

A generalization of a theorem of Khintchin

by

M. FISZ (Warszawa)

The following definition is due to Khintchin and Lévy: Two one-dimensional distribution functions $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are of the same type if there exist two constants $A > 0$ and B such that the equality

$$G(x) = F(Ax + B)$$

holds.

Khintchin [1] has shown that if two sequences of distribution functions $F_n(x)$ and $G_n(x)$ where, for $n=1, 2, \dots$, $G_n(x) = F_n(A_n x + B_n)$, $A_n > 0$ and B_n are arbitrary sequences of real constants, converge, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to non-singular distribution functions $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ respectively, then $F(x)$ and $G(x)$ are of the same type.

This theorem of Khintchin plays an important role when the whole class of possible limiting distribution functions of some sequences of one-dimensional distribution functions is to be found.

A multidimensional generalization of this theorem is the object of this paper. The author [2] has applied this generalized theorem to the problem of finding the class of all possible limiting distributions of the multinomial distribution.

DEFINITION. We shall say that the probability functions P and G , defined in the i -dimensional space of points (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) are of the same type if there exists such a real linear transformation

$$(1) \quad y_m = \sum_{k=1}^i A_{mk} x_k + B_m \quad (m=1, \dots, i),$$

the determinant $|A_{mk}|$ ($m, k=1, 2, \dots, i$) being different from 0, that the equality

$$(2) \quad G(S) = P(S')$$

holds, where S is an arbitrary Borel set and S' is the image of S given by (1).

The following theorem will be proved:

THEOREM. Let the probability functions P_n and G_n for $n=1, 2, \dots$ be not singular and of the same type and let the sequences P_n and G_n converge for $n \rightarrow \infty$, to non-singular probability functions P and G respectively. Then P and G are of the same type.

Proof. Let the assumptions of the theorem be satisfied. We shall write in (1) and (2) A_{nmk}, B_{nm} and S'_n respectively. We can choose—following the method of Cantor—such a subsequence n_α of indices that the following relations hold:

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n_\alpha \rightarrow \infty} A_{n_\alpha m k} &= A_{mk} \\ \lim_{n_\alpha \rightarrow \infty} B_{n_\alpha m} &= B_m \end{aligned} \quad (k=1, 2, \dots, i; m=1, 2, \dots, i),$$

where $-\infty \leq A_{mk} \leq \infty$, $-\infty \leq B_m \leq \infty$.

For the sake of simplicity we shall assume—without restricting the generality of our considerations—that relations (3) hold for the sequence n of indices. We shall now show that for all considered m and k the inequalities

$$(4) \quad -\infty < A_{mk} < \infty, \quad -\infty < B_m < \infty$$

hold. Indeed let us assume that for some m , say $m=1$, some A_{1k} ($k=1, \dots, j$; $j \leq i$) are not finite. Let us now assume that there exists in the space (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) a hyperplane L such that for each point lying on one “side” of L , for instance “below” L , the relation

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i A_{n1k} x_k + B_{n1} \right) < \infty$$

holds, and for each point on the other “side” of L the relation

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^i A_{n1k} x_k + B_{n1} \right) = \infty$$

holds. Let us consider two arbitrary points $(x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i)$ and $(x''_1, x''_2, \dots, x''_j, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_i)$ lying “below” L where $x'_k < x''_k$ if $A_{1k} = +\infty$ and $x'_k > x''_k$ if $A_{1k} = -\infty$. Then, taking into account relation (5), we have

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j A_{n1k} x'_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^i A_{n1k} x_k + B_{n1} \right) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^j A_{n1k} (x'_k - x''_k) + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^j A_{n1k} x''_k + \sum_{k=j+1}^i A_{n1k} x_k + B_{n1} \right) = -\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Relation (7) implies then that for an arbitrary set S lying "below" the hyperplane L the equality

$$(8) \quad G(S) = 0$$

holds. On the other hand relation (6) implies the relation (8) for an arbitrary set S lying "above" the hyperplane L .

