

- [26] M. Langenbruch, *Surjective partial differential operators on spaces of ultradifferentiable functions of Roumieu type*, Results Math. 29 (1996), 254–275.
- [27] —, *Continuation of Gevrey regularity for solutions of partial differential operators*, in: S. Dierolf, S. Dineen and P. Domański (eds.), Functional Analysis, Proc. First Workshop at Trier University, de Gruyter, 1996, 249–280.
- [28] —, *Surjective partial differential operators on Gevrey classes and their localizations at infinity*, Linear Topol. Spaces Complex Anal. 3 (1997), 95–111,
- [29] —, *Surjectivity of partial differential operators in Gevrey classes and extension of regularity*, Math. Nachr. 196 (1998), 103–140.
- [30] —, *Extension of analyticity for solutions of partial differential operators*, Note Mat. 17 (1997), 29–59.
- [31] —, *Surjective partial differential operators on spaces of real analytic functions*, preprint.
- [32] P. Laubin, *Propagation des singularités analytiques pour des opérateurs à caractéristiques involutives de multiplicité variable*, Portugal. Math. 41 (1982), 83–90.
- [33] —, *Analyse microlocale des singularités analytiques*, Bull. Soc. Roy. Sci. Liège 52 (1983), 103–212.
- [34] O. Liess, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for propagation of singularities for systems of partial differential equations with constant coefficients*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations 8 (1983), 89–198.
- [35] R. Meise und D. Vogt, *Einführung in die Funktionalanalysis*, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1992.
- [36] T. Miwa, *On the global existence of real analytic solutions of systems of linear differential equations with constant coefficients*, Proc. Japan Acad. 49 (1973), 500–502.
- [37] L. C. Piccinini, *Non surjectivity of the Cauchy–Riemann operator on the space of the analytic functions on \mathbb{R}^n* , Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) 7 (1973), 12–28.
- [38] J. Sjöstrand, *Singularités Analytiques Microlocales*, Astérisque 95 (1982), 1–166.
- [39] G. Zampieri, *Operatori differenziali a coefficienti costanti di tipo iperbolico-(ipo) ellittico*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 72 (1984), 27–44.
- [40] —, *Propagation of singularity and existence of real analytic solutions of locally hyperbolic equations*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 31 (1984), 373–390.
- [41] —, *An application of the fundamental principle of Ehrenpreis to the existence of global Gevrey solutions of linear differential equations*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B (6) 5 (1986), 361–392.

Department of Mathematics
University of Oldenburg
D-26111 Oldenburg, Germany
E-mail: langenbruch@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de

Received February 1, 1999
Revised version December 13, 1999

(4254)

An asymptotic expansion for the distribution of the supremum of a random walk

by

M. S. SGIBNEV (Novosibirsk)

Abstract. Let $\{S_n\}$ be a random walk drifting to $-\infty$. We obtain an asymptotic expansion for the distribution of the supremum of $\{S_n\}$ which takes into account the influence of the roots of the equation $1 - \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{sx} F(dx) = 0$, F being the underlying distribution. An estimate, of considerable generality, is given for the remainder term by means of submultiplicative weight functions. A similar problem for the stationary distribution of an oscillating random walk is also considered. The proofs rely on two general theorems for Laplace transforms.

1. Introduction. Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with a common nonarithmetic distribution F . Define $S_0 = 0$, $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$, $n \geq 1$. Suppose the random walk $\{S_n\}$ drifts to $-\infty$, i.e., with probability one $S_n \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We set $M_{\infty} := \sup_{n \geq 0} S_n$.

Properties of the distribution of M_{∞} have been studied by many authors for various reasons. First, the problems involving M_{∞} are of interest in their own right, since the supremum is one of the underlying functionals in random walk theory. Second, the distribution of M_{∞} appears in some applications; for example, it coincides with the limiting distribution of the waiting time process in the theory of queues [7, Sections XII.5 and VI.9]. The existence of moments of the form $Ef(M_{\infty})$ was considered for various choices of the function $f(x)$ by Kiefer and Wolfowitz [12], Tweedie [19], Janson [10], Alsmeyer [1], and Sgibnev [16]. Note that although Theorem 5 of Tweedie [19] concerns moments of the form $\int f(x) \pi(dx)$ for the stationary distribution π of the Markov chain $Z_{n+1} = \max(Z_n + X_{n+1}, 0)$, it is, however, well known

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 60G50; Secondary 60J10, 44A10.

Key words and phrases: random walk; supremum; submultiplicative function; characteristic equation; absolutely continuous component; oscillating random walk; stationary distribution; asymptotic expansions; Banach algebras, Laplace transform.

This research was supported by Grants 96-01-01939 and 96-15-96295 of the Russian Foundation for Fundamental Research.

(see, e.g., Feller [7, Sections VI.9 and XVIII.5]) that π coincides with the distribution of M_∞ . In Borovkov [3], Veraverbeke [20], Sgibnev [15], Embrechts and Veraverbeke [6], Lotov [13], Bertoin and Doney [2], and Borovkov and Korshunov [5], the main emphasis in studying the distribution of the supremum was laid upon the asymptotic behavior of $P(M_\infty > x)$.

The absolutely continuous component of an arbitrary distribution G will be denoted by G_c , and its singular component by G_s : $G_s = G - G_c$. For a complex-valued measure κ , we denote by $\widehat{\kappa}(s)$ its Laplace transform: $\widehat{\kappa}(s) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(sx) \kappa(dx)$ for appropriate values of s . In particular, $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(r)$ will stand for the Laplace transform at the point r of the singular component $(F^{m*})_s$ of the m -fold convolution F^{m*} .

The present paper deals with the distribution of M_∞ when the underlying distribution F satisfies the following conditions:

- (a) $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$, where $\varphi(x)$ is some *submultiplicative* function, i.e., $\varphi(x+y) \leq \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (b) the *characteristic equation* $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ has nonzero roots in the strip $\Pi(r) := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : 0 \leq \Re s \leq r\}$ for some $r > 0$;
- (c) for some integer $m \geq 1$, $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(r) < 1$.

