

Note on relatively complete B_0 -spaces*

by

W. SŁOWIKOWSKI and W. ZAWADOWSKI (Warszawa)

Let X be a linear space. A functional $|x|$ is called *pseudonorm* if

$$(1) \quad (a) \quad |x+y| \leq |x|+|y|, \quad (b) \quad |tx| = |t||x|.$$

Let $I = \{x \in X : |x| = 0\}$. We say that the pseudonorm x is *complete* if the space X/I^1 is complete with the distance function $\varrho(x, y) = |x-y|$. We also say that X is *completely relative* to $|x|$.

Let $(|x|_k)$, $k=1, 2, \dots$, be a sequence of pseudonorms in X . If

$$(2) \quad |x|_k = 0 \quad (k=1, 2, \dots) \text{ implies } x = 0,$$

then the formula

$$\varrho(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{|x-y|_k}{1+|x-y|_k}$$

defines a distance in X . The linear metric space X with the distance function thus defined is denoted in the following by $X(|x|_k)$. The space $X(|x|_k)$ is called B_0 -space if it is complete with the distance function $\varrho(x, y)$. In other words the space $X(|x|_k)$ is a B_0 -space if and only if

$$(3) \quad x_n \in X, |x_n - x_m|_k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n, m \rightarrow \infty, k=1, 2, \dots, \text{ implies that there exists an } x_0 \in X \text{ such that } |x_n - x_0|_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (k=1, 2, \dots).$$

Let X be a linear space, $(|x|_k)$ and $(|x|_k^*)$ ($k=1, 2, \dots$) being two sequences of pseudonorms in X . We say that the sequences of pseudonorms $(|x|_k)$ and $(|x|_k^*)$ are *equivalent* and we write $(|x|_k) \sim (|x|_k^*)$ if

$$(4) \quad |x_n|_k \rightarrow 0 \quad (k=1, 2, \dots) \text{ is equivalent to } |x_n|_k^* \rightarrow 0 \quad (k=1, 2, \dots) \text{ for every sequence } x_n \in X.$$

In general, for a given B_0 -space $X(|x|_k)$ there exist many sequences of pseudonorms $(|x|_k^*)$ such that $(|x|_k) \sim (|x|_k^*)$. The question arises²⁾,

* S. Mazur et W. Orlicz, *Sur les espaces métriques linéaires I, II*, *Studia Mathematica* 10 (1948), p. 182-208; 13 (1953), p. 137-179.

¹⁾ If X and L are linear spaces ($L \subset X$), then the symbol X/L denotes the linear space of all equivalence classes $a = x \in X : x - a \in L$ modulo L .

²⁾ This problem has been kindly suggested to us by Prof. A. Alexiewicz.

whether it is possible to choose a sequence of pseudonorms $(|x|_k^*)$ in such a way that all $|x|_k^*$ ($k=1,2,\dots$) are complete. The answer is negative and the counter-example is a B_0 -space Z of functions analytic in the unit circle, with the pseudonorms

$$|a|_k = \sup_{z \in S_k} |a(z)|, \quad \text{where} \quad S_k = \left\{ z : |z| < \frac{k-1}{k} \right\}.$$

In fact, let us suppose that $(|x|_n^*)$ is a sequence of complete pseudonorms in Z equivalent to the sequence $(|x|_k)$. We put

$$\|x\|_n = \sup(|x|_1^*, |x|_2^*, \dots, |x|_n^*).$$

The sequence $(\|x\|_n)$ is equivalent to $(|x|_k)$, and $\|x\|_n \leq \|x\|_{n+1}$. There are increasing sequences of natural numbers (k_n) and (l_n) such that $k_n \leq l_n \leq k_{n+1}$, and sequences of positive numbers (K_n) and (L_n) such that $K_n |x|_{k_n} \leq L_n \|x\|_{l_n} \leq K_{n+1} |x|_{k_{n+1}}$.