Relation (8) contradicts the assumption that the probability function G is non-singular.

On the other hand the assumption that there exists no hyperplane L satisfying relations (5) and (6) leads immediately to the conclusion that G is singular.

Let us now assume that a certain B_m is not finite, say $B_1 = \infty$. Since the A_{1k} ($k=1, 2, \dots, i$) are finite, this assumption implies relation (6), from which we deduce again relation (8).

Relations (4) are thus proved.

We shall now show that the rank of the matrix $[A_{mk}]$ is equal to i . Indeed let us assume that it is equal to $r < i$. We can thus suppose, for instance, that the $(r+1)$ -th, $(r+2)$ -th, ..., i -th row of the matrix are linear functions of the first r rows. In other words we have

$$(9) \quad A_{mk} = \lambda_{m1} A_{1k} + \lambda_{m2} A_{2k} + \dots + \lambda_{mr} A_{rk},$$

where $m=r+1, r+2, \dots, i$. Thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the image S' of an arbitrary set S will lie in an r -dimensional hyperplane.

Let us consider such continuity intervals S of G that for the set S'' lying "between" and "on" the images of S given by the transformations

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1} &= \sum_{k=1}^i A_{(r+1)k} x_k + B_{r+1} - \delta, \dots, y_i = \sum_{k=1}^i A_{ik} x_k + B_i - \delta, \\ y_1, \dots, y_r, y_{r+1} &= \sum_{k=1}^i A_{(r+1)k} x_k + B_{r+1} + \delta, \dots, y_i = \sum_{k=1}^i A_{ik} x_k + B_i + \delta, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$, the relation

$$(11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(S'') = P(S'')$$

holds. From the relations (3) follows that for sufficiently large n

$$(12) \quad \sum_{k=1}^i A_{mk} x_k + B_m - \delta \leq \sum_{k=1}^i A_{nmk} x_k + B_{nm} \leq \sum_{k=1}^i A_{mk} x_k + B_m + \delta$$

$(m = r+1, \dots, i).$

and thus for such n

$$(13) \quad S'_n \subset S'', \quad G_n(S) = P_n(S'_n) \leq P_n(S'').$$

In virtue of relation (11) and of the fact that S is a continuity interval of G we obtain

$$(14) \quad G(S) \leq P(S'').$$

As $G(S)$ may for the considered intervals S take any value between 0 and 1, it follows from the inequality (14) — since δ may be arbitrarily small — that P is a singular probability function. Thus the rank of the matrix $[A_{mk}]$ is equal to i .

Now we have only to show that the equality (2) holds. Let R, S, T , where $R \subset S \subset T$, be equidimensional continuity intervals of G , the corresponding "sides" of R, S, T being parallel and the distance of the corresponding "sides" of R from those of S and those of S from those of T being equal to $\varepsilon > 0$. Let R' and T' denote the image of R and T respectively given by (1). Let us choose ε so that for R' and T' the relation (11) holds. For sufficiently large n the relations

$$R' \subset S'_n \subset T', \quad P_n(R') \leq P_n(S'_n) \leq P_n(T')$$

hold, and thus, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$P(R') \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(S'_n) \leq \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(S'_n) \leq P(T').$$

Since $\varepsilon_n > 0$ may be arbitrarily small we obtain

$$(15) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(S) = P(S').$$

On the other hand, according to the assumptions of the theorem, the equality

$$(16) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(S) = G(S)$$

holds. The relation (2) follows from formulae (15) and (16).

The generalization of Khintchin's theorem is thus proved.

References

[1] A. Khintchin, *Ueber Klassenkonvergenz von Verteilungsgesetzen*, Изв. НИИ Мар.-Мех. Томского Университета 1 (1937), p. 261-262.
 [2] M. Fisz, *The limiting distributions of the multinomial distribution*, *Studia Mathematica*, this volume, p. 272-275.

(Requ par la Rédaction le 10. 10. 1953)