Notice that condition (c) is automatically fulfilled if the distribution F has a density. Also, we will show that condition (c) is *necessary* in order to obtain a desired estimate of the remainder term in an expansion for the distribution of M_∞ (see Theorem 4 and Remark 2).

The approach used in the present paper is based on Banach algebra techniques and two general theorems on Laplace transforms which are proved in Section 2 (Theorems 2 and 3). Their application allows us to make substantial progress in two directions. First, the remainder term is estimated by means of submultiplicative weight functions. The notion of submultiplicativity is a very general concept, covering a wide range of useful specific functions (see Section 2). Second, we do not exclude from this general treatment the situation when some of the roots of $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ lie on the boundary of the strip of analyticity of $\widehat{F}(s)$.

It is known that the distribution of the supremum appears as a convolution factor in the stationary distributions π of some Markovian random walks (see [4] and [5]). This fact allows us to apply the techniques of the present paper to obtain, under conditions (a)–(c), asymptotic expansions for the π with rather general estimates of the remainder terms. We limit ourselves to the case of oscillating random walk (Section 4). Here an interesting phenomenon can be observed: coincidence of some roots of the characteristic equations corresponding to the governing distributions of the walk can modify or even cancel the respective terms of the expansion for π .

2. Preliminaries. Let $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be a submultiplicative function, i.e., $\varphi(x)$ is a finite, positive, Borel measurable function with the following properties:

$$\varphi(0) = 1, \quad \varphi(x+y) \leq \varphi(x)\varphi(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is well known [9, Section 7.6] that

$$(1) \quad -\infty < r_-(\varphi) := \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} = \sup_{x < 0} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} \\ \leq \inf_{x > 0} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \varphi(x)}{x} =: r_+(\varphi) < \infty.$$

Here are some examples of such functions on $[0, \infty)$: $\varphi(x) = (1+x)^r$, $r > 0$; $\varphi(x) = \exp(cx^\alpha)$ with $c > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$; $\varphi(x) = \exp(\gamma x)$ for γ real. In the first two cases $r_+(\varphi) = 0$ while in the last case $r_+(\varphi) = \gamma$. Moreover, if $R(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is a positive, ultimately nondecreasing regularly varying function at infinity with a nonnegative exponent α (i.e., $R(tx)/R(x) \rightarrow t^\alpha$ for $t > 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ [7, Section VIII.8]), then there exist a nondecreasing submultiplicative function $\varphi(x)$ and a point $x_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that $c_1 R(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq c_2 R(x)$ for all $x \geq x_0$, where c_1 and c_2 are some positive constants [16, Proposition]. The product of a finite number of submultiplicative functions is again a submultiplicative function.

Consider the collection $S(\varphi)$ of all complex-valued measures κ defined on the σ -algebra \mathcal{B} of Borel subsets of \mathbb{R} and such that

$$\|\kappa\|_\varphi := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) |\kappa|(dx) < \infty.$$

Here $|\kappa|$ stands for the total variation of κ . The collection $S(\varphi)$ is a Banach algebra with norm $\|\kappa\|_\varphi$ under the usual operations of addition and scalar multiplication of measures, the product of two elements ν and κ of $S(\varphi)$ being their convolution $\nu * \kappa$ [9, Section 4.16]. The unit element of $S(\varphi)$ is the Dirac measure δ , i.e., the atomic measure of unit mass at the origin. Relation (1) implies that the Laplace transform $\widehat{\kappa}(s) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \exp(sx) \kappa(dx)$ of $\kappa \in S(\varphi)$ converges absolutely with respect to $|\kappa|$ for all s in the strip

$$\Pi(\varphi) = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : r_-(\varphi) \leq \Re s \leq r_+(\varphi)\}.$$

The following theorem of [14] describes the structure of homomorphisms of $S(\varphi)$ onto \mathbb{C} .

THEOREM 1. *Let $m : S(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be an arbitrary homomorphism. Then the following representation holds:*

$$m(\nu) = \int \chi(x, \nu) \exp(\alpha x) \nu(dx), \quad \nu \in S(\varphi),$$

where α is a real number such that $r_-(\varphi) \leq \alpha \leq r_+(\varphi)$ and the function $\chi(x, \nu)$ of $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\nu \in S(\varphi)$ is a generalized character.

We shall not give a complete definition of a generalized character here; in what follows only one property of a generalized character will be used:

$$\nu\text{-ess sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |\chi(x, \nu)| \leq 1.$$

THEOREM 2. Let $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be a submultiplicative function such that $r_-(\varphi) < r_+(\varphi)$. Suppose the function $\varphi(x)/\exp[r_+(\varphi)x]$, $x \geq 0$, is nondecreasing and $\varphi(x)/\exp[r_-(\varphi)x]$, $x \leq 0$, is nonincreasing. Assume $\nu \in S(\varphi)$ and let s_0 be an interior point of $\Pi(\varphi)$. Then $\widehat{\kappa}(s) := [\widehat{\nu}(s) - \widehat{\nu}(s_0)]/(s - s_0)$, $s \in \Pi(\varphi)$, is the Laplace transform of some measure $\kappa \in S(\varphi)$.

