

# Formulae of Fredholm type for solutions of linear equations with generalized Fredholm operator

by

A. BURACZEWSKI (Warszawa)

**1. Introduction.** Sikorski [3] gave formulae of Fredholm type for solutions of a Fredholm linear equation

$$(I+T)x = x_0$$

in a Banach space  $X$ , and for the adjoint equation

$$\omega(I+T) = \omega_0$$

in a conjugate space  $\Omega$  in case  $T$  is a quasi-nuclear operator. Later I proved the same formulae in a more general case by an algebraic argument [2].

The purpose of this paper is to give a further generalization of Sikorski's formulae to a larger class of linear equations

$$(U+T)x = x_0$$

in a linear space  $X$ , and for the adjoint equation

$$\omega(U+T) = \omega_0$$

in a conjugate space  $\Omega$ , where  $U$  is a generalized identity and  $T$  is any operator such that  $U+T$  is a generalized Fredholm operator of finite defect [1]. The formulae obtained are abstract analogues of the original Fredholm formulae for solutions of inhomogeneous integral equations with a continuous kernel in the space  $C_{[a,b]}$ .

**2. Terminology and notation.**  $\Omega$  and  $X$  denote two fixed linear spaces over the real or complex field  $F$ . The letters  $\omega, \xi, \eta$  will always denote elements of  $\Omega$  and the letters  $x, y, z$  — elements of  $X$ . Every mapping into  $F$  will be called a *functional*.

We assume that  $\Omega$  and  $X$  are conjugate in the sense that there is a bilinear functional  $\omega x$  defined on  $\Omega \times X$  such that

(a) if  $\omega x = 0$  for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , then  $x = 0$ ;

(a') if  $\omega x = 0$  for every  $x \in X$ , then  $\omega = 0$ .

Let  $A$  be a bilinear functional defined on  $\Omega \times X$ . The value of  $A$  at a point  $(\omega, x)$  will be denoted by  $\omega Ax$ .

In the following  $\mathfrak{A}$  will denote the class of all bilinear functionals on  $\Omega \times X$  such that

(b) for every fixed  $x \in X$ , there exists a  $y \in X$  such that  $\omega Ax = \omega y$  for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  (this unique element  $y$  will be denoted by  $Ax$ );

(b') for every fixed  $\omega \in \Omega$  there exists an  $\eta \in \Omega$  such that  $\omega Ax = \eta A$  for every  $x \in X$  (this unique element  $\eta$  will be denoted by  $\omega A$ ).

Thus every bilinear functional  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  can simultaneously be interpreted as an endomorphism  $y = Ax$  in  $X$  or as an endomorphism  $\eta = \omega A$  in  $\Omega$ . The three possible interpretations of  $A$  will systematically be used throughout the paper and the elements  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  will simply be called *operators*.

If  $\omega_0$  and  $x_0$  are fixed non-zero elements, then the bilinear function  $K$  defined by the formula

$$\omega Kx = \omega x_0 \cdot \omega_0 x$$

will be called a *one-dimensional operator* and will be denoted by  $x_0 \cdot \omega_0$ .

Every finite sum of one-dimensional operators will be called a *finite dimensional operator*.

Let  $U \in \mathfrak{A}$  be a fixed generalized Fredholm operator [1] of order  $r(U) = 0$  and defect  $d(U) = -d$  where  $d > 0$ . There exists a quasi-inverse  $S \in \mathfrak{A}$  of  $U$  such that  $r(S) = 0$  and  $d(S) = d$ , i.e.

$$SUS = S, \quad USU = U.$$

Clearly

$$(1) \quad SU = I \quad \text{and} \quad US = I - \sum_{i=1}^d s_i \cdot \varepsilon_i,$$

where  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_d$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_d$  are complete systems of solutions of the equations  $\omega U = 0$  and  $Sx = 0$ , respectively such that  $\varepsilon_i s_j = \delta_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, d$ .

