

The direct sum of Banach spaces with respect to a basis

by

WILLIAM J. DAVIS and DAVID W. DEAN (Columbus, Ohio)

§ 0. Introduction. Let E be a Banach space of sequences such that if $(\alpha_n) \in E$ and if $|\beta_n| \leq |\alpha_n|$ for all n , then (i) $(\beta_n) \in E$ and (ii) $\|(\beta_n)\| \leq \|(\alpha_n)\|$ ⁽¹⁾. In [13] A. Pełczyński introduced the notion of a countable sum of Banach spaces with respect to E . Specifically, if (X_n) is a sequence of Banach spaces, then $\Sigma_E X_n$ is the space of sequences (x_n) with $x_n \in X_n$ for each n , and with $(\|x_n\|) \in E$, where $\|x_n\|$ is the norm of x_n in X_n . Defining a norm on this space by $\|(x_n)\| = \|(\|x_n\|)\|_E$, and using coordinate-wise addition and scalar multiplication, $\Sigma_E X_n$ is a Banach space.

Of particular interest is the case in which $X_n = E$ for each n , and $\Sigma_E E$ is isometric (isometrically isomorphic) in a natural way to E . Examples of such spaces are (c_0) , (l_p) ($1 \leq p$), (m) . The Fréchet space (s) is isomorphic to $\Sigma_{(s)}(s)$.

Using this isometry and other special properties of these examples, Pełczyński has penetrated deeply into their structures. In each of these examples, the sequence (e_n) , where $e_n = (\delta_{nj})_{j=1}^{\infty}$, is a basis (or in the case of (m) , a generalized basis [1]) satisfying (i) and (ii) above ⁽²⁾.

Let σ_j be a subsequence of the positive integers N for each j such that $\bigcup \sigma_j = N$ and $\sigma_j \cap \sigma_k = \emptyset$ if $j \neq k$. Let $E(\sigma_j) = \{a \in E \mid a_k = 0 \text{ if } k \notin \sigma_j\}$. If E is (c_0) , (l_p) ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), each $E(\sigma_j)$ is isometric under the natural mapping T such that $T e_{j_i} = e_i$ where j_i is the i th element of σ_j . Moreover, [13], E is isometric to $\Sigma_E E(\sigma_j)$ in a natural way. Another way to say this is as follows. Let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$ be one to one and onto. Let $E_j = E(\{n \mid \tau(n) = (j, k) \text{ for some } k\})$. Then E is isometric to each E_j and to $\Sigma_E E_j$. Moreover, the isometry T of E with $\Sigma_E E_j$ has the property that $T e_n = e_{jk}$ if $\tau(n) = (j, k)$, where e_{jk} is the k th coordinate sequence in the j th copy of E . If every such τ induces an isometry of E with $\Sigma_E E$, say that E is *dispersed*. If each τ induces an isomorphism T such that $\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\| < k$ where k is independent of τ , say the space is *almost dispersed*. If

⁽¹⁾ Every Banach space with a w^* -separable conjugate space has a generalized basis. Then every such space has a representation as a space of sequences.

⁽²⁾ We shall say that such a basis or generalized basis is *orthogonal* [14].

there is a τ inducing an isomorphism of E with $\Sigma_E E$, say E is *weakly dispersed*. The word *induces* means the following. If $(a_{jk})_{j,k=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in $\Sigma_E E_j$, $(a_{jk})_{k=1}^\infty$ in E_j , then this sequence is mapped to the sequence $(a_{\tau^{-1}(j,k)})$ in E .