All the $|x|_n$ are norms, and therefore $\|x\|_n$ are also norms. By a theorem of Banach the $\|x\|_n$ ($n=1,2,\dots$) are equivalent to one another. This is a contradiction because Z is not a Banach space. We have seen that there is no sequence of complete pseudonorms in Z .

DEFINITION. A B_0 -space $X(|x|_k)$ is called *relatively complete* if there exists a sequence of complete pseudonorms $(|x|_k^*)$, $k=1,2,\dots$, such that $(|x|_k) \sim (|x|_k^*)$.

The purpose of this note is to find a certain necessary and sufficient condition for a B_0 -space to be relatively complete.

Remark. Let $X(|x|_k)$ be a B_0 -space. Then putting

$$|x|_k^* = \sup(|x|_1, |x|_2, \dots, |x|_k)$$

we have $|x|_k \leq |x|_k^* \leq |x|_{k+1}^*$, and $(|x|_k) \sim (|x|_k^*)$.

LEMMA 1. If L is a linear closed subset of a B_0 -space $X(|x|_k)$, $|x|_k \leq |x|_{k+1}$, then X/L with usual operations and pseudonorms,

$$(5) \quad |\mathfrak{X}|_n = \inf_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} |x|_n, \quad \mathfrak{X} \in X/L,$$

is a B_0 -space.

Proof. We have only to show that

$$(a) \quad |\mathfrak{X}|_n = \inf_{x \in \mathfrak{X}} |x|_n = 0 \quad (n=1,2,\dots) \text{ implies } \mathfrak{X} = L,$$

(b) X/L is complete.

(a) Let $|\mathfrak{X}|_n = 0$, $n=1,2,\dots$. For $n=1$ there exists a sequence w_{k_1} ($k=1,2,\dots$), $w_{k_1} \in \mathfrak{X}$, such that $|w_{k_1}|_1 \rightarrow 0$. Therefore there is a number k_1 such that $k > k_1$ implies $|w_{k_1}|_k < 1$.

Now we can choose inductively for every n a sequence $w_{k_n} \in \mathfrak{X}$ and an increasing sequence of numbers $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_n$ such that $k > k_n$ implies $|w_{k_n}|_k < 1/n$.

The sequence

$$(y_m) = (w_{k_1+1,1}, \dots, w_{k_2,1}, w_{k_2+1,2}, \dots, w_{k_n,n-1}, w_{k_n+1,n}, \dots)$$

is such that $y_m \rightarrow 0$ in $X(|x|_k)$ and $y_m \in \mathfrak{X}$. Since the set $\mathfrak{X} \subset X$ is closed, it contains 0, and thus $\mathfrak{X} = L$.

(b) Let $|\mathfrak{X}_p - \mathfrak{X}_q|_n \rightarrow 0$, $p, q \rightarrow \infty$ ($n=1,2,\dots$).

There exists an increasing sequence k_n such that $p, q \geq k_n$ implies $|\mathfrak{X}_p - \mathfrak{X}_q|_n < 1/2^n$. Thus we have $|\mathfrak{X}_{k_n} - \mathfrak{X}_{k_{n+1}}|_n < 1/2^n$.

Let $w_{k_1} \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_1}$. There exist $x_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_1}$ and $y_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_2}$ such that $|x_1 - y_1|_1 < 1/2$. We put $w_{k_2} = w_{k_1} + y_1 - x_1 \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_2}$. Then $|w_{k_1} - w_{k_2}|_1 = |x_1 - y_1|_1 < 1/2$.

There exists $x_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_2}$ and $y_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_3}$ such that $|x_2 - y_2|_2 < 1/2^2$ and for $w_{k_3} = w_{k_2} + y_2 - x_2 \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_3}$ we have $|w_{k_2} - w_{k_3}|_2 = |x_2 - y_2|_2 < 1/2^2$.

