

- [23] F. León-Saavedra and A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Spectral theory and hypercyclic subspaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.
- [24] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [25] V. G. Miller, *Remarks on finitely hypercyclic and finitely supercyclic operators*, Integral Equations Operator Theory 29 (1997), 110–115.
- [26] A. Montes-Rodríguez, *Banach spaces of hypercyclic vectors*, Michigan Math. J. 43 (1996), 419–436.
- [27] A. Montes-Rodríguez and H. N. Salas, *Supercyclic operators*, in preparation.
- [28] D. P. O'Donovan, *Weighted shifts and covariance algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 208 (1975), 1–25.
- [29] C. J. Read, *The invariant subspace problem for a class of Banach spaces, 2: Hypercyclic operators*, Israel J. Math. 63 (1988), 1–40.
- [30] S. Rolewicz, *On orbits of elements*, Studia Math. 32 (1969), 17–22.
- [31] H. N. Salas, *Semigroup of isometries with commuting range projections*, J. Operator Theory 14 (1985), 331–346.
- [32] —, *A hypercyclic operator whose adjoint is also hypercyclic*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 112 (1991), 765–770.
- [33] —, *Hypercyclic weighted shifts*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 993–1004.
- [34] J. H. Shapiro, *Composition Operators and Classical Function Theory*, Springer, New York, 1993.
- [35] A. L. Shields, *Weighted shift operators and analytic function theory*, in: Topics in Operator Theory, Math. Surveys 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 2nd printing, 1979, 49–128.
- [36] J. Zemánek, *The semi-Fredholm radius of a linear operator*, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 32 (1984), 67–76.

Departamento de Matemáticas
 Universidad de Puerto Rico
 Mayagüez, Puerto Rico 00681
 E-mail: salas@math.upr.clu.edu

Received April 21, 1998
 Revised version October 23, 1998

(4090)

Averages of uniformly continuous retractions

by

A. JIMÉNEZ-VARGAS (Almería), J. F. MENA-JURADO (Granada),
 R. NAHUM (Haifa) and J. C. NAVARRO-PASCUAL (Almería)

Abstract. Let X be an infinite-dimensional complex normed space, and let B and S be its closed unit ball and unit sphere, respectively. We prove that the identity map on B can be expressed as an average of three uniformly continuous retractions of B onto S . Moreover, for every $0 \leq r < 1$, the three retractions are Lipschitz on rB . We also show that a stronger version where the retractions are required to be Lipschitz does not hold.

1. Introduction. Let Y be a strictly convex infinite-dimensional normed space, and let T be a topological space. Let $C = C(T, Y)$ be the normed space of continuous bounded functions from T into Y , with the usual uniform norm. Let B_Y and B_C be the closed unit balls of Y and C , respectively, and let S_Y be the unit sphere of Y . Note that f is an extreme point of B_C if and only if f maps into S_Y . Finally, for every metric space M denote the identity map on M by I_M .

Peck [8] proved that if T is a compact Hausdorff space, then B_C is the convex hull of its extreme points. In [2] it was proved that every $f \in B_C$ can be expressed as an average of four extreme points of B_C , a fact which implies that I_{B_Y} can be expressed as an average of four retractions of B_Y onto S_Y . Cantwell [3] conjectured that the number of retractions can be reduced. Indeed, the number of retractions was reduced in [6] to three, the lowest possible number, and in [4] it was proved that this result holds in every infinite-dimensional complex normed space.

In this paper we focus on two subspaces of $C(M, X)$, where M is a metric space and X is an infinite-dimensional complex normed space. Namely, we consider the subspace $U = U(M, X)$ of uniformly continuous functions, and its subspace $L = L(M, X)$ of Lipschitz functions.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 46B20.

Key words and phrases: uniformly continuous retraction, Lipschitz retraction, extreme point.

The second author was partially supported by DGICYT PB 95-1146 and DGES PB 96-1406.

