

The real-analytic solutions
of the Abel functional equation

by

G. BELITSKII (Beer-Sheva) and Yu. LYUBICH (Haifa)

Abstract. For the Abel equation on a real-analytic manifold a dynamical criterion of solvability in real-analytic functions is proved.

1. Introduction. In this paper we continue to investigate the Abel equation (A.e.)

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(Fx) - \varphi(x) = 1, \quad x \in X,$$

from a dynamical point of view (see [1]). In the general case φ is an unknown real-valued continuous function on a topological space X and $F : X \rightarrow X$ is a continuous mapping. The following condition is necessary for solvability of the A.e. ([1], Corollary 4.2).

(CW) *All compact subsets of X are wandering.*

This means that for every compact set $K \subset X$ there exists $\nu \geq 1$ such that

$$(1.2) \quad F^n(K) \cap F^m(K) = \emptyset \quad (n - m \geq \nu).$$

This condition is also sufficient if the space X is locally compact and countable at infinity (l.c.c.i.) and the mapping F is injective ([1], Corollary 1.6). The injectivity is substantial ([1], Example 1.7).

The condition (CW) is also sufficient in a smooth situation. Namely, if X is a C^∞ -manifold countable at infinity and F is a C^k -diffeomorphism with $0 < k \leq \infty$ then (CW) implies the existence of a C^k -solution φ (*the C^k -solvability*). As we said this is also true for $k = 0$. Note that if F is a homeomorphism then (1.2) is equivalent to $F^n(K) \cap K = \emptyset$ ($n \geq \nu$).

Now we establish a similar criterion for $k = \omega$, i.e. in the real-analytic situation. For short we will say "analytic" or " C^ω ".

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 39B22, 58F07.
Research of G. Belitskii is supported by Guastello foundation.



Let us recall that a locally compact topological space is called *countable at infinity* if it is a countable union of compact subsets.

MAIN THEOREM. *Let X be a C^ω -manifold countable at infinity and let $F : X \rightarrow X$ be a C^ω -diffeomorphism. Then (CW) implies C^ω -solvability of the A.e.*

COROLLARY. *For the above described X and F the C^0 -solvability implies the C^ω -solvability.*

Our proof of the Main Theorem is based on a reduction of the solvability problem to a conjugacy problem.

Given a C^ω -diffeomorphism $F : X \rightarrow X$, one can consider the one-parameter family

$$(1.3) \quad H_s(x, t) = (Fx, t + s) \quad (x \in X; s \in \mathbb{R})$$

of C^ω -diffeomorphisms $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ where $\tilde{X} = X \times \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose that the A.e. is C^ω -solvable, and φ is its C^ω -solution. The formula

$$(1.4) \quad \Phi(x, t) = (x, t + \varphi(x)) \quad (x \in X, t \in \mathbb{R})$$

yields a C^ω -diffeomorphism $\Phi : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ such that the diagram

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{H_0} & \tilde{X} \\ \Phi \downarrow & & \downarrow \Phi \\ \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{H_1} & \tilde{X} \end{array}$$

is commutative. The analytic diffeomorphisms H_0 and H_1 turn out to be analytically conjugate.

Conversely, let (1.5) be commutative with a C^ω -diffeomorphism $\Phi(x, t) = (\Psi(x, t), \varphi(x, t))$. Then for any fixed t the function $x \mapsto \varphi(x, t)$ is a C^ω -solution of the A.e.

Obviously, the same situation takes place in C^k , $0 \leq k \leq \infty$.

2. Proof of the Main Theorem. For the reader's convenience we recall a well known dynamical construction (see [2], p. 37).

