

# On $w^*$ -sequential convergence, type $P^*$ bases, and reflexivity \*

by

R. J. FLEMING, R. D. MCWILLIAMS and J. R. RETHERFORD (Florida)

1. If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $S$  a subspace of  $X^*$ , let  $K_X(S)$  denote the  $w^*$ -sequential closure of  $S$  in  $X^*$ . McWilliams ([6], Thm. 1) has recently shown that if  $f \in K_X(S)$  and

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(f) = \inf \left\{ \sup_n \|f_n\| : \{f_n\} \subset S \text{ and } w^*\text{-}\lim_n f_n = f \right\},$$

then  $K_X(S)$  is closed in the norm topology of  $X^*$  if and only if there is a number  $C \geq 1$  such that  $\varphi(f) \leq C\|f\|$  for all  $f \in K_X(S)$ . In accordance with this, for a subspace  $S$  of  $X^*$  let

$$(1.2) \quad C_S = \inf \{C : \varphi(f) \leq C\|f\| \text{ for all } f \in K_X(S)\},$$

$$(1.3) \quad Q_X = \sup \{C_S : S \text{ is a subspace of } X^*\}.$$

It is clear that  $Q_X = \infty$  if and only if either

$$(1.4) \quad \text{there exists a sequence } \{S_N\} \text{ of subspaces of } X^* \text{ such that } C_{S_N} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } N \rightarrow \infty,$$

or

$$(1.5) \quad \text{there is a subspace } S \text{ in } X^* \text{ such that } C_S = \infty.$$

If  $X$  is reflexive, then  $K_X(S) = S$  for every closed subspace  $S$  of  $X^*$ , and hence trivially  $Q_X = 1$ . Similarly, since  $w$ -sequential and  $w^*$ -sequential convergence coincide in  $(m)^*$  ([4], Theorem 9, p. 168), where  $(m)$  is the (non-reflexive) space of bounded sequences, it follows that  $Q_{(m)} = 1$ .

In Section 2 it is proved that if  $X$  has a type  $P^*$  basis ([8], p. 354) then  $Q_X = \infty$ . It then follows that for  $X$  to be reflexive it is necessary and sufficient that  $Q_Y < \infty$  for every closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ . Further, it is shown that if  $X$  has an unconditional basis and  $Q_X < \infty$ , then  $X$  is reflexive.

\* Supported in part by National Science Foundation Grant GP-2179 and Florida State University Research Council Grant 036 (42).

In Section 3 it is shown that (1.5) is satisfied for  $(l^1)$  and for  $(c_0)$ . For a quasi-reflexive space [2], (1.5) can never be satisfied, but (1.4) is satisfied for the quasi-reflexive space of James ([5], p. 523). Further, it is shown for a quasi-reflexive space  $X$  that  $K_{X^*}(J_X(X)) = X^{**}$ , where  $J_X$  is the canonical mapping from  $X$  into  $X^{**}$ , and that if  $S$  is a subspace of  $X^*$ , then  $K_X(K_X(S)) = K_X(S)$ .

Finally, in Section 4 some unsolved problems are mentioned.

2. If  $\{x_n\}$  is a basis for a Banach space  $X$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be of type  $P^*$  if

$$\sup_n \|x_n\| < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \right\| < \infty,$$

where  $\{h_i\}$  is the sequence of functionals in  $X^*$  biorthogonal to  $\{x_i\}$ .

THEOREM 1. If  $X$  is a Banach space with a basis  $\{x_i\}$  of type  $P^*$ , then  $Q_X = \infty$ .

Proof. We may assume that  $\sup_i \|x_i\| \leq 1$ . Let  $\{h_i\}$  be the sequence in  $X^*$  biorthogonal to  $\{x_i\}$  and let

$$T = \sup_n \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n h_i \right\|.$$

From [8] (Prop. 3, p. 356) there is a  $g_0 \in X^*$  such that  $g_0(x_n) = 1$  for each  $n$ . Let  $N$  be a positive number greater than 1. Define  $\{f^{Nj}\}_{j=1}^\infty$  as follows: for each  $j$ ,

$$f^{Nj} = N \|g_0\| g_0 - (N \|g_0\| - 1) \sum_{i=1}^j h_i.$$

Then  $\|f^{Nj}\| \leq N \|g_0\|^2 + (N \|g_0\| - 1)T$  for each  $j$ . On the other hand, since  $\|x_n\| \leq 1$  for each  $n$ ,  $\|f^{Nj}\| \geq |f^{Nj}(x_{j+1})| = N \|g_0\|$ . It is clear that