With this structure Pelczyński ([13], see also [8], p. 393) has proved:

PROPOSITION 1. *Let E be weakly dispersed. If X is a complemented subspace of E and if E is isomorphic to a complemented subspace of X , then E is isomorphic to X .*

The space $\Sigma_E E$ is at the center of this discussion. In § 1 it is shown that E is almost dispersed if and only if it is weakly dispersed and symmetric (defined to be the natural extension of Singer's symmetric condition on bases ([15], [16])). In § 2 the almost dispersed concept is characterized geometrically. Using this characterization, it is shown in § 4 that these spaces are isomorphic to (l_1) , (e_0) , (l_∞) , or reflexive, and if the space is dispersed then isometric to (l_p) ($1 \leq p \leq \infty$), or (e_0) . Further it is shown that if (e_n) is a basis, then almost dispersed and perfectly homogeneous are the same. In § 3 it is noted that many of the properties established in [13] hold for these spaces.

§ 1. Symmetric spaces and dispersed generalized bases. Let P denote the set of permutations $\rho: N \rightarrow N$. Suppose for each sequence $(a_i) \in E$ and each sequence (δ_i) such that $|\delta_i| \leq 1$ for each i that one has $(\delta_i a_i) \in E$. Following Singer [15], [16], define $|||(\alpha_i)||| = \sup\{||(\delta_i a_{\rho(i)})|| \mid |\delta_i| \leq 1 \text{ for each } i, \rho \in P\}$. If (e_n) is a basis for E , then $|||(\alpha_i)||| = \sup\{||\sum_1^n \alpha_i e_{\rho(i)}|| \mid n \in N, \rho \in P\}$. If this new norm is equivalent to the old, say that the generalized basis (e_n) is a *symmetric system* for E . If $|||\dots||| = ||\dots||$, say that E is *symmetric*.

There is a close relation between a symmetric E and an almost dispersed E . For example, it is immediate that each symmetric generalized basis is orthogonal. If (e_n) is in fact a basis for E , then E is *collapsing*. That is:

PROPOSITION 2. *Let (e_n) be a basis for E which is a symmetric system and let (n_i) be a subsequence of N . Then the mapping $T e_{n_i} = e_i$ for each i induces an isomorphism of $E((n_i))$ onto E which is an isometry if E is symmetric.*

We remark here that if E is separable and the generalized basis (e_n) is orthogonal, then (e_n) is a basis [11].

Proof of Proposition 2. It is clear that for arbitrary sequences (α_i) of scalars, and $(m_i), (n_i) \subset N$, one has

$$|||\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{n_i} e_{n_i}||| = |||\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{m_i} e_{m_i}|||.$$

In particular, set $m_i = i$ for all i . Thus,

$$u_N = \sum_{i=1}^N a_{n_i} e_i$$

determines a Cauchy sequence in E such that

$$u_N \rightarrow u = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_{n_i} e_i \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore,

$$|||u||| = |||\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_{n_i} e_{n_i}|||.$$

If we take mutually disjoint sequences (n_i^j) , $j = 1, 2, \dots$, of positive integers, it is clear that a symmetric space E contains an infinite number of mutually "orthogonal" copies of itself, which might cause us to hope that $E \sim \Sigma E_j$, where $E_j = E((n_i^j))$. In section 4 we give a counterexample to this supposition. One does, however, obtain the following

THEOREM 1. *The sequence space E is almost dispersed if and only if (e_n) is a symmetric system and E is weakly dispersed.*

Proof. Let E be almost dispersed and $\rho \in P$. Given any onto and one to one $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$, there exists τ_1 such that $\tau^{-1} \circ \tau_1 = \rho$. Let S and S_1 be the isomorphisms of E onto ΣE induced by τ and τ_1 , respectively. Then $S^{-1} \circ S_1$ is an isomorphism of E onto itself satisfying $(S^{-1} \circ S_1) e_j = e_{\rho(j)}$. Thus, from the definition of almost dispersed, there are constants $c, k > 0$, independent of ρ , such that for every sequence $(\alpha_i) \in E$ one has

$$c ||(\alpha_i)|| \leq \sup_{|\delta_j| \leq 1, \rho \in P} ||(\delta_i \alpha_{\rho(i)})|| \leq k ||(\alpha_i)||.$$

Conversely, assume that E is weakly dispersed and (e_n) is a symmetric system. Renorming with $|||\dots|||$, E is symmetric and weakly dispersed. The latter condition guarantees a τ inducing an isomorphism of E with $\Sigma_E E$. Any other τ_1 is of the form $\tau \circ \rho$ for some ρ in P and the induced mappings S for ρ and T for τ have composition $S \circ T$ with norm bounded independent of ρ . Thus $T_1 = S \circ T$, the induced mapping for τ_1 , has norm bounded independent of τ_1 .

