

**Mappings of Hilbert-Schmidt type; their applications  
to eigenfunction expansions and elliptic boundary problems**

by

K. MAURIN (Warszawa)

Definition. The linear mapping  $A: E \rightarrow F$  of the form

$$E \ni u \rightarrow Au = \sum_{i \in I} (u, e_i)_E f_i \in F$$

where  $(e_i)^\infty$  is orthonormal basis of (pre) Hilbert space  $E$ ,  $f_i \in F$ ,  $\sum \|f_i\|_F^2 < \infty$  is called *H.-S.-mapping*.

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $G, H$  be Hilbert spaces.  $B: G \rightarrow E$ ;  $C: F \rightarrow H$  continuous,  $A: E \rightarrow F$  of H.-S.-type. Then  $B \circ A \circ C$  and the adjoint  $A^*: F \rightarrow E$  are of H.-S.-type.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $A, B$  are H.-S., then  $A \circ B$  is nuclear.

Definition.  $(u, v)_m = \sum_{|a| \leq m} (D^a u, D^a v)_0$ , where  $(u, v)_0 = \int_{\Omega_N} u \bar{v} dx$ ,  
 $D^a = D_{a_1} \dots D_{a_N}$ ,  $D_{a_k} = (\partial / \partial x_k)^{a_k}$ ,  $|a| = a_1 + \dots + a_N$ .  
 $H^m$  (resp.  $H_0^m$ ) completion of  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_N)$  (resp.  $C_0^\infty(\Omega_N)$  in  $\|\cdot\|_m$ -norm,  
 $\Omega_N$  bounded,  $H^m(\Omega) = 1. \text{ind} \underset{p}{H_0^m}(\Omega^p)$ , where  $\Omega^p \nearrow \Omega_N$ .

**THEOREM 3.** The embeddings  $H^{m+k} \rightarrow H^k$  (resp.  $H_0^{m+k} \rightarrow H_0^k$ ) for  $\Omega_N$  with strong cone property (respectively every bounded  $\Omega_N$ ) are H. S. for  $m > N/2$ ,  $k \geq 0$ .

**COROLLARY.** Embeddings  $H^{2m+k} \rightarrow H^k$ ,  $m > N/2$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , are nuclear.

**COROLLARY 2.** If

$$(1) \quad Au = f, \quad B_j u / \partial \Omega_N = 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, p)$$

is correctly posed elliptic boundary problem, i. e. there is such a constant  $c_k$  that  $\|u\|_{r+k} \leq c_k \|Au\|_k$ ,  $r$  - order of  $A$ ,  $R$  - resolvent of (1),  $R: H^0 \rightarrow H^0$ ; then  $R^j$  is of H.-S. type for  $jr > N/2$ .

**THEOREM 4.** If  $\Phi = 1. \text{ind} \underset{p}{H_p}$ , where canonic embeddings  $i_p: H_p \rightarrow H$  are H.-S.; then the Fourier transform

$$\Phi \ni \varphi \rightarrow F\varphi = \hat{\varphi} \in \hat{H} = \int_{\Lambda} \hat{H}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

is induced by commuting the set  $(A_\rho)_{\rho \in B}$ . Then

$$\hat{\varphi}_k(\lambda) = \langle \varphi, e_k(\lambda) \rangle, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \dim \hat{H}(\lambda),$$

where  $e_k(\lambda) \in \Phi'$  (dual of  $\Phi$ ). The  $e_k(\lambda)$  are generalized simultaneous eigenfunctions of  $(A_\rho)$ .

**CORROLARY 3** (Berchanskiĭ). *If the operator  $B$  with a dense domain  $D(B)$  has an inverse  $B^{-1}$  of H.-S.-type, then putting  $H_1 = D(B)$  with  $(u, v)_B = (Bu, Bv) + (u, v)$  we get the thesis of theorem 4.*

**CORROLARY 4.** *Other spectral theorems given by Berchanskiĭ.*

**CORROLARY 5.** *Put  $H_p = H^m(\Omega^p)$ ,  $H = H^0 = L^2(\Omega_N)$ , then the eigenelements of partial differential operators  $(A_\rho)$  are distributions  $e_k(\lambda) \in H^{-m}(\Omega) = H^m$  of an order  $\leq N/2$ .*

Let  $B(\varphi, \psi)$  be a scalar product of an order  $\leq r$  ( $= 1, 2, \dots$ ),  $H(B)$  completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ .

Put in theorem 4:  $H = H(B)$ ,  $\Phi = H^{m+r}(\Omega)$ ; then we get the following

**CORROLARY 6** (a sharper form of a theorem of Gårding). *Let  $(A_\rho)$  be a commuting set of observables in  $H(B)$ ; then the Fourier transform  $\Phi \ni \varphi \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}_k(\lambda) = \langle \varphi, e_k(\lambda) \rangle$ , where the simultaneous eigenfunctions of  $(A_\rho)$  are elements of  $H^{-(m+r)}(\Omega_N)$ , i. e. distributions of an order not exceeding  $N/2 + r$ .*

**Concluding remark.** All proofs are exceedingly simple, which shows that the instrument of H.-S.-mappings is suitable for mastering the problem considered above.

## Continuous selections in Banach spaces

by

E. MICHAEL (Seattle, Wash.)

*Dedicated to the Memory  
of Stefan Banach*

One of Stefan Banach's many interests was the interrelationship of topological and linear phenomena. This paper is a summary of some recent work in that direction.

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be topological spaces, and  $\Phi$  a function from  $X$  to the collection of non-empty subsets of  $Y$ . Then a *selection* for  $\Phi$  is a continuous  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) \in \Phi(x)$  for every  $x \in X$ . Our problem is to find conditions which insure the existence of a selection for  $\Phi$ .

For continuity, it suffices to assume that  $\Phi$  is *lower semi-continuous*, that is, for every open  $V \subset Y$  the set  $\{x \in X \mid \Phi(x) \subset V \neq \emptyset\}$  is open in  $X$ . As for the sets  $\Phi(x)$ , they will usually be closed, and either *convex* subsets of a *Banach space* or something similar; this will assure not only that these sets are individually well behaved, but that they are properly interrelated. Finally, we shall usually assume that  $X$  is *paracompact*.

We begin with our simplest and most basic result, and will then consider various refinements.

**THEOREM 1** [1]. *If  $X$  is paracompact,  $Y$  a Banach space, and  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$  the family of non-empty, closed, convex subsets of  $Y$ , then any lower semi-continuous  $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  admits a selection.*

It should be remarked that Theorem 1 actually characterizes paracompact spaces.

**COROLLARY 1** [1]. *If  $E$  is a Banach space,  $F$  a closed subspace, and  $u: E \rightarrow E/F$  the natural projection, then there exists a continuous  $f: E/F \rightarrow E$  such that  $f(x) \in u^{-1}(x)$  for every  $x \in E/F$ .*

There are various ways of strengthening Theorem 1. The simplest is to replace the Banach space  $Y$  by a locally convex  $F$ -space. Let us outline three other possible improvements.

First, the requirement that the sets  $\Phi(x)$  be closed can, under suitable circumstances, be somewhat relaxed. For instance, if  $X$  is *perfectly normal* (not necessarily paracompact) and  $Y$  *separable*, then  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$  can