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} f^{Nj}(x_k) = g_0(x_k) = 1 \quad \text{for each } k.$$

Thus, since  $\{f^{Nj}\}$  is bounded in norm,  $w^* - \lim_j f^{Nj} = g_0$ . Suppose

$$g = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i f^{N_i},$$

where  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  are scalars. Then

$$(2.1) \quad \|g\| \geq |g(x_{m+1})| = N \|g_0\| \left| \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \right|.$$

Let  $S_N$  be the (not necessarily closed) subspace of  $X^*$  spanned by  $\{f^{Nj}\}$ . Suppose  $\{g^n\}$  is a sequence in  $S_N$  converging to  $g_0$  in the  $w^*$ -topology. For each  $n$ ,

$$g^n = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} a_i^{(n)} f^{N_i} \quad \text{and} \quad g^n(x_1) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} a_i^{(n)}.$$

Since  $\lim g^n(x_1) = g_0(x_1) = 1$ , it follows that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $M > 0$  such that for  $n > M$ ,

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^{m_n} a_i^{(n)} - 1 \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Thus from (2.1) and (2.2),

$$(2.3) \quad \liminf_n \|g^n\| \geq N \|g_0\|.$$

Now  $g_0 \in K(S_N)$  and, by (2.3),  $\varphi(g_0) \geq N \|g_0\|$ . Thus  $C_{S_N} \geq N$ , and so  $Q_X = +\infty$ .

Remark 1. For every  $N > 1$  the subspace  $S_N$  constructed in the proof of Theorem 1 has the property that  $K_X(S_N) = X^*$ , and hence  $C_{S_N}$  is finite.

Proof. Let  $f$  be a non-zero element of  $X^*$ . For each positive integer  $n$  let

$$d_k^{(n)} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x_{k+1}) - f(x_k)}{N \|g_0\| - 1} & \text{for } 1 \leq k < n, \\ \frac{N \|g_0\| f(x_1) - f(x_n)}{N \|g_0\| - 1} & \text{for } k = n, \end{cases}$$

and let

$$p^n = \sum_{k=1}^n d_k^{(n)} f^{Nk}.$$

We note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n d_k^{(n)} = f(x_1) \quad \text{and} \quad p^n(x_j) = \begin{cases} N \|g_0\| f(x_1) & \text{for } j > n, \\ f(x_j) & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n. \end{cases}$$

If  $x \in X$ , then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i x_i$  for some scalar sequence  $\{a_i\}$ ; since  $g_0(x_i) = 1$  for each  $i$ , the series  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty a_i$  converges. If  $\varepsilon > 0$  is given, then there is an  $M > 0$  such that for  $n > M$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \sum_{i=n+1}^\infty a_i \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2N \|g_0\| \|f\|},$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \left| \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i f(x_i) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus for  $n > M$ ,

$$|p^n(x) - f(x)| = |N \|g_0\| f(x_1) - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i - \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i f(x_i)| < \varepsilon$$

by (2.4) and (2.5); i. e.,  $w^*\text{-}\lim_n p^n = f$ , and thus  $f \in K_X(S_N)$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *A Banach space  $X$  is reflexive if and only if  $Q_Y < \infty$  for every norm-closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$ .*

**Proof.** A closed subspace  $Y$  of a reflexive space  $X$  is reflexive, and so  $Q_Y = 1$ .

On the other hand, if  $X$  is not reflexive, then there is a non-shrinking basic sequence  $\{z_n\}$  in  $X$  ([7], Thm. 1, p. 372) and hence a basic sequence  $\{y_n\}$  of type  $P^*$  ([8], Thm. 1, p. 358). If  $Y = [y_n]$  is the closed linear span of  $\{y_n\}$ , then  $Q_Y = \infty$  by Theorem 1.