The following example shows that weakly dispersed does not imply almost dispersed. The space is isometric to (e_0) . This makes it clear that the notion of dispersed depends on the basis chosen as well as the space itself.

EXAMPLE 1. Let (a_j) be the sequence $(1, 2, 1, 2, 4, 1, \dots)$. Let E be the Banach space of sequences (β_j) such that $(a_j \beta_j) \in (e_0)$ with norm $||(\beta_j)|| = ||(a_j \beta_j)||$. The coordinate basis (e_n) is not symmetric since shifting a sequence may change its norm by large amounts. Let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$

be any one to one, onto mapping such that $a_n = a_j a_k$ if $\tau(n) = (j, k)$. Define $T: E \rightarrow \Sigma E$ by means of this τ . Thus, $T((\beta_n)) = (\beta_{jk})$ where $\beta_n = \beta_{jk}$ if $\tau(n) = (j, k)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|((\beta_{jk})_{k=1}^{\infty})_{j=1}^{\infty}\| &= \|((\|\beta_{jk}\|_{k=1}^{\infty})_{j=1}^{\infty})\| = \sup_{1 \leq j < \infty} (a_j \sup_{1 \leq k < \infty} a_k |\beta_{jk}|) \\ &= \sup_{1 \leq j, k < \infty} a_j a_k |\beta_{jk}| = \sup_{1 \leq n < \infty} a_n |\beta_n| = \|(\beta_n)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, T is an isometry. To see that T is onto, notice that all processes above are reversible. It follows from theorem 1 that (e_n) is not almost dispersed. This may be seen directly by choosing n such that $\tau(n) = (l, k)$ if $a_n = 1$ and $\tau(n) \neq (l, k)$ if $a_n > 1$. Then, the sequence $(1/n a_n) \in E$, but $T((1/n a_n)) \notin \Sigma E$ since $\|(\beta_{lk})\| = \infty$, where $T((1/n a_n)) = (\beta_{jk})$.

§ 2. A geometric condition for a dispersed system. Basic to the concept of a dispersed or weakly dispersed system is the statement: If $\sigma_k = \{n \in N \mid \tau(n) = (k, i) \text{ for some } i\}$ and if x and y are elements of E such that $f_j(x) = 0$ if $j \notin \sigma_n$ and $f_j(y) = 0$ if $j \notin \sigma_m$, $n \neq m$ (i.e. if x and y are in different copies of E in ΣE), then $C\|x+y\| \leq \| \|x\|e_n + \|y\|e_m \| \leq K\|x+y\|$. For a dispersed system, $C = K = 1$ and the system is symmetric, so $\|x+y\| = \| \|x\|e_i + \|y\|e_j \|$ for every $i \neq j$. The following proposition is useful in section 3 and in characterizing dispersed and almost dispersed systems using geometric conditions of the above type.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let E be almost dispersed and let $\varrho: N \rightarrow N$ be one to one. Then $X(\varrho(N)) = \{(a_i) \in E \mid a_i = 0 \text{ if } i \notin \varrho(N)\}$ is isomorphic to E under an isomorphism S such that $s((a_i)) = (a_{\varrho(i)})$.*

