

**On the directional entropy for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions on a Lebesgue space**

by

B. KAMIŃSKI (Toruń) and K. K. PARK (Suwon)

**Abstract.** We define the concept of directional entropy for arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions on a Lebesgue space, we examine its basic properties and consider its behaviour in the class of product actions and rigid actions.

**1. Introduction.** The concept of directional entropy was defined by Milnor [10] and applied to investigate the dynamics of cellular automata. Examples of the computation of this entropy are given in [11].

The directional entropy (topological and metric) was used by Boyle and Lind in [2] to study expansive  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions.

We extend this concept to the class of arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions on a Lebesgue space. We are mainly interested in the properties of the directional entropy  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$  as a function of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\Phi$ , where  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\Phi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action.

The second author has shown in [13] that the function  $\vec{v} \rightarrow h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$  is upper semicontinuous for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions  $\Phi$  generated by two automorphisms, one of which has a finite entropy and the second has a finite expected code length. In this paper we show that for product  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions this function is Lipschitz and, on the other hand, it is not continuous for a certain rigid Gaussian  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action. In the class of product actions this function is also convex.

In the next section we show that the function  $\Phi \rightarrow h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$  shares some properties of  $\Phi \rightarrow h(\Phi)$  where  $h(\Phi)$  denotes the usual entropy of  $\Phi$  (cf. [3]). Among other things we show the analogue of the Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem. It appears, however, that the well known continuity property of the mean entropy  $h(\Phi, P)$ , where  $P$  is a countable measurable partition of  $X$  with finite entropy, does not hold for the mean directional entropy function  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$ .

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 28D15.

The first author has been supported by KBN grant 2P 30103107 and the second in part by BSRL 96-1441 and KOSEF 95-0701-03-3.

We also show, using Walters's idea, that for actions with discrete spectra the directional entropy  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$ , similarly to entropy, equals zero for every  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . On the other hand, in contrast to entropy, the directional entropy can be nonzero for rigid Gaussian  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions.

We are also interested in applying the directional entropy to the computation of the relative entropy of automorphisms with respect to their factors determined by  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions. More precisely, an automorphism  $T$  is said to be a *factor* of an automorphism  $\hat{T}$  acting on a space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  determined by a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  if the fiber automorphisms of  $\hat{T}$  with respect to  $T$  have the form  $\Phi^{\varphi(x)}$ ,  $x \in X$ , where  $\varphi$  is a measurable function from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

It is shown in [14] that for  $\Phi$  generated by cellular automata we have  $h(\hat{T}) = h(T) + h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$  where  $\vec{v} = (|\int_X \varphi_1 d\mu|, |\int_X \varphi_2 d\mu|)$ ,  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ . In this paper we show that this equality also holds for product actions and in this case it is an immediate consequence of the Newton formula [12] (see also [1]).

The second author has shown in [15] that the equality fails to be true in general.

**2. Directional entropy of a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action.** Let  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  be a Lebesgue probability space and let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be the set of all countable measurable partitions of  $X$  with finite entropy equipped with the Rokhlin metric

$$\rho(P, Q) = H(P|Q) + H(Q|P).$$

Let  $\Phi$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ . For a set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  we put

$$P(A) = \bigvee_{g \in A \cap \mathbb{Z}^2} \Phi^g P.$$

Let  $\vec{v} = (x, y)$  be a fixed vector of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\Gamma$  denote the family of all bounded subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $(T, S)$  be an ordered pair of commuting automorphisms of  $X$  which generate  $\Phi$ , i.e.

$$\Phi^g = T^m \circ S^n, \quad g = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

For a partition  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  we put

$$h_{\vec{v}}((T, S), P) = \sup_{B \in \Gamma} \overline{\lim}_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(B + [0, t]\vec{v})).$$

It is known (cf. [16]) that in fact

$$h_{\vec{v}}((T, S), P) = \sup_{B \in \Gamma} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(B + [0, t]\vec{v})).$$

If we pass in this definition from the pair  $(T, S)$  to another pair  $(T_1, S_1)$  of commuting generators of  $\Phi$  such that

$$T = T_1^a S_1^b, \quad S = T_1^c S_1^d$$

then it is easy to see that

$$h_{\vec{v}}((T, S), P) = h_{A(\vec{v})}((T_1, S_1), P)$$

where  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $A = \begin{pmatrix} a & c \\ b & d \end{pmatrix}$ .