It is easy to verify that the unit vector basis of  $(l^1)$  and the basis  $\{z_n\}$  of  $(c_0)$ , where

$$(2.6) \quad z_n = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_n, 0, 0, \dots, 0),$$

are of type  $P^*$ ; thus  $Q_{(c_0)} = Q_{(l^1)} = \infty$ . Letting  $X = (m)$  and  $Y = (c_0)$ , we see that it is possible for  $Y$  to be a closed subspace of  $X$  and for  $Q_Y$  to be infinite while  $Q_X$  is finite. This cannot happen in the presence of a continuous projection from  $X$  onto  $Y$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $Y$  a closed subspace of  $X$  and  $T$  a continuous projection from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Then  $Q_Y \leq \|T\| Q_X$ .*

**Proof.** Since the range of  $T$  is all of  $Y$ ,  $T^{*-1}$ , where  $T^*$  is the adjoint of  $T$ , exists and it is easy to verify that

$$(2.7) \quad \|T^{*-1}f\| \leq \|f\| \leq \|T\| \|T^{*-1}f\|,$$

for any  $f$  in the range of  $T^*$ .

Suppose  $W$  is a subspace of  $Y^*$  and  $Y' \in K_Y(W)$ . If  $S = T^*(W)$  and  $f = T^*Y'$ , then  $f \in K_X(S)$ . Suppose that  $\{f_n\}$  is a sequence in  $S$  such that  $w^*\text{-}\lim_n f_n = f$ . Let  $Y'_n = T^{*-1}f_n$  for each  $n$ . Then  $w^*\text{-}\lim_n Y'_n = Y'$ ,  $\{Y'_n\} \subset W$ , and from (2.7)  $\|Y'_n\| \leq \|f_n\|$  for each  $n$ . Thus  $\varphi(Y') \leq \sup_n \|f_n\|$ , and so  $\varphi(Y') \leq \varphi(f) \leq C_S \|f\| \leq C_S \|T\| \|Y'\|$ . Thus  $C_W \leq \|T\| C_S$ , and hence  $Q_Y \leq \|T\| Q_X$ .

The next theorem is proved in a similar manner.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $T$  is an isomorphism (i. e., linear homeomorphism) from a Banach space  $X$  onto a Banach space  $Y$ , then*

$$(2.8) \quad (\|T^{-1}\| \|T\|)^{-1} Q_X \leq Q_Y \leq (\|T^{-1}\| \|T\|) Q_X.$$

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $X$  is a Banach space with an unconditional basis  $\{x_i\}$  and if  $Q_X < \infty$ , then  $X$  is reflexive.*

**Proof.** Suppose there is a subspace of  $X$  isomorphic to  $(c_0)$ . Then, by [1] (C. 6, p. 157), there is a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  isomorphic to  $(c_0)$  such that there exists a continuous projection from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . It follows from Theorems 2 and 3 that  $Q_X = \infty$ , contradicting the hypothesis.

Suppose  $X$  contains a subspace isomorphic to  $(l^1)$ . Then  $\{x_i\}$  is non-shrinking ([3], Thm. 3, p. 76), and so ([8], Prop. 5, p. 367) the sequence  $\{f_i\} \subset X^*$  biorthogonal to  $\{x_i\}$  is a non-boundedly-complete basis for  $[f_i]$ . Now by [3] (Thm. 2, p. 74), the space  $[f_i]$  and hence also  $X^*$  contain a subspace isomorphic to  $(c_0)$ . Thus ([1], Thm. 4, p. 155) there is a subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  isomorphic to  $(l^1)$  and a continuous projection from  $X$  onto  $Y$ . By Theorems 2 and 3,  $Q_X = \infty$ , contradicting the hypothesis. Thus  $X$  has no subspace isomorphic to  $(c_0)$  or  $(l^1)$ , so by [5] (Thm. 2, p. 521)  $X$  is reflexive.

**3.** If  $X$  is a space with a type  $P^*$  basis, then Theorem 1 provides a method for constructing a sequence  $\{S_N\}$  of subspaces of  $X^*$  satisfying (1.4). An example in [6] and the following example show that (1.5) is satisfied in  $(l^1)$  and  $(c_0)$  respectively.