Proof. Note first that $X(\varrho(N))$ is isometric to $X(\varrho(N), 1) = \{(x_i) \in \Sigma E \mid x_j = 0 \text{ if } j \neq 1 \text{ or } i \notin \varrho(N)\}$, the isometry being $(x_i) \rightarrow (x_{\varrho(i)}) \in X(\varrho(N), 1)$. Now suppose that $N - \varrho(N)$ is infinite. Let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$ satisfy $\tau(\varrho(n)) = (n, 1)$ for each n . If T is the restriction to $X(\varrho(N))$ of the isomorphism of E onto ΣE induced by τ and if $(a_i) \in X(\varrho(N))$, then $T((a_i)) = ((a_{jk})_{k=1}^{\infty})_{j=1}^{\infty}$ where $a_{jk} = 0$ unless $i = \varrho(n)$ and $\tau(i) = (j, k)$ where $k = 1$ and $j = n$, or $TX(\varrho(N)) = X((N, 1))$ which is isometric to E , letting $(x_n) \xrightarrow{I} (x_n, 1)$. The mapping S is $I^{-1}T$.

Next suppose that $N - \varrho(N)$ is finite. Embed $X(\varrho(N))$ as $X((\varrho(N), 1))$ in ΣE and choose $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$. Then $\tau^{-1}((\varrho(N), 1)) = N_1$ has $N - N_1$ infinite and, by the above, $X(\tau^{-1}(\varrho(N)))$ is isomorphic to E , say under T , while τ^{-1} induces an isomorphism T^{-1} of $X((\varrho(N), 1))$ with $X(N_1)$. The mapping $TT^{-1}I = S$ is the one we seek, where I is the embedding $X(\varrho(N))$ to $X((\varrho(N), 1))$.

We remark that $\|S\|, \|S^{-1}\|$ are bounded independently of ϱ since $\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|$ are so bounded.

COROLLARY 1. *Let E have orthogonal generalized basis (e_n) . Then E is almost dispersed if and only if every one to one, into $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$ induces an isomorphism T from E into ΣE such that $\|T\|, \|T^{-1}\|$ are bounded independently of τ .*

Proof. Sufficiency is immediate since the set of onto τ 's is included. Thus let E be almost dispersed. Let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$ be one to one and let $\tau_1: N \rightarrow N \times N$ be one to one and onto. Then $\tau_1^{-1} \circ \tau: N \rightarrow N$ is one to one. Let $\tau_1^{-1} \circ \tau = \varrho$ so $\tau = \tau_1 \circ \varrho$. Let S and T_1 be the isomorphisms induced by τ and τ_1 respectively. Then $T = T_1 \circ S$ is the desired isomorphism and is norm bounded independently of τ .

The geometric condition we are interested in is contained in the statement of the next theorem. For x in E let the support of x ($\text{supp } x$) be $\{j \mid x_j \neq 0\}$. Say that x and u are disjoint if $\text{supp } x \cap \text{supp } u = \emptyset$.

THEOREM 2. *The following are equivalent. (i) E is almost dispersed. (ii) The generalized basis (e_n) is orthogonal and there exist constants $C, K > 0$ such that, if $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ are elements of E satisfying $\text{supp}(x_i) \cap \text{supp}(x_j) = \emptyset = \text{supp}(y_i) \cap \text{supp}(y_j)$ whenever $i \neq j$, and such that $\|x_i\| = \|y_j\|$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), then $C\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| \leq \|y_1 + \dots + y_n\| \leq K\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|$.*

Proof. Suppose that E is almost dispersed. Let x_i, y_i be as in (ii) and let $\tau, \tau_1: N \rightarrow N \times N$ be one to one, into maps such that $\tau(\text{supp } x_i) \subset ((i, j))$ and $\tau_1(\text{supp } y_i) \subset ((i, j))$. Let T and T_1 be the isomorphisms induced by τ and τ_1 as guaranteed by corollary 1. Then there are constants $A, B > 0$, independent of τ, τ_1 , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| &\leq B\|Tx_1 + \dots + Tx_n\| \\ &= B\| \|x_1\|e_1 + \dots + \|x_n\|e_n \| \\ &= B\| \|y_1\|e_1 + \dots + \|y_n\|e_n \| \\ &= B\|T_1y_1 + \dots + T_1y_n\| \\ &\leq AB\|y_1 + y_2 + \dots + y_n\| \\ &\leq AB^2\|T(y_1 + \dots + y_n)\| \\ &= AB^2\| \|x_1\|e_1 + \dots + \|x_n\|e_n \| \\ &\leq A^2B^2\|x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_n\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{AB}\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\| \leq \|y_1 + \dots + y_n\| \leq AB\|x_1 + \dots + x_n\|.$$

If the system is dispersed, $A = B = 1$.

