

References

- [1] T. S. Baskett and I. J. Katz, *Theorems on products of EP_r matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 2 (1969), 87–103.
- [2] K. G. Brock, *A note on commutativity of a linear operator and its Moore–Penrose inverse*, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 11 (1990), 673–678.
- [3] S. L. Campbell and C. D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverses of Linear Transformations*, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [4] M. P. Drazin, *Pseudo-inverse in associative rings and semigroups*, Amer. Math. Monthly 65 (1958), 506–514.
- [5] R. E. Harte and M. Mbekhta, *On generalized inverses in C^* -algebras*, Studia Math. 103 (1992), 71–77.
- [6] —, —, *Generalized inverses in C^* -algebras II*, *ibid.* 106 (1993), 129–138.
- [7] R. Hartwig and I. J. Katz, *On products of EP matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 252 (1997), 339–345.
- [8] I. J. Katz, *Weigman type theorems for EP_r matrices*, Duke Math. J. 32 (1965), 423–428.
- [9] J. J. Koliha, *A generalized Drazin inverse*, Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 367–381.
- [10] —, *The Drazin and Moore–Penrose inverse in C^* -algebras*, Proc. Roy. Irish Acad. Sect. A 99 (1999), 17–27.
- [11] —, *A simple proof of the product theorem for EP matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. 294 (1999), 213–215.
- [12] I. Marek and K. Žitný, *Matrix Analysis for Applied Sciences*, Vol. 2, Teubner, Leipzig, 1986.
- [13] R. Penrose, *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 51 (1955), 406–413.
- [14] E. T. Wong, *Does the generalized inverse of A commute with A ?*, Math. Mag. 59 (1986), 230–232.

References added in proof:

- [15] D. Djordjević, *Products of EP operators on Hilbert spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [16] G. Lešnjak, *Semigroups of EP linear transformations*, Linear Algebra Appl. 304 (2000), 109–118.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
The University of Melbourne
Melbourne, VIC 3010
Australia
E-mail: j.koliha@ms.unimelb.edu.au

Received March 23, 1999
Revised version January 28, 2000

(4288)

On operator bands

by

ROMAN DRNOVŠEK (Ljubljana), LEO LIVSHITS (Waterville, ME),
GORDON W. MACDONALD (Charlottetown, PEI),
BEN MATHES (Waterville, ME), HEYDAR RADJAVI (Halifax, NS)
and PETER ŠEMRL (Ljubljana)

Abstract. A multiplicative semigroup of idempotent operators is called an operator band. We prove that for each $K > 1$ there exists an irreducible operator band on the Hilbert space l^2 which is norm-bounded by K . This implies that there exists an irreducible operator band on a Banach space such that each member has operator norm equal to 1.

Given a positive integer r , we introduce a notion of weak r -transitivity of a set of bounded operators on a Banach space. We construct an operator band on l^2 that is weakly r -transitive and is not weakly $(r + 1)$ -transitive.

We also study operator bands S satisfying a polynomial identity $p(A, B) = 0$ for all non-zero $A, B \in S$, where p is a given polynomial in two non-commuting variables. It turns out that the polynomial $p(A, B) = (AB - BA)^2$ has a special role in these considerations.

1. Introduction. Let $\mathcal{B}(X)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on a (real or complex) Banach space X . A subset S of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *irreducible* if the only closed subspaces of X invariant under all members of S are $\{0\}$ and X . Otherwise, S is called *reducible*. A set S of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be *triangularizable* if there is a chain of closed subspaces that are invariant under every member of S and this chain is maximal in the lattice of all closed subspaces of X .

An operator A on a vector space V is called *idempotent* if $A^2 = A$. A semigroup S of idempotents on V is called an *operator band*. If V is a Banach space, we also assume that all operators in S are bounded. Reducibility of operator bands on Hilbert spaces has recently been studied in [2], [5], and [6]. In [2] an irreducible operator band on the Hilbert space l^2 has been constructed. After having such an example it is natural to ask about the existence of irreducible operator bands with some additional properties. Sections 2 and 3 are devoted to this question. In Section 2 we construct an irreducible operator band on l^2 which is norm-bounded. This implies that

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 47A15, 47D03.