In the sequel we use the notation

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) = h_{\vec{v}}((T_0, S_0), P)$$

where  $T_0 = \Phi^{(1,0)}$  and  $S_0 = \Phi^{(0,1)}$ . The quantity  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$  is said to be the *directional mean entropy* of  $\Phi$  with respect to  $P$  in direction  $\vec{v}$ .

It is not hard to show that

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t)))$$

where

$R(\vec{v}, m, t)$

$$= \begin{cases} \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : 0 \leq j \leq [ty], -m + jx/y < i \leq m + jx/y\} & \text{if } y \neq 0, \\ \{(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : -m < j \leq m, 0 \leq i \leq [tx]\} & \text{if } y = 0. \end{cases}$$

The quantity

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = \sup_{P \in \mathcal{Z}} h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$$

is said to be the *directional entropy* of  $\Phi$  in direction  $\vec{v}$ . We assume in the sequel that  $\vec{v} \neq (0, 0)$ .

*Basic properties of directional entropy.* Using classical arguments, one easily shows that

(i) If  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions and  $\Psi$  is a factor of  $\Phi$  then  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) \geq h_{\vec{v}}(\Psi)$ .

This yields at once

(ii) The directional entropy is an isomorphism invariant of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions.

As a direct consequence of the definition we have

(iii) For every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$$h_{\alpha\vec{v}}(\Phi) = |\alpha| h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi).$$

Now we prove the analogue of the Kolmogorov–Sinai theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  is a generator of  $\Phi$  then  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$ .*

**Proof.** We consider only the case  $\vec{v} \neq (x, 0)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The proof for  $\vec{v} = (x, 0)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , is similar. We may assume that  $\vec{v} = (x, 1)$ . Let  $Q \in \mathcal{Z}$ . We need to show that

$$(1) \quad h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, Q) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P).$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and let  $m$  be a positive integer. Put  $Q^{(m)} = \bigvee_{i=-m+1}^m \Phi^{(i,0)} Q = \bigvee_{i=-m+1}^m T^i Q$ .

Since  $P$  is a generator, there exist finite partitions  $\tilde{P} = \tilde{P}_{m,\varepsilon}$ ,  $\tilde{Q} = \tilde{Q}_{m,\varepsilon}$  and a positive integer  $l = l_{m,\varepsilon}$  with

$$Q^{(m)} \leq \tilde{P} \vee \tilde{Q}, \quad \tilde{P} \leq P^{l \times l}, \quad H(\tilde{Q}) < \varepsilon$$

where  $P^{l \times l} = P([-l, l] \times [-l, l])$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(Q(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) &= H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} \bigvee_{i=-m+[jx]+1}^{m+[jx]} T^i S^j Q\right) \\ &= H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j Q^{(m)}\right) \leq H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j (\tilde{P} \vee \tilde{Q})\right) \\ &\leq H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j \tilde{P}\right) + H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j \tilde{Q}\right) \\ &< H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} \bigvee_{-l \leq p, q \leq l} T^{[jx]+p} S^{j+q} P\right) + ([t] + 1)\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by  $t$  and letting  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, Q) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) + \varepsilon.$$

Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we obtain (1).

From Theorem 2.1 it follows that, for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions determined by cellular automata, our definition reduces to that of Milnor.

The following result says that directional entropy is an interesting invariant only for  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions with zero entropy.

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If  $\Phi$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action with  $h(\Phi) > 0$  then  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = \infty$ .*

*Proof.* Although it is not hard to prove this directly, for clarity we use the generalized Sinai theorem (cf. [8]) which says that there exists a partition  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  such that the partitions  $\Phi^g P$ ,  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ , are independent.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) &\geq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (2m + 1) \frac{[ty] + 1}{t} H(P) = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.1 yields at once

**COROLLARY 2.1.** *For every  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  we have  $h(\Phi) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$ .*

It is well known ([3]) that for every  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  the function  $P \rightarrow h(\Phi, P)$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$ , is continuous. The following result is an easy consequence of Proposition 2.1.