Now assume that (ii) is satisfied. It will be shown in § 4, independently of this argument, that if (e_n) is not a basis for E , then E is isomorphic to (m) in the natural way $(C_1\|(a_j)\|_E \leq \|(a_j)\|_m \leq K_1\|(a_j)\|_E$ for every $(a_j) \in E$).

Thus we assume here that (e_n) is a basis for E . For any $(a_j) \in E$ condition (ii) promises that $C \left\| \sum_1^n a_j e_j \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_1^n a_j e_{\sigma(j)} \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_1^n a_j e_j \right\|$ for every n and $\sigma \in P$. Thus (e_n) is a symmetric basis. Let σ_n be infinite, $\cup \sigma_n = N$, $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. For $x = (a_j) \in E$ let $x_{i_j} = a_j$ if $j \in \sigma_i$, and $x_{i_j} = 0$ if $j \notin \sigma_i$. Let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N \in \tau \sigma_n = \{(n, k) \mid k \in N\}$. Since (e_n) is a basis, $x = \sum_1^\infty x_i$ and by (ii) $C \left\| \sum_1^n x_i \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_1^n \|x_i\| e_i \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_1^n x_i \right\|$ for every n , or $C \|x\| \leq \left\| \sum_1^\infty \|x_i\| e_i \right\| \leq K \|x\|$ so that $(x_i) \in \Sigma_E E$ and the mapping T induced by τ is continuous. For any $(x_i) \in \Sigma_E E$ let $a_n = x_{ij}$ if $\tau^{-1}(i, j) = n$. Then $(a_n) \in E$ and $T((a_n)) = (x_i)$. By theorem 1 we conclude the argument.

EXAMPLE 2. Using the geometric conditions we can now construct a space which is symmetric but not almost dispersed, and so not weakly dispersed. Similar such examples have been constructed by Singer [16].

Let (d) be the linear space of real sequences $a = (a_j)$ with norm

$$\|a\| = \sup_{\sigma \in P} \sum_1^\infty \frac{|a_{\sigma(j)}|}{j}.$$

Then (e_n) is a symmetric basis for (d) which is boundedly complete so that (d) is a conjugate space, and so it is not isomorphic to (e_0) . (If (y_n) is biorthogonal to (e_n) and (f_n) is the natural basis for $l_1 = c_0^*$, then $(d) \sim [y_n]^* \sim [f_n]^* = (m)$ so that E is not separable; [7], p. 70). Further (e_n) converges weakly to 0 but not in norm as follows: Otherwise there exists f in $(d)^*$ and a sequence (e_{n_j}) such that $f(e_{n_j}) > \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and some subsequence (n_j) of N . Then if $u = \sum_1^\infty \frac{1}{j} e_{n_j}$, $f(u) = \infty$. Thus (d) is not isomorphic to (l_1) ([7], p. 33). In a private communication J. R. Retherford has shown that (d) is not reflexive.