Let $H : Y \rightarrow Y$ be an analytic diffeomorphism of an analytic manifold Y , $\dim Y = d$. For any point $y \in Y$ its *orbit* is $O(y) = \{H^n y : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Any two different orbits are disjoint, so that the orbits are classes of an equivalence relation. One can consider the corresponding quotient space Y/H provided with the standard quotient topology: a set $V \subset Y/H$ is open if and only if its preimage $U = \{y \in Y : O(y) \in V\}$ is open in Y . Under condition (CW), the space Y/H is Hausdorff. To show this, consider two distinct orbits, say,

$O(y_1) \neq O(y_2)$. If W_1 and W_2 are neighborhoods of y_1 and y_2 respectively, such that

$$(2.1) \quad H^n(W_1) \cap H^m(W_2) = \emptyset \quad (n, m \in \mathbb{Z})$$

then the neighborhoods

$$V_k = \{O(y) : y \in W_k\} \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

separate the orbits in Y/H . Such W_1 and W_2 do exist, since otherwise there exist some sequences $\{l_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in \mathbb{Z} and $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ in Y such that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v_i = y_1, \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} H^{l_i} v_i = y_2, \quad |l_i| \rightarrow \infty,$$

and (1.2) is violated for K consisting of y_1, y_2 , all v_i and all $H^{l_i} v_i$.

Now let $\{U_\alpha, \Phi_\alpha\}$ be an atlas giving the analytic structure on Y . This means that $\{U_\alpha\}$ is an open covering of Y and $\Phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ are homeomorphisms onto their images such that all $\Phi_\beta \Phi_\alpha^{-1}$ are C^ω -diffeomorphisms between $\Phi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$ and $\Phi_\beta(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$.

For any $y \in Y$ choose $\alpha(y)$ such that $y \in U_{\alpha(y)}$ and then a neighborhood $W_y \ni y$ such that $W_y \subset U_{\alpha(y)}$ and $H^n(W_y) \cap W_y = \emptyset$ for all integer n . (The last property can be proved like (2.1)). Then the natural mapping $Y \rightarrow Y/H$ restricted to W_y is a homeomorphism Ω_y between W_y and its image. We obtain the atlas $\{\Omega_y(W_y), \Phi_{\alpha(y)} \Omega_y^{-1}\}$ giving an analytic structure on Y/H , the *canonical quotient analytic structure*.

Coming back to the proof of the Main Theorem we start with the case of a connected manifold X . Following our preliminary plan it is sufficient to obtain (1.5) with a C^ω -diffeomorphism Φ . However, under condition (CW) we already have (1.5) with a C^∞ -diffeomorphism Φ . Indeed, as we know (see [1]), (CW) implies the C^∞ -solvability of the A.e.

Now let us introduce the quotient spaces $Y_0 = \tilde{X}/H_0$ and $Y_1 = \tilde{X}/H_1$ where $\tilde{X} = X \times \mathbb{R}$ (see (1.5)). They are analytic manifolds since all H_s satisfy (CW). Since H_0 and H_1 are C^∞ -conjugate, the manifolds Y_0 and Y_1 are C^∞ -diffeomorphic in the following natural way:

$$\Phi(O_0(x, t)) = O_1(\Phi(x, t))$$

where $O_s(x, t)$ is the H_s -orbit of the point (x, t) .

It is a well-known fact that an analytic structure on a C^∞ -manifold is unique (see [3], Chapter 2, §5). Hence, there exists an analytic diffeomorphism $\Psi : Y_0 \rightarrow Y_1$. Let $p_0 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y_0$ and $p_1 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow Y_1$ be the natural projections. Since $\text{Im } \Psi p_0 = \text{Im } p_1 = Y_1$, for any points $x', x'' \in \tilde{X}$ there exists a unique continuous *lifting* $\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$, $\tilde{\Psi}(x') = x''$, providing the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\Psi}} & \tilde{X} \\ p_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow p_1 \\ Y_0 & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & Y_1 \end{array}$$

(see [4], Theorem 16.3). By the same argument there exists a unique lifting $\tilde{\Psi}_1 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ for Ψ^{-1} such that $\tilde{\Psi}_1(x'') = x'$. Since $\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{\Psi}_1$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_1\tilde{\Psi}$ are liftings for $\text{id} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ with $\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{\Psi}_1(x'') = x''$ and $\tilde{\Psi}_1\tilde{\Psi}(x') = x'$, it follows from the uniqueness that $\tilde{\Psi}\tilde{\Psi}_1 = \tilde{\Psi}_1\tilde{\Psi} = \text{id}$. Hence, $\tilde{\Psi} : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ is a homeomorphism. Moreover, since p_0, p_1 are local analytic diffeomorphisms and $\tilde{\Psi}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism, $\tilde{\Psi}$ is also an analytic diffeomorphism.