Proof. Consider the absolutely continuous measure κ with the density $k(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, given by

$$k(x) := \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{s_0(y-x)} \nu(dy) & \text{if } x \geq 0, \\ - \int_{-\infty}^x e^{s_0(y-x)} \nu(dy) & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$

We show that $\kappa \in S(\varphi)$. Set $\varrho := \Re s_0$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad I &:= \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) |k(x)| dx \leq \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) \int_x^{\infty} e^{-\varrho(x-y)} |\nu|(dy) dx \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{\varrho y} \int_0^y \varphi(x) \exp[-r_+(\varphi)x] \exp\{[r_+(\varphi) - \varrho]x\} dx |\nu|(dy) \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(y) \exp\{[\varrho - r_+(\varphi)]y\} \int_0^y \exp\{[r_+(\varphi) - \varrho]x\} dx |\nu|(dy) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r_+(\varphi) - \varrho} \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(y) |\nu|(dy) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(3) \quad J := \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(x) |k(x)| dx \leq \frac{1}{\varrho - r_-(\varphi)} \int_{-\infty}^0 \varphi(y) |\nu|(dy) < \infty.$$

The equality $\widehat{\kappa}(s) = [\widehat{\nu}(s) - \widehat{\nu}(s_0)]/(s - s_0)$, $s \in \Pi(\varphi)$, is directly verified by integration. Indeed, suppose $s \in \Pi(\varphi)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\kappa}(s) &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{sx} \int_{-\infty}^x e^{s_0(y-x)} \nu(dy) dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^{\infty} e^{sx} \int_x^{\infty} e^{s_0(y-x)} \nu(dy) dx =: -I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Let $s \neq s_0$. By Fubini's theorem, $I_1 = \int_{-\infty}^0 (e^{s_0 y} - e^{s y}) \nu(dy)/(s - s_0)$. Similarly, $I_2 = \int_0^{\infty} (e^{s y} - e^{s_0 y}) \nu(dy)/(s - s_0)$. Finally, we have $\widehat{\kappa}(s) = [\widehat{\nu}(s) - \widehat{\nu}(s_0)]/(s - s_0)$.

Let now $s = s_0$. Just as before, we obtain $\widehat{\kappa}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y e^{s_0 y} \nu(dy)$. It is clear that this integral is the limit of the ratio $[\widehat{\nu}(s) - \widehat{\nu}(s_0)]/(s - s_0)$ as $s \rightarrow s_0$, which completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2 of [3, Appendix 2] may be regarded as a particular case of our Theorem 2 for $\varphi(x) = e^{rx}$ for $x \geq 0$ and $\varphi(x) = e^{qx}$ for $x < 0$, where $q < r$.

In case s_0 lies on the boundary of the strip $\Pi(\varphi)$, the situation becomes more involved. Nevertheless, the following theorem holds (for the sake of definiteness we consider the case $\Re s_0 = r_+(\varphi)$).

THEOREM 3. Let $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be a submultiplicative function such that $r_-(\varphi) \leq r_+(\varphi)$. Suppose the function $\varphi(x)/\exp[r_+(\varphi)x]$, $x \geq 0$, is nondecreasing and $\varphi(x)/\exp[r_-(\varphi)x]$, $x \leq 0$, is nonincreasing. Assume that

$$(4) \quad \int_0^{\infty} (1+x)\varphi(x) |\nu|(dx) < \infty \quad \text{or} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|x|)\varphi(x) |\nu|(dx) < \infty,$$

depending on whether $r_-(\varphi) < r_+(\varphi)$ or $r_-(\varphi) = r_+(\varphi)$. Let $\Re s_0 = r_+(\varphi)$. Then $\widehat{\kappa}(s) := [\widehat{\nu}(s) - \widehat{\nu}(s_0)]/(s - s_0)$, $s \in \Pi(\varphi)$, is the Laplace transform of some measure $\kappa \in S(\varphi)$.

Proof. Suppose $r_-(\varphi) < r_+(\varphi)$. Then the estimate (3) for the integral J remains unchanged. As for the integral I , we use in (2) the first of the inequalities (4) to obtain

$$(5) \quad I \leq \int_0^{\infty} y \varphi(y) |\nu|(dy) < \infty.$$

Let now $r_-(\varphi) = r_+(\varphi)$. Then (5) remains true, and J satisfies a similar inequality: $J \leq \int_{-\infty}^0 |y| \varphi(y) |\nu|(dy) < \infty$. The theorem is proved.

The measure κ that appears in Theorems 2 and 3 will be denoted by $T(s_0)\nu$, i.e., $\kappa =: T(s_0)\nu$. In the particular case $s_0 = 0$ we shall write $\kappa = T\nu$ instead of $\kappa = T(0)\nu$.

3. Supremum. Define $D(x) = P(M_{\infty} \leq x)$. For the Laplace transform of D the following representation holds [7, Section XVIII.5, Theorem 2]:

$$(6) \quad \widehat{D}(s) = \exp \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_0^{\infty} (e^{sx} - 1) F^{n*}(dx) \right\}, \quad \Re s \leq 0.$$

Denote by $G(x)$ the distribution function of the first negative sum S_{N_-} , $N_- := \inf\{k \geq 1 : S_k < 0\}$. Then [7, Section XVIII.3, Lemma 1]

$$(7) \quad 1 - \widehat{G}(s) = \exp\left\{-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{sx} F^{n*}(dx)\right\}, \quad \Re s \geq 0.$$

Put $a = \exp\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_n \geq 0)/n\}$. By the factorization theorem [7, Section XVIII.3],

$$(8) \quad 1 - \widehat{F}(s) = [a\widehat{D}(s)]^{-1} \cdot [1 - \widehat{G}(s)], \quad \Re s = 0.$$

Let now conditions (a)–(c) be fulfilled, and suppose the set \mathcal{Z} of nonzero roots of the characteristic equation $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ which lie in the strip $\Pi(r)$ is finite. (In case $\widehat{F}(s) \neq 1$ on $\{\Re s = r\}$, analyticity of $\widehat{F}(s)$ and condition (c) imply the finiteness of \mathcal{Z} ; see, e.g., [8].) Among the elements of \mathcal{Z} there exists one real, say $q \in (0, r]$. Denote the remaining elements of \mathcal{Z} by s_1, \dots, s_l . The multiplicity of the root s_j is an integer m_j such that $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = (s - s_j)^{m_j} F_j(s)$, where $F_j(s_j) \neq 0$. If $s \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $s \neq q$, then $\bar{s} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and the root \bar{s} has the same multiplicity as s . Put

$$f(s) := \frac{1 - \widehat{G}(s)}{a[1 - \widehat{F}(s)]}, \quad s \in \Pi(r) \setminus (\mathcal{Z} \cup \{0\}).$$

Let the coefficients $B_{jk}, k = 1, \dots, m_j$, be defined by the asymptotic expansion

$$(9) \quad f(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} (-1)^k B_{jk} / (s - s_j)^k + o(1/(s - s_j)) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow s_j,$$

provided $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{m_j} e^{\Re s_j x} F(dx) < \infty$. Similarly, define B_q by the asymptotic expansion $f(s) = -B_q/(s - q) + o(1/(s - q))$ as $s \rightarrow q$, provided $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| e^{qx} F(dx) < \infty$.