To see that (d) is not almost dispersed, fix k, m in N with $m > 1$. Let $\beta_i = (\log mk)^{-1}$ if $j = m(i-1)+1, \dots, mi$; 0 if $j \neq m(i-1)+1, \dots, mi$. Then $\|\beta_i\| = (\log mk)^{-1} \sum_1^m \frac{1}{j}$ while $\left\| \sum_1^k \beta_i \right\| = \left(\sum_1^{\frac{mk}{j}} \frac{1}{j} \right) (\log mk)^{-1}$ which converges as $mk \rightarrow \infty$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^k \|\beta_j\| e_j \right\| &= (\log mk)^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{j} \left\| \sum_1^k e_n \right\| \\ &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{j} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{n} \right) (\log m + \log k)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For large k and very large m , $\left\| \sum_1^k \beta_j \right\|$ is near 1 while $\left\| \sum_1^k \|\beta_j\| e_j \right\|$ is greater than $\frac{1}{2} \sum_1^k \frac{1}{j}$. Thus the geometric condition is violated.

§ 3. Complemented subspaces of ΣE . In this section we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 3. Let E be almost dispersed. If X is an infinite-dimensional complemented subspace of E , then X is isomorphic to E .

The proof follows the lines of Pełczyński's argument for (c_0) , (l_p) ($1 \leq p < \infty$), but does not depend on the special properties of the particular norms involved. One lemma is needed.

LEMMA 1. With E as in theorem 3, let (z_n) be a sequence in E with mutually disjoint supports and $z_n \neq 0$ for every n . Then $[z_n]$ is isomorphic to E and complemented in E . If E is dispersed then $[z_n]$ is isometric to E and there is a norm one projection onto $[z_n]$.

Proof. We may assume $\|z_n\| = 1$ (since $[z_n] = z_n / \|z_n\|$). From the geometric condition, one obtains

$$C \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j \right\| \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j z_j \right\| \leq K \left\| \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e_j \right\|$$

for every n , so the mapping $T(\Sigma a_j e_j) = \Sigma a_j z_j$ is an isomorphism of E onto $[z_n]$, which is an isometry if $C = K = 1$.

To construct the projection, let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$ be one-to-one so that $S z_n \in E_n$, the n th copy of E in ΣE , where S is the isomorphism induced by τ . The span of $S z_n$ is one-dimensional, so there is a norm one projection of E_n onto $S z_n$'s span. By proposition 3 of [13], $[S z_n]$ is complemented in ΣE , say under a projection π , and $\|\pi\| = 1$ if $C = K = 1$. The projection $S^{-1} \circ \pi \circ S$ from E onto $[z_n]$ has the desired properties.

Using lemma 1, we use Pełczyński's argument ([13], p. 214) to obtain:

LEMMA 2. If E is as in theorem 3, and if X is an infinite-dimensional subspace of E , then X contains an infinite-dimensional complemented subspace of E .

The proof of theorem 3 is now an immediate consequence of proposition 1 with the aid of lemma 2.

COROLLARY 3. Let E and F have almost dispersed bases. Then F can be embedded in E if and only if F is isomorphic to E .

Proof. Let T be an embedding (isomorphism into) of F into E . Then TF contains a subspace Y which is complemented in E and isomorphic to E . Then $T^{-1}Y$ is complemented in F , and so is isomorphic to F by theorem 3. The other direction is just proposition 1.

We mention the following without proof, since the arguments are routine. If the unit vector system (e_n) for a B -space E is an orthogonal basis, then E^* , as a sequence space, has the coefficient functionals $(f_n) \subset E^*$ as an orthogonal system. Thus, if (X_n) is a sequence of B -spaces, $(\Sigma_{E^*} X_n^*)$ is a B -space, and in fact $(\Sigma_{E^*} X_n^*)$ is isomorphic to $(\Sigma_E X_n)^*$.

§ 4. In this section we characterize almost dispersed and dispersed B and B_0 spaces ⁽³⁾.

The next theorem and its corollary characterize almost dispersed sequence spaces.

THEOREM 4. *Let E be almost dispersed. Then E is either isomorphic to (m) , or (e_n) is an unconditional basis for E . If E is non-separable, the isomorphism with (m) is such that $Te_n = \delta_n$, where (δ_n) denotes the unit coordinate basis of (m) .*

PROOF. The fact that (e_n) is an unconditional basis in the separable case was proved by Kadec and Pełczyński [11].