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *If  $h(\Phi) > 0$  then the function  $P \rightarrow h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$  is not continuous.*

Indeed, take an arbitrary sequence  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{Z}$  convergent to the trivial partition  $\nu$  of  $X$  and such that  $P_n \neq \nu$  for  $n \geq 1$ . In view of Proposition 2.1 we have  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P_n) = \infty$  for  $n \geq 1$  and  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, \nu) = 0$ .

We show in the next section that there are also  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions  $\Phi$  with  $h(\Phi) = 0$  for which the function considered in Corollary 2.2 is not continuous.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *If  $\Phi$  is ergodic and  $(P_n) \subset \mathcal{Z}$  is such that  $P_n \nearrow \mathcal{B}$  then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P_n) = h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi).$$

*Proof.* If  $h(\Phi) > 0$  then Proposition 2.1 implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P_n) = \infty = h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi).$$

Now consider the case  $h(\Phi) = 0$ . Suppose  $\vec{v} = (x, 1)$ . Let  $P$  be a generator for  $\Phi$  and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . It follows from the definition of  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$  that there exists a positive integer  $m$  with

$$\begin{aligned} h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) &= h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) + \varepsilon \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j P^{(m)}\right) + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where

$$P^{(m)} = \bigvee_{i=-m+1}^m T^i P.$$

Let  $\mathcal{B}_n = \bigvee_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \Phi^g P_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ . Our assumption implies  $\mathcal{B}_n \nearrow \mathcal{B}$ . Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we see that for sufficiently large  $n$  there exists a partition  $Q_n \in \mathcal{Z}$  measurable with respect to  $\mathcal{B}_n$  with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j P^{(m)}\right) \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j Q_n\right) + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, for the factor action  $\Phi/\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_n$  we have

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi/\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_n) + 2\varepsilon,$$

where  $\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_n$  is the measurable partition of  $X$  determined by  $\mathcal{B}_n$ . Since  $P_n$  is a generator for  $\Phi/\widehat{\mathcal{B}}_n$ , from Theorem 2.1 we obtain

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P_n) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain the desired result.

The following result is an easy consequence of Proposition 2.2.

COROLLARY 2.3. If  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  then  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = h(\Phi^{\vec{v}})$ .

COROLLARY 2.4. For any ergodic  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  we have

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi \times \Psi) = h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) + h_{\vec{v}}(\Psi).$$

Proof. It is enough to use classical arguments, Proposition 2.2 and the equality

$$H((P \times Q)(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) = H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) + H(Q(R(\vec{v}, m, t)))$$

where  $P$  and  $Q$  are arbitrary partitions with finite entropy of the Lebesgue spaces on which  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  act, respectively,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $t > 0$ .

In [9] Krug defined and investigated the sequence entropy for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions,  $d \geq 2$ . We recall the definition of this notion in the case  $d = 2$  for convenience of the reader.

Let now  $A = (A(n))$  be a sequence of elements of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ . For a given partition  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  we put

$$h_A(\Phi, P) = \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H\left(\bigvee_{k=0}^{n-1} \Phi^{A(k)} P\right).$$

The quantity

$$h_A(\Phi) = \sup\{h_A(\Phi, P) : P \in \mathcal{Z}\}$$

is said to be the *sequence entropy* of  $\Phi$  along  $A$ .

The following result is given in [9].

PROPOSITION 2.3. If  $(P_n)$  is a sequence of partitions from  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $P_n \nearrow \mathcal{B}$  then

$$h_A(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_A(\Phi, P_n).$$

Our present goal is to give a relation between sequence entropy and directional entropy.

If  $\vec{v} = (x, 0)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then taking  $A(n) = (n, 0)$  for  $n \geq 1$  one obviously obtains

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = |x| h_A(\Phi).$$

Let us now consider the case  $\vec{v} = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  where  $y \neq 0$ .

PROPOSITION 2.4. If  $A(n) = ([nx/y], n)$  then

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = |y| h_A(\Phi).$$

Proof. We may assume that  $y = 1$ . Fix  $P \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $t \geq 0$ . We have

$$H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j P\right) \leq H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j P^{(m)}\right) = H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))), \quad m \geq 1.$$

Dividing by  $t$  and letting first  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and then  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we get  $h_A(\Phi, P) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P)$ . Hence  $h_A(\Phi) \leq h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$ .