Denote by \mathcal{E}_j the complex-valued measure with density $\mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) e^{-s_j x}$ ($\mathbf{1}_A(x)$ is the indicator function of the set A); the Laplace transform of this measure is $-1/(s - s_j)$, $\Re(s - s_j) < 0$. Further, let \mathcal{E}_q be the measure with density $\mathbf{1}_{(0, \infty)}(x) e^{-qx}$.

THEOREM 4. Let $\{X_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of independent identically distributed random variables with a common nonarithmetic distribution F , and let $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be the corresponding random walk generated by its partial sums such that with probability one, $S_n \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be a submultiplicative function such that $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ for $x < 0$, $r := r_+(\varphi) > 0$ and the function $\varphi(x) e^{-rx}$, $x \geq 0$, is nondecreasing.

Let q and s_j be the nonzero roots of the equation $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ lying in the strip $\Pi(r)$ with multiplicities 1 and m_j respectively, $j = 1, \dots, l$. Denote by N the maximal multiplicity of those roots which lie on $\{\Re s = r\}$ ($N = 0$

means that there are no such roots). Suppose that $\int_0^{\infty} (1+x)^{2N} \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$ and $(F^{m*})_s^{\wedge}(r) < 1$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Then

$$(10) \quad D = B_q \mathcal{E}_q + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} B_{jk} \mathcal{E}_j^{k*} + R,$$

where the remainder R satisfies the inequality $\int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) |R|(dx) < \infty$.

PROOF. We form the following submultiplicative functions: $\varphi_k(x) := (1+x)^k \varphi(x)$ for $x \geq 0$ and $\varphi_k(x) := \exp(r'x)$ for $x < 0$, where $r' \in (0, q)$ and the integer parameter k runs from 0 to $2N$. Obviously, $r_+(\varphi_k) = r$ and $r_-(\varphi_k) = r'$ for all $k = 0, \dots, 2N$. Let $p = \sum_{j=1}^l m_j + 1$. Consider the function

$$v(s) := \frac{[1 - \widehat{F}(s)](s - r - 1)^p}{(s - q) \prod_{j=1}^l (s - s_j)^{m_j}}, \quad s \in \{r' \leq \Re s \leq r\} \setminus \mathcal{Z}.$$

Define the function $v(s)$ at the points $q, s_j, j = 1, \dots, l$, by continuity. We will show that $v(s)$ is the Laplace transform $\widehat{V}(s)$ of some real-valued measure $V \in S(\varphi_N)$ and, moreover, $1/v(s)$ is the Laplace transform $\widehat{W}(s)$ of some $W \in S(\varphi_N)$, i.e., V is invertible in $S(\varphi_N)$.

Representing a rational function as a sum of partial fractions, we have

$$(11) \quad v(s) = [1 - \widehat{F}(s)] \left[1 + \frac{b_q}{s - q} + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{b_{jk}}{(s - s_j)^k} \right],$$

where b_q, b_{jk} are some constants.

By the hypotheses of the theorem, $F \in S(\varphi_{2N})$. Consider the functions $f_{jk}(s) := [\widehat{F}(s) - 1]/(s - s_j)^k$, $k = 1, \dots, m_j, j = 1, \dots, l$. We now establish that if $\Re s_j < r$, then $f_{jk}(s)$ is the Laplace transform of some measure in $S(\varphi_{2N})$, and if $\Re s_j = r$, then $f_{jk}(s)$ is the Laplace transform of some measure in $S(\varphi_{2N-k})$.

Let $\nu \in S(\varphi_m)$. If $\Re s_j < r$, then by Theorem 2, $T(s_j)\nu \in S(\varphi_m)$, and if $\Re s_j = r$ and $m > 0$, then by Theorem 3, $T(s_j)\nu \in S(\varphi_{m-1})$ (the operator $T(s_j)$ was introduced at the end of Section 2). Therefore, $f_{jk}(s) = [T(s_j)^k F]^{\wedge}(s)$, $k = 1, \dots, m_j, j = 1, \dots, l$, are the Laplace transforms of some measures in $S(\varphi_{2N})$ or $S(\varphi_{2N-k})$, respectively. Thus, by (11), $v(s)$ is the Laplace transform $\widehat{V}(s)$ of some $V \in S(\varphi_N)$.

Let \mathcal{M} be the space of maximal ideals of the Banach algebra $S(\varphi_N)$. The following facts are well known from the theory of Banach algebras. Each maximal ideal $M \in \mathcal{M}$ induces a homomorphism of the Banach algebra $S(\varphi_N)$ onto the field of complex numbers \mathbb{C} ; moreover, M is the kernel of this homomorphism. Denote by $\nu(M)$ the value of this homomorphism at $\nu \in S(\varphi_N)$. An element $\nu \in S(\varphi_N)$ has an inverse if and only if ν does not

belong to any maximal ideal $M \in \mathcal{M}$ (in other words, ν is invertible if and only if $\nu(M) \neq 0$ for every $M \in \mathcal{M}$).

The space \mathcal{M} is split into two sets: \mathcal{M}_1 is the set of those maximal ideals which do not contain the collection $L(\varphi_N)$ of absolutely continuous measures from $S(\varphi_N)$, and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{M}_1$. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$, then the homomorphism $S(\varphi_N) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ induced by M is of the form $\nu \mapsto \widehat{\nu}(s_0)$, $\nu \in S(\varphi_N)$, where s_0 is some complex number such that $r' \leq \Re s_0 \leq r$. In this case, $M = \{\mu \in S(\varphi_N) : \widehat{\mu}(s_0) = 0\}$ [9, Chap. IV, Section 4]. If $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$, then $\nu(M) = 0$ for each absolutely continuous measure $\nu \in S(\varphi_N)$.