In Section 2 we prove the main theorem (2.1) of this paper: Let X be an infinite-dimensional complex normed space. Then I_{B_X} can be expressed as an average of three uniformly continuous retractions of B_X onto S_X . Moreover, for every $0 \leq r < 1$, the three retractions are Lipschitz on $rB_X = \{rx \mid x \in B_X\}$. We do not know if this is true for every infinite-dimensional real normed space.

In Section 3 we show (Lemma 3.4) that if X is a Hilbert space, then I_{B_X} cannot be expressed as an average of any finite number of retractions of B_X onto S_X which are Lipschitz (or even Hölder with exponent $p > 1/2$). We do not know if this is true for every normed space.

We also show (Corollary 3.3) that if X is strictly convex then the convex hull of the extreme points of B_U (respectively, B_L) is equal to B_U (respectively, contains $B_L \setminus S_L$).

2. Main theorem. Let X be an infinite-dimensional complex normed space, and let B and S be its closed unit ball and unit sphere, respectively.

In this section we prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in (0, 1/2)$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = 1$. Then there are three uniformly continuous retractions $f_1, f_2, f_3 : B \rightarrow S$ such that $I_B \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i f_i$. Moreover, the restrictions $f_i|_{rB}$ are Lipschitz for every $0 \leq r < 1$.*

We use the following theorem which was first proved by Nowak [7] for some Banach spaces, and later by Benyamini and Sternfeld [1] for arbitrary normed spaces (see also [5]).

THEOREM 2.2. *For every infinite-dimensional normed space Z , there exists a Lipschitz retraction from B_Z onto S_Z .*

We also need the following three lemmas. The first one (and its proof) also holds for real spaces.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $\alpha \in [0, 1/2)$. Then there are two Lipschitz functions $g : B \rightarrow S$ and $h : B \rightarrow B$ such that $g|_S \equiv h|_S \equiv I_S$ and $I_B \equiv \alpha g + (1 - \alpha)h$. Moreover, $h[rB] \subseteq rB$ for every $1/(2(1 - \alpha)) \leq r \leq 1$.*

Proof. Let $\delta := (1 - 2\alpha)/2$ and note that $0 < \delta \leq 1/2$. By Theorem 2.2 there is a Lipschitz retraction $f : \delta B \rightarrow \delta S$.

Define the two required functions $g : B \rightarrow S$ and $h : B \rightarrow B$ by

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{\|x\|} & \text{if } \|x\| \geq \delta, \\ \frac{f(x)}{\delta} & \text{if } \|x\| \leq \delta, \end{cases} \quad h(x) = \frac{x - \alpha g(x)}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Clearly, g and h are Lipschitz, $I_B \equiv \alpha g + (1 - \alpha)h$, and $g|_S \equiv h|_S \equiv I_S$. To see that h maps into B and the second property in the theorem holds, let $x \in B$ and let $1/(2(1 - \alpha)) \leq r \leq 1$. Note that $2\alpha \leq r$. Hence,

$$(*) \quad |t - \alpha| \leq r - \alpha$$

for every $0 \leq t \leq r$. Consider three cases.

1. If $0 \leq \|x\| \leq \delta$, then

$$\|h(x)\| \leq \frac{\|x\| + \alpha\|g(x)\|}{1 - \alpha} \leq \frac{\delta + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)} \leq r.$$

2. If $\delta \leq \|x\| \leq r$, then

$$\|h(x)\| = \frac{\|x - \alpha x / \|x\|\|}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{\| \|x\| - \alpha \|}{1 - \alpha} \leq \frac{r - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \leq r \quad \text{by } (*).$$

3. If $r \leq \|x\| \leq 1$, then

$$\|h(x)\| = \frac{\| \|x\| - \alpha \|}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{\|x\| - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \leq 1. \quad \blacksquare$$

NOTATION. For every $0 \leq r_1 \leq r_2$, define $R(r_1, r_2) = \{x \in X \mid r_1 \leq \|x\| \leq r_2\}$.

The next lemma is the only place in the proof of the theorem where we use the fact that X is a complex space.