Key words and phrases: invariant subspaces, idempotents, operator semigroups.

there exist an irreducible operator band \mathcal{S} on l^2 and an equivalent norm on l^2 with respect to which each member of \mathcal{S} has operator norm equal to 1. In Section 3 we introduce a notion of weak r -transitivity of a set of bounded operators on a Banach space, where r is a given positive integer. We construct an operator band on l^2 that is weakly r -transitive and is not weakly $(r+1)$ -transitive.

In [6] it is shown that every operator band \mathcal{S} on a Hilbert space satisfying $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ for all $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ is triangularizable. This result motivates the study of operator bands \mathcal{S} satisfying a polynomial identity $p(A, B) = 0$ for all non-zero $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$, where p is a given polynomial in two non-commuting variables. The results of Section 4 show that the polynomial $p(A, B) = (AB - BA)^2$ has a special role in these considerations.

A reference for what follows is [7]. It should be noted that the definitions and remarks below are not needed to understand Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 and their proofs.

Define a relation \preceq on an operator band \mathcal{S} by

$$A \preceq B \Leftrightarrow ABA = A.$$

Then \preceq is a pre-order on \mathcal{S} (it is reflexive and transitive). This (in fact, each) pre-order determines an equivalence relation \sim on \mathcal{S} by

$$A \sim B \Leftrightarrow A \preceq B \text{ and } B \preceq A.$$

Let \mathcal{C}_A denote the equivalence class of $A \in \mathcal{S}$. Then \mathcal{C}_A is a subband of \mathcal{S} . We refer to the equivalence classes as *components* of \mathcal{S} . Define the multiplication on the set \mathcal{S}/\sim of all components of \mathcal{S} by

$$\mathcal{C}_A \mathcal{C}_B = \mathcal{C}_{AB}.$$

This operation is well defined and \mathcal{S}/\sim is an abelian band under it. The band pre-order on \mathcal{S}/\sim is a partial order. We denote it by \leq . It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{C}_A \leq \mathcal{C}_B \Leftrightarrow A \preceq B.$$

An *ideal* of a semigroup \mathcal{S} is a subset of \mathcal{S} which is closed under right and left multiplications by elements of \mathcal{S} . An ideal generated by one element of \mathcal{S} is said to be a *principal ideal*. A *principal-ideal band* is a band with identity in which every ideal is principal. Principal-ideal matrix bands have been studied in [3].

2. Norm-bounded irreducible operator bands. In [2] an irreducible operator band on the Hilbert space l^2 has been constructed. Essentially, this construction is based upon the following operators on l^2 .

Given $k \times k$ matrices A and B , let $P_{A,B}$ be the $3k \times 3k$ matrix

$$P_{A,B} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A \\ I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} B & -B & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} AB & -AB & A \\ AB & -AB & A \\ B & -B & I \end{bmatrix},$$

where I is the identity matrix of order k . Let $T_{A,B}$ be the infinite block-diagonal matrix

$$T_{A,B} = \text{diag}\{D_0, D_1, D_2, \dots\},$$

where the block D_i is equal to $P_{A,B}$ if the number i is representable in the ternary system by 0's and 1's only, and D_i equals the identity matrix of order $3k$ otherwise. We regard $T_{A,B}$ as an operator on l^2 . One readily shows that

$$(1) \quad \|T_{A,B}\|^2 \leq 4\|AB\|^2 + 2\|A\|^2 + 2\|B\|^2 + 1 \leq (2\|A\|^2 + 1)(2\|B\|^2 + 1).$$

It is easy to see that $T_{A,B}T_{C,D} = T_{A,D}$ for all $k \times k$ matrices A, B, C and D . In particular, $T_{A,B}^2 = T_{A,B}$.

