

On Cauchy's condensation theorem

by

A. ALEXIEWICZ (Poznań)

Let us write $a_n = n$ if $n = 2^k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $a_n = 0$ elsewhere. Cauchy's well-known condensation theorem (test) states that if $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ is a non-increasing sequence with non-negative terms, then the series

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n$$

converge or diverge simultaneously. In this paper we determine all the sequences $\{a_n\}$ with non-negative terms for which the above proposition is valid. To be precise, we shall call the sequence $\{a_n\}$ with non-negative terms *effective* for monotone series if, for every sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with non-increasing non-negative terms, the convergence of either of the series (1) implies the convergence of the other one. We prove that the sequence $\{a_n\}$ is such if and only if

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} < \infty.$$

This shows that in the generalized Cauchy's test with

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n r_n \equiv \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_{n+1} - a_n) \varepsilon_n$$

the hypothesis

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n+1} - a_n)(a_n - a_{n-1})^{-1} > 0$$

is indispensable.

We also give the necessary and sufficient conditions for one-side implications of the convergence of the series (1).

1. In the sequel $\alpha = \{a_n\}$ will denote a sequence of non-negative numbers such that $a_1 \neq 0$. By $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$ we shall denote the set of all sequences $\mathfrak{r} = \{x_n\}$ tending to 0 for which there exists a non-increasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ such that $|x_n| \leq \varepsilon_n$ and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n < \infty.$$

Under the usual definition of addition and multiplication by scalars, $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$ becomes a linear space. Let us write, for $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$,

$$\mu_n(\mathfrak{r}) = \sup\{|x_n|, |x_{n+1}|, \dots\},$$

then the sequence \mathfrak{r} is in $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$ if and only if

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mu_n(\mathfrak{r}) < \infty.$$

Hence $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$ may be considered as the space of all sequences $\mathfrak{r} = \{x_n\}$ for which the series (2) converges. The sum of the series (2) defines in $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$ a functional $\|\mathfrak{r}\|$ which obviously has all the properties of the norm, moreover, as may easily be verified, the space $\langle \mathfrak{X}(\alpha), \|\cdot\| \rangle$ is complete, whence it is a Banach space. We shall need some properties of this space.

LEMMA 1. If $a_n \geq 0$, $\varepsilon_n \searrow 0$, and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n < \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_1 + \dots + a_n) \varepsilon_n = 0.$$

This is a trivial generalization of the well-known theorem of Olivier.

Given any element $\mathfrak{r} = \{r_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$, the element

$$\mathfrak{r}^n = \{x_1, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$$

is also in $\mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$. Since

$$\|\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{r}^n\| = (a_1 + \dots + a_n) \mu_n(\mathfrak{r}) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} a_i \mu_i(\mathfrak{r}),$$

we deduce from the fact that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\mathfrak{r}) = 0$$

for every $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$ and from the Lemma that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathfrak{r} - \mathfrak{r}^n\| = 0$$

for every $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{X}(\alpha)$; this implies that the space $\langle \mathfrak{X}(\alpha), \|\cdot\| \rangle$ is separable.

Now, we wish to determine the general form of linear functionals in $\langle \mathfrak{X}(\alpha), \|\cdot\| \rangle$. Let ξ be such a functional, and let \mathfrak{r}_n denote the n -th unit vector. Then

$$\xi(\mathfrak{r}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x_n \quad \text{where} \quad b_n = \xi(\mathfrak{r}_n).$$

To characterize the sequence $\{b_n\}$ we shall first compute the norm of the functional

$$\xi_k(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^k b_n x_n.$$

Since

$$\|\xi_k\| = \sup_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \left| \sum_{n=1}^k b_n x_n \right|,$$

and since $\vartheta_n = \pm 1$, $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(a)$ implies $\xi_\vartheta = \{\vartheta_n \mu_n(\xi)\} \in \mathfrak{X}(a)$ and $\|\xi\| = \|\xi_\vartheta\|$, we see that

$$\|\xi_k\| = \sup_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^k |b_n x_n| = \sup_{\|\xi\| \leq 1} \sum_{n=1}^k |b_n| \mu_n(\xi),$$

whence $\|\xi_k\|$ is equal to the supremum of

$$\sum_{n=1}^k |b_n| \mu_n(\xi)$$

under the condition $\|\xi\| = 1$.

We shall prove that

$$\|\xi_k\| = \max \left(\frac{|b_1|}{c_1}, \frac{|b_1| + |b_2|}{c_1 + a_2}, \dots, \frac{|b_1| + \dots + |b_k|}{a_1 + \dots + a_k} \right).$$

This immediately follows from

LEMMA 2. Let $b_k \geq 0$; then the supremum of the sums

$$\sum_{n=1}^k b_n x_n$$

under the conditions $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_k \geq 0$, $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_k x_k = 1$ is equal to

$$\max \left(\frac{b_1}{a_1}, \frac{b_1 + b_2}{a_1 + a_2}, \dots, \frac{b_1 + \dots + b_k}{a_1 + \dots + a_k} \right).$$