We now show that $V(M) \neq 0$ for each $M \in \mathcal{M}$; this yields the existence of $V^{-1} \in S(\varphi_N)$. Indeed, if $M \in \mathcal{M}_1$, then, for some $s_0 \in \{r' \leq \Re s \leq r\}$, we have $V(M) = \widehat{V}(s_0) \neq 0$. Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_2$. First, note that the condition $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(r) < 1$ implies $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(\alpha) < 1$ for all $\alpha \in [0, r]$. In fact, the function $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(\alpha)$, $\alpha \in [0, r]$, is convex and clearly $(F^{m*})_s^\wedge(0) < 1$, whence the desired assertion follows. Applying Theorem 1, we have, for some $\alpha \in [r', r]$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F(M)|^m &= |F^{m*}(M)| = |(F^{m*})_s(M)| \\ &= \left| \int \chi(x, (F^{m*})_s) \exp(\alpha x) (F^{m*})_s(dx) \right| \\ &\leq \int \exp(\alpha x) (F^{m*})_s(dx) < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Replacing in (11) the Laplace transforms by the corresponding measures, we find that $V = \delta - F + V^*$, where $V^* \in L(\varphi_N)$. Hence $|V(M)| = |1 - F(M)| > 0$. This means that there exists an inverse element $W = V^{-1}$, and that the function $\widehat{W}(s) = 1/\widehat{V}(s)$, $r' \leq \Re s \leq r$, is the Laplace transform of the measure W .

Put $u(s) = [1 - \widehat{G}(s)]\widehat{W}(s)/a$. The distribution G is concentrated on the negative half-axis and is clearly an element of $S(\varphi_N)$. Hence $u(s)$ is the Laplace transform $\widehat{U}(s)$ of some $U \in S(\varphi_N)$. We have (see (8))

$$(12) \quad \widehat{D}(s) = u(s) \frac{(s-r-1)^p}{(s-q) \prod_{j=1}^l (s-s_j)^{m_j}}, \quad \Re s = 0.$$

Again the decomposition into partial fractions gives

$$(13) \quad \widehat{D}(s) = u(s) + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{b_{jk} u(s)}{(s-s_j)^k}.$$

We transform each summand of the double sum:

$$(14) \quad \frac{b_{jk} u(s)}{(s-s_j)^k} = b_{jk} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{u_{j,i}(s_j)}{(s-s_j)^{k-i}} + b_{jk} u_{j,k}(s),$$

where $u_{j,0}(s) := u(s)$, $u_{j,i}(s) := [u_{j,i-1}(s) - u_{j,i-1}(s_j)]/(s-s_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$. Applying step by step either Theorem 2 or Theorem 3, we establish that

the measures $U_{j,k}$ with Laplace transforms $\widehat{U}_{j,k}(s) = u_{j,k}(s)$, $k = 1, \dots, m_j$, $j = 1, \dots, l$, belong to $S(\varphi_N)$ or $S(\varphi_{N-k})$, depending on whether $\Re s_j$ is less than or equal to r . Finally,

$$(15) \quad \frac{b_q u(s)}{s-q} = \frac{b_q u(q)}{s-q} + \frac{b_q [u(s) - u(q)]}{s-q} = -\frac{B_q}{s-q} + b_q u_q(s),$$

where by the same Theorems 2 and 3, $u_q(s)$ is the Laplace transform $\widehat{U}_q(s)$ of some $U_q \in S(\varphi_N)$ or $U_q \in S(\varphi_{N-1})$, depending on whether $q < r$ or $q = r$. Put

$$R = U + b_q U_q + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} b_{jk} U_{j,k}.$$

By the above, $R \in S(\varphi_0)$. Substituting (14) and (15) into (13) and collecting similar terms, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \widehat{D}(s) = -B_q/(s-q) + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} (-1)^k B_{jk}/(s-s_j)^k + \widehat{R}(s).$$

The fact that the coefficient of $(s-s_j)^{-k}$ is precisely $(-1)^k B_{jk}$ follows from uniqueness of the expansion (9). To complete the proof of Theorem 4, it remains to go over from the Laplace transforms to the corresponding measures.

COROLLARY. *Let the hypotheses of Theorem 4 be satisfied. Then*

$$(17) \quad P(M_\infty > x) = B_q e^{-qx}/q + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} B_{jk} \mathcal{E}_j^{k*}((x, \infty)) + r(x),$$

where $|r(x)| \leq |R|((x, \infty)) = o(1/\varphi(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

REMARK 1. The particular case of the Corollary when $\varphi(x) = e^{r \max(0,x)}$ yields the estimate $o(e^{-rx})$ of the remainder $r(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, which improves upon the estimate $o(x^N e^{-rx})$ of [13, Theorem 2], where $N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq l} m_j - 1$; also, in [13] it was assumed that $\widehat{F}(s) \neq 1$ on $\{\Re s = r\}$.

REMARK 2. Condition (c) is also necessary for the remainder term R in Theorem 4 to satisfy $\int_0^\infty \varphi(x) |R|(dx) < \infty$. Indeed, suppose that (c) is not fulfilled. Then $[(F_+)^{n*}]_s^\wedge(r) \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 1$ [17], where F_+ is the defective distribution of the first positive sum, i.e., $F_+(A) := P(S_\eta \in A; \eta < \infty)$ and $\eta := \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n > 0\}$. It is known that D coincides, up to a constant factor c , with the renewal measure generated by F_+ : $D = c \sum_{n=0}^\infty (F_+)^{n*}$. This can be derived from the factorization identities (8) and $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = a_0 [1 - \widehat{G}(s)] [1 - \widehat{F}_+(s)]$, where $a_0 = \exp\{-\sum_{n=1}^\infty P(S_n = 0)/n\}$ [7, Section XVIII.6, (6.5)]. Choose sets A_n of Lebesgue measure zero such

that $\int_{A_n} e^{rx} [(F_+)^{n*}]_s(dx) \geq 1$. Put $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$. Then the Lebesgue measure of A is zero and

$$\int_A e^{rx} D(dx) = c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_A e^{rx} [(F_+)^{n*}]_s(dx) = \infty.$$

But, on the other hand, it follows from (10) and (1) that

$$\int_A e^{rx} D(dx) = \int_A e^{rx} R(dx) \leq \int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) |R|(dx) < \infty.$$

This contradiction shows that (c) is necessary for $\int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) |R|(dx) < \infty$ to hold.