After the publication of [2], M. D. Choi posed the question of whether there exists an irreducible operator band on a Hilbert space that is also norm bounded. This problem can be reformulated in the following way:

PROBLEM 2.1. *Let \mathcal{S} be an operator band on a Hilbert space such that for some $K \geq 1$ we have $\|S\| \leq K$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Is \mathcal{S} necessarily reducible?*

If we also assume that $K = 1$, then every member of \mathcal{S} is Hermitian (see e.g. [1, Proposition 3.3]). In this case we have $ST = (ST)^* = T^*S^* = TS$ for all $S, T \in \mathcal{S}$, so that \mathcal{S} is a commutative band, and hence reducible. However, for $K > 1$ the following result holds.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $K > 1$. Then there exists an operator band \mathcal{S} on the Hilbert space l^2 such that*

- (a) $\|S\| \leq K$ for all $S \in \mathcal{S}$,
- (b) the semigroup $\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{S} := \{\lambda S : \lambda > 0, S \in \mathcal{S}\}$ is weakly dense in $\mathcal{B}(l^2)$, and so \mathcal{S} is irreducible.

Proof. Let $d := \sqrt{(K-1)/2}$ and $c := d/K$. For each positive integer n let \mathcal{S}_n denote the set of all operators $T_{A,B}$ as A and B range over all $3^n \times 3^n$ matrices with norm at most c , and let \mathcal{T}_n denote the set of all operators $T_{A,B}$ as A and B range over all $3^n \times 3^n$ matrices with norm at most d . It is obvious that \mathcal{S}_n and \mathcal{T}_n are both operator bands satisfying $\mathcal{S}_n \subset \mathcal{T}_n$. Furthermore, by (1) we have $\|T_{A,B}\| \leq 2d^2 + 1 = K$ for all $T_{A,B} \in \mathcal{T}_n$.

We shall prove that $\mathcal{S}_n \mathcal{T}_m \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathcal{T}_m \mathcal{S}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ for all positive integers m and n with $m < n$. Pick $T_{A,B} \in \mathcal{S}_n$ and $S \in \mathcal{T}_m$. Then there exists a $3^n \times 3^n$ matrix M with norm at most K such that

$$S = \text{diag}\{C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots\},$$

where the block C_i is equal to M if the number i is representable in the ternary system by 0's and 1's only, and C_i equals the identity matrix of order 3^n otherwise. From

$$\begin{bmatrix} A \\ A \\ I \end{bmatrix} [B \quad -B \quad I] \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ A \\ I \end{bmatrix} [BM \quad -BM \quad I]$$

it follows that $T_{A,BS} = T_{A,BM}$. Since $\|BM\| \leq \|B\| \|M\| \leq cK = d$, we conclude that $T_{A,BM} \in \mathcal{T}_n$. This completes the proof of the inclusion $\mathcal{S}_n \mathcal{T}_m \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$. The proof of the other inclusion is similar.

Now let \mathcal{S} be the semigroup generated by the union $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n$. We claim that \mathcal{S} is an operator band and that (a) holds. To this end, pick $S \in \mathcal{S}$. Then S is a finite product of some members of $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{S}_n$. Let p be the smallest integer such that these members belong to the finite union $\bigcup_{n=1}^p \mathcal{S}_n$. Using the above inclusions and the facts that \mathcal{S}_n and \mathcal{T}_n are semigroups, we easily conclude that $S \in \mathcal{T}_p$. Therefore S is an idempotent with norm at most K .

In order to prove (b) we consider $T \in \mathcal{B}(l^2)$ and $x, y \in l^2$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $\|T\| \leq c^2$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a $3^n \times 3^n$ matrix A_n with norm at most c such that the operators T and $T_n := T_{A_n, cI} \in \mathcal{S}_n \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ have the same upper-left $3^n \times 3^n$ corner. The rest of the proof goes along the lines of the last part of the proof from [2]. The weak density of $\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{S}$ also implies that \mathcal{S} is irreducible. ■

In the case of Banach spaces we have the following:

THEOREM 2.3. *There exist an irreducible operator band \mathcal{S} on l^2 and an equivalent norm on l^2 with respect to which each member of \mathcal{S} has operator norm equal to 1.*