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $\beta \in [1/2 - \alpha/2, 1/2]$. Then there are two uniformly continuous retractions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : R(\alpha, 1) \rightarrow S$ such that $I_{R(\alpha, 1)} \equiv \beta\varphi_1 + (1 - \beta)\varphi_2$. Moreover, the restrictions $\varphi_i|_{R(\alpha, r)}$ are Lipschitz for every $\alpha \leq r < 1$.*

Proof. Define a Lipschitz function $\Gamma : [\alpha, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ by

$$\Gamma(t) = \frac{t^2 + 2\beta - 1}{2\beta t}.$$

Define two functions $z_1, z_2 : [\alpha, 1] \rightarrow S_C$ by

$$z_1(t) = \Gamma(t) + i\sqrt{1 - [\Gamma(t)]^2} \quad \text{and} \quad z_2(t) = \frac{t - \beta z_1(t)}{1 - \beta}.$$

Then $z_1(1) = z_2(1) = 1$, $t = \beta z_1(t) + (1 - \beta)z_2(t)$ for every $t \in [\alpha, 1]$, z_1 and z_2 are uniformly continuous, and $z_1|_{[\alpha, r]}$ and $z_2|_{[\alpha, r]}$ are Lipschitz for every $\alpha \leq r < 1$.

Define the required retractions $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 : R(\alpha, 1) \rightarrow S$ by

$$\varphi_1(x) = z_1(\|x\|) \frac{x}{\|x\|} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi_2(x) = z_2(\|x\|) \frac{x}{\|x\|}. \quad \blacksquare$$

LEMMA 2.5. *Let $0 < d \leq c \leq b \leq a \neq d$ be such that $a + d = b + c$. Let $g : B \rightarrow S$ and $h : B \rightarrow B$ be two uniformly continuous functions such*

that $g|_S \equiv h|_S \equiv I_S$. Then there are two uniformly continuous retractions $f_1, f_2 : B \rightarrow S$ such that:

(1) $ag + dh \equiv cf_1 + bf_2$ (as functions from B into X).

(2) For every $0 \leq r < 1$, if $g|_{rB}$ and $h|_{rB}$ are Lipschitz and $h[rB] \subseteq rB$, then $f_i|_{rB}$ are Lipschitz.

Proof. Let

$$\alpha := \frac{a-d}{a+d} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta := \frac{c}{a+d}.$$

Then $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and

$$\frac{1}{2} \geq \frac{c}{b+c} = \frac{c}{a+d} = \beta \geq \frac{d}{a+d} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Let φ_1 and φ_2 be the retractions from Lemma 2.4 with respect to α and β .

Define a uniformly continuous function $f : B \rightarrow X$ by

$$f(x) = \frac{ag(x) + dh(x)}{a+d}.$$

Then f maps into $R(\alpha, 1)$ because for every $x \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \geq \|f(x)\| &\geq \frac{|a\|g(x)\| - d\|h(x)\||}{a+d} \\ &= \frac{|a-d\|h(x)\||}{a+d} = \frac{a-d\|h(x)\|}{a+d} \geq \frac{a-d}{a+d} = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Also, $f|_S \equiv I_S$. Hence, we can define the two required uniformly continuous retractions by $f_i \equiv \varphi_i \circ f : B \rightarrow S$.

(1) holds because for every $x \in B$, by Lemma 2.4,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{ag(x) + dh(x)}{a+d} = f(x) &= \beta\varphi_1(f(x)) + (1-\beta)\varphi_2(f(x)) \\ &= \frac{c}{a+d}\varphi_1(f(x)) + \frac{b}{a+d}\varphi_2(f(x)) = \frac{c\varphi_1(f(x)) + b\varphi_2(f(x))}{a+d}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) holds because if we let $0 \leq r < 1$ and let g and h be as in (2), then $f|_{rB}$ is Lipschitz. Let

$$t := \frac{a+dr}{a+d}.$$

Then $\alpha \leq t < 1$. By Lemma 2.4, $\varphi_i|_{R(\alpha, t)}$ are Lipschitz.