4. Oscillating random walk

DEFINITION ([11]). Let $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ be two independent sequences of independent identically distributed random variables. A sequence $\{Z_n\}$ of random variables is called an *oscillating random walk* with governing sequences $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ if the random variables $\{Z_n\}$ are defined by an initial value Z_0 and the relations

$$Z_{n+1} = \begin{cases} Z_n + X_n & \text{if } Z_n > 0, \\ Z_n + Y_n & \text{if } Z_n < 0, \\ Z_n + W_n & \text{if } Z_n = 0, \end{cases}$$

where $W_n = X_n$ with probability p and $W_n = Y_n$ with probability $1 - p$.

Denote by R_X and R_Y the sets of possible values of X_1 and Y_1 . We assume that $R_X \cup R_Y$ is *nonarithmetic*, i.e., its elements cannot be represented in the form kd , where d is a fixed positive number and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (\mathbb{Z} is the set of all integers). Let F and F^* be the distributions of X_1 and Y_1 with finite expectations $\mu = EX_1 < 0$ and $\nu = EY_1 > 0$. Theorem 2 of [4] gives an explicit expression for the stationary distribution π of the oscillating random walk $\{Z_n\}$. In this section we investigate the influence of the roots of the characteristic equations $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ and $1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = 0$ on the properties of π . To this end we introduce some new notation.

Put $S_0^* = 0$, $S_k^* = \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i$, $k \geq 1$. As before, $S_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i$. Let $L_{\infty}^* = \inf\{S_0^*, S_1^*, \dots\}$. Denote the distribution of L_{∞}^* by D^* , and let F_- and let F_+^* denote respectively the distributions of the first nonpositive sum S_N of $\{S_n\}$ and the first nonnegative sum S_{N^*} of $\{S_n^*\}$; here $N = \inf\{k \geq 1 : S_k \leq 0\}$ and $N^*(x) = \inf\{k \geq 1 : S_k^* \geq 0\}$.

Define probability distributions as follows: $H := TF_-/ES_N$ and $H^* := TF_+^*/ES_{N^*}$ (the operator T was introduced at the end of Section 2).

In this notation the representation for the stationary distribution π of the oscillating random walk $\{Z_n\}$ derived in [4] takes the following form:

$$(18) \quad \pi = \frac{\nu}{\nu - \mu} D * H^* + \frac{-\mu}{\nu - \mu} D^* * H =: \pi_1 + \pi_2.$$

Since the restriction $\pi|_{(0, \infty)}$ coincides with π_1 (and, similarly, $\pi|_{(-\infty, 0)} = \pi_2$), the problem of studying the influence of the roots of $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ and $1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = 0$ on the properties of π reduces to similar problems for π_1 and π_2 .

Suppose $\widehat{F}(r) \geq 1$ and $\widehat{F}^*(r)$ are finite for some $r > 0$. Along with \mathcal{Z} consider the set Ξ^* of roots of $1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = 0$ lying in $\Pi(r)$. If Ξ^* is nonvoid, denote its elements by ξ_j^* , and their multiplicities by m_j^* , $j = 1, \dots, l^*$.

Notice that the zeros of $\widehat{H}^*(s)$ in $\Pi(r)$ coincide with the roots of $1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = 0$; moreover, the multiplicities of the zeros of $\widehat{H}^*(s)$ and those of the corresponding roots of $1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = 0$ are the same. Indeed, this follows from the factorization identity

$$(19) \quad 1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = [b^* \widehat{D}^*(s)]^{-1} [1 - \widehat{F}_+^*(s)], \quad 0 \leq \Re s \leq r,$$

where $b^* = \exp\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(S_n^* < 0)/n\}$; identity (19) is a consequence of the factorization theorem, Lemma 2 of [7, Section XVIII.3] and the expression for $\widehat{D}^*(s)$, symmetric to (6). Dividing both sides of (19) by $-s$, we obtain

$$(20) \quad \frac{\widehat{F}^*(s) - 1}{s} = [b^* \widehat{D}^*(s)]^{-1} ES_{N^*}^* \cdot \widehat{H}^*(s), \quad 0 \leq \Re s \leq r,$$

whence the assertion about the zeros of $\widehat{H}^*(s)$ immediately follows.

Let $\psi(x)$ be a submultiplicative function such that $0 < r_-(\psi) \leq r_+(\psi)$. We have $b^* \widehat{D}^*(s) = \exp\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{sx} (F^*)^{n*}(dx)/n\}$, $\Re s \geq 0$, or $b^* \widehat{D}^*(s) = \exp[\widehat{\kappa}(s)]$, where the measure $\kappa(A) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (F^*)^{n*}(A \cap (-\infty, 0))/n$ belongs to the Banach algebra of finite measures since $\int_{\mathbb{R}} x F^*(dx) > 0$. Hence $[b^* \widehat{D}^*(s)]^{-1} = \exp[-\widehat{\kappa}(s)]$ is the Laplace transform of the finite measure $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \kappa^{n*}/n!$ concentrated on $(-\infty, 0]$. By (1), this measure is obviously in $S(\psi)$. Now, it follows from (20) that $H^* \in S(\psi) \Leftrightarrow TF^* \in S(\psi)$. In particular,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^k e^{rx} H^*(dx) < \infty \Leftrightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^k e^{rx} [1 - F^*(x)] dx < \infty$$

(here we have taken $\psi(x) := (1 + |x|)^k e^{rx}$). Put

$$f_1(s) := \frac{1 - \widehat{G}(s)}{a[1 - \widehat{F}(s)]} \widehat{H}^*(s), \quad s \in \Pi(r) \setminus (\mathcal{Z} \cup \{0\}).$$