Proof. It is well known and easily shown that for each bounded semigroup \mathcal{S} of operators on a Banach space containing the identity, we can define an equivalent norm on the Banach space by

$$\|x\|' = \sup\{\|Sx\| : S \in \mathcal{S}\},$$

with respect to which every member of \mathcal{S} has norm at most 1. Now take for \mathcal{S} any operator band obtained in Theorem 2.2, and adjoin the identity to it. ■

Theorem 2.2 (and therefore Theorem 2.3 as well) can be improved as follows.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $K > 1$. Then there exists an operator band \mathcal{P} on l^2 such that*

- (a) \mathcal{P} is norm-bounded by K ,
- (b) $\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{P}$ is weakly dense in $\mathcal{B}(l^2)$ (and so \mathcal{P} is irreducible),

(c) \mathcal{P} is a principal-ideal band with countably many elements, and each component of \mathcal{P} is finite.

Proof. Let us use the notation from the proof of Theorem 2.2. For each positive integer n let $\{W_{nk}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of $3^n \times 3^n$ matrices that is dense in the ball of all $3^n \times 3^n$ matrices of norm at most c . Furthermore, let P_n be the natural embedding of \mathbb{C}^{3^n} into l^2 . Define the double sequence $\{Z_{nk}\}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of bounded operators on l^2 by $Z_{nk} = P_n W_{nk} P_n^*$. Let $\{A_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a renumbering of the terms of the sequence

$$Z_{11}, Z_{12}, Z_{21}, Z_{13}, Z_{22}, Z_{31}, Z_{14}, Z_{23}, Z_{32}, Z_{41}, \dots$$

Define an increasing sequence $\{\mathcal{P}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of semigroups inductively. Let \mathcal{P}_1 be the operator band on l^2 generated by $T_{P_1^* A_1 P_1, cI}$ and the identity, and let \mathcal{P}_n be the semigroup generated by \mathcal{P}_{n-1} and the operators $T_{P_n^* A_1 P_n, cI}$, $T_{P_n^* A_2 P_n, cI}$, \dots , $T_{P_n^* A_n P_n, cI}$. Since $\mathcal{S}_n \mathcal{T}_m \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathcal{T}_m \mathcal{S}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ for all positive integers m and n with $m < n$, we conclude that $\mathcal{P}_n \subseteq \{I\} \cup \mathcal{T}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{T}_n$, and so the semigroup \mathcal{P}_n is an operator band. By the famous theorem of Green and Rees [4] every finitely generated band is finite, so that \mathcal{P}_n has finitely many elements. Note that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$T_{P_n^* A_1 P_n, cI} \sim T_{P_n^* A_2 P_n, cI} \sim \dots \sim T_{P_n^* A_n P_n, cI},$$

and

$$T_{P_n^* A_i P_n, cI} \cdot T_{P_m^* A_j P_m, cI} \sim T_{P_n^* A_i P_n, cI} \sim T_{P_m^* A_j P_m, cI} \cdot T_{P_n^* A_i P_n, cI}$$

for all $n > m$ and $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$. (See the proof of the inclusions $\mathcal{S}_n \mathcal{T}_m \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ and $\mathcal{T}_m \mathcal{S}_n \subseteq \mathcal{T}_n$ for $n > m$.) It follows that \mathcal{P}_n gains only one component in addition to those which make up \mathcal{P}_{n-1} , and this component is the smallest one (with respect to \leq) of \mathcal{P}_n . In particular, \mathcal{P}_n is a principal-ideal band. Then $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_n$ is a countable principal-ideal band with finite components, and so (c) holds. Since $\mathcal{P} \setminus \{I\}$ is contained the union of all \mathcal{T}_n , \mathcal{P} is norm-bounded by K .