In this way we may choose by induction a sequence $w_{k_n} \in \mathfrak{X}_{k_n}$ such that $|w_{k_n} - w_{k_{n+1}}|_n < 1/2^n$.

Since the sequence of pseudonorms $|x|_n$ is monotonely increasing, $|x|_n \leq |x|_{n+1}$, we conclude that there is $x \in X$ such that $w_{k_n} \rightarrow x$ and consequently $\mathfrak{X}_{k_n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} = [x] \in X/L$ ³⁾. We find that $\mathfrak{X}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ and X/L is complete.

LEMMA 2. Let $X(|x|_k)$ be a B_0 -space, (a_n) a sequence of positive real numbers, and

$$X_{(a_n)} = \{x \in X : \sup_n a_n |x|_n < \infty\}.$$

The set $X_{(a_n)}$ is a Banach space $X_{(a_n)}(\|x\|)$ with the norm $\|x\| = \sup_n a_n |x|_n$.

Proof. It can easily be seen that $X_{(a_n)}(\|x\|)$ is a B^* -space, and thus it remains to show that $X_{(a_n)}(\|x\|)$ is complete. Let (x_p) be a Cauchy sequence in $X_{(a_n)}(\|x\|)$, i. e. $\|x_p - x_q\| \rightarrow 0$ as $p, q \rightarrow \infty$. Then $|x_p - x_q|_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n=1,2,\dots$). Hence there exists an $x \in X$ such that $|x_p - x|_n \rightarrow 0$, $p \rightarrow \infty$, $n=1,2,\dots$. We have

$$|x_p - x|_k \leq |x_p - x_q|_k + |x_q - x|_k$$

and

$$a_k |x_p - x|_k \leq \|x_p - x_q\| + a_k |x_q - x|_k.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a K such that $p, q \geq K$ implies $\|x_p - x_q\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. There exists also a $q_k \geq K$ such that $a_k |x_{q_k} - x|_k < \varepsilon/2$, and thus

$$a_k |x_p - x|_k \leq \|x_p - x_{q_k}\| + a_k |x_{q_k} - x|_k \leq \varepsilon.$$

³⁾ See footnote ¹⁾ on page 267.

Hence for $p \geq K$ we have $\|x_p - x\| \leq \varepsilon$. Finally we notice that $x \in X_{(a_n)}$ because it is a difference of two elements, x_p and $x_p - x$, of $X_{(a_n)}$.

Let $X(|x|_k)$ be a B_0 -space, $|x|_k \leq |x|_{k+1}$, and $(a_{n,m})$ a double sequence of positive numbers. We put

$$(6) \quad |x|_{n,m} = \inf \{ |x + y|_n : |y|_m = 0 \},$$

$$\tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})} = \{ x \in X : \sup_n a_{n,m} |x|_{n,m} < \infty \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots) \}.$$

On the linear space $\tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$ we can define the pseudonorms as follows:

$$\|x\|_m = \sup_n a_{n,m} |x|_{n,m} \quad (m = 1, 2, \dots).$$

LEMMA 3. The linear space $\tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}(\|x\|_m)$ is a B_0 -space.

Proof. We must show that (a) $\|x\|_m = 0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) implies $x = 0$,

(b) $\tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$ is complete.

(a) It follows from $\|x\|_m = 0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) that $\inf \{ |x + y|_n : |y|_m = 0 \} = 0$ for $n, m = 1, 2, \dots$. In particular, $\inf \{ |x + y|_m : |y|_m = 0 \} = |x|_m = 0$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$) and it follows that $x = 0$.

(b) Let $\|x_p - x_q\| \rightarrow 0$, $p, q \rightarrow \infty$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $|x_p - x_q|_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$). Since X is complete, there exists $x \in X$ such that $|x_p - x|_n \rightarrow 0$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) and $|x_p - x|_{n,k} \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$, $k, n = 1, 2, \dots$ (see (6)).