Let now  $(P_k)$  be a sequence of partitions from  $\mathcal{Z}$  such that  $P_k \nearrow \mathcal{B}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(P_k(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) &= H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j P_k^{(m)}\right) \\ &\leq H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{[t]} T^{[jx]} S^j P_k^{m \times m}\right), \quad m \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\sigma(P_k^{m \times m}, m \geq 1)$  coincides with the factor  $\sigma$ -algebra  $(P_k)_\Phi$  generated by  $P_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ , the above inequality and Proposition 2.3 imply

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P_k) \leq h_A(\Phi / (P_k)_\Phi) \leq h_A(\Phi).$$

Taking the limit as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  and applying Proposition 2.2 we get  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) \leq h_A(\Phi)$ , which gives the desired equality.

If  $x/y$  is irrational then one obtains the same formula as in Proposition 2.4 if one takes

$$A(n) = ([nx/y], n), \quad n \geq 1,$$

where  $[t]$  denotes the nearest integer to  $t \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ .

**3. Directional entropy for product  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions.** The following class of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions was introduced in [5] to give, among other things, examples of actions with a given rank, covering number and simple spectrum.

A  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  on a Lebesgue space  $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$  is said to be a *product action* if there exist automorphisms  $S_1, S_2$  acting on Lebesgue spaces  $(Y_1, \mathcal{C}_1, \nu_1)$  and  $(Y_2, \mathcal{C}_2, \nu_2)$  such that

$$(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu) = (Y_1, \mathcal{C}_1, \nu_1) \times (Y_2, \mathcal{C}_2, \nu_2)$$

and

$$\Phi^{(m,n)}(y_1, y_2) = (S_1^m y_1, S_2^n y_2), \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

It was shown in [5] that  $h(\Phi) = 0$  and  $\Phi$  is ergodic (resp. weakly mixing) iff  $S_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , is ergodic (resp. weakly mixing).

PROPOSITION 3.1. If  $\Phi$  is ergodic then for every  $\vec{v} = (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  we have

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = |x| h(S_1) + |y| h(S_2).$$

Proof. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be finite measurable partitions of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , respectively. We may assume that  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$ . For fixed  $m, t > 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} H((P \times Q)(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) &= H\left(\bigvee_{(i,j) \in R(\vec{v}, m, t)} S_1^i P \times S_2^j Q\right) \\ &= H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{\lfloor ty \rfloor} S_2^j Q\right) + H\left(\bigvee_{i=-m+\lfloor xt \rfloor}^{m+\lfloor xt \rfloor} S_1^i P\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, for every  $m > 0$  we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H((P \times Q)(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) = yh(S_2, Q) + xh(S_1, P).$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$  we get

$$(2) \quad h_{\vec{v}}(P \times Q, \Phi) = xh(S_1, P) + yh(S_2, Q).$$

Let  $(P_n)$  and  $(Q_n)$  be sequences of finite partitions of  $Y_1$  and  $Y_2$ , respectively, such that  $P_n \nearrow \mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n \nearrow \mathcal{C}_2$ . We have  $P_n \times Q_n \nearrow \mathcal{C}$ .

Substituting, in (2),  $P_n$  (resp.  $Q_n$ ) for  $P$  (resp.  $Q$ ) and then letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtain, by Proposition 2.2, the desired equality.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** *If  $h(S_i) < \infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , then the function  $\vec{v} \rightarrow h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$  is convex and satisfies the Lipschitz condition.*

Let now  $T$  be an automorphism of a Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and  $\Phi$  be a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action on a Lebesgue space  $(Y, \mathcal{C}, \nu)$ . Let

$$\widehat{T}(x, y) = (Tx, \Phi^{\varphi(x)}y), \quad (x, y) \in X \times Y,$$

where  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2$  is a measurable function and  $\varphi_i \in L^1(X, \mu)$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *If  $\Phi$  is a product  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action then*

$$h(\widehat{T}) = h(T) + h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$$

where  $\vec{v} = (|\int_X \varphi_1 d\mu|, |\int_X \varphi_2 d\mu|)$ .