For each positive integer n define $Q_n = P_n P_n^*$. For the proof of (b) it is enough to show that each $T \in \mathcal{B}(l^2)$ satisfying $Q_m T Q_m = T$ for some m is in the weak closure of $\mathbb{R}^+ \mathcal{P}$, because the set of such operators is weakly dense in $\mathcal{B}(l^2)$. Fix $x, y \in l^2$, and $1 > \varepsilon > 0$. We may assume that $\|T\| \leq c^2$. Then there exists $j \geq m$ such that for each $n \geq j$ the operator $T_n := T_{P_n^* A_j P_n, cI} \in \mathcal{P}_n$ satisfies the estimate $\|Q_n (T - T_n) Q_n\| \leq \varepsilon$. (Note that $Q_n T_n Q_n = c A_j$.) Decompose l^2 into the direct sum of the range and the kernel of Q_n . Then the matrix of $T - T_n$ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} E_n & U_n \\ V_n & W_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where the norm of the $3^n \times 3^n$ matrix E_n is at most ε . Writing $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ with respect to the same decomposition of the space l^2 , we

have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (T - T_n)x, y \rangle| &\leq \|E_n\| \|x_1\| \|y_1\| + \|U_n\| \|x_2\| \|y_1\| \\ &\quad + \|V_n\| \|x_1\| \|y_2\| + \|W_n\| \|x_2\| \|y_2\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (1),

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|U_n\|, \|V_n\|, \|W_n\|\} &\leq \|T - T_n\| \leq \|T\| + \|T_n\| \\ &\leq \|T\| + \sqrt{(2\|P_n^* A_j P_n\|^2 + 1)(2c^2 + 1)} \\ &\leq \|T\| + \sqrt{(2\|A_j\|^2 + 1)(2c^2 + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\|Q_n T Q_n - cA_j\| = \|Q_n(T - T_n)Q_n\| \leq \varepsilon$, we have $\|cA_j\| \leq \|Q_n T Q_n\| + \varepsilon < \|T\| + 1$. It follows that there exists a constant L not depending on n (depending on $\|T\|$ and c only) such that $\max\{\|U_n\|, \|V_n\|, \|W_n\|\} \leq L$. Hence

$$|\langle (T - T_n)x, y \rangle| \leq \varepsilon \|x\| \|y\| + L(\|y\| \|x_2\| + \|x\| \|y_2\| + \|x_2\| \|y_2\|).$$

If n tends to infinity, then $\max\{\|x_2\|, \|y_2\|\}$ is arbitrarily small, which implies that T is in the weak closure of \mathcal{P} . This completes the proof of (b). ■

3. Weakly-transitive operator bands. Let r be a positive integer, and let X be a Banach space. We say that a subset \mathcal{S} of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is *weakly r -transitive* if for each linearly independent set $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ in X , for each subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ of X and for each weak neighborhood V of $0 \in X$ there exists $S \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $Sx_i - y_i \in V$ for each $i = 1, \dots, r$. It is easy to see that a subset \mathcal{S} of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is weakly r -transitive if for each linearly independent set $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ in X and for each subset $\{y_1, \dots, y_r\}$ of X there is a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathcal{S} such that for each $i = 1, \dots, r$ the sequence $\{S_n x_i\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly to y_i . It is not difficult to see that a subset of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is weakly dense if and only if it is weakly r -transitive for every positive integer r . Furthermore, every weakly 1-transitive subset of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is irreducible. On the other hand, not every bounded irreducible operator band on l^2 is weakly 1-transitive, as can be quickly checked.

Let r be a fixed positive integer. In view of the above remarks every weakly dense subset of $\mathcal{B}(X)$ is weakly r -transitive. The converse assertion is not true, even within the class of operator bands. Moreover, the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let r be a positive integer. Then there exists a principal-ideal operator band \mathcal{R} on l^2 that is weakly r -transitive and is not weakly $(r+1)$ -transitive.*

Proof. For each positive integer n satisfying $3^n > r$, let \mathcal{R}_n denote the set of all operators $T_{A,B}$ as A and B range over all $3^n \times 3^n$ matrices such

that the rank of A is at most r . Denote by \mathcal{R} the union of the identity and all \mathcal{R}_n . It is easy to verify that \mathcal{R} is a principal-ideal operator band. To prove that \mathcal{R} is weakly r -transitive, choose linearly independent vectors $x_1, \dots, x_r \in l^2$, and choose any vectors $y_1, \dots, y_r \in l^2$. Then there exists an operator $R \in \mathcal{B}(l^2)$ of rank at most r such that $Rx_i = y_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, r$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $3^n > r$ there exists an operator $R_n := T_{A_n, I} \in \mathcal{R}_n$ such that the matrix of $R - R_n$ is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & U_n \\ V_n & W_n \end{bmatrix},$$

