\alpha)$  will converge for  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$  and we have  $a_i(\alpha) = a_i + o(\alpha^{n-1})$  and in consequence  $f(\theta) = a_0 + a_1\theta + \dots + a_{n-1}\theta^{n-1} + o(\theta^n)$  for  $\theta$  tending to zero.

Proof. Let

$$(I) \quad \frac{f(\alpha\theta) - a_0(\alpha) - \dots - a_{n-1}(\alpha)(\theta\alpha)^{n-1}}{\alpha^n}$$

tend to zero as  $\alpha$  tends to zero.

Now  $f(\alpha\theta), a_0(\alpha), \dots, a_{n-1}(\alpha)$  can all be written as

$$f(\alpha\theta, t) * b_0(t), a_0(\alpha, t) * b_1(t), \dots, a_{n-1}(\alpha, t) * b_n(t).$$

Without loss of generality we can assume  $b_i(t) \equiv b(t)$ .

(I) means that

$$(II) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha^n} (f(\alpha\theta, t) - a_0(\alpha, t) - \dots - a_{n-1}(\alpha, t)(\theta\alpha)^{n-1})$$

converges to zero almost uniformly, i.e.

$$|f(\alpha\theta, t) - a_0(\alpha, t) - \dots - a_{n-1}(\alpha, t)(\theta\alpha)^{n-1}| < \varepsilon(\alpha)\alpha^n,$$

where  $\varepsilon(\alpha)$  is an increasing function of  $\alpha$  such that  $\varepsilon(\alpha)$  converges to zero as  $\alpha$  tends to zero.

Let  $\delta, \beta$  be such that  $0 < \delta < \beta$ ; choose  $v$  such that  $0 < v\beta \leq \delta < \beta$ , where  $0 < v < 1$ . Let  $(a, b)$  be an interval in which (II) converges uniformly. Fix  $a < \theta_0 < \theta, < \dots < \theta_n < b$ . Write in (II)

$$\theta = \theta_i, \quad \alpha = \delta, \quad \theta = \frac{\delta\theta_i}{\beta}, \quad \alpha = \beta,$$

$$|f(\delta\theta_i, t) - a_0(\delta, t) - \dots - a_{n-1}(\delta, t)(\delta\theta_i)^{n-1}| < \varepsilon(\delta)\delta^n,$$

$$|f(\delta\theta_i, t) - a_0(\beta, t) - \dots - a_{n-1}(\beta, t)(\delta\theta_i)^{n-1}| < \varepsilon(\beta)\beta^n.$$

Subtracting

$$\left| (a_0(\beta, t) - a_0(\delta, t)) - \dots - ((a_{n-1}(\beta, t) - a_{n-1}(\delta, t))(\delta\theta_i)^{n-1}) \right| < 2\varepsilon(\beta)\beta^n$$

and denoting by  $p(\theta_i, t)$  the polynomial within the modulus sign, we can write,

$$\delta^i |a_i(\beta, t) - a_i(\delta, t)| = \frac{1}{A} (A_{1i}p(\theta_1, t) - \dots - A_{ni}p(\theta_n, t)),$$

where  $A_{ji}$  are the minors of

$$A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \theta_1 & \dots & \theta_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & \theta_2 & \dots & \theta_2^{n-1} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & \theta_n & \dots & \theta_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}.$$

There exists a constant  $k$  such that

$$\delta^i |a_i(\beta, t) - a_i(\delta, t)| < k\varepsilon(\beta)\beta^i.$$

Since  $0 < v\beta \leq \delta < \beta$ ,

$$(III) \quad |a_i(\beta, t) - a_i(\delta, t)| < kv^{-i}\varepsilon(\beta)\beta^{n-i}$$

Let  $\delta = v^{r+1}$ ,  $\beta = v^r$ ; then

$$|a_i(v^{r+1}, t) - a_i(v^r, t)| < kv^{-i}\varepsilon(v^r)v^{(n-i)r}$$

and for integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that  $p \leq q$

$$(IV) \quad |a_i(v^p, t) - a_i(v^q, t)| < kv^{-i}\varepsilon(v^p)v^{(n-i)p/(1-v^{q-p})}.$$

Since  $\delta$  and  $\beta$  can be arbitrarily small, there exist integers  $p$  and  $q$ ,  $p \leq q$ , such that  $v^q \leq \delta \leq v^{q-1}$ ,  $v^p \leq \beta \leq v^{p-1}$ ; we have in virtue of (III) and (IV) a constant  $k_0$  such that

$$|a_i(\beta, t) - a_i(\delta, t)| < k_0 v^{-i}\varepsilon(\beta)\beta^{n-i}.$$

The limits  $a_i = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} a_i(\delta)$  exist. Hence the lemma.