**Example.** Let  $X = (c_0)$  so that  $X^* = (l^1)$ . The sequence  $\{z_i\}$  defined by (2.6) is a basis of type  $P^*$  for  $(c_0)$  and the biorthogonal functionals  $\{h_i\}$  in  $(l^1)$  associated with  $\{z_i\}$  are given by  $h_i = (\underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{i-2}, 1, -1, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

In the notation of Theorem 1, the functionals  $f^{nj} = \{f_p^{nj}\}$  are defined by

$$f_p^{nj} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = 1, \\ n-1 & \text{if } p = j+1, \quad n \geq 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\{M_k\}$  be the collection of disjoint sets of positive integers defined as follows: for each  $k$ ,  $M_k = \{n: n = 2^{k-1}(2p-1), p = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ . For each pair of positive integers  $n, j$  with  $2 \leq n, 1 \leq j$ , define the element  $H^{nj}$  of  $(l^1)$  by  $H^{nj} = (H_m^{nj})$  where

$$H_m^{nj} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m \notin M_{n-1}, \\ f_p^{nj} & \text{if } m = 2^{n-2}(2p-1). \end{cases}$$

Let  $S = [H^{nj}]$ . If  $\{f^q\}$  is the unit vector basis of  $(l^1)$ , then  $w^*\text{-}\lim_j H^{qj} = f^{2^{q-1}}$ , so  $f^{2^{q-1}} \in K_X(S)$ , for every positive integer  $q \geq 2$ . If  $\{g^n\} \subset S$  and

$w^*$ - $\lim g^n = f^{q-2}$  then an argument similar to that of Theorem 1 shows that  $\liminf_n \|g^n\| \geq q$ . It follows that  $C_S \geq q$  for arbitrary  $q \geq 2$ , i. e.,  $C_S = +\infty$ .

We now show that (1.5) cannot be satisfied in quasi-reflexive spaces.

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $X$  is quasi-reflexive and  $S$  is a subspace of  $X^{**}$ , then  $K_{X^*}(S)$  is norm-closed in  $X^{**}$ , and  $K_{X^*}(K_{X^*}(S)) = K_{X^*}(S)$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $K_{X^*}(S) = K_{X^*}(\bar{S})$ , it may be assumed that  $S$  is norm-closed. Let  $S_1 = S \cap J_X(X)$ , where  $J_X$  is the canonical mapping of  $X$  into  $X^{**}$ . Then  $S$  is the direct sum of  $S_1$  and a finite-dimensional subspace  $S_2$  of  $X^{**}$ . The projection  $P$  of  $S$  onto  $S_1$  along  $S_2$  is norm-continuous. Thus if  $F \in K_{X^*}(S)$ , so that  $F$  is the  $w^*$ -limit of a norm-bounded sequence  $\{F_n\}$  in  $S$ , then the sequence  $\{F_n - PF_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $S_2$ . Since  $S_2$  is finite-dimensional, there is a subsequence  $\{F_{n_i} - PF_{n_i}\}$  which converges in norm to some  $G \in S_2$ . Thus  $\{PF_{n_i}\}$  converges in the  $w^*$ -topology of  $X^{**}$  to  $F - G$ , so that  $F - G \in K_{X^*}(S_1)$ . Since  $F = (F - G) + G$ , and since  $K_{X^*}(S_1)$  and  $S_2$  are contained in  $K_{X^*}(S)$ , it follows that  $K_{X^*}(S)$  is the sum, not necessarily direct, of  $K_{X^*}(S_1)$  and  $S_2$ . Since  $S_1$  is a norm-closed subspace of  $J_X(X)$ , it is clear that  $K_{X^*}(S_1) \cap J_X(X) = S_1$ . Thus  $K_{X^*}(S_1)$  is the direct sum of  $S_1$  and a finite-dimensional subspace  $S_3$  of  $X^{**}$ , so that  $K_{X^*}(S_1)$  and hence also  $K_{X^*}(S)$  are norm-closed in  $X^{**}$  ([3], p. 14).