We have

$$|x_p - x|_{n,k} \leq |x_p - x_q|_{n,k} + |x_q - x|_{n,k},$$

$$a_{n,k} |x_p - x|_{n,k} \leq \|x_p - x_q\|_k + a_{n,k} |x_q - x|_{n,k}.$$

Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a K such that $p, q \geq K$ implies $\|x_p - x_q\| \leq \varepsilon/2$. There is also a $q_k = K$ such that $a_{n,k} |x_q - x|_{n,k} \leq \varepsilon/2$. We have

$$a_{n,k} |x_p - x|_{n,k} \leq \|x_p - x_{q_k}\|_k + a_{n,k} |x_{q_k} - x|_{n,k} \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $p \geq K$, and hence $\|x_p - x\|_k \leq \varepsilon$ for $p \geq K$.

Since $x_p - x \in \tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$ and $x_p \in \tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$, we have $x = x_p - (x_p - x) \in \tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$. Thus $x_p \rightarrow x \in \tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$ and so $\tilde{X}_{(a_{n,m})}$ is complete.

LEMMA 4. Let $X(|x|_n)$ be a B_0 -space, $|x|_n \leq |x|_{n+1}$. If there exists another sequence $(|x|_n^*)$, $|x|_n^* \leq |x|_{n+1}^*$, of complete pseudonorms such that $(|x|_n) \sim (|x|_n^*)$, then there exists a sequence $(a_{n,k})$ of positive numbers and an increasing sequence of natural numbers (m_k) , so that

$$\sup_n a_{n,k} |x|_{n,k} \leq |x|_{m_k}^*,$$

where $|x|_{n,k}$ is defined by (6).

Proof. We notice that there exist numbers m_n and $M_n > 0$ such that $|x|_n \leq M_n |x|_{m_n}^*$.

We can choose m_n in such a way that they form an increasing sequence. Now we consider the space X/I_k , $I_k = \{x : |x|_k = 0\}$. The elements of X/I_k are denoted by \mathfrak{X}^k . We introduce in X/I_k the pseudonorms

$$(7) \quad \|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{n,k} = \inf \{ |x|_n : x \in \mathfrak{X}^k \},$$

$$(8) \quad \|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{n,k}^* = \inf \{ |x|_n^* : x \in \mathfrak{X}^k \}.$$

Each of the pseudonorms $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{n,k}$ and $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m_k,k}^*$ is a norm on X/I_k for $n \geq k$ and $m \geq m_k$. Since X is completely relative to $|x|_m^*$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots$), the space X/I_k is complete under the norm $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m_k,k}^*$ for $m \geq m_k$.

Hence by the theorem of Banach the norms $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m_k,k}^*$ for $m \geq m_k$ are equivalent to one another, and there exists a sequence of numbers $(N_{m,k})$ such that $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m,k}^* \leq N_{m,k} \|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m_k,k}^*$ ($m \geq m_k$).

Since $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{n,k} \leq M_n \|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m_n,k}^*$, it follows that $\|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{n,k} \leq M_n N_{m_n,k} \|\mathfrak{X}^k\|_{m_n,k}^*$ ($n \geq k$), and we have

$$|x|_{n,k} \leq M_n N_{m_n,k} |x|_{m_n,k}^* \quad (n \geq k),$$

where $|x|_{n,k}$ is defined by (6). Putting $a_{n,k} = 1/M_n N_{m_n,k}$ for $n \geq k$ and $a_{1,k} = a_{2,k} = \dots = a_{k-1,k} = 1/M_k$ we have

$$\sup_n a_{n,k} |x|_{n,k} \leq |x|_{m_k}^*.$$

THEOREM. A B_0 -space $X(|x|_n)$ is relatively complete for $x \in X$ if and only if there exists a sequence of positive numbers $(a_{n,k})$ such that

$$(9) \quad \sup_n a_{n,k} |x|_{n,k} < \infty \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots),$$

where $|x|_{n,k} = \inf \{ |x + y|_n : |y|_k = 0 \}$.