Coming back to the previous commutative diagram, we see that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(O_0(x, t)) = O_1(\tilde{\Psi}(x, t))$$

for any point $(x, t) \in \tilde{X}$. Hence $\tilde{\Psi}(z) \in O_1(\tilde{\Psi}(x, t))$ for any $z \in O_0(x, t)$. In particular,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(H_0(x, t)) \in O_1(\tilde{\Psi}(x, t)).$$

The latter means that

$$\tilde{\Psi}(H_0(x, t)) = H_1^n(\tilde{\Psi}(x, t)) \quad ((x, t) \in \tilde{X})$$

for some integer $n = n(x, t)$. Since the space $\tilde{X} = X \times \mathbb{R}$ is connected, $n(x, t) = \text{const}$. Obviously, $n \neq 0$, otherwise $H_0 = \text{id}$. Hence, H_0 is analytically conjugate to the diffeomorphism

$$H_1^n(x, t) = (F^n x, t + n)$$

by the diffeomorphism $\tilde{\Psi}$. So, $\tilde{\Psi}$ satisfies the equation

$$\tilde{\Psi}(F x, t) = \tilde{\Psi}(x, t) + n.$$

It remains to note that the function $\varphi(x) = n^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}(x, 0)$ is a solution of (1.1).

Now let X be a disconnected manifold. It is sufficient to construct a solution of the A.e. on the orbit $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F^n(U)$ of a connected component U .

Note that $F^n(U)$ is also a connected component for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We have the following alternative: either a) $F^n(U) \neq U$ ($n \in \mathbb{Z}$), or (b) $F^n(U) = U$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In case (a) one can set $\varphi(x) = n$ for $x \in F^n(U)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

In case (b) let $p = \min\{n > 0 : F^n(U) = U\}$. As we have proved, the equation

$$\varphi(F^p x) - \varphi(x) = 1 \quad (x \in U)$$

has an analytic solution φ . Then the function

$$h(x) = p\varphi(F^{-j} x) + j \quad (x \in F^j(U), j = 0, \dots, p-1)$$

is a solution of the A.e. on the union $\bigcup_n F^n(U)$. ■

5. Some examples

1. *One-dimensional case.* We single out this case since the wandering property on the line can be reduced to a very simple one. Namely, it is clear that *all compact subsets are wandering with respect to a diffeomorphism $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ if and only if it has no fixed points, i.e. $\text{Fix}(F) = \emptyset$.*

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real-analytic fixed point free diffeomorphism. Then F is analytically conjugate to the shift $x \mapsto x + 1$.*

Proof. The quotient space \mathbb{R}/F is a real-analytic manifold. Being a compact connected one-dimensional manifold, it is homeomorphic to the standard circle \mathbb{T} (see [2], p. 138). Hence, \mathbb{R}/F is analytically diffeomorphic to \mathbb{T} provided with the standard analytic structure because the latter is unique (see [2], p. 245). Let $\tilde{\Psi} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the analytic lifting of an analytic diffeomorphism $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \setminus F \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$. As in Section 2 we get

$$\tilde{\Psi}(F x) = \tilde{\Psi}(x) + n$$

where n is an integer, $n \neq 0$. Then the analytic diffeomorphism $\Phi(x) = n^{-1}\tilde{\Psi}(x)$ conjugates F with the shift. ■

2. *Some examples on the plane.* The simplest example on \mathbb{R}^2 with the above mentioned wandering property is the shift

$$F_e(x) = x + e \quad (x, e \in \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Obviously, any additive function $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\varphi(e) = 1$ satisfies the A.e. for F_e .

Theorem 3.1 cannot be extended to the two-dimensional case.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let $X = \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}_-$, where $\mathbb{R}_- = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R} : \xi \leq 0\}$. Then X is analytically diffeomorphic to the plane $\mathbb{R}^2 \cong \mathbb{C}$. Indeed, X is diffeomorphic to the open right half-plane H by $y = \sqrt{x}$, H is diffeomorphic to the unit disk D by $z = (1 - y)/(1 + y)$, and finally D is diffeomorphic to \mathbb{C} by $w = z/(1 - |z|)$. Denote by $T : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ the composition of the previous analytic diffeomorphisms. Consider

$$G(x) = (\lambda\xi, -\lambda\eta) \quad (x = (\xi, \eta) \in X)$$

with some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. The mapping $F = TGT^{-1}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$. Moreover, F is fixed point free since so is G . However, F is not conjugate to any shift F_l since it does not preserve orientation, $\det F'(w) < 0$.