Define the coefficients C_{jk} , $k = 1, \dots, n_j$, by the asymptotic expansion

$$(21) \quad f_1(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} (-1)^k C_{jk} / (s - s_j)^k + o(1/(s - s_j)) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow s_j,$$

provided $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{m_j} e^{\Re s_j x} F(dx) < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x|^{m_j} e^{\Re s_j x} [1 - F^*(x)] dx < \infty$; here and in what follows $n_j = m_j$ if $s_j \notin \Xi^*$, and $n_j = \max(0, m_j - m_i^*)$ if $s_j = \xi_i^* \in \Xi^*$. Similarly, define C_q by the asymptotic expansion

$$(22) \quad f_1(s) = -C_q / (s - q) + o(1/(s - q)) \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow q,$$

provided $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| e^{qx} F(dx) < \infty$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |x| e^{qx} [1 - F^*(x)] dx < \infty$.

THEOREM 5. *Let $\{Z_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ be an oscillating random walk and π be the stationary distribution defined by formula (18).*

Let $\varphi(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be a submultiplicative function such that $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$ for $x < 0$, $r := \tau_+(\varphi) > 0$ and the function $\varphi(x)e^{-rx}$, $x \geq 0$, does not decrease.

Denote by N the maximal multiplicity of the roots of the equation $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ lying on the line $\{\Re s = r\}$, and by Q the maximal n_j corresponding to such roots (if $r = q$, then $N = Q = 1$).

Suppose $\int_0^{\infty} x^{N+Q} \varphi(x) F(dx) < \infty$, $\int_0^{\infty} x^N \varphi(x) [1 - F^(x)] dx < \infty$, and $(F^{m_i^*})_s^{\wedge}(r) < 1$ for some integer $m \geq 1$. Then*

$$\pi_1 = \frac{\nu}{\nu - \mu} \left[C_q \mathcal{E}_q + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} C_{jk} \mathcal{E}_j^{k*} + R_1 \right],$$

where the remainder R_1 satisfies the inequality $\int_0^{\infty} \varphi(x) |R_1|(dx) < \infty$.

Proof. According to (18) and (12), we have

$$\frac{\nu - \mu}{\nu} \widehat{\pi}_1(s) = \widehat{D}(s) \widehat{H}^*(s) = c(s) \frac{(s - r - 1)^p}{(s - q) \prod_{j=1}^l (s - s_j)^{m_j}},$$

where $c(s)$ is the Laplace transform of the measure $K := U * H^*$. Clearly, $TF^* \in S(\varphi_N)$. As pointed out above, this is equivalent to $H^* \in S(\varphi_N)$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 4 that $U \in S(\varphi_Q)$, and hence $K \in S(\varphi_Q)$.

By decomposition into partial fractions, we obtain

$$\frac{\nu - \mu}{\nu} \widehat{\pi}_1(s) = c(s) + \frac{b_q c(s)}{s - q} + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{b_{jk} c(s)}{(s - s_j)^k}.$$

The further reasoning is, on the whole, the same as in the proof of Theorem 4; the main difference is in the need to take into account the fact that some of the roots s_j and ξ_i^* of $1 - \widehat{F}(s) = 0$ and $1 - \widehat{F}^*(s) = 0$ may coincide, especially

when these roots lie on the line $\{\Re s = r\}$. If $s_j \notin \Xi^*$, then $n_j = m_j$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{b_{jk} c(s)}{(s - s_j)^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} b_{jk} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{k_{j,i}(s_j)}{(s - s_j)^{k-i}} + \sum_{k=1}^{m_j} b_{jk} k_{j,i}(s),$$

where $k_{j,0}(s) := c(s)$, $k_{j,i}(s) := [k_{j,i-1}(s) - k_{j,i-1}(s_j)] / (s - s_j)$, $i = 1, \dots, m_j$. Depending on whether $\Re s_j < r$ or $\Re s_j = r$, we apply Theorem 2 or Theorem 3 to establish that the measures $T(s_j)^k K$ with Laplace transforms $k_{j,k}(s)$ are in $S(\varphi_Q)$ or $S(\varphi_{Q-k})$ respectively, $k = 1, \dots, m_j$. Let now $s_j = \xi_i^*$ and $n_j = 0$. Then, by Theorem 2 or Theorem 3, the function $\sum_{k=1}^{m_j} b_{jk} c(s) / (s - s_j)^k$ is the Laplace transform of the measure $\sum_{k=1}^{m_j} b_{jk} U * [T(s_j)^k H^*]$ belonging to $S(\varphi_Q)$ or to $S(\varphi_Q) \cap S(\varphi_{N-m_j}) \subset S(\varphi_0)$, depending on whether $\Re s_j < r$ or $\Re s_j = r$. Finally, let $s_j = \xi_i^*$ and $n_j > 0$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m_j} \frac{b_{jk} c(s)}{(s - s_j)^k} = \sum_{k=1}^{m_i^*} b_{jk} \widehat{K}_{jk}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} b_{j,k+m_i^*} \frac{\widehat{K}_{j m_i^*}(s)}{(s - s_j)^k} =: \Sigma_{j1} + \Sigma_{j2},$$

where $K_{jk} = U * [T(s_j)^k H^*]$ is in $S(\varphi_Q)$ or $S(\varphi_Q) \cap S(\varphi_{N-k})$, depending on whether $\Re s_j < r$ or $\Re s_j = r$, $k = 1, \dots, m_i^*$. Thus, Σ_{j1} is the Laplace transform of some measure in $S(\varphi_Q) \cap S(\varphi_{N-m_i^*}) \subset S(\varphi_0)$. Applying the already known procedure to the expression $\widehat{K}_{j m_i^*}(s) / (s - s_j)^k$, we obtain

$$\Sigma_{j2} = \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} b_{j,k+m_i^*} \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \frac{[T(s_j)^p K_{j m_i^*}]^{\wedge}(s_j)}{(s - s_j)^{k-p}} + \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} b_{j,k+m_i^*} [T(s_j)^k K_{j m_i^*}]^{\wedge}(s).$$