Since $h[rB] \subseteq rB$, for every $x \in rB$ we have

$$\alpha \leq \|f(x)\| \leq \frac{a\|g(x)\| + d\|h(x)\|}{a+d} \leq \frac{a\|g(x)\| + dr}{a+d} = t.$$

Hence, $f|_{rB}$ maps into $R(\alpha, t)$. Therefore $f_i|_{rB} \equiv (\varphi_i \circ f)|_{rB} \equiv \varphi_i|_{R(\alpha, t)} \circ f|_{rB}$. Thus, $f_i|_{rB}$ are Lipschitz functions as compositions of two Lipschitz functions. ■

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in (0, 1/2)$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = 1$. Assume that $\alpha_3 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1$. Choose α_0 such that $\alpha_1 < \alpha_0 < 1/2$. Let $g : B \rightarrow S$ and $h : B \rightarrow B$ be the Lipschitz functions from Lemma 2.3 with respect to α_0 . Then

$$(1) \quad I_B \equiv \alpha_0 g + (1 - \alpha_0)h \equiv \alpha_0 g + \alpha_2 h + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_0)h.$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 with respect to $0 < \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_2 < \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_0$, g , and h , we obtain two uniformly continuous retractions $f_2, f_0 : B \rightarrow S$ such that

$$(2) \quad \alpha_0 g + \alpha_2 h \equiv \alpha_2 f_2 + \alpha_0 f_0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.5 again but with respect to $0 < \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_0 \leq \alpha_3 \leq \alpha_1 < \alpha_0$, h , and f_0 , we obtain two uniformly continuous retractions $f_3, f_1 : B \rightarrow S$ such that

$$(3) \quad \alpha_0 f_0 + (\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 - \alpha_0)h \equiv \alpha_3 f_3 + \alpha_1 f_1.$$

Combining (1), (2), and (3), we get $I_B \equiv \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i f_i$.

To prove the second property in the theorem, let $0 \leq r < 1$. Choose r_0 such that $\max\{r, 1/(2(1-\alpha_0))\} \leq r_0 < 1$. By Lemma 2.3, $h[r_0 B] \subseteq r_0 B$. Therefore, by Lemma 2.5, $f_2|_{r_0 B}$ and $f_0|_{r_0 B}$ are Lipschitz. Again by Lemma 2.5, $f_3|_{r_0 B}$ and $f_1|_{r_0 B}$ are Lipschitz. Thus, $f_i|_{rB}$ are Lipschitz since $r \leq r_0$. ■

3. Observations. First, we have two immediate corollaries of Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 3.1. *There are three uniformly continuous retractions $f_1, f_2, f_3 : B \rightarrow S$ such that $I_B \equiv \frac{1}{3}(f_1 + f_2 + f_3)$.*

COROLLARY 3.2. *Let $n \geq 3$ and let $0 < \alpha_1 \leq \dots \leq \alpha_n < 1/2$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Then there are uniformly continuous retractions $f_1, \dots, f_n : B \rightarrow S$ such that $I_B \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i$.*

REMARKS. 1. Each retraction $f_i : B \rightarrow S$ in Theorem 2.1 is a uniform limit of the Lipschitz functions $f_i^n : B \rightarrow S$ defined by $f_i^n(x) = f_i((1 - 1/n)x)$.

2. Corollary 3.2 fails if $\alpha_n > 1/2$ since otherwise it follows from $0_X = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i(0_X)$ that

$$1 = \|-f_n(0_X)\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_n} f_i(0_X) \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_n} \|f_i(0_X)\| = \frac{1 - \alpha_n}{\alpha_n} < 1.$$

This argument also shows that for strictly convex spaces the corollary fails if $\alpha_n = 1/2$.

Let U, L, B_U and B_L be as in the Introduction, and let E_U and E_L be the extreme points of B_U and B_L , respectively.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Assume that X is strictly convex. Let $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in (0, 1/2)$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i = 1$. Then there exists a uniformly continuous function $\mathcal{F} : B_U \rightarrow E_U \times E_U \times E_U$ such that for every $g \in B_U$, $\sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i (\mathcal{F}(g))_i \equiv g$. Moreover, for every $0 \leq r < 1$, $\mathcal{F}|_{rB_L}$ is a Lipschitz function into $E_L \times E_L \times E_L$. Hence, $B_U = \frac{1}{3}(E_U + E_U + E_U)$ and $B_L \setminus S_L \subseteq \frac{1}{3}(E_L + E_L + E_L)$.*