Suppose that  $T \in \mathfrak{A}$  is any fixed operator such that  $U+T$  is a generalized Fredholm operator of order  $r$  and defect  $-d$ . The operator  $U+T$  has a determinant system  $D_0, D_1, \dots$  also of order  $r$  and defect  $-d$ ,  $D_n$  being a multilinear functional on  $\Omega^n \times X^{n+d}$  whose value at a point  $(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, x_1, \dots, x_{n+d})$  is

$$D_n \left( \begin{matrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{matrix} \right).$$

Since  $r$  is the order of the determinant system,  $D_r \neq 0$  but all  $D_i$  with  $i < r$  vanish identically.

Let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{r+d}$  be points such that

$$\delta_r = D_r \left( \begin{matrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ y_1, \dots, y_{r+d} \end{matrix} \right) \neq 0.$$

Then the complete system  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+d}$  of solutions of  $\omega(U+T) = 0$  is given by the formulae

$$(2) \quad \xi_i x = \frac{1}{\delta_r} D_r \left( \begin{matrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ (y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{r+d}) \end{matrix} \right) \quad \text{for every } x \in X$$

and the complete system  $z_1, \dots, z_r$  of solutions of  $(U+T)z = 0$  is given by

$$(3) \quad \omega z_j = \frac{1}{\delta_r} D_r \left( \begin{matrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{j-1}, \omega, \eta_{j+1}, \dots, \eta_r \\ (y_1, \dots, y_{r+d}) \end{matrix} \right) \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega,$$

where  $\xi_i y_j = \delta_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, r+d$ ) and  $\omega_i z_j = \delta_{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ ). The operator  $B$  defined by the formula

$$(4) \quad \omega Bx = \frac{1}{\delta_r} D_{r+1} \left( \begin{matrix} \omega, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ x, y_1, \dots, y_{r+d} \end{matrix} \right)$$

is a quasi-inverse of  $U+T$ .

Moreover, using properties of the determinant system for  $U+T$ , it can be shown that

$$(5) \quad (U+T)B = I - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} y_i \cdot \xi_i, \quad B(U+T) = I - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i.$$

Having (5) we easily obtain the formula

$$(6) \quad STB - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i S = B(US + TS - I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} S y_i \cdot \xi_i.$$

Since the determinant system  $D_0, D_1, \dots$  for  $U+T$  is determined by  $T$  up to a scalar factor  $\neq 0$ , we may assume [1] that this system is of the form

$$(7) \quad D_n = 0 \quad \text{for } n = 0, \dots, r-1,$$

$$(8) \quad D_r \left( \begin{matrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \\ x_1, \dots, x_{r+d} \end{matrix} \right) = \begin{vmatrix} \omega_1 z_1, \dots, \omega_1 z_r \\ \vdots \\ \omega_r z_1, \dots, \omega_r z_r \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 x_1, \dots, \xi_1 x_{r+d} \\ \vdots \\ \xi_{r+d} x_{r+d}, \dots, \xi_{r+d} x_{r+d} \end{vmatrix},$$

and for  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(9) \quad D_{r+k} \left( \begin{matrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{r+k} \\ x_1, \dots, x_{r+d+k} \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{p,q} \operatorname{sgn} p \operatorname{sgn} q \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{p_1} Bx_{q_1}, \dots, \omega_{p_1} Bx_{q_k} \\ \vdots \\ \omega_{p_k} Bx_{q_1}, \dots, \omega_{p_k} Bx_{q_k} \end{vmatrix} \times \\ \times D_r \left( \begin{matrix} \omega_{p_{k+1}}, \dots, \omega_{p_{k+r}} \\ x_{q_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{matrix} \right),$$

where  $\sum_{p,q}$  is extended over all permutations  $p = (p_1, \dots, p_{k+r})$  and  $q = (q_1, \dots, q_{r+d+k})$  of the integers  $1, \dots, r+k$  and  $1, \dots, r+d+k$ , respectively such that

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_k, & \quad p_{k+1} < p_{k+2} < \dots < p_{k+r}, \\ q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_k, & \quad q_{k+1} < q_{k+2} < \dots < q_{k+r+d}. \end{aligned}$$