In the non-separable case, (e_n) cannot be a basis, so there exists $x \in E$ such that for any finite set $\sigma \subset N$

$$\|x - \sum_{n \in \sigma} f_n(x) e_n\| \geq d > 0.$$

By orthogonality,

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \sigma} f_n(x) e_n \right\| \leq \|x\|.$$

If $\sum_1^\infty f_n(x) e_n$ converged it would converge to x which it does not. Thus there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ and $0 = n_0 < n_1 < \dots$ such that

$$w_j = \sum_{n=n_{j-1}+1}^{n_j} f_n(x) e_n$$

satisfies $\|w_j\| \geq \varepsilon$. For any $a = (a_j) \in (m)$, define $\beta_{jn} = a_j$ if $n_{j-1} < n \leq n_j$, and denote by $\sum a_j w_j$ the sequence $(\beta_{jn} f_n(x)) \in E$. Using orthogonality,

$$\varepsilon \|a\|_{(m)} \leq \left\| \sum a_j w_j \right\| \leq \|x\| \|a\|_{(m)}.$$

Now, let $u_j = \|w_j\|^{-1} w_j$, and let $\tau: N \rightarrow N \times N$ be defined by $\tau(k) = (j, l)$ if $n_{j-1} < k \leq n_j$. Let v_j be the element u_j in the j th copy of E in ΣE . By proposition 2, E is isomorphic to $\Sigma E(\sigma_j)$, where $E(\sigma_j) = \text{sp}(e_{n_{j-1}+1}, \dots, e_{n_j})$ in the j th copy of E in ΣE . Therefore, $y = (y_n) \in E$ if and only if $(y_j v_j) \in \Sigma E(\sigma_j)$, which occurs if and only if $\Sigma y_j u_j \in E$. Therefore, (u_j)

is equivalent to (e_n) . This proves $E \sim (m)$ since (u_j) is equivalent to (δ_{nj}) in (m) .

COROLLARY 3. *If E is a separable, non-reflexive, almost dispersed sequence space, then $E \sim (c_0)$ or $E \sim (l_1)$.*

Proof. A non-reflexive space with an unconditional basis (e_n) contains a copy of (c_0) or of (l_1) [10]. By corollary 2, E is isomorphic to either (c_0) or (l_1) .

A. Pełczyński and W. Ruckle have pointed out, in private communications, that if E is dispersed and separable, then it is a P -space as in [5]. Therefore it is isometric to (c_0) or (l_p) , $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Finally if E is non-normable and a B_0 -space (Fréchet space) with basis (e_n) , and almost dispersed, then it is isomorphic to (s) by theorem 7 of [4].

The following proposition was observed by the referee. A basis (x_n) for a Banach space x is called *perfectly homogeneous* [3] if every sequence of the form

$$z_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{p_{n+1}} a_k x_k$$

with $0 < c_1 \leq \|z_n\| \leq c_2 < \infty$ is a basis for its closed span, $[z_n]$, equivalent to the basis (x_n) (such a sequence is called a *block basic sequence*). That is, the mapping $\sum a_i x_i \rightarrow \sum a_i z_i$ is an isomorphism of X onto $[z_n]$.

PROPOSITION 4. *The sequence space E is almost dispersed if and only if the unit vector basis (e_n) is perfectly homogeneous.*

Proof. The only if part is clear from the proof of lemma 1. Thus assume that (e_n) is perfectly homogeneous. Such a basis is symmetric [16], so we may assume in fact that

$$\|(a_j)\| = \sup_{|a_i| \leq 1, a_i \in \mathcal{P}} \left\| \sum \delta_i a_i e_{e(i)} \right\|.$$