**THEOREM 2.3** [1]. For a generalized operational function  $f$  to have a value  $c$  (operator) at a point  $\theta_0$  it is necessary and sufficient that  $f = F^{(k)}$ , where  $F$  is a continuous operational function and

$$\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} \frac{F(\theta)}{(\theta - \theta_0)^k} = \frac{c}{k!}.$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality we can take  $\theta_0 = 0$ . Let  $\alpha^{-k}F(\alpha\theta)$  tend to  $c\theta^k/k!$  as  $\alpha$  tends to zero. Since  $f(\alpha\theta) = [\alpha^{-k}F(\alpha\theta)]^{(k)}$ , we see that  $f(\alpha\theta)$  tends to  $c$ , i.e.  $f(\theta)$  has a value  $c$  at the point zero.

To prove the converse, let  $f(\alpha\theta)$  tend to  $c$  as  $\alpha$  tends to zero. Consider  $f(\alpha\theta) = \varphi_\alpha^{(k)}(\theta)$ , where  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \varphi_\alpha(\theta) = c\theta^k/k!$

Now the generalized operational function  $f(\theta)$  is the derivative of order  $k$  of the continuous operational function  $\alpha^k \varphi_\alpha(\theta/\alpha)$ , i.e. there exists a continuous operational function  $F_0(\theta)$  such that  $f(\theta) = F_0^{(k)}(\theta)$ . The difference  $F_0(\theta) - \alpha^k \varphi_\alpha(\theta/\alpha)$  and in consequence the difference  $F_0(\alpha\theta) - \alpha^k \varphi_\alpha(\theta) = \omega_\alpha(\theta)$ , where

$$\omega_\alpha(\theta) = a_0(a) + a_1(a) \cdot \theta + \dots + a_{k-1}(a) \cdot \theta^{k-1}$$

and  $a_i(a)$  are continuous operational functions. As  $\alpha$  tends to zero,  $(F_0(\alpha\theta) - \omega_\alpha(\theta))/\alpha^k$  tends to  $c\theta^k/k!$ .

Assume  $f(\theta) = F_0(\theta) - c\theta^k/k!$ .  $(f(\alpha\theta) - \omega_\alpha(\theta))/\alpha^k$  tends to zero. Therefore, by Lemma 2.2,

$$f(\theta) = a_0 + \dots + a_{k-1}\theta^{k-1} + o(\theta^k),$$

and assuming

$$F(\theta) = F_0(\theta) - a_0 - \dots - a_{n-1}\theta^{k-1} = c\theta^k/k! + o(\theta)^k,$$

we find that this  $F(\theta)$  satisfies the conditions of the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.4** [1, 2]. If the generalized operational function  $f'$  has a value at  $\theta_0$ , then the generalized operational function  $f$  has a value at  $\theta_0$ .

**Proof.** There exist a non-negative integer  $k$  and a continuous operational function  $F$  such that  $f' = F^{(k)}$  and  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} F(\theta)/(\theta - \theta_0)^k$  exists. If  $k = 0$ , then  $f'$  is a continuous operational function as so is  $f$ . Therefore the theorem is true. If  $k > 0$ , then  $\lim_{\theta \rightarrow \theta_0} F(\theta)/(\theta - \theta_0)^{k-1} = 0$ . It follows from the previous theorem that the generalized operational function  $F^{(k-1)}$  has a value at  $\theta_0$ . The generalized operational function  $f$  differs from  $F^{(k-1)}$  by a constant (operator). Therefore  $f$  has a value at  $\theta_0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

The author wishes to express her deep sense of gratitude to Professor M. Venkataraman for all his kind help encouragement in preparing this article. The author also wishes to thank Professor Jan Mikusiński, who made valuable suggestions.

#### References

- [1] S. Łojasiewicz, *Sur la valeur d'une distribution dans un point*, Studia Math. 16 (1957), p. 1-36.
- [2] — *Sur la valeur d'une distribution dans un point*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sc. III. 4 (1956), p. 239-242.
- [3] J. Mikusiński, *Operational calculus*, Warszawa 1959.
- [4] — and R. Sikorski, *The elementary theory of distributions*, Rozprawy Matematyczne 12 (1957) and 25 (1961).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 23. 1. 1968