Clearly, $T(s_j)^k K_{j m_i^*} = T(s_j)^k \{U * [T(s_j)^{m_i^*} H^*]\}$ is in $S(\varphi_Q)$ or $S(\varphi_{Q-k}) \cap S(\varphi_{N-m_i^*-k}) \subset S(\varphi_0)$, depending on whether $\Re s_j < r$ or $\Re s_j = r$; here $k = 1, \dots, n_j$. Hence $Q - k \geq Q - n_j \geq 0$ and $N - m_i^* - k \geq N - m_i^* - n_j = N - m_j \geq 0$. Applying the same procedure to the term $b_q c(s) / (s - q)$, summing up over j from 1 to l , and collecting similar terms, we obtain (by uniqueness of the asymptotic expansions (21) and (22))

$$\frac{\nu - \mu}{\nu} \widehat{\pi}_1(s) = \frac{-C_q}{s - q} + \sum_{j=1}^l \sum_{k=1}^{n_j} (-1)^k \frac{C_{jk}}{(s - s_j)^k} + \widehat{R}_1(s),$$

where $\widehat{R}_1(s)$ is the Laplace transform of some measure $R_1 \in S(\varphi_0)$. The theorem is proved.

The picture on the negative half-axis is quite symmetric.

REMARK 3. Theorem 5 allows us to derive an asymptotic expansion for the tail $\pi((x, \infty))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (see the Corollary of Theorem 4).

REMARK 4. Particular cases of the results of this paper have been considered in [18]. They correspond to $\varphi(x) = \exp(rx)$ for $x \geq 0$ and $\varphi(x) \equiv 1$

for $x < 0$. Moreover in [18] it is assumed that $EX_1 < 0$ is finite and that on the line $\{\Re s = r\}$ there are no roots of the characteristic equation.

REMARK 5. In Theorems 2–5 the monotonicity conditions on $\varphi(x)$ may be replaced by the following: $\varphi(y)/\exp[r_+(\varphi)y] \leq C\varphi(x)/\exp[r_+(\varphi)x]$ for all $0 \leq y \leq x$ and $\varphi(y)/\exp[r_-(\varphi)y] \leq C\varphi(x)/\exp[r_-(\varphi)x]$ for all $x \leq y \leq 0$, where $C \geq 1$ is a constant.

REMARK 6. Let $\varphi(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, be a submultiplicative function defined on the set \mathbb{Z} of all integers. If in the proofs of Theorems 2–5 we replace the Laplace transforms by the generating functions and use the Banach algebras of complex sequences $\{\nu_k\}$ such that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(k)|\nu_k| < \infty$ instead of the Banach algebras $S(\varphi)$, then we obtain analogous theorems for measures and distributions concentrated on \mathbb{Z} . In this case the measures \mathcal{E}_j , \mathcal{E}_q , $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_j$ and $\underline{\mathcal{E}}_{q^*}$ must be replaced by their discrete counterparts. Moreover, in the arithmetic case there is no need for condition (c).

References

- [1] G. Alsmeyer, *On generalized renewal measures and certain first passage times*, Ann. Probab. 20 (1991), 1229–1247.
- [2] J. Bertoin and R. A. Doney, *Some asymptotic results for transient random walks*, J. Appl. Probab. 28 (1996), 206–226.
- [3] A. A. Borovkov, *Stochastic Processes in Queueing Theory*, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [4] —, *A limit distribution for an oscillating random walk*, Theory Probab. Appl. 25 (1980), 649–651.
- [5] A. A. Borovkov and D. A. Korshunov, *Probabilities of large deviations for one-dimensional Markov chains. I. Stationary distributions*, Theory Probab. Appl. 41 (1996), 1–24.
- [6] P. Embrechts and N. Veraverbeke, *Estimates for the probability of ruin with special emphasis on the possibility of large claims*, Insurance Math. Econom. 1 (1982), 55–72.
- [7] W. Feller, *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications II*, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [8] B. B. van der Genugten, *Asymptotic expansions in renewal theory*, Compositio Math. 21 (1969), 331–342.
- [9] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semi-Groups*, Colloq. Publ. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1957.
- [10] S. Janson, *Moments for first passage times, the minimum, and related quantities for random walks with positive drift*, Adv. Appl. Probab. 18 (1986), 865–879.
- [11] J. H. B. Kemperman, *The oscillating random walk*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 2 (1974), 1–29.
- [12] J. Kiefer and J. Wolfowitz, *On the characteristics of the general queueing process, with applications to random walk*, Ann. Math. Statist. 27 (1956), 147–161.
- [13] V. I. Lotov, *Asymptotics of the distribution of the supremum of consecutive sums*, Mat. Zametki 38 (1985), 668–678 (in Russian).

- [14] B. A. Rogozin and M. S. Sgibnev, *Banach algebras of measures on the line*, Siberian Math. J. 21 (1980), 265–273.
- [15] M. S. Sgibnev, *On the distribution of the supremum of sums of independent summands with negative drift*, Mat. Zametki 22 (1977), 763–770 (in Russian).
- [16] —, *Submultiplicative moments of the supremum of a random walk with negative drift*, Statist. Probab. Lett. 32 (1997), 377–383.
- [17] —, *Equivalence of two conditions on singular components*, ibid. 40 (1998), 127–131.
- [18] —, *On the distribution of the supremum in the presence of roots of the characteristic equation*, Teor. Veroyatnost. i Primenen. 43 (1998), 383–390 (in Russian).
- [19] R. L. Tweedie, *The existence of moments for stationary Markov chains*, J. Appl. Probab. 20 (1983), 191–196.
- [20] N. Veraverbeke, *Asymptotic behavior of Wiener–Hopf factors of a random walk*, Stochastic Process. Appl. 5 (1977), 27–37.

Institute of Mathematics
Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Novosibirsk 90, 630090 Russia
E-mail: sgibnev@math.nsc.ru

Received March 16, 1999
Revised version February 7, 2000

(4282)