Now  $K_{X^*}(S)$  is the sum of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $S_3$ . Since  $S_2$  and  $S_3$  are finite-dimensional, it follows that  $K_{X^*}(S)$  is the direct sum of  $S_1$  and some finite-dimensional subspace  $S_4$  of  $X^{**}$ . Hence  $K_{X^*}(K_{X^*}(S))$  is the sum of  $K(S_1)$  and  $S_4$ , but this sum is equal to  $K_{X^*}(S)$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $X$  be quasi-reflexive,  $Y$  a closed subspace of  $X$ , and  $S$  a subspace of  $Y^*$ . Then  $K_Y(S)$  is norm-closed in  $Y^*$ , and  $K_{Y^*}(K_Y(S)) = K_Y(S)$ .*

**Proof.** It has been shown in [2], pp. 908-909, that  $Y$  must be quasi-reflexive and that there must exist a topological isomorphism  $T$  from  $Z^*$  onto  $Y$  for some quasi-reflexive space  $Z$ . Now  $T^*(S)$  is a subspace of  $Z^{**}$  and hence  $K_{Z^*}(T^*(S))$  is norm-closed in  $Z^{**}$  by Theorem 5. It is clear that  $K_Y(S) = (T^*)^{-1}[K_{Z^*}(T^*(S))]$ , so that  $K_Y(S)$  is norm-closed in  $Y^*$ . Further,  $K_{Y^*}(K_Y(S)) = (T^*)^{-1}[K_{Z^*}(K_{Z^*}(T^*(S)))] = (T^*)^{-1}[K_{Z^*}(T^*(S))] = K_Y(S)$ .

It is easy to show that the basis  $\{x_n\}$ , where  $x_n = (\underbrace{1, 1, \dots, 1}_n, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,

of the quasi-reflexive space  $E$  of R. C. James ([5], p. 523), is of type  $P^*$ . Thus by Theorem 1, (1.4) is satisfied but Theorem 6 shows that (1.5) cannot be satisfied for  $E$ .

The following theorem improves the result of Civin and Yood ([2],

p. 909) to the effect that if  $X$  is quasi-reflexive, then  $X$  is reflexive if and only if it is weakly complete.

**THEOREM 7.** *If  $X$  is quasi-reflexive, then  $K_{X^*}(J_X(X)) = X^{**}$ .*

**Proof.** The result is trivial if  $X$  is separable, for then  $X^*$  is also separable. In the general case, there is a topological isomorphism  $T$  from  $Y^*$  onto  $X$  for some quasi-reflexive space  $Y$ ; here  $Y$  and  $Y^*$  are of the same deficiency  $n$  as  $X$  itself. Now  $Y$  has a reflexive subspace  $Z$  such that  $Y/Z$  is separable ([2], p. 910). Then  $(Y/Z)^*$  is isometrically isomorphic with the annihilator  $A$  of  $Z$  in  $Y^*$  (Day [3], p. 25). Since  $Z$  is reflexive, it follows that  $Y/Z$ ,  $A$ , and  $TA$  are quasi-reflexive with deficiency  $n$  in their respective second conjugates ([2], pp. 908-909).

If  $i$  is the identity mapping from  $B = TA$  into  $X$ , then  $i^{**}$  is an isometric isomorphism from  $B^{**}$  into  $X^{**}$ , and it is easily verified that  $i^{**}(K_{B^*}(J_BB)) \subseteq K_{X^*}(J_X X)$ . Now  $K_{B^*}(J_BB)$  is equal to  $B^{**}$ , which is the direct sum of  $J_BB$  and an  $m$ -dimensional subspace  $S$  of  $B^{**}$ ; hence  $i^{**}S \subseteq K_{X^*}(J_X X)$ . It may be verified directly that  $(i^{**}S) \cap J_X X = (0)$ ; indeed, if  $i^{**}F = J_X x$ , where  $F \in S$  and  $x \in X$ , then  $x \in B$ , but then  $J_B x = F$ , so that  $x = 0$ . Since  $K_{X^*}(J_X X)$  contains the direct sum of  $J_X X$  and the  $n$ -dimensional subspace  $i^{**}S$ , it must be that  $K_{X^*}(J_X X) = X^{**}$ .

4. We raise the following questions concerning possible improvements of the results in this paper:

(P1) If  $X$  is separable and  $Q_X < \infty$ , must  $X$  be reflexive?