Proof. The necessity follows directly from lemma 4. We are going to prove the sufficiency.

Let $(a_{n,k})$ be a double sequence of positive numbers such that (9) for all $x \in X$. By lemma 3 X is a B_0 -space with the sequence of pseudonorms $\|x\|_k = \sup_n a_{n,k} |x|_{n,k}$.

We shall show that $X(\|x\|_k)$ is relatively complete. For fixed k_0 we consider the space

$$Y(|\mathfrak{X}|_n) = X(|x|_n)/I_{k_0}, \quad I_{k_0} = \{x : |x|_{k_0} = 0\},$$

which is a B_0 -space by lemma 1. The space $Y_{(a_{n,k_0})}$ is complete with the norm

$$\|\mathfrak{X}\| = \sup_n a_{n,k_0} |x|_{n,k} \quad (x \in \mathfrak{X})$$

by lemma 2, and it is identical with the space

$$X(\|x\|_k)/J_{k_0}, \quad \text{where} \quad J_{k_0} = \{x: \|x\|_{k_0} = 0\},$$

with the norm $\|\mathcal{X}\|_{k_0} = \|x\|_{k_0} \ (x \in \mathcal{X})$.

Thus $X(\|x\|_k)$ is relatively complete.

We express our thanks to Prof. Sikorski and Dr Altman for having read and corrected the manuscript.

Requ par la Rédaction le 15. 6. 1955

Sur un problème du calcul de probabilité (II)*

(Mouvement d'une molécule sur plusieurs droites parallèles)

par

S. PASZKOWSKI (Wrocław)

Dans ce travail nous nous occupons d'une généralisation des problèmes introduits dans la partie I¹⁾: elle consiste à considérer le mouvement d'une molécule le long de plusieurs droites parallèles, avec possibilité de saut d'une droite sur l'autre.

Dans le § 2.1 nous précisons le problème et les hypothèses nécessaires. Les deux paragraphes suivants jouent un rôle auxiliaire: dans le § 2.2 nous donnons quelques lemmes algébriques, le § 2.3 complète la note I par quelques lemmes employés dans la suite. Les §§ 2.4-2.6 contiennent les résultats principaux du travail. Le § 2.7 est un résumé de ces résultats. La numération des formules fait suite à celle de la note I.

2.1. Problèmes. $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_n$ sont des droites parallèles. Sur chaque droite se trouve dispersée une substance dont la masse est traitée du point de vue mathématique comme mesure. Ainsi, une mesure μ_i est supposée définie sur la droite Π_i ($i=1, 2, \dots, n$), satisfaisant aux trois conditions: 1° elle est finie sur chaque intervalle, 2° elle est infinie sur chaque demi-droite, 3° elle est une fonction continue de l'intervalle.

Sur le système de droites $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_n$ se meut une molécule qui peut changer de direction de sa marche, sauter d'une droite sur l'autre (mais seulement dans une direction orthogonale aux droites), ou être arrêtée. Nous supposons qu'une molécule arrêtée ne peut plus entrer en mouvement.

Considérons un système de segments I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n , où $I_i \subset \Pi_i$, qui sont des projections orthogonales l'un de l'autre. Posons $x_1 = \mu_1(I_1)$, $x_2 = \mu_2(I_2), \dots, x_n = \mu_n(I_n)$. La molécule qui se meut sur le système $\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \dots, \Pi_n$ peut entrer dans le système I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n du côté droit

* Ce travail fut présenté à la Section de Wrocław de la Société Polonaise de Mathématique le 2. 12. 1955.

¹⁾ J. Mycielski et S. Paszkowski, *Sur un problème du calcul de probabilité (I) (Le mouvement d'une molécule sur une droite)*, Studia Mathematica, ce volume, p. 188-200. Dans la suite ce travail sera cité comme note I.