*Proof.* By assumption,  $\widehat{T}$  acts on  $X \times Y_1 \times Y_2$  and

$$\widehat{T}(x, y_1, y_2) = (Tx, S_1^{\varphi_1(x)}y_1, S_2^{\varphi_2(x)}y_2).$$

Consider the automorphism  $U$  of  $X \times Y_1$  defined by

$$U(x, y_1) = (Tx, S_1^{\varphi_1(x)}y_1), \quad (x, y_1) \in X \times Y_1.$$

Hence,

$$\widehat{T}(x, y_1, y_2) = (U(x, y_1), S_2^{\varphi_2(x)}y_2).$$

Applying the Newton formula [12] (see also [1]), we obtain

$$h(\widehat{T}) = h(U) + \left| \int_X \varphi_2 d\mu \right| \cdot h(S_2).$$

Applying it now to the automorphism  $U$ , we get

$$h(U) = h(T) + \left| \int_X \varphi_1 d\mu \right| \cdot h(S_1),$$

and so, by Proposition 3.1,

$$h(\widehat{T}) = h(T) + \left| \int_X \varphi_1 d\mu \right| \cdot h(S_1) + \left| \int_X \varphi_2 d\mu \right| \cdot h(S_2) = h(T) + h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi)$$

where  $\vec{v} = (|\int_X \varphi_1 d\mu|, |\int_X \varphi_2 d\mu|)$ .

**4. Directional entropy for rigid actions.** A  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  is said to be *rigid* if there exists a sequence  $(m_k, n_k) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that for every  $A \in \mathcal{B}$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu(\Phi^{(m_k, n_k)} A \cap A) = \mu(A).$$

**EXAMPLE 4.1.** A  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  on a Lebesgue space  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  is said to have *discrete spectrum* if there exists an orthonormal basis in  $L^2(X, \mu)$  consisting of eigenfunctions of  $\Phi$ . It is shown in [6] that any such action is isomorphic to a rotation action defined as follows.

Let  $X$  be a compact abelian group equipped with the normalized Haar measure and let  $a, b \in X$  be independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi_{a,b}$  defined by

$$\Phi_{a,b}^{(m,n)} x = a^m b^n x, \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2, x \in X,$$

is called the *rotation  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action*.

Applying classical arguments (cf. [18]), one shows that  $\Phi$  is ergodic iff the set  $\{a^m b^n : (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$  is dense in  $X$ .

Hence, in particular, there exists a sequence  $(m_k, n_k)$  such that  $a^{m_k} b^{n_k} \rightarrow 1$ . This property forces the rigidity of  $\Phi_{a,b}$  and so the rigidity of an arbitrary action with discrete spectrum.

**EXAMPLE 4.2.** Let  $X$  be the set of all real-valued functions defined on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the product  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\xi_g : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the projection onto the  $g$ th coordinate for  $g \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ . For a given finite symmetric measure  $\varrho$  on the two-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^2$  we denote by  $\mu$  the (unique) probability measure on  $\mathcal{B}$  such that the family  $(\xi_g, g \in \mathbb{Z}^2)$  forms a stationary Gaussian random field with covariance function  $R : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by

$$R(g) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} z^m w^n \varrho(dzdw), \quad g = (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

The  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  on  $(X, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  defined by

$$(\Phi^g x)(h) = x(g+h), \quad g, h \in \mathbb{Z}^2,$$

is called the *Gaussian  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action with spectral measure  $\varrho$* .

Proceeding in a similar way to the one-dimensional case (cf. [17]), one shows that  $\Phi$  is rigid iff there exists a sequence  $(m_k, n_k) \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  such that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |z^{m_k} w^{n_k} - 1|^2 \varrho(dzdw) = 0.$$

It is easy to show that every rigid  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action has zero entropy.

We show in the sequel that this result fails for directional entropy.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $\Phi$  is an ergodic  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action with discrete spectrum then  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = 0$  for every  $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from our comment in Example 4.1 that it is enough to show the above equality for rotation actions on a compact abelian group.

First, assume that  $\Phi$  is a rotation action on the one-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  determined by algebraically independent numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ .

We consider the two-element partition  $P = \{P_1, P_2\}$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  where  $P_1 = \{e^{2\pi it} : 0 \leq t < \pi\}$  and  $P_2 = \{e^{2\pi it} : \pi \leq t < 2\pi\}$ . The density of the set  $\{a^k b^l : (k, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$  implies  $P$  is a generator. It is easy to see that for every finite subset  $A \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$  the partition  $P(A)$  has at most  $2\#A$  elements.

Fix now  $m > 0$  and  $t > 0$ . From the above remark it follows that

$$H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) \leq \log(4m(\lfloor t \rfloor + 1)).$$

Therefore,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) = 0$$

for every  $m > 0$  and so  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) = 0$ . Since  $P$  is a generator we have  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = 0$ .

Further, we proceed similarly to [18], p. 101. Below we only give the necessary comments concerning the two-dimensional situation.

The next step is to show the result for rotation actions on the torus  $\mathbb{T}^s$  where  $s$  is an arbitrary positive integer. In this case the action has the form

$$\Phi^{(m,n)}(z_1, \dots, z_s) = (a_1^m b_1^n z_1, \dots, a_s^m b_s^n z_s),$$

i.e.

$$\Phi = \Phi_1 \times \dots \times \Phi_s$$

where  $\Phi_k^{(m,n)} z_k = a_k^m b_k^n z_k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq s$ . Now, the desired property follows from the previous case and from Corollary 2.4.

Let now  $\Phi$  be a rotation  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action on a compact abelian group  $G$  determined by algebraically independent elements  $a, b \in G$ . Let  $H_n$  and  $F_n$  be the groups defined in [18], p. 101.

We consider a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi_n$  on  $G/H_n$  generated by two rotations  $T_n$  and  $S_n$  defined as follows:

$$T_n(gH_n) = agH_n, \quad S_n(gH_n) = bgH_n.$$

Hence, as in [18] we have  $T_n = T_{n,1} \times T_{n,2}$ ,  $S_n = S_{n,1} \times S_{n,2}$ , i.e.  $\Phi_n = \Phi_{n,1} \times \Phi_{n,2}$  where  $\Phi_{n,k}$  is generated by  $T_{n,k}$  and  $S_{n,k}$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ . From the previous two cases and Corollary 2.4, we have  $h(\Phi_n) = 0$ . The desired result now follows from Proposition 2.2.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *There exists a rigid Gaussian  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action  $\Phi$  such that*

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \vec{v} = (x, 0) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda$  denote the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{T}$  and  $\sigma$  a continuous symmetric finite measure concentrated on a set  $D \cup D^{-1}$  where  $D$  is a Kronecker subset of  $\mathbb{T}$  (cf. [7]). We put  $\varrho = \sigma \times \lambda$ .

Let  $\Phi$  be the Gaussian  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action with spectral measure  $\varrho$ . Let  $T$  and  $S$  be the standard generators of  $\Phi$ , i.e.

$$(Tx)(m, n) = x(m+1, n), \quad (Sx)(m, n) = x(m, n+1), \quad (m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

For a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we denote by  $\mathcal{A}_n$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\xi_{m,n}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

It easily follows from the definition of  $\varrho$  that

(3) the  $\sigma$ -algebras  $\mathcal{A}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , are independent and  $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}) = \mathcal{B}$ .

It follows from Theorem 14 of [17] that for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $T$  is rigid in  $(X, \mathcal{A}_n, \mu)$ . Using (3) and Theorem 6 of [17] we conclude that  $T$  is rigid. Hence  $\Phi$  is rigid.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and let  $Q = Q_a$  be the natural two-element partition of  $\mathbb{R}$  determined by  $a$ :

$$Q = \{Q_1, Q_2\}, \quad Q_1 = (-\infty, a), \quad Q_2 = [a, \infty).$$

Let  $P = P_a = \xi_{(0,0)}^{-1}(Q)$ , i.e.  $P$  is the zero-time partition of  $X$  determined by  $Q$ . Fix  $m > 0$  and  $t > 0$ . It follows from (3) that the partitions

$$\bigvee_{-m+jx < i < m+jx} T^i S^j P, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor t \rfloor,$$

are independent. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) &= H\left(\bigvee_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} \bigvee_{i=-m+[jx]+1}^{m+[jx]} T^i S^j P\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} H\left(\bigvee_{i=-m+[jx]+1}^{m+[jx]} T^i P\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor t \rfloor} H\left(\bigvee_{i=-m+1}^m T^i P\right) = (\lfloor t \rfloor + 1) H\left(\bigvee_{i=-m+1}^m T^i P\right). \end{aligned}$$