More specifically,

(P2) If  $X$  has a basis and  $Q_X < \infty$ , must  $X$  be reflexive?

Singer ([8], p. 368) has posed the following question:

(P3) If  $X$  is a non-reflexive space with a basis, must  $X$  have a basis of type  $P^*$ ?

An affirmative answer to (P3) would, by virtue of Theorem 1, answer (P2) affirmatively.

(P4) Are (P2) and (P3) equivalent?

(P5) If  $Q_X < \infty$ , then must every subspace of  $X$  with an unconditional basis be reflexive?

We remark that the method of proof of Theorem 4 is ineffectual in trying to answer (P5). Consider  $X = C[0, 1]$  and  $Y$  a subspace of  $C[0, 1]$  such that  $Y$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l^1$ . Now  $C[0, 1]^*$  is weakly complete and hence can contain no subspace isomorphic to  $(c_0)$ . Thus by [1], Thm. 4, p. 155, there is no continuous projection from  $C[0, 1]$  onto any subspace isomorphic to  $(l^1)$ .

It is interesting to note that the converse of (P5) is false. Again consider the quasi-reflexive space  $E$  of James. We have shown that  $Q_E = \infty$ ,

but A. Pełczyński (see [8], p. 368) has remarked that every subspace of  $E$  with an unconditional basis is reflexive.

### References

- [1] C. Bessaga and A. Pełczyński, *On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 17 (1958), p. 151-164.
- [2] P. Civin and B. Yood, *Quasi-reflexive spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), p. 906-911.
- [3] M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, Berlin 1958.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, *Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type  $C(K)$* , Canadian J. Math. 5 (1953), p. 129-173.
- [5] R. C. James, *Bases and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) 52 (1950), p. 518-527.
- [6] R. D. McWilliams, *On the  $w^*$ -sequential closure of subspaces of Banach spaces*, Portugal. Math. 22.4 (1963), p. 209-214.
- [7] A. Pełczyński, *A note on the paper of L. Singer "Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces"*, Studia Math. 21 (1962), p. 371-374.
- [8] I. Singer, *Basic sequences and reflexivity of Banach spaces*, ibidem 21 (1962), p. 351-369.

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 25.7.1964

### On sequences of continuous functions and convolution

by

T. K. BOEHME (Santa Barbara, California)

1. In the study of Mikusiński operators the question arises "given a sequence of continuous functions  $g_n$  on the half-line  $t \geq 0$  is there a single non-zero continuous  $g$  such that, for each  $n$ ,  $g$  is of the form

$$(1) \quad g(t) = \int_0^t g_n(t-u)f_n(u)du, \quad t \geq 0,$$

where  $f_n$  is a continuous function?" For an affirmative answer it is obviously necessary that there exist some interval  $[0, T]$ ,  $T > 0$ , such that none of the  $g_n$  vanish identically on  $[0, T]$ . If this condition is satisfied the answer given by Theorem 3 below is "yes, there is always such a function  $g$ ".

In what follows we will utilize the following notation. The functions involved are complex valued functions on the half-line  $t \geq 0$ ; juxtaposition of functions denotes convolution so that equation (1) will be written  $g = g_n f_n$ .  $C$  is the vector space of continuous functions, and  $L$  is the vector space of locally integrable functions. For  $g$  in  $C$  or in  $L$  we will use the semi-norm

$$\|g\|_T = \int_0^T |g(t)|dt,$$

and a sequence  $g_n$  is convergent in  $L$  to  $g$  if  $\|g_n - g\|_T \rightarrow 0$  for every  $T > 0$ . The fundamental inequality for this semi-norm (in addition to the triangle inequality) is that, for any two functions  $g$  and  $f$  in  $L$ ,  $\|gf\|_T \leq \|g\|_T \|f\|_T$ . The set  $C_0$  (or  $L_0$ ) is the set of all  $g$  in  $C$  (or  $L$ ) such that  $\|g\|_T > 0$  for all  $T > 0$ ; that is, it consists of those functions which vanish on no neighborhood of the origin. In particular, a function  $g$  in  $C_0$  is not the zero function. The symbol  $h$  will be used for that function in  $C$  which is such that  $h(t) = 1$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The basic principle in what follows is a theorem of Č. Foiaş which says