**2. Formulae of Fredholm type.** We precede the proof of these formulae by the proof of the following theorem:

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $D_0, D_1, \dots$  is a determinant system for  $U+T$  of order  $r$  and defect  $-d < 0$ , then*

$$(11) \quad D_n \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 ST, \dots, \omega_n ST \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^d D_n \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \\ (US+TS-I)x_1, \dots, (US+TS-I)x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix}$$

for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$

Moreover

$$(12) \quad D_r \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 ST, \dots, \omega_r ST \\ x_1, \dots, x_{r+d} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^r D_r \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_r \\ x_1, \dots, x_{r+d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $Uz_i = -Tz_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $-\xi_j = \xi_j(US+TS-I)$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r+d$ , formulae (12) and (11) for  $n = r$  follow from (8). The proof of (11) is based on the well-known formula

$$(13) \quad \begin{vmatrix} a_{1,1} & \dots & a_{1,k+r} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{k+r,1} & \dots & a_{k+r,k+r} \end{vmatrix} = \sum_p \operatorname{sgn} p \begin{vmatrix} a_{p_1,1} & \dots & a_{p_1,k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{p_k,1} & \dots & a_{p_k,k} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} a_{p_{k+1},1} & \dots & a_{p_{k+1},k+r} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{p_{k+r},1} & \dots & a_{p_{k+r},k+r} \end{vmatrix},$$

where the permutation  $p$  is the same as in (10). Therefore by (8), (9), (6), (13), (12) and well-known properties of classical determinants, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{r+k} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 ST, \dots, \omega_{r+k} ST \\ x_1, \dots, x_{r+d+k} \end{pmatrix} \\ = (-1)^r \sum_{p,q} \operatorname{sgn} p \cdot \operatorname{sgn} q \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{p_1} STBx_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_{p_1} STBx_{q_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{p_k} STBx_{q_k} & \dots & \omega_{p_k} STBx_{q_k} \end{vmatrix} \times \\ \times D_r \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{p_{k+1}}, \dots, \omega_{p_{k+r}} \\ x_{q_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^r \sum_q \operatorname{sgn} q \times$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} \omega_1 (STB - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i S) x_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_1 (STB - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i S) x_{q_k} \omega_1 z_1 & \dots & \omega_1 z_r \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{k+r} (STB - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i S) x_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_{k+r} (STB - \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i S) x_{q_k} \omega_{k+r} z_1 & \dots & \omega_{k+r} z_r \end{vmatrix} \times$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 x_{q_{k+1}} & \dots & \xi_1 x_{q_{k+r+d}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{r+d} x_{q_{k+1}} & \dots & \xi_{r+d} x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{vmatrix}.$$

$$= (-1)^r \sum_{p,d} \operatorname{sgn} p \operatorname{sgn} q \times$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{p_1} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_{p_1} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_{q_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{p_k} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_{p_k} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_{q_k} \end{vmatrix} \times$$

$$\times D_r \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{p_{k+1}}, \dots, \omega_{p_{k+r}} \\ x_{q_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (-1)^r \sum_p \operatorname{sgn} p \times$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{p_1} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_1 & \dots & \omega_{p_1} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_{q_{k+r+d}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{p_k} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_1 & \dots & \omega_{p_k} [B(US+TS-I) - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} Sy_i \xi_i] x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{vmatrix} \times$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \xi_1 x_1 & \dots & \xi_1 x_{q_{k+r+d}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \xi_{r+d} x_1 & \dots & \xi_{r+d} x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{vmatrix} \times$$