REMARK 3.3. The A.e. corresponding to the mapping F has an analytic solution

$$\varphi(w) = \frac{\ln \|T^{-1}w\|}{\ln \lambda} \quad (w \in \mathbb{R}^2).$$



Hence, F has (CW), a property much stronger than the absence of fixed points.

3. *Shifts on Lie groups.* Let X be a Lie group. Consider the right shift $F_g(x) = xg$ ($x \in X$) with some $g \in X$. In this case (CW) is fulfilled if and only if the sequence $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has no limit points (see [1]). Hence, we have

THEOREM 3.4. *The A.e. corresponding to the shift F_g has an analytic solution if and only if the sequence $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has no limit points.*

In particular, consider $X = GL(p, \mathbb{C})$. Following [1] we call a matrix $g \in GL(p, \mathbb{C})$ *quasiunitary* if g is similar to a unitary matrix. Obviously, g is quasiunitary if and only if the spectrum g lies on the unit circle and g is diagonalizable. In other words, g is not quasiunitary if and only if the following alternative holds: either (a) there is λ with $|\lambda| \neq 1$ and $e \in \mathbb{C}^p$ such that $ge = \lambda e$, or (b) all eigenvalues of g are of modulus 1 and there is λ with $|\lambda| = 1$ and $e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{C}^p$ such that $ge_1 = \lambda e_1$ and $ge_2 = \lambda e_2 + e_1$.

LEMMA 3.5. *A matrix $g \in GL(p, \mathbb{C})$ is quasiunitary if and only if the sequence $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has no limit points in $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$.*

PROOF. If g is unitary then, obviously, $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has a limit point in the space of $p \times p$ matrices and all limit points are unitary. Hence, if g is quasiunitary then there exists a limit point of $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ and all of them belong to $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$.

Let g be not quasiunitary. Then in case (a) of the above mentioned alternative we have $g^n e = \lambda^n e$. The only limit point of $\{g^n e\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ is zero. Hence, $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has no limit points in $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$.

In case (b), $g^n e_2 = \lambda^n e_2 + n\lambda^{n-1} e_1$, therefore $g^n e_2 \rightarrow \infty$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\{g^n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ has no limit points again. ■

Combining Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 we obtain

COROLLARY 3.6. *The A.e. corresponding to the shift F_g on the group $GL(p, \mathbb{C})$ has an analytic solution if and only if the matrix g is not quasiunitary.*

In fact, this analytic solution can be explicitly presented in the following form:

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\ln |\det g|} \ln |\det x|$$

if $|\det g| \neq 1$.

If $|\det g| = 1$ then

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\ln |\lambda|} \ln \|xe\|$$

in case (a) and

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{\|xe_1\|^2} \operatorname{Re} \frac{(xe_1, xe_2)}{\lambda} \quad (x \in GL(p, \mathbb{R}))$$

in case (b). Here (\cdot, \cdot) is an inner product in \mathbb{C}^p and $\|\cdot\|$ is the corresponding Euclidean norm.

References

[1] G. Belitskii and Yu. Lyubich, *The Abel equation and total solvability of linear functional equations*, *Studia Math.* 127 (1998), 81–97.
 [2] D. Fuks and V. Rokhlin, *The First Course in Topology*, Nauka, Moscow, 1977 (in Russian).
 [3] M. W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Springer, New York, 1976.
 [4] S.-T. Hu, *Homotopy Theory*, Academic Press, New York, 1959.

Department of Mathematics
 Ben-Gurion University
 P.O.B. 653
 Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel
 E-mail: genrich@indigo.bgu.cs.ac.il

Department of Mathematics
 Technion
 Haifa 32000, Israel
 E-mail: lyubich@techunix.technion.ac.il

Received January 8, 1998 (4044)
 Revised version February 18, 1998 and August 19, 1998