where the 0 is the $3^n \times 3^n$ zero matrix. Choose any vector $z \in l^2$, and write $x_i = (x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)})$ ($i = 1, \dots, r$) and $z = (z^{(1)}, z^{(2)})$ with respect to the above decomposition of the space l^2 . Then, for any $i = 1, \dots, r$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle (R - R_n)x_i, z \rangle| &\leq \|U_n\| \|x_i^{(2)}\| \|z^{(1)}\| \\ &\quad + \|V_n\| \|x_i^{(1)}\| \|z^{(2)}\| + \|W_n\| \|x_i^{(2)}\| \|z^{(2)}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \max\{\|U_n\|, \|V_n\|, \|W_n\|\} &\leq \|R - R_n\| \leq \|R\| + \|R_n\| \\ &\leq \|R\| + \sqrt{3(2\|A_n\|^2 + 1)} \\ &\leq \|R\| + \sqrt{3(2\|R\|^2 + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we let $M := \|R\| + \sqrt{3(2\|R\|^2 + 1)}$, we have

$$|\langle (R - R_n)x_i, z \rangle| \leq M(\|z\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \|x_j^{(2)}\| + 2\|z^{(2)}\| \max_{1 \leq j \leq r} \|x_j\|)$$

for any $i = 1, \dots, r$. If n is sufficiently large, then $\max\{\|x_1^{(2)}\|, \dots, \|x_r^{(2)}\|, \|z^{(2)}\|\}$ is arbitrarily small. Since $Rx_i = y_i$, it follows that the semigroup \mathcal{R} is weakly r -transitive.

Next we demonstrate that \mathcal{R} is not weakly $(r+1)$ -transitive. Let e_1, e_2, \dots be the standard ortho-basis vectors of l^2 . Define $x_i = z_i = e_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r+1$, $y_1 = e_2$, $y_2 = e_1$, and $y_i = e_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq r+1$ (provided $r \geq 2$). Suppose that \mathcal{R} is weakly $(r+1)$ -transitive. Then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $T_{A_n, B_n} \in \mathcal{R}$ such that

$$|\langle T_{A_n, B_n} x_i - y_i, z_k \rangle| \leq 2^{-n}$$

for all $i, k = 1, \dots, r+1$. Denote by P the natural embedding of \mathbb{C}^{r+1} into l^2 , and by $\widehat{e}_1, \dots, \widehat{e}_{r+1}$ the standard ortho-basis vectors of \mathbb{C}^{r+1} . We then conclude that the sequence $\{P^* T_{A_n, B_n} P\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in the operator norm to the operator J on \mathbb{C}^{r+1} defined by $J\widehat{e}_1 = \widehat{e}_2$, $J\widehat{e}_2 = \widehat{e}_1$, and $J\widehat{e}_i = \widehat{e}_i$ for $3 \leq i \leq r+1$. In particular, there exists an integer n such that the operator $P^* T_{A_n, B_n} P$ on \mathbb{C}^{r+1} is invertible. But the matrix of this operator

is a principal $(r+1) \times (r+1)$ submatrix of the matrix $A_n B_n$ which has rank at most r . This contradiction completes the proof. ■

4. Operator bands satisfying a polynomial identity. Throughout the section, V denotes a real or complex vector space. In [6] the following results on commutators in operator bands have been shown.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let S be an operator band on V . Then $(AB - BA)^3 = 0$ for all $A, B \in S$.*

THEOREM 4.2. *Let S be an operator band on a Banach space satisfying $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ for all $A, B \in S$. Then S is triangularizable.*

We remark that the preceding theorem is proved in [6] in a Hilbert space setting. However, it is clear from that proof that the theorem is true in a Banach space setting as well. Note also that every operator band on a vector space is algebraically triangularizable (see [6]).