$$\times \begin{vmatrix} \omega_{p_{k+1}} z_1 & \dots & \omega_{p_{k+1}} z_r \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{p_{k+r}} z_1 & \dots & \omega_{p_{k+r}} z_r \end{vmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (-1)^d \sum_{p,q} \operatorname{sgn} p \cdot \operatorname{sgn} q \times \\
&\quad \times \left| \begin{array}{ccccccc} \omega_{p_1} B(US+TS-I)x_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_{p_1} B(US+TS-I)x_{q_k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ \omega_{p_k} B(US+TS-I)x_{q_1} & \dots & \omega_{p_k} B(US+TS-I)x_{q_k} \end{array} \right| \times \\
&\quad \times D_r \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc} \omega_{p_{k+1}}, \dots, \omega_{p_{k+r}} \\ (US+TS-I)x_{q_1}, \dots, (US+TS-I)x_{q_{k+r+d}} \end{array} \right) \\
&= (-1)^d D_{r+k} \left( \begin{array}{ccccccc} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{k+r} \\ (US+TS-I)x_1, \dots, (US+TS-I)x_{k+r+d} \end{array} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Now we are in a position to prove the formulae of Fredholm type.

THEOREM 2 (cf. [1], p. 152-153). For  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ , let

$$D_n^* \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix} = D_n \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1 ST, \dots, \omega_n ST \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix},$$

and let  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_r, y_1, \dots, y_{r+d}$  be fixed points such that

$$\delta^* = D_r^* \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ y_1, \dots, y_{r+d} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Let  $\xi_i, z_j$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r+d, j = 1, \dots, r$ ) be defined as follows:

$$\xi_i x = \frac{1}{\delta^*} D_r^* \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, x, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_{r+d} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for every } x \in X,$$

$$\omega z_j = \frac{1}{\delta^*} D_r^* \begin{pmatrix} \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{j-1}, \omega, \eta_{j+1}, \dots, \eta_r \\ y_1, \dots, y_{r+d} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for every } \omega \in \Omega$$

and define an operator  $B^*$  by

$$\omega B^* x = \frac{1}{\delta^*} D_{r+1}^* \begin{pmatrix} \omega, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_r \\ x, y_1, \dots, y_{r+d} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the equation

$$(*) \quad (U+T)x = x_0$$

has a solution  $x$  iff  $\xi_i x_0 = 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, r+d$ , and the equation

$$(**) \quad \omega(U+T) = \omega_0$$

has a solution  $\omega$  iff  $\omega_0 z_j = 0$  for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . The general form of the solution of (\*) is given by

$$x = (S-B^*)x_0 + a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_r z_r$$

and the general form of the solution of (\*\*) is

$$\omega = \omega_0(S-B^*) + \omega_1 \xi_1 + \dots + b_{r+d} \xi_{r+d},$$

where  $S$  is a quasi-inverse of  $U$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_{r+d}$  are arbitrary constants.

The formulae for  $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{r+d}$  and  $z_1, \dots, z_r$  can be obtained immediately from (2) and (3) by application of (12), which form complete systems of solutions of  $\omega(U+T) = 0$  and  $(U+T)x = 0$ , respectively. The formulae for solutions of (\*) and (\*\*) can be obtained by use of the identities

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0, \dots, \omega_n \\ (U+T)x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix} \\
= \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \omega_i x_0 D_n \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, \omega_{i+1}, \dots, \omega_n \\ x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix}, \\
D_{n+1} \begin{pmatrix} \omega_0(U+T), \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \\ x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n+d} \end{pmatrix} \\
= \sum_{i=0}^{n+d} (-1)^i \omega_0 x_i D_n \begin{pmatrix} \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{i-1}, \omega_{i+1}, \dots, \omega_{r+d} \\ x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{r+d} \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

for  $n = r$ , so that, by virtue of identity (11),

$$\omega B^*(U+T)x = \omega STx - \sum_{i=1}^r \omega z_i \eta_i STx,$$

$$\omega(U+T)B^*x = \omega(US+TS-I)x - \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} \omega(US+TS-I)y_i \cdot \xi_i x$$

or equivalently

$$(S-B^*)(U+T) = I + \sum_{i=1}^r z_i \cdot \eta_i ST,$$

$$(U+T)(S-B^*) = I + \sum_{i=1}^{r+d} (US+TS-I)y_i \cdot \xi_i.$$

Multiplying the first equation by  $\omega_0$  on the left, and the second equation by  $x_0$  on the right and assuming that  $\omega_0 z_j = 0, \xi_i x_0 = 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, r+d, j = 1, \dots, r$ ) we obtain

$$(U+T)(S-B^*)x_0 = x_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_0(S-B^*)(U+T) = \omega_0.$$

This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] A. Buraczewski, *Determinant theory of generalized Fredholm operators*, Studia Math. 22 (1963), p. 265-307.  
 [2] — *On a certain property of determinant systems*, Coll. Math. 10 (1963), p. 325-330.  
 [3] R. Sikorski, *Remarks on Leśniński's determinants*, Studia Math. 20 (1961), p. 145-161.

UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, KUMASI, GHANA  
 UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 12. 1967

## On functions and distributions with a vanishing derivative

by

J. MIKUSIŃSKI (Katowice)

1. The main purpose of this note is to give some existence and unicity theorems for the equation  $f^{(m)} = 0$ , where  $f$  is a distribution or function of  $q$  real variables, and  $f^{(m)}$  denotes the mixed derivative of order  $m = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)$ . The results presented here are closely related to papers [3] and [4].

We shall first fix the notation. If  $x = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)$  and  $s = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q)$ , where  $\xi_j$  are real numbers and  $\sigma_j$  are non-negative integers, then we use the notation  $x^s = \xi_1^{\sigma_1} \dots \xi_q^{\sigma_q}$  (if  $\xi_j = 0$  and  $\sigma_j = 0$ , then we read  $\xi_j^{\sigma_j} = 1$ ); thus the "power" of the vector  $x$  to the vector exponent  $s$  is a real number. By a *polynomial* of  $x$  of degree  $m$  we understand  $\sum_{0 \leq s \leq m} a_s x^s$ , where the coefficients  $a_s$  are real numbers.

Let  $I = (A, B)$ ; in other terms, we assume that  $A = (A_1, \dots, A_q)$  and  $B = (B_1, \dots, B_q)$  are given points of the  $q$ -dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbf{R}^q$ , such that  $A_j < B_j$ , and  $I$  is the set of points  $x$  satisfying  $A < x < B$ , i.e.,  $A_j < \xi_j < B_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, q$ ). Given the order  $m = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)$ , we assume that, for every  $j = 1, \dots, q$ , the interval  $I$  is cut by  $\mu_j$  different hyperplanes  $\xi_j = \xi_{j1}, \dots, \xi_j = \xi_{j\mu_j}$ ; the intersection of the hyperplane  $\xi_j = \xi_{jk}$  with  $I$  will be denoted by  $H_{jk}$ . Throughout this section, we assume that the interval  $I$ , the order  $m = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q)$  and the numbers  $\xi_{jk}$  ( $j = 1, \dots, q$ ;  $k = 1, \dots, \mu_j$ ) are fixed. If  $\mu_j = 0$  for some index  $j$ , then we understand that no number  $\xi_{jk}$  with that index  $j$  is given. The union of all  $H_{jk}$  will be denoted by  $U$ . Thus we may say that  $U$  is the intersection of  $I$  with the union of all hyperplanes  $\xi = \xi_{jk}$ .

By  $x_s$  ( $0 \leq s \leq m$ ) we shall understand  $x_s = (\xi_1 \sigma_1, \dots, \xi_q \sigma_q)$ , where  $\xi_{j0}$  denotes  $\xi_j$ . We see that the set of points  $x = x_s$  is a hyperplane whose number of dimensions is  $q - \text{sgn } \sigma_1 - \dots - \text{sgn } \sigma_q$ , where  $\text{sgn } \sigma_j = 0$ , if  $\sigma_j = 0$ , and  $\text{sgn } \sigma_j = 1$ , if  $\sigma_j \geq 1$ . Thus, in particular,  $x_0$  denotes the variable  $x$ . The intersection of the hyperplane  $x = x_s$  with  $I$  will be denoted by  $K_s$ . In particular,  $K_0 = I$ . Evidently, if  $s \neq 0$ , then  $K_s$  is included in some  $H_{jk}$ . This implies that the union of all  $K_s$  is  $U$ .