Proof. Let f_i be the retractions from Theorem 2.1. We leave it to the reader to check that $\mathcal{F}(g) := (f_1 \circ g, f_2 \circ g, f_3 \circ g)$ is as required. ■

LEMMA 3.4. *Let H be an infinite-dimensional real Hilbert space. Let $n \geq 3$ and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in (0, 1/2)$ be such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1$. Let f_1, \dots, f_n be retractions of B_H into S_H such that $I_{B_H} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i$. Then there is $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that f_j is not a locally p -Hölder function for any $p > 1/2$; in particular, f_j is not a locally Lipschitz function.*

Proof. Let $x \in S_H$. Assume, for contradiction, that for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ there is a neighborhood N of x and there are $1/2 < p_i \leq 1$ such that $f_i|_N$ is a p_i -Hölder function with constant $k_i \geq 0$. Let $p := \min\{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ and $k := \max\{k_1, \dots, k_n\}$.

Let $0 < t < 1$ be large enough so that

$$\frac{\sqrt{2(1-t)}}{(1-t)^p} > k \quad \text{and} \quad tx \in N.$$

Then there is $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $\langle x, f_j(tx) \rangle \leq t$, since otherwise

$$t = \langle x, tx \rangle = \left\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i f_i(tx) \right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \langle x, f_i(tx) \rangle > \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i t = t.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_j(x) - f_j(tx)\| &= \sqrt{\|f_j(x)\|^2 + \|f_j(tx)\|^2 - 2\langle f_j(x), f_j(tx) \rangle} \\ &= \sqrt{1 + 1 - 2\langle x, f_j(tx) \rangle} = \sqrt{2(1 - \langle x, f_j(tx) \rangle)} \\ &\geq \sqrt{2(1-t)} > k(1-t)^p = k\|x - tx\|^p \geq k_j\|x - tx\|^{p_j}, \end{aligned}$$

contradicting the fact that $f_j|_N$ is a p_j -Hölder function with constant k_j . ■

Acknowledgements. The contribution of Ronny Nahum to this paper is a part of his Ph.D. thesis, which was written at the University of Haifa under the supervision of Prof. Yaki Sternfeld. He would like to thank Prof. Yaki Sternfeld for his guidance and for his assistance throughout his studies.

References

- [1] Y. Benyamini and Y. Sternfeld, *Spheres in infinite dimensional normed spaces are Lipschitz contractible*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 439–445.
- [2] V. Bogachev, J. F. Mena-Jurado and J. C. Navarro-Pascual, *Extreme points in spaces of continuous functions*, ibid. 123 (1995), 1061–1067.
- [3] J. Cantwell, *A topological approach to extreme points in function spaces*, ibid. 19 (1968), 821–825.
- [4] A. Jiménez-Vargas, J. F. Mena-Jurado and J. C. Navarro-Pascual, *Complex extremal structure in spaces of continuous functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 211 (1997), 605–615.
- [5] P. K. Lin and Y. Sternfeld, *Convex sets with the Lipschitz fixed point property are compact*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1985) 633–639.
- [6] J. C. Navarro-Pascual, *Extreme points and retractions in Banach spaces*, Israel J. Math. 99 (1997), 335–342.
- [7] B. Nowak, *On the Lipschitzian retraction of the unit ball in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces onto its boundary*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. 27 (1979), 861–864.
- [8] N. T. Peck, *Extreme points and dimension theory*, Pacific J. Math. 25 (1968), 341–351.

Departamento de Álgebra
y Análisis Matemático
Universidad de Almería
04120 Almería, Spain
E-mail: ajimenez@ualm.es
jcnav@ualm.es

Departamento de Análisis Matemático
Universidad de Granada
18071 Granada, Spain
E-mail: jfmena@goliat.ugr.es

Department of Mathematics
Technion
Haifa 32000, Israel
E-mail: ronny@tx.technion.ac.il

Received April 28, 1998
Revised version November 26, 1998

(4093)