The automorphism  $T$  is Gaussian in the space  $(X, \mathcal{A}_n, \mu)$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and its spectral measure is  $\sigma$ . Since  $\sigma$  is continuous,  $T$  is weakly mixing (cf. [4]). Applying again (3) we see that  $T$  is weakly mixing. Hence,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} H \left( \bigvee_{i=-m+1}^m T^i P \right) = \infty.$$

Therefore, for  $\vec{v} \neq (x, 0)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} H(P(R(\vec{v}, m, t))) = \infty$$

and so  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = \infty$ . Since  $\sigma$  is singular, for  $\vec{v}_1 = (1, 0)$  we have  $h_{\vec{v}_1}(\Phi) = h(T) = 0$ . Therefore the property (iii) implies  $h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi) = 0$  for  $\vec{v} = (x, 0)$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

REMARK. Let  $\Phi$  be the Gaussian  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action defined above. The function

$$P \mapsto h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P), \quad P \in \mathcal{Z}, \vec{v} \neq (x, 0), v \in \mathbb{R},$$

is not continuous.

Indeed, if we take a sequence  $(a_n) \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $a_n \nearrow \infty$  then the corresponding sequence  $(P_n) = (P_{a_n})$  converges to the trivial partition  $\nu$ . The desired result follows at once from the equalities

$$h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, P_n) = \infty \quad (n \geq 1), \quad h_{\vec{v}}(\Phi, \nu) = 0.$$

### References

- [1] R. M. Belinskaya, *Entropy of a piecewise-power skew product*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 1974, no. 3, 12–17 (in Russian).
- [2] M. Boyle and D. Lind, *Expansive subdynamics*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997), 55–102.
- [3] J. P. Conze, *Entropie d'un groupe abélien de transformations*, Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Gebiete 25 (1972), 11–30.
- [4] I. P. Cornfeld, S. V. Fomin and Ya. G. Sinai, *Ergodic Theory*, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [5] I. Filipowicz, *Rank, covering number and the spectral multiplicity function for  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ -actions*, thesis, Toruń, 1996.
- [6] A. A. Gura, *On ergodic dynamical systems with point spectrum and commutative time*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh. 21 (1966), no. 4, 92–95 (in Russian).
- [7] E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. II, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [8] J. Kieffer, *The isomorphism theorem for generalized Bernoulli schemes*, in: Studies in Probability and Ergodic Theory, Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud. 2, Academic Press, 1978, 251–267.
- [9] E. Krug, *Folgenentropie für abelsche Gruppen von Automorphismen*, thesis, Nürnberg 1973.

- [10] J. Milnor, *Directional entropies of cellular automaton-maps*, in: Disordered Systems and Biological Organization, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. F 20, Springer, 1986, 113–115.
- [11] —, *On the entropy geometry of cellular automata*, Complex Systems 2 (1988), 357–386.
- [12] D. Newton, *On the entropy of certain classes of skew product transformations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 722–726.
- [13] K. K. Park, *Continuity of directional entropy for a class of  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -actions*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 32 (1995), 573–582.
- [14] —, *Entropy of a skew product with a  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ -action*, Pacific J. Math. 172 (1996), 227–241.
- [15] —, *A counter-example of the entropy of a skew product*, Indag. Math., to appear.
- [16] —, *On directional entropy functions*, Israel J. Math., to appear.
- [17] P. Walters, *Some invariant  $\sigma$ -algebras for measure preserving transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (1972), 357–368.
- [18] —, *An Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Springer, New York, 1982.

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics  
Nicholas Copernicus University  
Chopina 12/18  
87-100 Toruń, Poland  
E-mail: bkam@mat.uni.torun.pl

Department of Mathematics  
College of Natural Sciences  
Ajou University  
Suwon 441-749, Korea  
E-mail: kkpark@madang.ajou.ac.kr

Received March 12, 1998

(4064)