The above results motivate the consideration of operator bands S on V satisfying a polynomial identity $p(A, B) = 0$ for all $A, B \in S \setminus \{0\}$, where p is a given polynomial in two non-commuting variables. We first observe that the subband generated by A and B has at most 6 elements: A , B , AB , BA , ABA , and BAB . Hence, we may assume with no loss of generality that the polynomial p has the form

$$p(A, B) = s_1 A + s_2 B + t_1 AB + t_2 BA + u_1 ABA + u_2 BAB,$$

where at least one of the scalars s_1 , s_2 , t_1 , t_2 , u_1 , and u_2 is non-zero. We shall now state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let p be as above, and let S be an operator band on V with more than one non-zero component such that $p(A, B) = 0$ for all $A, B \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Then $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ for all $A, B \in S$. In a Banach space setting this implies that S is triangularizable.*

The following proposition covers the special case of Theorem 4.3 when $s_1 = s_2 = 0$.

PROPOSITION 4.4. *Assume that at least one of scalars t_1 , t_2 , u_1 , and u_2 is non-zero. Let S be an operator band on a vector space such that*

$$(2) \quad t_1 AB + t_2 BA + u_1 ABA + u_2 BAB = 0$$

for all $A, B \in S$. Then $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ for all $A, B \in S$.

Proof. We may assume that S is non-zero. Putting $A = B \neq 0$ in (2) we obtain

$$t_1 + t_2 + u_1 + u_2 = 0.$$

We now multiply (2) on the right by A to get

$$(t_1 + u_1)ABA + (t_2 + u_2)BA = 0,$$

and so

$$(t_1 + u_1)(ABA - BA) = 0.$$

If $t_1 + u_1 \neq 0$, we have $ABA = BA$ for all $A, B \in S$. It follows that $BAB = AB$ by interchanging the roles of A and B . Thus

$$(AB - BA)^2 = AB - ABA - BAB + BA = 0$$

for all $A, B \in S$.

Assume now that $t_1 + u_1 = 0$, and so $t_2 + u_2 = 0$ as well. Multiplying (2) on the left by B we obtain

$$(t_1 + u_2)BAB + (t_2 + u_1)BA = 0,$$

and hence

$$(t_1 + u_2)(BAB - BA) = 0.$$

If $t_1 + u_2 \neq 0$, we conclude (just as before) that $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$. So, we must consider only the case when $t_1 + u_1 = 0$, $t_2 + u_2 = 0$, and $t_1 + u_2 = 0$. Then we have $t_1 = t_2 = -u_1 = -u_2$, so that (2) becomes

$$AB + BA - ABA - BAB = 0$$

for all $A, B \in S$. It follows that $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ for all $A, B \in S$. ■

Proof of Theorem 4.3. Let A_0 and B_0 be non-zero elements of S which come from two distinct components. Then $A_0 B_0 \preceq A_0$ and $A_0 B_0 \preceq B_0$. Moreover, either $A_0 B_0 \not\sim A_0$ or $A_0 B_0 \not\sim B_0$. Since $A_0 B_0 \sim B_0 A_0$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $A_0 B_0 \not\sim A_0$. Define $C_0 = A_0 B_0$. We then have

$$s_1 A_0 + s_2 C_0 + t_1 A_0 C_0 + t_2 C_0 A_0 + u_1 A_0 C_0 A_0 + u_2 C_0 A_0 C_0 = 0,$$

or equivalently,

$$s_1 A_0 + (s_2 + t_1 + u_2)C_0 + (t_2 + u_1)C_0 A_0 = 0.$$

Multiplying by A_0 on the right, we get

$$s_1 A_0 + (s_2 + t_1 + u_2 + t_2 + u_1)C_0 A_0 = 0.$$

It follows that $s_1 = 0$, and by symmetry also $s_2 = 0$. Hence Proposition 4.4 can be applied to complete the proof the theorem. ■

Consider now the case when S has exactly one non-zero component. If $A, B \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then $ABA = A$ and $BAB = B$, so that there is no loss of generality in assuming that the coefficients u_1 and u_2 of the polynomial p are zero.