Then the mappings $S_n((a_i)) = 0$ if $i \leq n$, a_{i-n} if $i \geq n+1$, are isometries. We shall prove that there are positive constants K_1, K_2 such that given a block basis sequence (z_n) with $\|z_n\| = 1$ for every n then $K_1 \|\sum a^i e_i\| \leq \|\sum a_i z_i\| \leq K_2 \|\sum a_i e_i\|$. Using a technique found in [13], p. 215, it is then easy to show that the geometric condition is satisfied. Assume that such K_2 exists. Then there is a sequence of isomorphisms (T_n) such that $(T_n e_j)$ is a block basic sequence for each n and $\|T_n e_j\| = 1$ for all n, j , and for each n there is an element

$$\sum_1^{k_n} a_{jn} e_j = x_n$$

⁽³⁾ Certain of these results were obtained simultaneously by the referee and the authors.

having norm ≤ 1 such that $\|T_n a_n\| > n$. Let c_n be the largest integer in

$$\bigcup_1^{k_n} \text{supp}(T_n e_j).$$

Then let $w_j = T_1 e_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, k_1$ while $w_{k_1+\dots+k_n+j} = S_{c_1+\dots+c_n} T_{n+1} e_j$, $j = k_n+1, \dots, k_{n+1}$. Then (w_j) is a block basic sequence such that $\|w_j\| = 1$ for all j and

$$\left\| \sum_{j=k_1+\dots+k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}} a_{j(n+1)} e_j \right\| \leq 1$$

but

$$\left\| \sum_{j=k_1+\dots+k_n+1}^{k_{n+1}} a_{j(n+1)} w_j \right\| > n.$$

In a similar way one shows that K_1 exists.

The following problems arise naturally:

PROBLEM 1. *Pełczyński has conjectured that the only B-spaces with perfectly homogeneous bases are isomorphic to (c_0) or (l_p) ($1 \leq p < \infty$). The only remaining part of this problem is: If E is separable, almost dispersed and reflexive, is E isomorphic to some (l_p) ($1 < p < \infty$)?*

PROBLEM 2. *A wide class of complemented subspaces of (m) is known which contains subspaces isomorphic to (m) , [9]. Are all complemented subspaces of (m) isomorphic to (m) ?*

PROBLEM 3. *Does proposition 2 remain valid in the non-separable case?*

References

- [1] M. G. Arsove and R. E. Edwards, *Generalized bases in topological linear spaces*, Studia Math. 19 (1960), p. 95-113.
- [2] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Warszawa 1932.
- [3] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 17 (1958), p. 151-164.
- [4] C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński and S. Rolewicz, *Some properties of the space (s)* , Colloq. Math. 7 (1959), p. 45-51.
- [5] F. Bohnenblust, *An axiomatic characterization of L_p spaces*, Duke Math. J. 6 (1940), p. 627-640.
- [6] W. J. Davis, *Dual generalized bases in linear topological spaces*, Proc. A. M. S. 17 (1966), p. 1057-1063.
- [7] M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, New York 1962.
- [8] D. W. Dean, *Projections in certain continuous function spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 14 (1962), p. 385-401.
- [9] — *Subspaces of $C(H)$ which are direct factors of $C(H)$* , Proc. A. M. S. 16 (1965), p. 237-242.
- [10] R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Ann. Math. 52 (1950) p. 518-527.

[11] M. Kadec and A. Pełczyński, *Basic sequences, biorthogonal systems and norming sets in Banach and Fréchet spaces*, Studia Math. 25 (1965), p. 297-323.

[12] A. Markushevich, *Sur les bases (au sens large) dans les espaces linéaires*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk S. S. S. R. 41 (1943), p. 227-229.

[13] A. Pełczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19 (1960), p. 209-228.

[14] I. Singer, *On a theorem of I. M. Gelfand*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 17 (1962), p. 169-176 (Russian).

[15] — *On Banach spaces with symmetric bases*, Revue de Math. Pures. et Appl., Acad. R. P. R. 6 (1961), p. 159-166 (Russian).

[16] — *Some characterizations of symmetric bases in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci. 10 (1962), p. 185-192.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25. 5. 1966