Proof. Let Ω be the subset of R^k , the space of k -dimensional euclidean vectors, composed of those elements $\xi = \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ for which $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq x_k \geq 0$, $a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_k x_k = 1$. This set is evidently closed and convex. Let us now write

$$\xi_n = \underbrace{\{1, \dots, 1, 0, \dots, 0\}}_n, \quad c_n = \frac{1}{a_1 + \dots + a_n}, \quad \delta_n = c_n \xi_n.$$

Then, for every $\xi \in \Omega$,

$$\xi = \frac{a_k}{c_k} c_k \xi_k + \frac{a_{k-1} - a_k}{c_{k-1}} c_{k-1} \xi_{k-1} + \dots + \frac{a_1 - a_2}{c_1} c_1 \xi_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{x_k}{c_k} + \frac{x_{k-1} - a_k}{c_{k-1}} + \dots + \frac{x_1 - a_2}{c_1} &= \frac{x_1}{c_1} + a_2 \left(\frac{1}{c_2} - \frac{1}{c_1} \right) + \dots + a_k \left(\frac{1}{c_k} - \frac{1}{c_{k-1}} \right) \\ &= a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \dots + a_k x_k = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k \in \Omega$. Thus every $\xi \in \Omega$ is of the form $\lambda_1 \delta_1 + \lambda_2 \delta_2 + \dots + \lambda_k \delta_k$ with $\lambda_i \geq 0$, $\lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1$. Since the elements δ_n are linearly independent (for $c_n \neq 0$), $\{\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k\}$ is the set of all extreme points of the set Ω . Since the linear functional $\varphi(\xi) = b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_k x_k$ assumes its extrema over Ω in the extreme points of Ω , we get

$$\sup_{\xi \in \Omega} |\varphi(\xi)| = \max [\varphi(\delta_1), \varphi(\delta_2), \dots, \varphi(\delta_k)].$$

from which the statement of the lemma follows immediately.

These considerations lead, by a familiar procedure, to

THEOREM 1. The general form of linear functionals in $\langle \mathfrak{X}(a), \|\cdot\| \rangle$ is

$$\xi(\xi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x_n,$$

where

$$(3) \quad \sup_{n=1, 2, \dots} \frac{|b_1| + \dots + |b_n|}{a_1 + \dots + a_n} < \infty,$$

the last number being equal to the norm of ξ .

We infer

THEOREM 2. In order that the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n x_n$$

be convergent for every $\xi = \{x_n\} \in \mathfrak{X}(a)$ it is necessary and sufficient that the inequality (3) be satisfied.

Let us denote by $\mathfrak{X}^*(a)$ the space of all bounded sequences $\xi = \{x_n\}$ for which

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \mu_n(\xi) < \infty.$$

Then $\mathfrak{X}^*(a)$ is a Banach space under the norm defined by (1). It is obvious that if

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n > 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_n(\mathfrak{r}) = 0 \quad \text{for every } \mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{X}^*(a),$$

whence $\mathfrak{X}^*(a) = \mathfrak{X}(a)$.

2. Let $a_1 = \{1, 1, \dots\}$. Then $\mathfrak{X}(a_1)$ is the space of all the sequences which can be majorized by non-decreasing sequences with convergent sums. Since \mathfrak{r} is in $\mathfrak{X}(a_1)$ if and only if $\{\mu_n(\mathfrak{r})\}$ is in $\mathfrak{X}(a_1)$, we get, by Theorem 2,

THEOREM 3. *The series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n$$

converges for every convergent series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n$ with non-increasing terms if and only if

$$\sup_{n=1,2,\dots} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} < \infty.$$

The assumption $a_1 \neq 0$ with regard to the space referred to in the proof is inessential.

Now we ask what the sequence $\{a_n\}$ with non-negative terms must be like in order that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$$

imply $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty$ for every sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with non-increasing terms. The assumption $a_1 \neq 0$ does not restrict generality.

THEOREM 4. *Let $a_n \geq 0$. The sequence $\{a_n\}$ is such that*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n < \infty$$

implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \infty$$

for every sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ with non-negative, non-increasing terms if and only if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} > 0.$$

Proof. Necessity. For every $\mathfrak{r} = \{x_n\} \in \mathfrak{X}^*(a)$ the convergence of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n$$

implies the convergence of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$. *A fortiori* this is satisfied for every $\mathfrak{r} \in \mathfrak{X}(a)$. By Theorem 2 we must have

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(a_1 + \dots + a_n)^{-1} < \infty.$$

To prove the condition sufficient, note that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1}(a_1 + \dots + a_n) > 0$$

implies

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n > 0,$$

whence $\mathfrak{X}^*(a) = \mathfrak{X}(a)$. Then we apply again Theorem 2.

Theorems 3 and 4 yield

THEOREM 5. *The sequence $\{a_n\}$ is effective for monotone series if and only if*

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{n} < \infty.$$

The following proposition is an obvious generalization of Theorem 5.

Let $a_n \geq 0, b_n \geq 0$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n > 0.$$

The sequence $\{b_n\}$ is such that the convergence of either of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n \varepsilon_n$$

with non-negative non increasing ε_n 's implies the same for the other series if and only if

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{b_1 + \dots + b_n} \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_n}{b_1 + \dots + b_n} < \infty.$$