INFORMATION FOR AUTHORS

PROPOSITION 4.5. Assume that at least one of the scalars s_1 , s_2 , t_1 , and t_2 is non-zero. Let S be an operator band with exactly one non-zero component such that

$$(3) \quad s_1A + s_2B + t_1AB + t_2BA = 0$$

for all non-zero $A, B \in S$. Then $(AB - BA)^2 = 0$ for all $A, B \in S$.

Proof. If $A, B \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then $A = ABA$ and $B = BAB$, so that (3) implies $t_1AB + t_2BA + s_1ABA + s_2BAB = 0$. Hence Proposition 4.4 completes the proof. ■

Acknowledgments. The first author would like to thank Professor M. D. Choi for posing Problem 2.1. The support of the Ministry of Science of Slovenia is gratefully acknowledged by the first and the last authors, while the support of NSERC of Canada is acknowledged by the other authors.

References

- [1] J. B. Conway, *A Course in Functional Analysis*, Springer, 1990.
- [2] R. Drnovšek, *An irreducible semigroup of idempotents*, *Studia Math.* 125 (1997), 97–99.
- [3] P. Fillmore, G. W. MacDonald, M. Radjabalipour and H. Radjavi, *Principal-ideal bands*, *Semigroup Forum* 59 (1999), 362–373.
- [4] J. A. Green and D. Rees, *On semigroups in which $x^r = x$* , *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 48 (1952), 35–40.
- [5] L. Livshits, G. W. MacDonald, B. Mathes and H. Radjavi, *Reducible semigroups of idempotent operators*, *J. Operator Theory* 40 (1998), 35–69.
- [6] —, —, —, —, *On band algebras*, *ibid.*, to appear.
- [7] M. Petrich, *Lectures in Semigroups*, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, and Wiley, London, 1977.

Faculty of Mathematics and Physics
University of Ljubljana
Jadranska 19
SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
E-mail: roman.drnovsek@fmf.uni-lj.si
peter.semrl@fmf.uni-lj.si

Department of Math and CS
Colby College
Waterville, ME 04901
U.S.A.
E-mail: l.livshi@colby.edu
dbmathes@colby.edu

Department of Math and CS
University of Prince Edward Island
Charlottetown, PEI
C1A 4P3, Canada
E-mail: gmacdonald@upei.ca

Department of Math, Stats and CS
Dalhousie University
Halifax, NS
B3H 3J3, Canada
E-mail: radjavi@mscs.dal.ca

Manuscripts should be typed on one side only, with double or triple spacing and wide margins, and submitted in duplicate, including the original typewritten copy.

An **abstract** of not more than 200 words and the AMS Mathematics Subject Classification are required.

Figures must be prepared in a form suitable for direct reproduction. Sending EPS, PCX, TIF or CorelDraw files will be most helpful. The author should indicate on the margin of the manuscript where figures are to be inserted.

References should be arranged in alphabetical order, typed with double spacing, and styled and punctuated according to the examples given below. Abbreviations of journal names should follow *Mathematical Reviews*. Titles of papers in Russian should be translated into English.

Examples:

- [6] D. Beck, *Introduction to Dynamical Systems*, Vol. 2, Progr. Math. 54, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1978.
- [7] R. Hill and A. James, *An index formula*, *J. Differential Equations* 15 (1982), 197–211.
- [8] J. Kowalski, *Some remarks on $J(X)$* , in: *Algebra and Analysis* (Edmonton, 1973), E. Brook (ed.), *Lecture Notes in Math.* 867, Springer, Berlin, 1974, 115–124.
- [Nov] A. S. Novikov, *An existence theorem for planar graphs*, preprint, Moscow University, 1980 (in Russian).

Authors' **affiliation** should be given at the end of the manuscript.

Authors receive only page **proofs** (one copy). If the proofs are not returned promptly, the article will be printed in a later issue.

Authors receive 50 **reprints** of their articles. Additional reprints can be ordered.

The publisher strongly encourages submission of manuscripts written in **TeX**. **On acceptance of the paper**, authors will be asked to transmit the file by electronic mail to:

STUDIA@IMPAN.GOV.PL

Recommended **format** of manuscripts: 12-point type (including references), text width 13.5 cm.

Home page: <http://www.inpan.gov.pl/PUBL/>